Institution to institution data template

FSB data gaps workshop

Basel – 2nd May 2012

Pietro Franchini – Banca d'Italia

I-I implementation

- The common template on EXPOSURES will be implemented as from phase 1 (planned from March 2013) with a transition period of one year
- During this transition period, the minimal requirements are limited to a reduced template
 - the reporting banks are invited to send detailed information on a best effort basis
 - with the aim to provide the full template at the end of the transition period

I-lexposures requirements

- Reporting frequency on a monthly basis with five days lag
 - When a stricter supervisory monitoring is needed, authorities will retain the capacity to increase frequency and timeliness (e.g. on a weekly basis)
- Top 50 counterparties at consolidated level (aggregation across all connected entities for which the parent company provides an explicit guarantee or implicit support)
 - The full matrix of G-SIBs interconnection is not required
 - Exposures to shadow banks are captured, if relevant
- Sorting by Derivatives (potential exposure) plus Total Issuer Risk.
- Consolidated data across all global business lines following the accounting consolidation scope; no intra-group exposure should be reported

I-Iexposures breakdowns (vs I-A)

- No country or sector
 - Top individual credit providers and receivers
 - Concentration against single names, not aggregates
 - Collateral coverage ratios by counterparty
 - Common identifier
- No currency
- Maturity only for short term lending
- Instrument: more detailed
 - Risk based measures vs accounting values
 - To calculate potential exposures and losses (via supervisory model)

I-lexposures instruments

- Derivatives
 - credit, interest rate, fx, etc.
- Securities financing transactions
 - securities lending / repos
- ✤ Lending
 - of which short term
- Credit hedges
- Issuer risk
 - Equity / Fixed Income / CDS
- Settlement and credit lines
- Credit limits (on derivatives)

METRICS

- Gross and net MtM
- Collateral (received, posted in excess)
- Potential exposure
- Notional (gross post haircut for SFT)

I-lexposures – for EU banks only

- Large exposures
 - Accounting vs supervisory (banking group) perimeter
 - Different criteria to assess counterparties to be reported (top 50 - 10% or top 20) but same consolidation
 - Direct vs indirect exposure: CRM
 - I-I^E has more detailed instrument breakdown
 - LE has no settlement / credit lines nor credit limit

I-I^{funding} rationale

- Collect an insight on funding risk concentrations through by data on sources of short-term funding
- Study interconnections among banks in interbank funding markets, how funding and liquidity shocks could be transmitted between banks (also via third party providers of funds)
- Identify common vulnerabilities to maturity, to individual providers of funds and type of funding or markets
- Initiatives are developing at national and international level to collect data on funding and liquidity.
 - The FSB will revise upcoming surveys and define a coordinated template to collect individual information from G-SIBs.
 - A more refined proposal will be issued before the approval and implementation of phase II

I-I^{funding} breakdowns

- Top 50 funding providers sorted by total funding
- Provider type
 - bank, broker dealer, others
- Remaining maturity
 - 0 1 month, 1 3 months, 3 months 1 year
- Instruments
 - ABCP, CP, Interbank, Deposits, Repo, Others
- Reporting frequency on a monthly basis with five days lag
 - supervisors option to ask more frequent data