

Discussion of *(In)efficient repo markets* by Tobias Dieler, Lorian Mancini and Norman Schürhoff

For Understanding and addressing systemic risks in non-bank
financial intermediation conference, Policy Tools session

Financial Stability Board

June 8-9 2022

Carolyn Sissoko

(In)efficient repo markets

- Model repo market very parsimoniously
 - Repo borrowers use safe collateral endowment to borrow on repo and invest in a risky technology. Must roll over the repo to realize the project.
 - Exogenous funding shock may restrict roll overs – particularly for those with a ‘low’ realization of technology.
- Contrast OTC and CCP mechanisms and find
 - OTC: direct funding to ‘high’ type, but run on ‘low’
 - CCP: inefficiently limit funding to ‘high’ type
- Proposals for CCPs:
 - shifting to non-anonymous trading when funding tight
 - 2-tier guarantee fund: not just a default fund, but also a liquidity fund that transfers collateral to ‘low’ type when illiquid

A nicely focused problem

- *(In)efficient repo markets* does an excellent job of focusing narrowly on the decision to roll over a secured financing transaction
 - All dynamic repo market effects are neutralized:
 - 3 period model with a debt rollover problem in the middle period, $t=1$
 - Exogenous shock to funding liquidity, f
 - Collateral's market liquidity at date 1 is exogenous, κ_1
 - Very useful for thinking through and characterizing the nature of the roll-over problem and when it can freeze the market
 - But ...

A nicely focused problem, but ...

- Claims about implications for financial stability regulation are hard to follow
 - precisely because the model explicitly neutralizes the dynamic effects that play a well established role in repo-driven financial market instability (e.g. Brunnermeier and Pedersen 2009)
 - 'Financial stability' redefined
 - Instead of asking how to avoid episodes with funding shocks and asset price illiquidity
 - Ask how to make the market mechanism resilient to funding shocks and asset price illiquidity, where funding shocks are not 'too' big, $f < f^{FB}$
 - No effort to justify why we should focus on the latter
- Liquidity black holes are a very 21st century problem. Why didn't we have them in the past? And why do we have them now?

A nicely focused problem, but ...

Two additional notes

- Borrower participation constraint requires *negative* repo haircut
→ the model is a **model of securities lending, not repo**
 - Cf. AIG and Maiden Lane II
- Evaluation of market resilience biased in favor of OTC
 - OTC markets are favored by treating them as having full information on types
 - CCPs are disfavored by analysis of an equilibrium (pooling) that has built in inefficiencies when an alternative (separating equilibrium) does not.

Why no liquidity black holes in the past?

Regulation

- Repo markets: money market financing of long-term assets
- Traditional Anglo-American financial regulation puts firewalls up to strictly circumscribe precisely this type of finance. Examples:
 - 19th century: real bills doctrine
 - 20th century: Glass-Steagall Act – one of the laws the ‘repurchase’ structure arbitrages

These policies were consciously adopted in pursuit of financial stability (Sissoko 2016, Sissoko 2017)

- From the 1980s, these protections were steadily eroded with a complete rewriting of the laws governing financial market collateral, culminating in ...

Why do we have liquidity black holes today?

'Modernized' regulation

- In 2005 'reforms' culminate in legislation that integrates the derivatives collateral, securities lending, and repo markets (Sissoko 2010)
 - Part of a broader process of lawyers actively 'coding' capital (Pistor 2019)
- Today stress on any one of these markets will show up in the 'repo' market
 - March 2020:
margins calls in derivatives markets cause pension and insurance funds to draw down money market fund holdings reducing repo market funding (Schnabel 2020)

Solving the repo market problem: It needs to shrink

- The problem: Modern repo markets generate financial instability
- They cannot be allowed to continue to operate as they do now. They must be regulated with a view to shrinking them.
For example:
 - Raise minimum initial margin requirements on risky assets. Raise minimum initial margin requirements on any sovereign debt of more than 5 years maturity. Goal: the markets become tiny.
 - Alternatively, bring repo markets 'in the bank' by replacing repo borrowing with bank credit lines – and strictly regulating banks ability to sell underlying collateral into an illiquid market.
 - Many other possibilities ...