

Jurisdiction:

Italy

2016 IMN Survey of National Progress in the Implementation of G20/FSB Recommendations

- I. Hedge funds
- II. Securitisation
- III. Enhancing supervision
- IV. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools
- V. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VI. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VII. Enhancing risk management
- VIII. Strengthening deposit insurance
 - IX. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
 - X. Enhancing financial consumer protection
 - XI. Reference to source of recommendations
- **XII.** List of Abbreviations



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I.	Hedge funds				
_	•	We also firmly recommitted to work in an internationally consistent and non-discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul) Hedge funds or their managers will be registered and will be required to disclose appropriate information on an ongoing basis to supervisors or regulators, including on their leverage, necessary for assessment of the systemic	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009), in particular recommendations 1 and 2. In their response, jurisdictions should specify whether: - Hedge Funds (HFs) and/or HF managers are subject to mandatory registration - Registered HF managers are subject to appropriate ongoing requirements	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: The review of the overall regulatory framework on hedge funds and other alternative funds has been completed by implementing the AIFMD into the national legal framework. See also response by the EU Commission.
		risks they pose individually or collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London)	regarding: Organisational and operational standards; Conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; Disclosure to investors; and Prudential regulation. Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle 28 of the 2010 IOSCO Objectives and Principles of Securities Regulation, and take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those Principles.	 ☑ Implementation completed as of: 1999. The overall regulatory framework has been reviewed in 2013/2014 to implement AIFMD. In April 2015 a revised version of the Regulation on asset management has entered into force. Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Funds managers have been regulated and supervised in the same way as UCITS 	Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Managers since 1999; however, the	
				overall regulatory framework has been	
				reviewed in 2013/2014 in the process of	
				implementation of Alternative	
				investment management directive	
				(AIFMD). Hedge funds managers are	
				subject to authorization and have to	
				comply with rules on general	
				organisation (including specific	
				requirements on risk management),	
				capital adequacy, internal control	
				systems, rules of conduct and conflict of	
				interest. Regulation on funds covers,	
				inter alia, the valuation of fund assets and	
				its NAV calculation, the disclosure	
				obligations towards investors (Annual	
				and semi-annual accounts) and requires	
				the appointment of a depositary with the	
				same duties as UCITS depositaries.	
				Regular reporting of data on positions (at	
				level of single security held by each	
				fund) and risk exposure is provided to the	
				competent authorities on a monthly basis	
				by open ended funds and on semi-annual	
				basis by closed ended funds. The AIFMD	
				has been transposed and implemented in	
				Italy through the amendment of	
				Legislative Decree no. 58/1998 (the	
				Italian Consolidated Law on Finance) by	
				Legislative Decree no. 44/2014. The	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				necessary related amendments to the	
				implementing national secondary	
				legislation have also been fully adopted	
				and implemented: 1) The Bank of Italy	
				has issued the following provisions	
				(published on the Official Journal of	
				19.03.2015) effective from 3 April 2015:	
				Provision of 19 January 2015,	
				introducing the new Regulation on	
				collective asset management	
				('Regulation'), repealing and substituting	
				the provisions by the Bank of Italy	
				dated8 May 2012; 2) Bank of Italy and	
				CONSOB's Joint provision of 19 January	
				2015, amending Joint Regulation on	
				organisation and processes of	
				intermediaries of 29 October 2007 ('Joint	
				Regulation'); 3) Consob adopted	
				Resolution no. 19094 of January 8, 2015,	
				amending Consob Regulation no. 16190	
				of October 29, 2007 on Intermediaries; 4)	
				the Ministry of Economy and Finance	
				(MEF) has adopted the Decree no. 30 of	
				March 5, 2015 implementing article 39	
				of Legislative Decree no. 58/1998, and	
				replacing Ministerial Decree no. 228 of	
				24 May 1999, concerning the	
				identification of the general criteria with	
				which Italian collective investment	
				undertakings shall comply. The new	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				rules under the AIFMD establish a	
				comprehensive legislative framework	
				envisaging regulatory and supervisory	
				standards for hedge funds, private equity	
				and other systemically important market	
				players. The Directive delivers on the	
				G20 commitment, the IOSCO principles	
				of Hedge Fund Oversight and the	
				recommendations of the Joint Forum	
				report on the Differentiated Nature and	
				Scope of Financial Regulation (agreed by	
				European Parliament and Council in	
				November 2010) and also provides a	
				common framework on the	
				macroprudential oversight of the sector,	
				allowing coordinated actions as	
				necessary to ensure the proper	
				functioning of financial markets. In	
				particular, the AIFMD and its	
				implementing Regulation, foresee rules	
				for the mandatory registration/	
				authorisation of AIFMs, the on-going	
				operation of the AIFM's business and	
				rules on transparency and supervision.	
				AIFMs have to comply with	
				organisational and operational standards	
				such as the risk and liquidity	
				management, due diligence when	
				investing in assets of limited liquidity,	
				valuation of the assets of the AIFs	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				managed, identification, prevention,	
				managing and monitoring of conflict of	
				interests. Moreover, AIFMs have to	
				make available to investors for each AIF	
				they manage and/or market in the Union	
				information such as a description of the	
				investment strategy, changes to the	
				maximum level of leverage, the risk	
				profile of the AIF.	
				AIFMs have also to comply with rules on	
				initial capital and own funds and have to	
				appoint a depositary which has to	
				safeguard the assets of the AIF either by	
				holding them in custody or by verifying	
				the ownership of the AIF and	
				maintaining a record of these assets and	
				have to ensure that there are consistent	
				and appropriate procedures in place in	
				order to valuate assets of the AIF	
				properly and independently. The	
				Directive also introduces the possibility	
				to impose limits on leverage and liquidity	
				and requires notification of alternative	
				investment funds to be made to	
				regulators, prior to the marketing of these	
				funds on a domestic or cross-border basis	
				by an AIFM. Regulation 231/2013	
				adopted by the European Commission on	
				19 December 2012 and directly	
				applicable in the Member States since	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				July 22, 2013, establishes a	
				comprehensive reporting template that	
				AIFMs will have to use to comply with	
				their reporting obligations. For the	
				purpose of identifying the build-up of	
				systemic risk by the use of leverage and	
				the potential systemic consequences of	
				the AIFM's activities, the AIFMD and its	
				implementing Regulation also provide	
				rules on the use of information by	
				competent authorities and the exchange	
				of information between the competent	
				authorities. Moreover, ESMA has	
				adopted the Guidelines on reporting	
				obligations under Articles 3(3)(d) and	
				24(1),(2) and (4) of the AIFMD,	
				harmonising the definitions, modalities	
				of calculations of data and modalities of	
				representation of the information to be	
				reported to the competent authorities in	
				accordance with the AIFMD.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				No major developments; the system is	
				already running.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Consolidated Law on Finance (legislative	
				decree no. 58/1998):	
				http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				english/laws/fr_decree58_1998.htm	
				Consob and the Bank of Italy -	
				Regulation on the organisation and	
				procedures of intermediaries providing	
				investment services or collective	
				investment management services:	
				http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/	
				english/laws/bi_consob_20071029.htm	
				Bank of Italy Regulation of January 19,	
				2015:	
				http://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigila	
				nza/normativa/archivionorme/regolament	
				i/20120508/index.html Ministry of	
				Economy Implementing Regulation of	
				Article 39 of Legislative Decree no.	
				58/1998, concerning the identification of	
				the general criteria with which Italian	
				collective investment undertakings shall	
				comply:	
				http://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/201	
				5/03/19/15G00041/sg Consob Regulation	
				no. 16190 of October 29, 2007 as	
				subsequently amended (Consob	
				Regulation on Intermediaries):	
				http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/	
				english/laws/reg16190e.htm	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
2 (2)	Establishment of international information sharing framework	We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms for cooperation and information sharing between relevant authorities in order to ensure effective oversight is maintained	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's <u>Report on Hedge</u> <u>Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</u> on sharing	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing:	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
		when a fund is located in a different jurisdiction from the manager. We will, cooperating through the FSB, develop measures that implement these principles by the end of 2009. (London)	 information to facilitate the oversight of globally active fund managers. In addition, jurisdictions should state whether they are: Signatory to the IOSCO MMoU Signatory to bilateral agreements for supervisory cooperation that cover 	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO <u>Principles Regarding</u> <u>Cross-border Supervisory</u> <u>Cooperation.</u>	force since: Implementation completed as of: 19 January 2015 Issue is being addressed through: Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation / Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				MoUs, see below Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: According to Article 7 of the Consolidated Law on Banking (legislative Decree 385/1993) and Article 4 of the Consolidated Law on Finance (Legislative Decree 58/1998), both the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				BI and Consob may co-operate by	
				exchanging information or otherwise	
				with foreign authorities (including non-	
				EU competent authorities), provided that	
				they are subject to confidentiality	
				requirements. Information received by	
				Consob or the BI pursuant to activities of	
				international cooperation are covered by	
				official secrecy and may not be	
				transmitted to other Italian authorities or	
				to third parties without the consent of the	
				authority that supplied it. Furthermore	
				the BI, Consob, Covip (the pension funds	
				regulator) and IVASS cooperate by	
				exchanging information and otherwise	
				for the purpose of facilitating their	
				respective functions and may not invoke	
				professional secrecy in their mutual	
				relations. According to the BI Regulation	
				on Collective Fund Management (of 19	
				January 2015, Title VI), the possibility	
				for national competent authorities (BI	
				and/or Consob) to cooperate cross border	
				with third countries foreign ones (as	
				required by artt. 113, 114, 115, of the EU	
				Delegated Regulation n. 231/2013) is	
				one of the conditions to authorize the	
				cross border activity of fund manager	
				Consob has also signed several MoUs, in	
				addition to the IOSCO MMoU and the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				ESMA MMoU on cooperation	
				arrangements and exchange of	
				information (former CESR MMoU) and	
				a list of theose is available on Consob's	
				website. Moreover, on July 18, 2012,	
				ESMA approved the Guidelines on the	
				model MoU concerning consultation,	
				cooperation and the exchange of	
				information related to the supervision of	
				AIFMD entities. Within the framework	
				of the said Guidelines, as at September	
				2015, ESMA had approved 44 co-	
				operation arrangements between the EU	
				securities regulators and a number of	
				non-EU authorities for the supervision of	
				alternative investment funds, including	
				hedge funds, private equity and real	
				estate funds. The above-mentioned	
				agreements have been negotiated by	
				ESMA on behalf of 31 EU/EEA national	
				competent authorities for securities	
				markets supervision. The co-operation	
				arrangements include the exchange of	
				information, cross-border on-site visits	
				and mutual assistance in the enforcement	
				of the respective supervisory laws. The	
				agreements cover third-country	
				alternative investment fund managers	
				(AIFMs) that market alternative	
				investment funds (AIFs) in the EU and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				EU AIFMs that manage or market AIFs	
				outside the EU. The agreements also	
				cover co-operation in the cross-border	
				supervision of depositaries and AIFMs'	
				delegates. To date, Consob has signed 24	
				of the above-mentioned arrangements	
				with non-EU national competent	
				authorities.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				No major developments; the system is	
				already running.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigila	
				nza/normativa/archivio-	
				norme/regolamenti/20120508/REG-	
				19GEN2015.pdf	
				https://www.esma.europa.eu/sites/default	
				/files/library/2015/11/aifmd_mous_signe	
				d_by_eu_authorities_by_16_september_	
				15.xlsx	
				https://www.esma.europa.eu/sites/default	
				/files/library/2015/11/2013-	
				998_guidelines_on_the_model_mous_co	
				ncerning_aifmd.pdf	
				http://www.consob.it/main/consob/cosa_	
				fa/impegni_internazionali/accordi.html	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3	Enhancing counterparty	Supervisors should require that	Jurisdictions should indicate specific	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(3)	risk management	institutions which have hedge funds as	policy measures taken for enhancing	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
		their counterparties have effective risk	counterparty risk management and	at the moment	IVASS has recently published for
		management, including mechanisms to	strengthening their existing guidance on	☐ Implementation ongoing:	consultation a draft regulation on
		monitor the funds' leverage and set limits	the management of exposure to leveraged	Status of progress [for legislation and	Investment and assets covering technical
		for single counterparty exposures.	counterparties.	regulation/guidelines only]:	provision to strengthen the existing
		(London)	In particular, jurisdictions should indicate	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	provisions on governance and
			whether they have implemented	publication by:	investment risk management (under
			recommendation 3 of the IOSCO <i>Report</i>	☐ Draft published as of:	Regulation n. 36). In line with the
			on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009).	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	Solvency II framework, the draft regulation doesn't set any specific limit
			In their responses, jurisdictions should	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in	on investments, given that capital
			not provide information on the portion of	force since :	requirements calibrated on risk exposure
			this recommendation that pertains to	☑ Implementation completed as of:	are envisaged (i.e. market risk,
			Basel III, since it is monitored separately	January 2014 (with the entry into	counterparty risk, etc). The regulation
			by the BCBS.	force of the CRR).	requires insurance undertakings to set
			Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle	Issue is being addressed through:	quantitative limits according to their risk
			28 of the 2010 IOSCO <i>Objectives and</i>	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	appetite and to focus more on the assets
			Principles of Securities Regulation, and	☐ Regulation /Guidelines	covering technical provisions, by
			take into account the outcomes of any	☑ Other actions (such as supervisory	ensuring compliance with the liability
			recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against	actions), please specify:	side. Further requirements applied to
			those Principles.	Supervisory action connected to the	hedge funds may originate from
				validation of the Credit Counterparty	provisions set for derivatives
				Risk models used by the banks that	
		Supervisors will strengthen their existing		typically interface Highly Leveraged	Web-links to relevant documents:
		guidance on the management of		Institutions: banks are requested to	
		exposures to leveraged counterparties.		internally authorize (proper committees	Public consultation document n.26/2015
		(Rec. II.17, FSF 2008)		are involved) significant activities with	http://www.ivass.it/ivass/imprese_jsp/Pa
				Highly Leveraged Institutions. In its	geDocConsultazione.jsp?nomeSezione=



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps	
				implementation of the standardized	NORMATIVA&ObjId=192576	(IN
				approach for credit risk the Bank of Italy	ITALIAN ONLY)	
				envisaged that exposures to investment		
				funds not subject to limitations on the use		
				of leverage (hedge funds) should be		
				assigned a risk weight of 150%. The		
				Bank of Italy may apply a higher risk		
				weight in the event of adverse market		
				conditions. The Bank of Italy may also		
				require a 150% risk weight for exposures		
				to investment funds associated with		
				particularly high risk (see Regulation		
				(EU) no. 575/13 (CRR). In addition, the		
				Bank of Italy's Guide for the supervisory		
				activities requires inspectors – when		
				assessing counterparty credit risk during		
				on-site visits –to check whether the bank		
				takes special precautious when it deals		
				with counterparties whose financial		
				conditions can rapidly deteriorate as a		
				consequence of high leverage (e.g. hedge		
				fund).		
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:		
				Stringent risk management requirements		
				apply to hedge funds operators. See also		
				response under recommendation no. 1 for		
				further details on the applicable		
				requirements and rules. IVASS issued		
				new Regulations requiring insurance		



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				undertakings to have in place investment	
				policies. In particular under Regulation	
				36 the investment policy shall be subject	
				to a specific resolution adopted by the	
				administrative body, and shall be	
				reviewed at least once a year before	
				submission to IVASS. Insurance	
				undertakings shall have in place ad-hoc	
				procedures to gauge and manage risks	
				stemming from investments in hedge	
				funds, which include forward-looking	
				quantitative assessment. Additionally	
				undertakings are required to assess the	
				risk exposure to hedge funds both by a	
				look-through analysis and by the asset	
				managers assessment. Focussing more on	
				the insurance-related investment	
				activities, exposure to hedge funds	
				cannot be higher than 10% of the	
				technical provisions that have to be	
				covered.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				No major developments; the system is	
				already running.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				See also under recommendation no. 1.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I	I. Securitisation				
4 (4)	Strengthening of regulatory and capital framework for monolines	Insurance supervisors should strengthen the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured credit. (Rec II.8, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for strengthening the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers (where these exist).	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
			See, for reference, the following principles issued by IAIS:	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			• <u>ICP 13</u> – Reinsurance and Other	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
			Forms of Risk Transfer;	☐ Draft published as of:	
			• <u>ICP 15</u> – Investments; and	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
			• <u>ICP 17</u> - Capital Adequacy. Jurisdictions may also refer to:	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
			• IAIS <u>Guidance paper on enterprise</u>	☑ Implementation completed as of:	
			risk management for capital	Issue is being addressed through:	
			adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			<u>2008).</u>	☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
			• Joint Forum document on <u>Mortgage</u> insurance: market structure,	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			underwriting cycle and policy implications (Aug2013).	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				The Italian insurance market there are no	
				monoline insurers. According to IVASS	
				regulations dated 1991, Italian	
				undertakings cannot underwrite pure	
				financial risks i.e. risks related to the	
				settlement of financial operations, loans,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
II	. Securitisation				
				securitization, stock exchange placing,	
				assets backed securities, etc. In March	
				2009 IVASS issued Regulation n. 29	
				recasting and simplifying the above	
				mentioned rules concerning the	
				classification of risks that can (or cannot)	
				be underwritten by insurance	
				undertakings. As of 1 January 2016,	
				Directive 2009/138/EC (the "Solvency II	
				Directive") is in force. Please refer to the	
				European Commission's response on this.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 5 (5)	Description Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18, FSF 2008)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance products. Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's report on Good Practices in Relation to Investment Managers' Due Diligence When Investing in Structured Finance Instruments (Jul 2009). Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint Forum report on Credit Risk Transfer-Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul 2008).	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: July 22, 2013 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: As mentioned in the previous column, the EU Commission proposals on securitisation are in the process of being discussed by the Council and the European Parliament in order to be adopted. A draft regulation (published on 22/12/2015) on investment and Assets covering Technical provisions was publicly consulted and is currently subject to IVASS' review. As soon as the procedure is finalised the regulation will be issued. Given the information already provided in recommendation 3 (see
			*	☑ Regulation /Guidelines☐ Other actions (such as supervisory	procedure is finalised the regulation will be issued. Given the information already



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				purpose of the above, they shall, for each	implications stemming from the
				CIS, develop a decision making process	collateralization. The use of derivative
				structured as follows: (a) acquire reliable,	instruments /structured products is meant
				up-to-date information as necessary to	to be consistent with the principles of
				prepare forecasts and carry out analyses;	sound and prudent management of the
				(b) define the consequent general	undertaking. The exposure to market
				investment strategies; (c) before ordering	risks due to the use of these instruments
				the operations, and considering the	shall be equivalent to that which can be
				characteristics of the potential	obtained by directly using the underlying
				investment, carry out a qualitative and	assets based on balanced and prudent
				quantitative analysis of its contribution to	portfolio management.
				risk-return profiles and the liquidity of	
				the CIS managed (Article 66 of Consob	Web-links to relevant documents:
				Regulation no. 16190/2007). See above in	
				relation to the AIF/AIFM sector.	Public consultation document n.26/2015
				Moreover, according to the CRA III	http://www.ivass.it/ivass/imprese_jsp/Pag
				Regulation (directly applicable since 21	eDocConsultazione.jsp?nomeSezione=N
				June 2013) collective portfolio managers	ORMATIVA&ObjId=192576 (IN
				shall not solely or mechanistically rely on	ITALIAN ONLY)
				credit ratings for assessing the	
				creditworthiness of an entity or financial	
				instrument, but they shall make their own	
				credit assessment. The same provision	
				has been included in sectoral directives	
				by Directive 2013/14/EU (amending	
				Directive 2003/41/EC on institutions for	
				occupational retirement provision,	
				Directive 2009/65/EC on UCITS and	
				Directive 2011/61/EU on alternative	
				investment funds). In line with such	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				provisions, under a joint and coordinated	
				initiative, on 22 July 2013, CONSOB,	
				Banca d'Italia, IVASS and COVIP issued	
				parallel communications aimed at	
				reducing over-reliance on credit ratings in	
				the investment choices of collective	
				investment portfolio managers, insurance	
				companies, and pension funds. In	
				particular, in its communication, Consob	
				draws the attention to the fact that in the	
				exercise of its own management	
				discretion in relation to each CIS, the	
				collective portfolio manager must adopt	
				correct, transparent and appropriate	
				internal credit risk assessment processes	
				and perform the necessary due diligence	
				activities before ordering the execution of	
				investment or disinvestment transactions	
				related to, or depending from, a certain	
				level of the credit rating or credit rating	
				changes. Moreover, for each CIS	
				managed, the collective portfolio	
				manager has to keep records documenting	
				the aforesaid analyses and assessment	
				activities that form the basis of the	
				investment and disinvestment decisions	
				taken. In addition to the above, it is also	
				worth mentioning that AIFMD, which is	
				effective since July 2013, and has been	
				fully transposed in Italy, provides for	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				conditions to be met by AIFMs investing	
				in securitisation on behalf of investment	
				funds they manage, including retention	
				requirements (similar to those already	
				established under the CRR/CRDIV for	
				the banking sector). In particular, article	
				17 of the Directive provides that "In order	
				to ensure cross-sectoral consistency and	
				to remove misalignment between the	
				interest of firms that repackage loans into	
				tradable securities and originators within	
				the meaning of point (41) of Article 4 of	
				Directive 2006/48/EC, and AIFMs that	
				invest in those securities or other	
				financial instruments on behalf of AIFs,	
				the Commission shall adopt, by means of	
				delegated acts [] measures laying down	
				the requirements in the following areas:	
				(a) the requirements that need to be met	
				by the originator, the sponsor or the	
				original lender, in order for an AIFM to	
				be allowed to invest in securities or other	
				financial instruments of this type issued	
				after 1 January 2011 on behalf of AIFs,	
				including requirements that ensure that	
				the originator, the sponsor or the original	
				lender retains a net economic interest of	
				not less than 5 %; (b) qualitative	
				requirements that must be met by AIFMs	
				which invest in these securities or other	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				financial instruments on behalf of one or	
				more AIFs".	
				The Delegated Regulation (EU) no.	
				231/2013 (articles 50 and followings),	
				which is directly applicable in Italy,	
				details the conditions to fulfil the above-	
				mentioned requirements for sponsors and	
				originators, as well as for AIFMS	
				exposed to securitisations (for instance,	
				due diligence, systems to manage the	
				ongoing administration and monitoring of	
				credit risk, portfolio diversification,	
				establishment of policies on credit risk,	
				information requirements and access to	
				material data on the credit quality and	
				performance of the underlying assets,	
				disclosure requirements). Identical rules	
				are also provided under the Undertakings	
				for Collective Investment in Transferable	
				Securities Directives (UCITS	
				framework). Moreover, the rules of	
				conduct applicable in the provision of	
				investment services are set forth in	
				Legislative Decree no. 58/1998 and	
				Consob 16190/2007. In order to limit	
				regulatory and product arbitrage and	
				enhance investor protection in relation to	
				products more difficult to understand, in	
				2005 the same financial instruments	
				related distribution and disclosure rules	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				were applied horizontally also to financial	
				products issued or distributed by banks	
				and insurance undertakings. Moreover,	
				the scope of application of prospectus	
				related requirements was extended to any	
				offer of financial products to the public.	
				The European legislation relating to the	
				(re)insurance sector (Directive	
				2009/138/EC – The "Solvency II	
				Directive"), entered into application on 1	
				January 2016, introduces for the first time	
				a more risk-sensitive approach to simple,	
				transparent and standardised	
				securitisation (see also Article 177 of	
				Commission Delegated Regulation	
				2015/35) The Solvency II directive	
				contains provisions (rules on investment,	
				governance, rules in case of breach) on	
				investment in structured products, which	
				were implemented in the Italian Code of	
				Private Insurance and furtherly amended	
				(May 2015) to incorporate the new	
				requirements (Legislative Decree 12 May	
				2015 n 74). The Solvency II directive	
				ensures transparency and requirements to	
				publicly disclose information of any	
				investments in securitisation. For more	
				details please refer to the European	
				Commission's response.	
				Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				At EU level, it is worth mentioning that,	
				following the a public consultation on a	
				possible EU framework for simple,	
				transparent and standardised	
				securitisation and the publication of the	
				Action plan on building a capital markets	
				union, the European Commission has also	
				adopted a package of two legislative	
				proposals: (i) the Securitisation	
				Regulation that will apply to all	
				securitisations and include due diligence,	
				risk retention and transparency rules	
				together with the criteria for Simple,	
				Transparent and Standardised ("STS")	
				Securitisations; (ii) a proposal to amend	
				the Capital Requirements Regulation to	
				make the capital treatment of	
				securitisations for banks and investment	
				firms more risk-sensitive and able to	
				reflect properly the specific features of	
				STS securitisations. On 2 December 2015	
				the Council of the European Union	
				agreed on Commission proposal, and	
				discussions with the European Parliament	
				are still ongoing in order to adopt the	
				above-mentioned legislative package. In	
				particular, the first of the two new	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				proposed Regulations, which will be	
				directly applicable in Italy, includes a	
				single Article that will apply to all types	
				of regulated institutional investors	
				engaging in business in or through the	
				EU, providing for detailed and common	
				due diligence provisions and risk	
				retention requirements in relation to	
				investment in securitisations. See also	
				response by the EU Commission.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.ivass.it/ivass_cms/docs/F137 58/CAP_annotato.pdf (THE UPDATED VERSION IN ITALIAN ONLY) http://ec.europa.eu/finance/insurance/solvency/solvency2/index_en.htm http://www.ivass.it/ivass/imprese_jsp/Pag eDocumenti_SolvencyII.jsp?&nomeSezione=NORMATIVA&ObjId=1150312 Consob Communication of July 22, 2012: http://www.consob.it/main/documenti/bollettino2013/c0062557.htm Delegated Regulation (EU) no. 231/2013: http://eurlex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2013:083:0001:0095:en:PDF EU Commission proposals on securitisation: http://ec.europa.eu/finance/securities/securitisation/index_en.htm See also EU response.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6 (6)	Enhanced disclosure of securitised products	Securities market regulators should work with market participants to expand information on securitised products and their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10-	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures and other initiatives taken in relation to enhancing disclosure of securitised products, including working	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
		their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10-III.13, FSF 2008)	securitised products, including working with industry and other authorities to continue to standardise disclosure templates and considering measures to improve the type of information that investors receive. See, for reference, IOSCO's Report on Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012), Disclosure Principles for Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-Backed Securities (Apr 2010) and report on Global Developments in Securitisation Regulations (November 2012), in particular recommendations 4 and 5.	 ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 1999 Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: According to the Italian securitisation law (Law no. 130/1999), the purchaser or the company issuing the securities, if the two are different entities, must draft a prospectus (Article 2). According to 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: The EU Commission proposals on securitisation are in the process of being discussed by the Council and the European Parliament in order to be adopted. Web-links to relevant documents:
				Article 94 paragraph 3 and Article 113	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				paragraph 1 of Legislative Decree no.	
				58/1998, the prospectus for public offers	
				and admissions to trading of EU financial	
				instruments must be drafted in	
				compliance with models provided for in	
				the relevant EU legislation. The	
				prospectus must be approved by Consob	
				and published according to the said	
				Legislative Decree no. 58/1998 and	
				Consob Regulation no. 11971/1999 on	
				issuers. It must be delivered to the	
				holders of securities upon request (Article	
				2 paragraph 7 of Law no. 130/1999).	
				Pursuant to Article 2 paragraph 3 of the	
				abovementioned Law, if the securities are	
				offered to professional investors, the	
				prospectus must contain the following	
				information: (a) the seller and the	
				purchaser, the main features of the	
				transaction, with regard to both	
				receivables and the securities issued to	
				finance the transaction; (b) the arranging	
				and placing agent; (c) the collecting and	
				paying agent; (d) the conditions upon	
				which the purchaser is permitted to assign	
				the receivables, for the benefit of the	
				holders of the securities; (e) the	
				conditions upon which the purchaser can	
				re-invest (in other financial investments)	
				the funds deriving from the management	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				of the receivables which are not	
				immediately utilised to satisfy the rights	
				of the securities holders; (f) any ancillary	
				financial transactions executed to	
				complete the securitisation; (g) the key	
				terms and conditions of the notes and	
				how the prospectus will be publicised in	
				order to make it easily available to the	
				holders of the securities; (h) the	
				transaction costs and the conditions upon	
				which the purchaser can deduct them	
				from the sums paid by the debtor(s), as	
				well as an indication of the anticipated	
				profits of the entire transaction and who	
				will receive those profits; and (i) any	
				shareholding between the seller and the	
				purchaser. Moreover, as far as structured	
				products are concerned, the CRA III	
				Regulation (Regulation (EU) no.	
				462/2013), which is directly applicable in	
				Italy: (i) requires the issuer, the originator	
				and the sponsor of a structured finance	
				instrument established in the Union to	
				jointly disclose to the public - through a	
				centralized website operated by ESMA -	
				specific information on structured finance	
				products on an ongoing basis (ie	
				information on the credit quality and	
				performance of the underlying assets of	
				the structured finance instrument, the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				structure of the securitisation transaction,	
				the cash flows and any collateral	
				supporting a securitisation exposure as	
				well as any information that is necessary	
				to conduct comprehensive and well	
				informed stress tests on the cash flows	
				and collateral values supporting the	
				underlying exposures); (ii) requires	
				issuers or their related third parties that	
				intend to solicit a credit rating on a	
				structured finance instrument to engage at	
				least two different credit rating agencies,	
				independent from each other, for the	
				provision of the rating; (iii) sets forth a	
				rotation mechanism for credit rating	
				agencies issuing credit ratings on re-	
				securitisations . Furthermore, for	
				originators, sponsors and original lenders,	
				the sectoral legislation (CRR, AIFMD,	
				UCITSV, Solvency II) provides for	
				disclosure requirements in relation to the	
				applicable risk retention obligations when	
				investing in such securities or	
				instruments. To this end, sponsor and	
				originator institutions shall ensure that	
				prospective investors have readily	
				available access to all materially relevant	
				data on the credit quality and	
				performance of the individual underlying	
				exposures, cash flows and collateral	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				supporting a securitisation exposure as	
				well as such information that is necessary	
				to conduct comprehensive and well	
				informed stress tests on the cash flows	
				and collateral values supporting the	
				underlying exposures.	
				Detailed provisions in this respect are	
				established under the Commission	
				Delegated Regulations no. 625/2014,	
				Commission Delegated Regulation no.	
				231/2013 The above-mentioned	
				disclosure shall be appropriately	
				documented and made publicly available,	
				except in bilateral or private transactions	
				where private disclosure is considered by	
				the parties to be sufficient. Furthermore,	
				the disclosure shall also be confirmed	
				after origination. In addition to the above,	
				detailed rules are also established for	
				originators, sponsors and original lenders	
				on specific disclosure requirements on	
				materially relevant data, which should be	
				readily accessible to investors, without	
				excessive administrative burden. In this	
				regard, it is also noted that in 2008,	
				Consob invited all issuers to integrate the	
				information contained in their financial	
				reports to be disclosed, with consolidated	
				information relating to investments in	
				SPV and in structured products, including	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				securitisations. See also EU Commission	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				The current above-mentioned framework	
				might be further refined as a consequence	
				of the implementation of MiFID2/MiFIR	
				package and Regulation (EU) 2015/2365	
				of 25 November 2015 on transparency of	
				securities financing transactions and of	
				reuse and amending Regulation (EU) No	
				648/2012 (SFT Regulation). In particular,	
				the latter, which is directly applicable in	
				Italy since January 2016, among others,	
				provides for a set of measures aiming to	
				enhancing regulators' and investors'	
				understanding of securities financing	
				transactions (STFs), since these	
				transactions have been a source of	
				contagion, leverage and procyclicality	
				during the financial crisis and have been	
				identified in the EU Commission's	
				Communication on Shadow Banking as	
				needing better monitoring (see also	
				response by the EU Commission). The	
				EU Commission's proposals on	
				securitisations mentioned above under	
				recommendation no. 5, which have not	
				been adopted yet, also include provision	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				on disclosure requirements for	
				securitisations. In particular, the proposal	
				of a Regulation on a common framework	
				for securitisations ensures that investors	
				will have all the relevant information on	
				securitisations at their disposal. It covers	
				all types of securitisations and applies	
				across sectors. To facilitate both the use	
				of the information by investors and the	
				disclosure by originators, sponsors and	
				Securitisation Special Purpose Entity	
				(SSPE) the proposal requires originators,	
				sponsors and SSPE's to make freely	
				available the information to investors, via	
				standardised templates, on a website that	
				meets certain criteria such as control of	
				data quality and business continuity.	
				Specific rules are also established for	
				those transactions qualified as Simple,	
				Transparent and Standardised ("STS")	
				Securitisations.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Law no. 130/1999: http://www.consob.it/main/documenti/Re golamentazione/normativa/leg130.htm?h keywords=&docid=2&page=0&hits=7#2 Legislative Decree no, 58/1998: http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/english/laws/fr_decree58_1998.htm Delegated Regulation (EÜ) no. 231/2013: http://eur-	



I	ta	lv

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do ?uri=OJ:L:2013:083:0001:0095:en:PDF SFT Regulation: http://ec.europa.eu/finance/financial-markets/securities-financing-transactions/index_en.htm EU Commission proposals on Securitisations: http://ec.europa.eu/finance/securitisation/index_en.htm See also response by the EU Commission.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
III.	Enhancing supervision				
7 (7)	Consistent, consolidated supervision and	All firms whose failure could pose a risk to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and	Jurisdictions should indicate: (1) whether they have identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors; (2) whether the	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: In the absence of a specific European
	regulation of SIFIs	regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh)	names of the identified SIFIs have been publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs. In their response to (3) above, jurisdictions should note any significant changes in their approach, strategy or practices to enhance SIFI supervision. Jurisdictions should mention, but not provide details on, policy measures that pertain to higher loss absorbency requirements for G/D-SIBs, since these are monitored separately by the BCBS. See, for reference, the following documents: BCBS: • Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) • Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012) IAIS: • Global Systemically Important Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013)	Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 5 March 2015 (for G-SIBs); 22 January 2016 (for D-SIBs). Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: See below Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: : In Europe the Directive 2013/36/EU(Capital Requirements Directive IV – CRDIV) introduces a	In the absence of a specific European legislation on G-SII, the Italian participation into the relevant regulatory debates on policy and methodologies is driven by the aim to reinforce a consistent and consolidated supervision and regulation. To this aim, although the Italian Group Generali has no longer the status of a G-SII, IVASS intends to continue being part of the international discussion and implementation of the methodology and policy measures. In this regard IVASS is meant to apply the enhanced supervision and the recovery and resolutions measures envisaged in the GSIIs policy measures, by requesting Geneali to provide an annual update of the systemic risk management plan and the liquidity risk management plan within the group, including in crisis situations, as well as the recovery plan and resolution strastegies in the case of a crisis. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			and initial assessment methodology	discipline on capital buffers. Specific	
			• IAIS SRMP guidance - FINAL (Dec	provisions relate to buffers for global	
			2013)	systemically important institutions and	
				other systemically important institutions	
			• Guidance on Liquidity management	(G-SIIs and O-SIIs buffer). The former	
			and planning (Oct 2014)	recalls the G-SIB buffer and	
			FSB:	identification methodology as set by the	
				BCBS while the latter recalls, with some	
			• <u>Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov</u>	differences, the BCBS D-SIB framework.	
			<u>2011)</u>	As for the O-SIIs the EBA has issued the	
				guidelines that should be taken into	
				consideration by the national authorities	
				to identify the O-SIIs located in their	
				jurisdiction. CRDIV provisions on	
				capital buffers have been implemented in	
				Italy through the Bank of Italy Circular	
				285/2013. The Bank of Italy is the	
				authority in charge of identifying the	
				GSIIs and O-SIIs located in its	
				jurisdiction and setting, if appropriate, the	
				corresponding capital buffers. Systemic	
				importance is factored into supervisory	
				processes. The Bank of Italy's prudential	
				regulations already take the systemic	
				importance of supervised financial	
				institutions into account, according to a	
				proportionality criterion. In the context of	
				Basel II Pillar 2, institutions deemed as	
				systemically important are subject to	
				more stringent prudential requirements	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				than other intermediaries, especially for	
				risk control/measurement procedures and	
				corporate governance. At the same time,	
				the internal guidance for supervisory	
				activity sets higher standards for those	
				institutions. The same approach has been	
				adopted at Euro-area level. The European	
				Central Bank Risk Assessment System	
				for banking supervision links the	
				supervisory engagement of a bank with	
				its systemic relevance. For what	
				concerns financial conglomerates, in	
				2006, the Bank of Italy, Consob and	
				IVASS signed a coordination agreement	
				on identification and capital adequacy of	
				financial conglomerates. As regards the	
				insurance sector, IVASS actively	
				participates in the IAIS work related to	
				G-SIIs issues, and has completed the	
				implementation of the IAIS policy	
				measures (enhanced supervision and	
				effective resolution) towards the Italian	
				group Generali initially designated as a	
				G-SII (and as of November 2015 no	
				longer a GSII). in 2013 and 2014. Based	
				on the outcome of the assessment	
				methodology testing and the qualitative	
				information of the Group Generali is no	
				longer on the GSII list as from November	
				2015. Despite that, IVASS considers it	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				worth mantaining the supervisory	
				approach and the policy measures	
				framework unchanged, by applying to	
				Generali Group some of the IAIS/FSB	
				measures for the systemic entities (all	
				except the higher loss absorbency	
				requirements, which will be in any case	
				applicable to all GSIIs only as of 2019).	
				This is pursued with a twofold	
				perspective: (i) ensure that Generali is	
				following the correct path in the case it is	
				back on the designation list; (ii) reinforce	
				a consistent and consolidated supervision	
				and regulation to this group in line with	
				the international policy developments	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Concerning the G-SIIs assessment, on 30	
				December 2015 the Bank of Italy has	
				confirmed the decision taken in March	
				2015 to identify the UniCredit banking	
				Group as a G-SII authorized to operate in	
				Italy as a G-SII (see the web-link below	
				to the G-SII press release). The UniCredit	
				group has been included in the first	
				subcategory of global systemic	
				importance; as a consequence and	
				considering also the phase-in period	
				defined by CRD IV, the UniCredit group	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				is required to maintain a capital buffer for	
				the G-SIIs of an amount equal to 0.5 per	
				cent of its total risk exposure from 1	
				January 2017. As for the identification of	
				O-SIIs, on 22 January 2016 the Bank of	
				Italy has identified the UniCredit, Intesa	
				Sanpaolo and Monte dei Paschi di Siena	
				banking groups as domestic systemically	
				important institutions (other systemically	
				important institutions, O-SIIs) authorized	
				to operate in Italy SII (see the web-link	
				below to the O-SII press release). The	
				Bank of Italy has also decided to set the	
				additional capital buffer ('O-SII buffer')	
				at zero per cent for 2016 for the three	
				banking groups. The decision to identify	
				the three banking groups as O-SIIs was	
				taken pursuant to Bank of Italy Circular	
				No. 285/2013 on prudential regulations	
				for banks, which implements Directive	
				2013/36/EU (Capital Requirements	
				Directive, CRD IV) in Italy and specifies	
				the criteria on which the methodology for	
				identifying the O-SIIs is based. The	
				assessment was carried out following the	
				European Banking Authority	
				Guidelines(EBA/GL/2014/10), which set	
				out the criteria and the data required to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				identify O-SIIs in EU jurisdictions. The	
				assessment covered all banking groups,	
				as well as all banks not part of a banking	
				group, operating in Italy. Investment	
				firms operating in Italy were exempted,	
				because the position taken by the EBA	
				has been to allow the relevant authorities	
				to exonerate such companies in cases	
				where the indicators devised for banks	
				were inappropriate to determine the	
				intrinsic riskiness of investment firms.	
				The identification process considered, for	
				each bank or banking group, the four	
				categories set by the EBA Guidelines to	
				determine their systemic importance	
				within each jurisdiction, i.e.: size,	
				importance in the Italian economy,	
				complexity, and interconnectedness with	
				the financial system. Considering the data	
				as at 31 December 2014, the overall score	
				which indicates the domestic systemic	
				importance of the three banking groups is	
				above the threshold set at 350 basis points	
				that the EBA Guidelines use to identify	
				O-SIIs. The Bank of Italy's decision to	
				set the O-SII buffer for the three banking	
				groups at zero per cent was justified by a	
				number of reasons, relating to both	



I	ta	ly	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				system-wide and bank-specific factors.	
				Pursuant to the regulations, the decisions	
				on the identification of the O-SIIs and on	
				the level of the O-SII buffer will be	
				reviewed at least once a year.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				https://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/stabil ita-finanziaria/politica-macroprudenziale/documenti/en_GSII_20 16_Comunicato.pdf?language_id=1 https://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/stabil ita-finanziaria/politica-macroprudenziale/documenti/OSII_2016 comunicato en.pdf?language id=1	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
8 (8)	Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk assessments	To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of G-SIBs and G-SIIs. Please indicate the progress made in	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: 	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
		We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory	establishing and strengthening the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs and G-SIIs, including the development of any joint supervisory plans within core colleges and leveraging	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		colleges. (Seoul)	on supervisory activities conducted by host authorities.	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in	Web-links to relevant documents:
			See, for reference, the following documents: BCBS:	force since : Implementation completed as of: See below	
			• <u>Principles for effective supervisory</u> colleges (Jun 2014)	Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			• Progress report on the implementation	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
			of principles for effective supervisory colleges (Jul 2015)	☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			IAIS: • ICPs 24 and 25, especially guidance	See below. Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
			<u>25.1.1 – 25.1.6,</u> 25.6, 25.7 and 25.8 • <u>Application paper on supervisory</u>	According to Article 4 of the Consolidated Law on Finance (Logislative Decree 58/1008) Consolidated	
			<u>colleges (Oct 2014)</u>	(Legislative Decree 58/1998) Consob and the BI may enter into cooperation agreements with other EU competent	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				authorities in order to facilitate the	
				performance of their respective functions;	
				such agreements may provide for the	
				delegation of supervisory tasks. Consob	
				and the BI may as well cooperate,	
				including through the exchange of	
				confidential information, with third	
				country authority, subject to the existence	
				of provisions concerning professional	
				secrecy. The same Article 4 of the	
				Consolidated Law on Finance provides	
				that, in order to facilitate the supervision	
				on a consolidated basis with regards to	
				groups operating in several different EU	
				Member States, on the basis of	
				agreements reached with the competent	
				authorities, the BI defines forms of	
				collaboration and coordination, sets up	
				colleges of supervisors and takes part to	
				colleges of supervisors set up by other	
				authorities. Regulation no. 1095 of 2010	
				assign a specific role to ESMA to	
				contribute to promoting and monitoring	
				the efficient, effective and consistent	
				functioning of the colleges of supervisors.	
				Consob signed specific protocols with	
				other EU competent authorities for the	
				supervision of branches of banks or	
				investment firms providing investment	
				services in Italy, within the framework of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the CESR protocol for the supervision of	
				branches under MiFID (CESR/07-672).	
				As regards market infrastructures (CCP) a	
				role has also been given to ESMA in	
				promoting and monitoring colleges of	
				supervisors under the EMIR Regulation	
				(Regulation no. 648/2012). As regards	
				credit rating agencies, since July 2011 all	
				registration and supervisory	
				responsibilities were transferred to	
				ESMA. ESMA has been active ensuring	
				coordination with National Competent	
				Authorities (NCAs) and non-EU	
				regulators. ESMA has also finalized	
				MoUs with a number of jurisdictions. In	
				particular, NCAs have referred	
				information to ESMA regarding the	
				activities of CRAs in the local financial	
				markets which has been used in the	
				relevant reviews or in other supervisory	
				follow-up. In 2013 supervisory colleges	
				have been established, which met for the	
				first time in November 2013. In addition	
				to the enhancement of the on-going	
				dialogue with third-country authorities at	
				the IOSCO level, ESMA contributed to	
				the drafting of the recommendations for	
				Supervisory Colleges for CRAs	
				(published on 30 July 2013 on IOSCO's	
				website) - which recommended	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				establishing supervisory colleges for	
				internationally active CRAs and provided	
				preliminary guidelines on the colleges'	
				functioning. Moreover, on December 22,	
				2014, the Joint Committee of the ESAs	
				has published joint guidelines on the	
				supervisory convergence for financial	
				conglomerates, aiming at clarifying and	
				enhancing cooperation between national	
				competent authorities on cross-border	
				groups that have been identified as	
				financial conglomerates. The Joint	
				Guidelines focus on how authorities	
				should cooperate in order to achieve a	
				supplementary level of supervision of	
				financial conglomerates. The Joint	
				Guidelines should also enhance the level	
				playing field in the financial market and	
				reduce administrative burdens for firms	
				and supervisory authorities. The areas	
				covered by the Joint Guidelines include	
				in particular the mapping of the financial	
				conglomerate structure and written	
				agreements; the coordination of	
				information exchange, supervisory	
				planning and coordination of supervisory	
				activities in going concern and	
				emergency situations; the supervisory	
				assessment of financial conglomerates;	
				and other decision-making processes	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				among the competent authorities. The	
				Joint Guidelines apply as from 23	
				February 2015. See also EU Commission	
				response.	
				Banking sector The BI is the	
				home/consolidating supervisor for the	
				Italian G-SIB Unicredit group (UCG)	
				whose college of supervisors was	
				established in 2006. The college has been	
				holding regular plenary meetings since its	
				establishment. A written MoU for the	
				supervision of UCG has been concluded,	
				in accordance with the EU legislation; it	
				was signed by the relevant EEA and some	
				non-EEA supervisory authorities. Since	
				2011 the risk assessment process has	
				been conducted according to the EBA	
				Guidelines for the joint assessment of the	
				financial situation, risk profile and the	
				required levels of own funds under Pillar	
				2 at the consolidated level and at the level	
				of each entity. On 4 November 2014 the	
				Single Supervisory Mechanism started to	
				operate; establishing supervisory colleges	
				and conducting risk assessments for	
				significant cross-border firms is thus now	
				a primary competence of the ECB. ECB	
				is therefore the home supervisor in the	
				UCG college (being UCG a so called	
				"significant banking group") and the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Banca d'Italia participates in the college	
				as observer. This means that the Banca	
				d'Italia continues its regular participation	
				in, and contribution to, the college's tasks	
				and activities and receives all	
				information. Regulations no. 1093, 1094	
				and 1095 of 2010 assign a specific role to	
				EBA, EIOPA and ESMA to contribute to	
				promoting and monitoring the efficient,	
				effective and consistent functioning of the	
				colleges of supervisors (see response	
				from the EU Commission). The EBA (1)	
				and the Basel Committee (2) have issued	
				guidelines/principles for the operational	
				functioning of the colleges. (1) EBA:	
				Regulatory and implementing technical	
				standards on colleges of supervisors in	
				accordance Articles 51 and 116 of	
				Directive 2013/36/EU (Capital	
				Requirements Directive). (2) Basel	
				Committee on Banking Supervision,	
				Principles for effective supervisory	
				colleges, June 2014. Insurance sector: In	
				the insurance sector colleges of	
				supervisors have been already established	
				for all Italian cross-border groups since	
				2001, under the aegis of the Helsinky	
				Protocol on the group supervision signed	
				by the EEA supervisory Authorities dated	
				11 May 2000. Since 2010, all Italian	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				cross-border groups have approved a	
				concrete work plan to coordinate the	
				supervisory work of the different	
				members of the colleges. Following the	
				national implementation of the Directive	
				2009/138/EC (Solvency II) through the	
				updating of the Italian Code of Private	
				insurance in March 2015 and the	
				implementation of the Guidelines issued	
				by EIOPA and ESAs regarding the	
				functioning of the college of supervisors	
				for cross-border insurance groups and	
				financial conglomerates, in March 2016	
				IVASS has reviewed its internal	
				Supervisory Guide - "Guide for the	
				supervisory activity" (a handbook of rules	
				governing the supervisory review	
				process, specifically the part regarding	
				the "Cooperation with other supervisory	
				authorities - college of supervisors").	
				According to Solvency II, the college of	
				supervisors represent the tool for	
				effective and efficient supervision on	
				cross-border insurance groups and	
				financial conglomerates.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: https://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigila	



I	ta	lv

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				nza/intermediari/TUB_giugno_2015.pdf http://www.consob.it/main/documenti/Re golamentazione/normativa_ln/dlgs58_19 98.htm EBA http://www.eba.europa.eu/regulation- andpolicy/colleges-of-supervisors http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs287.htm http://www.eba.europa.eu/regulation- andpolicy/colleges-of-supervisors http://www.eba.europa.eu/supervisorycon vergence/supervisory-colleges https://eiopa.europa.eu/Publications/Guid elines/Colleges_Final_document_EN.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
9 (9)	Supervisory exchange of information and coordination	To quicken supervisory responsiveness to developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions, supervisory exchange of information and coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved at both national and international levels. (Rec V.7, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should include any feedback received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the <u>September 2012</u> BCP 3 (Cooperation and collaboration) and BCP 14 (Home-host relationships). Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in this area, particularly in response to relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: MiFID2 and MAR new provisions on cooperation are in the process of being transposed/implemented into the national legal framework. Specific technical standards have also been developed by ESMA and sent to the EU Commission for adoption. Web-links to relevant documents: ESMA technical standards under MiFID
		Enhance the effectiveness of core supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	Jurisdictions should describe any recent or planned regulatory, supervisory or legislative changes that contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via bilateral or multilateral MoUs).	 ☑ Implementation completed as of: November 2014 Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: MoUs Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: BI, Consob and IVASS cooperate to facilitate the discharge of their respective supervisory and regulatory responsibilities. According to art. 7 of the Consolidated Banking Law and art. 4 of 	2: https://www.esma.europa.eu/sites/default/ files/library/2015-1858final_reportdraft_implementing_technical_standards _under_mifid_ii.pdf MAR framework: https://www.esma.europa.eu/regulation/tr ading/market-abuse



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the Consolidated Law on Finance, BI,	
				Consob and IVASS may not invoke	
				professional secrecy in their dealings with	
				each other. In October 2007, BI and	
				Consob signed an MOU that established	
				two permanent committees: (i) the	
				strategic committee to discuss and	
				exchange information on major issues,	
				and (ii) the technical committee that deals	
				with operational aspects and	
				implementation of guidance issued set by	
				the strategic committee. In addition,	
				specific Protocols discipline their	
				cooperation on particularly relevant	
				shared duties as cooperation in the	
				supervision of investment services and	
				asset management activities, in the	
				supervision of financial conglomerates,	
				and in the safeguard of financial stability.	
				International cooperation in the banking	
				sector: The BI cooperates with foreign	
				financial authorities in accordance with	
				the framework set by the EU legislation	
				which provide for that the EU bank	
				supervisors must cooperate with each	
				other, with other EU non-bank	
				supervisors and with the EU supervisory	
				authorities. The exchange of information	
				cannot be impeded or impaired by	
				confidentiality obligations (professional	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				and/or bank secrecy). EU legislation	
				bounds all EU supervisors and authorities	
				to comply with stringent confidentiality	
				requirements. Consequently, according to	
				Article 7 of the Consolidated Law on	
				Banking (Legislative Decree 385/1993)	
				and Article 4 of the Consolidated Law on	
				Finance (Legislative Decree 58/1998) BI	
				may cooperate, with or without entering	
				into cooperation agreements, both with	
				EU and non-EU competent authorities in	
				order to facilitate the performance of their	
				respective functions. This includes the	
				exchange of confidential information,	
				subject, in case of third country	
				authorities, to the existence of adequate	
				provisions concerning professional	
				secrecy. In addition, specific provisions	
				of the CRD IV regulate cooperation and	
				collaboration between EU supervisors	
				involved in the supervision of cross	
				border groups and, in particular, within	
				the colleges of supervisors. The CRDIV	
				provisions have been implemented and	
				complemented by the Bank of Italy in the	
				"Guide for supervisory activity" (Circular	
				n. 269, Part One, Section I, Chapter V,	
				"relationships with foreign supervisory	
				authorities"). The BI has signed	
				Multilateral Memorandum of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Understanding for the functioning of EU	
				colleges in respect to almost the cross	
				border groups of which it is either home	
				or host supervisor. Within the colleges all	
				information necessary for the	
				performance of the college activities (e.g.	
				model validation, risk assessment and	
				joint decision on risk-based capital	
				adequacy) is exchanged on a regular basis	
				and coordination in the development of	
				best practices is also ensured on a regular	
				basis. Website platforms ensure an	
				efficient and comprehensive information	
				exchange. Inspectors of the Bank of Italy	
				join the Colleges of Supervisors in order	
				to share information/best practices and to	
				achieve the coordination of the on-site	
				activity annually conducted by the	
				individual supervisors or by joint teams.	
				Core college settings have not been	
				established; however variable structures	
				operate, involving only some of the	
				authorities according to the issues to be	
				addressed.	
				This approach increases the effectiveness	
				of the supervisory activity carried out.	
				Finally, the Bank of Italy cooperates with	
				the ECB and other euro area supervisory	
				authorities within the contest of the	
				Single Supervisory Mechanism. The	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				specific features of this cooperation are	
				described in the European Legislation and	
				in the Bank of Italy regulation on the	
				Supervision of banks (Circular n. 285,	
				"Supervisory Regulation for banks"). The	
				participation in EBA/ESRB committees	
				and working groups also provides EU	
				supervisors with the opportunity to	
				enhance cooperation and to develop	
				common approaches to bank supervision.	
				In line with article 55 of the CRD IV,	
				article 7, paragraph 7 of the Consolidated	
				Law on Banking states that within the	
				framework of cooperation agreements	
				and equivalent obligations of	
				confidentiality the BI may exchange	
				information related to the performance of	
				supervisory functions with the competent	
				authorities of non-EU Member States.	
				The Bank of Italy have signed 10	
				Memorandum of Understanding with	
				banking supervisors from non-EU	
				countries. The cooperation and	
				information exchange between the Bank	
				of Italy and non-EU supervisors may	
				anyway as well occur in the absence of a	
				formal, written, cooperation agreement,	
				provided that effective reciprocity	
				conditions are met. The September 2013	
				ROSC on BCP and IOSCO principles by	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the IMF recognized that the Italian	
				financial system regulators (Bank of	
				Italy, Consob, IVASS) actively	
				collaborate and exchange information	
				according to a sound legal and regulatory	
				framework and sophisticated	
				arrangements for offsite supervision, that	
				have resulted in a robust system of	
				supervision. Insurance sector: In	
				November 2012 IVASS became	
				signatory of the IAIS MMOU for the	
				exchange of information among	
				supervisors. IVASS also signed a	
				bilateral MoU with Insurance Supervisor	
				of Missouri. More in general, Italian EU	
				cross-border groups have exchanged	
				information and coordinated their	
				activities within EIOPA framework for	
				colleges, including the signing of	
				coordination arrangements within specific	
				colleges. The exchange of information	
				and the coordination of activities have	
				included also supervisors of other	
				financial sectors, when relevant. The	
				involvement and coordination with the	
				other financial supervisors is to be	
				considered also in an emergency	
				situation. Securities sector: According to	
				the law, Consob may exercise all the	
				powers available to it for the purposes of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				international cooperation. Consob's	
				ability to provide information to foreign	
				regulators has been assessed as part of the	
				screening process under the IOSCO	
				Multilateral Memorandum of	
				Understanding Concerning Consultation	
				and Cooperation and the Exchange of	
				Information (IOSCO MMoU). Consob is	
				a signatory to that agreement. It is also a	
				signatory to the ESMA (former CESR)	
				Multilateral MoU. In addition, it has	
				entered into a large number of bilateral	
				MoUs with other securities and financial	
				services regulators dealing with the	
				exchange of information for enforcement	
				purposes. Moreover, Consob signed	
				specific protocols with other EU	
				competent authorities for the supervision	
				of branches of banks or investment firms	
				providing investment services in Italy,	
				within the framework of the CESR	
				protocol for the supervision of branches	
				under MiFID (CESR/07-672). As regards	
				the cooperation arrangements under the	
				AIFMD, see responses above. The results	
				of the 2013 FSAP carried out by the IMF	
				acknowledge the existence of effective	
				arrangements and a robust regulatory and	
				supervisory framework to ensure	
				coordination and cooperation on a	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				national and cross-border level.	
				Moreover, Consob participates to a	
				number of international groups, including	
				groups at ESMA and IOSCO level aimed	
				at promoting supervisory convergence,	
				enhance financial consumer protection	
				and strengthen information exchange and	
				cooperation between competent	
				authorities. See also the response from	
				the UE Commission.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Banking sector: No major developments	
				in the exchange of information among	
				national and international supervisory	
				authorities; the system is already running	
				since many years, and the same SSM is	
				effectively functioning since more than	
				one. Insurance sector: The updating of	
				IVASS Supervisory Handbook in March	
				2016 takes into account the latest	
				regulation regarding the exchange of	
				information among supervisors	
				(Commission Implementing Regulation	
				(EU) 2015/2014 of 11 November 2015	
				and EIOPA Guidelines on exchange of	
				information on a systematic basis within	
				colleges) Securities sector: MiFID2 and	
				MAR new provisions on cooperation (to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				be transposed/implemented into the	
				national legal framework) further	
				strengthen the principles of cooperation	
				and exchange of information among	
				competent authorities for the purposes of	
				the performance of their supervisory and	
				enforcement duties under these legislative	
				acts. Specific technical standards have	
				also been developed by ESMA and sent	
				to the EU Commission for adoption. The	
				European Securities and Markets	
				Authority (ESMA) and the European	
				Central Bank (ECB) have concluded a	
				Memorandum of Understanding (MoU)	
				that will allow the exchange of	
				information and cooperation to help both	
				authorities in fulfilling their respective	
				mandates. This MoU describes in general	
				terms how the authorities will cooperate	
				with one another in the performance of	
				their respective tasks and mandate under	
				European Union law including in relation	
				to financial institutions and markets. The	
				framework proposed by the MoU covers	
				cooperation in the field of statistics, risk	
				management, supervision, market	
				infrastructures and regulation. It also	
				includes a cooperative arrangement	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				between the ECB, relevant national	
				central banks (NCBs), ESMA and the	
				authorities competent to supervise CSDs	
				participating in T2S that is to be signed	
				by the parties. The arrangement sets up a	
				framework for cooperation between the	
				ECB, ESMA, NCBs and each competent	
				authority supervising a CSD participating	
				in T2S. Finally, ESMA and the ECB have	
				also agreed upon a template MoU to be	
				used between national authorities	
				responsible for markets in financial	
				instruments and the ECB. This template	
				MoU provides for a common framework	
				for cooperation and may be agreed and	
				complemented bilaterally, on a voluntary	
				basis for the performance, respectively, of	
				the tasks under the SSM Regulation and	
				those under MIFID	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201 5R2014&from=EN https://eiopa.europa.eu/GuidelinesSII/EI OPA_EN_Exchange_info_colleges_GLs. pdf Consolidated Law on Finance: http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/english/laws/fr_decree58_1998.htm MoUs signed by Consob: http://www.consob.it/main/consob/cosa_f a/impegni_internazionali/accordi.html MiFID2: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	



itary

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201 4L0065&from=EN MAR: http://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201 4R0596&from=EN https://www.esma.europa.eu/pressnews/esma-news/esma-national-securities-regulators-and-ecb-exchange-information See also response by EU Commission.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 10 (10)	Description Strengthening resources and effective supervision	We agreed that supervisors should have strong and unambiguous mandates, sufficient independence to act, appropriate resources, and a full suite of tools and powers to proactively identify and address risks, including regular stress testing and early intervention. (Seoul) Supervisors should see that they have the requisite resources and expertise to oversee the risks associated with financial innovation and to ensure that firms they supervise have the capacity to understand and manage the risks. (FSF 2008) Supervisory authorities should continually re-assess their resource needs; for example, interacting with and assessing Boards require particular skills, experience and adequate level of seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate any steps taken on recommendations 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 (i.e. supervisory strategy, engagement with banks, improvements in banks' IT and MIS, data requests, and talent management strategy respectively) in the FSB thematic peer review report on supervisory frameworks and approaches to SIBs (May 2015).	Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Implementation completed as of: May 2008 Issue is being addressed through: Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation /Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: The legal mandate and powers of the relevant authorities for the regulation and supervision of financial entities are clearly stated under the Consolidated Law	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				on Banking and the Consolidated Law on	
				Finance providing Bank of Italy and	
				Consob with supervisory powers over	
				investment firms, banks providing	
				investment services and asset	
				management companies. While Bank of	
				Italy is responsible for banking	
				supervision and for the stability of	
				financial intermediaries (see also below	
				for the insurance sector), Consob	
				monitors, among others, the transparency	
				and correctness of investment firms and	
				asset management firms, and the orderly	
				functioning of the markets, and the	
				efficiency and transparency of the market	
				in corporate control and the capital	
				market. In order to pursue the above- mentioned objectives, our regulatory	
				framework applies a combination of	
				principle-based requirements and specific	
				rules. Indeed, the Consolidated Law on	
				Finance contains a number of general	
				principles defining the objectives of the	
				securities regulation regime that guide the	
				exercise by Consob and the Bank of Italy	
				of their regulatory discretions.	
				Moreover, the Italian regulatory regime	
				takes in due account the need to avoid	
				regulatory arbitrage, which is particularly	
				relevant in the area of financial	
				innovation, in view to ensure that the	
				same rules apply regardless to the legal	
				nature of the product, entity and the type	
				of distribution channel, ensuring that	
				there are no unregulated, unsupervised	
				activities. For instance, in line with the	
				"same business, same rules" principle,	
				banks authorized by the Bank of Italy that	
				provide investment services are subject to	
				the same rules for those services as	
				investment firms authorized by Consob,	
				as well as the same disclosure and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				conduct of business obligations apply in	
				relation to the distribution of financial	
				products issued by banks and by	
				insurance companies. Securities sector:	
				Moreover, Consob is entrusted with	
				extensive regulatory, supervisory and	
				enforcement powers to perform its mandate. Consob is an independent	
				agency and can adopt decisions without	
				any external political interference.	
				Consob can adopt its own rules and	
				regulations for its internal organization	
1				and operation, its staff (employees' legal	
				and economic treatment) and its financial	
				management. It manages its operating	
				expenses autonomously on the basis of an	
				annual budget approved by the	
				Commission (i.e. the governing body); it	
				decides how to allocate resources and	
				fixes the amount of fees to be paid by	
				supervised entities and market	
				participants. Consob has adequate	
				resources to carry out their securities	
				regulatory functions. It has effective budget autonomy. Over time the funding	
				from the State budget has decreased and	
				Consob is today quite entirely funded	
				through fees collected directly from	
				market participants for the activities	
				performed. Consob personnel has	
				constantly increased during the last years	
				and it is professional and skilled, having	
				qualifications in law, economics and	
1				finance and participating to ongoing	
1				training programs. Consob adopts a	
				structured process of strategic planning to	
1				respond to changes in the external	
				scenario which may have an impact on the protection of investors and the	
				achievement of other Consob's	
1				institutional objectives. The process is	
				risk-based and moves from the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				assessment of market risks associated to changes in the economic and financial system and of regulatory risks associated to the legislative framework, including both a bottom-up (involving all Consob units) and a top-down approach (ensuring sustainability and consistency of the strategies). The process includes analysis of the external and internal contexts, the impact on supervised entities and costbenefit assessments. (cont.) Insurance sector: The purpose of	
				supervision is the sound and prudent management of insurance and reinsurance undertakings and transparency and fairness in the behavior of undertakings, intermediaries and the other insurance market participants with regard to stability, efficiency, competitiveness and the smooth operation of the insurance system, to the protection of policyholders and of those entitled to insurance benefits as well as to consumer information and protection. IVASS is entitled to issue	
				regulations and guidelines to implement the EU and the Italian primary law. By pursuing this objective and according to its remit, IVASS has started the undergoing adoption process of the solvency II rules. This requested a reinforcement of strategic business to align with, and better reflect the Solvency II risk-based approach. To this purpose,	
				an internal reorganisation took place and resources were reallocated on the key activities. A Macroprudential Surveillance Division was also built up to mirror the new risk perspective. Banking sector: Recommendation 1 (Supervisory strategy-priorities/Autority's RAF/formal	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				process for evaluating supervisory effectiveness) The Bank of Italy's supervisory strategy is described in a Guide to Supervisory Activities. Main drivers: - consolidated, risk-based, net risk approach; - strong connection between RAS Score and supervisory action (particular set of supervisory controls/measures for each risk score); - proportionality of the supervisory action based on size, systemic relevance, complexity. Additional layers of supervision applied based on systemic relevance (e.g. higher IT standards); - interaction between onsite and off- site inspections; Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Recommendation 2 (Supervisory dialogue) The dialogue with institutions has been enhanced, particularly with institutions' boards, and has included more substantive discussions on risk governance, culture and appetite. Recommendations 3/4 (Banks' IT and MIS/Data request) In its supervisory activities, the Bank of Italy asks the banks to improve their IT and MIS to provide robust and timely information on the institutions' risk on an enterprise-wide basis. Supervisory activities are based on a massive request of objective and verifiable information that feed in the supervisory RAS systems. Specific drill down on IT cyber risk and on the implementation of the BCBS 239 (Perdar) has been carried out. Web-links to relevant documents: the full test of the Supervisory risk assessment Guideline is only for BI consultation, not publicly available. An extract can be found at	



Italy	
itary	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				https://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigila nza/normativa/archivio- norme/circolari/c269/index.html?com.dot marketing.htmlpage.language=1 Consolidated Law on Finance: http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/ english/laws/fr_decree58_1998.htm Law n. 262/2005: http://www.camera.it/parlam/leggi/05262 l.htm	



]	[tal	y

N	Description	C20/ESD Decommendations	Domaylas	Progress to data	Novt stons
	<u> </u>			1 logiess to date	rext steps
No IV. 11 (11)	<u> </u>	Amend our regulatory systems to ensure authorities are able to identify and take account of macro-prudential risks across the financial system including in the case of regulated banks, shadow banks¹ and private pools of capital to limit the build up of systemic risk. (London) Ensure that national regulators possess the powers for gathering relevant information on all material financial institutions, markets and instruments in order to assess the potential for failure or severe stress to contribute to systemic risk. This will be done in close coordination at international level in order to achieve as much consistency as possible across jurisdictions. (London)	Remarks d tools Please describe major changes in the institutional arrangements for macroprudential policy (structures, mandates, powers, reporting etc.) that have taken place since the global financial crisis, particularly over the past year. Please indicate whether an assessment has been conducted with respect to the adequacy of powers to collect and share relevant information among different authorities on financial institutions, markets and instruments to assess the potential for systemic risk. If so, please describe identified gaps in the powers to collect information, and whether any follow-up actions have been taken.	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 2015 Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: The 2015 European Delegation Law should be adopted by Fall. After the approval, the Government has 12 months to implement the provisions provided by the Recommendation ESRB/2011/3 on the macroprudential mandate of national authorities. Web-links to relevant documents:
		1	follow-up actions have been taken.	 ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Banking and Financial sector: The 	

¹ The recommendation as applicable to shadow banks will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				legislative decree 12 May 2015 n.72	
				(article 53-ter on macro-prudential	
				measures) completed the Italy's	
				transposition of the CRD IV and the Bank	
				of Italy has been identified as the	
				designated authority responsible for the	
				activation of the macroprudential	
				instruments provided for by CRDIV/CRR	
				legislation. A draft law implementing	
				European legislation - the 2015 European	
				Delegation Law - which includes	
				provisions for delegating the Government	
				to implement Recommendation	
				ESRB/2011/3 on the macroprudential	
				mandate of national authorities, has been	
				approved by the Parliament. In particular,	
				article 10 of the mentioned law provides	
				for the establishment of a	
				Macroprudential Policy Committee	
				composed of the Heads of the supervisory	
				authorities, and the Ministry of Economy	
				and Finance and the Italian Competition	
				Authority as observers, chaired by the	
				Bank of Italy, empowered to collect	
				information and make recommendations	
				to the participating authorities, with a	
				"comply or explain" mechanism.	
				According to the Consolidated Law on	
				Finance, the role of Consob in the	
				identification of financial stability risks	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				posed by financial entities, including	
				shadow banking entities, is	
				complementary to that of BI, however	
				Consob contributes to a great extent to	
				risk identification and monitoring . In	
				particular, Consob priorities, strategic	
				objectives and general planning,	
				including non-bank financial entities, are	
				defined through a formal procedure based	
				on a risk-based scenario analysis, which	
				includes both a bottom-up (involving all	
				Consob units) and a top-down approach	
				(ensuring sustainability and consistency	
				of the strategies). The process includes	
				analysis of the external and internal	
				contexts, the impact on supervised	
				entities and cost-benefit assessments.	
				Insurance sector: Pursuant to Art 5(1ter)	
				of the Italian Code of Insurance and also	
				pursuant to Directive 2009/138/EC,	
				IVASS is required to take into account, in	
				times of exceptional movements in the	
				financial markets, the potential pro-	
				cyclical effects of its actions, also on	
				other Member States. In the context of	
				the European analysis on the low interest	
				rate, EIOPA has proposed to develop a	
				framework for a macroprudential	
				approach to the low interest rate	
				environment under Solvency II,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				identifying objectives and instruments to	
				address the low interest rate environment.	
				In line with this proposal, and in	
				application of the Solvency II regime,	
				IVASS has been continuing a process of	
				intensified monitoring and analysis of the	
				ongoing risks, by increasing the reporting	
				requirements with regard to the interest	
				rate or conducting national stress testing	
				and sensitivity analyses taking into	
				account the low interest environment.	
				IVASS has recently increased the	
				resources devoted to the new macro-	
				prudential analysis department with the	
				objective of streightening the following	
				activities: (i) performing macro-	
				prudential analysis on the Italian	
				insurance market; (ii) identifying,	
				assessing and monitoring macro-	
				prudential risks; (iii) assessing the	
				potential effects of stressed situation	
				potentially emerging in the financial	
				markets towards insurancee undertakings	
				(this is done also in coordination with	
				EIOPA). In the view of devising a early	
				warning system, the macroprudential	
				surveillance department has been	
				reinforced with additional expertise to	
				contribute to the development of risk	
				indicators and tools for a timely	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				detection or monitoring of risk drivers	
				and vulnerabilities and conducting	
				sensitivity analysis. IVASS has being	
				collecting information from insurance	
				undertakings to this purpose.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				A draft law implementing European	
				legislation - the 2015 European	
				Delegation Law - which includes	
				provisions for delegating the Government	
				to implement Recommendation	
				ESRB/2011/3 on the macroprudential	
				mandate of national authorities, has been	
				approved by the Parliament . In	
				particular, article 10 of the mentioned law	
				provides for the establishment of a	
				Macroprudential Policy Committee	
				composed of the Heads of the supervisory	
				authorities, and the Ministry of Economy	
				and Finance and the Italian Competition	
				Authority as observers, chaired by the	
				Bank of Italy, empowered to collect	
				information and make recommendations	
				to the participating authorities, with a	
				"comply or explain" mechanism.	
				Insurance sector: By implementing the	
				EIOPA GLs on the reporting for financial	
				stability purposes, IVASS will gather	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				data, additional to the SII reporting, to	
				perfom ad-hoc macro-prudential analysis	
				on trend and developments and to	
				identify potential impacts on the on the	
				national insurance market. IVASS	
				regulation on this matter will be issued	
				soon. The document was publicly	
				consulted and is currently subject to	
				IVASS' review.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.senato.it/service/PDF/PDFServer/BGT/00984134.pdf (IN ITALIAN ONLY) http://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/2015/06/12/15G00087/sg (IN ITALIAN ONLY) http://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/2015/07/31/15G00127/sg (IN ITALIAN ONLY) http://www.normattiva.it/uri-res/N2Ls?urn:nir:stato:decreto.legislativo:2015-11-16;180 (IN ITALIAN ONLY) http://www.normattiva.it/uri-res/N2Ls?urn:nir:stato:decreto.legislativo:2015-11-16;181 (IN ITALIAN ONLY) http://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/2016/03/8/16G00038/sg (IN ITALIAN ONLY) http://www.ivass.it/ivass/imprese_jsp/PageDocConsultazione.jsp?nomeSezione=NORMATIVA&ObjId=192576	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12 (12)	Enhancing system-wide monitoring and the use of macro-prudential instruments	Authorities should use quantitative indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for supervisory purposes. Authorities should use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution-specific and at the macro-prudential (system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009) We are developing macro-prudential policy frameworks and tools to limit the build-up of risks in the financial sector, building on the ongoing work of the FSB-BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes) Authorities should monitor substantial changes in asset prices and their implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)	Please describe at a high level (including by making reference to financial stability or other reports, where available) the types of methodologies, indicators and tools used to assess systemic risks. Please indicate the use of macroprudential tools in the past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and apply them. See, for reference, the following documents: • CGFS report on Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments (Dec 2012) • FSB-IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011) • IMF staff papers on Macroprudential policy, an organizing framework (Mar 2011), Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy (Jun 2013), and Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy (Dec 2014)	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 2015 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Banking and Financial sector: Completion of transposition of CRD IV and introduction of macroprudential instruments. The legislative decree 12 May 2015 n.72 (article 53-ter on macroprudential measures) completed the Italy's transposition of the CRD IV and the Bank of Italy has been identified as	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the designated authority responsible for	
				the activation of the macroprudential	
				instruments provided for by CRDIV/CRR	
				legislation. The Circular No. 285	
				'Supervisory provisions for banks' was	
				modified accordingly. Macroprudential	
				instruments have been introduced: (i)	
				identification of UniCredit banking group	
				as a global systemically important	
				institution (G-SII) authorized to operate	
				in Italy (press release: 4/3/2015) and (ii)	
				implementation of the capital	
				conservation buffer without any further	
				transitional period (date of entry into	
				force: 1/1/2014). Moreover, BI	
				undertakes ad-hoc system-wide analysis	
				to identify risks and summarizes results	
				in internal notes, and an output in this	
				respect is the Financial Stability Report.	
				The role of Consob is complementary. In	
				particular, Consob employs specific risk	
				evaluation models, taking into account	
				the qualitative and quantitative	
				information provided by regulated	
				entities, including non-bank financial	
				entities, and performs quantitative	
				analysis to support supervisory functions;	
				the related output feeds into Consob	
				priorities, strategic objectives and general	
				planning Insurance sector: IVASS	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				implemented the following main	
				prudential indicators/tools for the	
				insurance sector: 1. Assessment of	
				vulnerabilities: Based on a 2011 EIOPA's	
				survey, IVASS developed national	
				exercises in order to detect vulnerabilities	
				in the insurance sector timely (such as:	
				exposure to government bonds and to	
				banking system, cash management	
				analysis, use of emergency plan). IVASS	
				requests specific qualitative and	
				quantitative information to a selected	
				sample of undertakings, on quarterly	
				basis (sovereign exposure, investment	
				policy banking exposure, including	
				exposure to CDS, financial transaction	
				involving transformation of liquidity	
				degree and Solvency estimation) and	
				semi-annual basis (all the information	
				asked quarterly as well as: structure of	
				marketed products; reinsurance	
				programs- traditional and alternative risk	
				transfer; Crisis management plan and	
				Main source of risks – both Current	
				evaluation and forward looking	
				evaluation); 2. Questionnaires to the main	
				Italian life insurance players (ad hoc	
				analysis, e.g. on the low interest rate	
				environment; on credit ratings) 3.	
				Monthly monitoring on financial stability.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				This is carried out on a monthly basis and	
				based on available data. It is focused on	
				the main risk drivers of Italian insurance	
				sector. It is submitted to the Top	
				Management of IVASS and shared with	
				Micro-Surveillance Division (linkage	
				between micro and macro supervision).	
				Some specific information are also	
				published on a semi-annual basis within	
				the Financial Stability Report of the	
				Bank of Italy and the IVASS' Annual	
				Report.	
				4. Sensitivity analysis on Interest rate	
				risk. IVASS systematically asks (since	
				September 2013 and on semi-annual	
				basis) to life insurance companies to	
				assess their exposures to interest rate risk,	
				i.e. to assess the impact of an unexpected	
				change in interest rates on their ability to	
				discharge their obligations under with-	
				profits policies. 5. Stress test (IVASS	
				Regulation n.20). Since 2005, stress test	
				have been introduced in the Italian	
				insurance market. Insurance undertakings	
				shall run stress test • on main risk factors	
				• at least annually • as instrument to	
				decide their risk policy and results shall	
				be submitted to the Board of Directors).	
				IVASS can require the results of the	
				analysis together to the decisions made	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				by Board of Directors IVASS can require	
				standardized stress tests: • on specific risk	
				factors • based on homogeneous shock	
				levels for insurance market • as	
				instrument to analyze the impact of on the	
				financial stability of insurance sector of	
				specific adverse scenarios and in order to	
				identify systemic risks 6. Risk Dashboard	
				(RD). By taking inspiration from the	
				European Risk Dashboard (RD)	
				developed by EIOPA, our RD	
				encompasses 24 indicators for 7	
				categories of risks (macro, credit,	
				profitability and so on). IVASS	
				Customization: • all the suitable	
				Indicators (not the macro' ones) have	
				been weighted with the gross written	
				premiums • all the Indicators have been	
				computed mainly using market and	
				insurance Italian data • Indicators have	
				been computed for the whole Italian	
				market (all Italian undertakings not only	
				the main groups). Data are based on	
				individual balance sheets not on	
				consolidated ones. • the main Indicators	
				in terms of risk (taking into account	
				Italian specificities) have been chosen (24	
				out of 40 EIOPA Indicators). • 2 new	
				Indicators have been introduced (already	
				used in internal analysis) • Scores have	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				been calibrated in a different way	
				whenever it have been deemed necessary	
				(due to Italian specificities). The main	
				outcomes of the Risk Dashboard are	
				systematically reported to the internal	
				Crisis Management Committee As far	
				the use of macroprudential tools is	
				concerned, a Risk Dashboard covering	
				the Italian market was introduced in the	
				past year based on the experience within	
				the EIOPA context. In the EIOPA	
				context, IVASS is also contributing to the	
				definition of key indicators and peer	
				comparison at EU level within the	
				EIOPA activities. The focus is on the	
				design of potential risk based reports or	
				on identification of early warning	
				indicators which could be used for SII	
				analytics. The revision of the existing	
				Italian Supervisory Handbook is on-	
				going. It implements the EU guidelines,	
				among others, on oversight, reporting	
				and gives guidance on the	
				operationalization of individual macro-	
				prudential instruments. See also response	
				by the EU Commission.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Article 136 of Directive 2013/36/EU	
				(Capital Requirements Directive, CRD	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				IV) requires the designated national	
				authorities to adopt a framework for	
				setting macroprudential tools, such as the	
				Countercyclical Capital Buffer (CCyB)	
				rate as of 1 January 2016. The European	
				directive was enacted in Italy by Bank of	
				Italy Circular No. 285/2013 'Supervisory	
				Instructions for Banks'. Legislative	
				Decree 72/2015 nominates the Bank of	
				Italy as the authority designated to adopt	
				macro-prudential measures in the banking	
				sector, including the CCyB. The rules	
				apply to banks and investment firms at	
				the individual and the consolidated level.	
				Based on an analysis of the reference	
				indicators the Bank of Italy has decided	
				to set the CCyB rate (for exposures to	
				Italian counterparties) for the first three	
				quarters of 2016 at zero per cent. The	
				Bank of Italy has identified the UniCredit	
				banking group as a global systemically	
				important institution (G-SII) authorized	
				to operate in Italy in 2016. Moreover the	
				Bank of Italy has identified the	
				UniCredit, Intesa Sanpaolo and Monte	
				dei Paschi di Siena banking groups as	
				domestic systemically important	
				institutions (other systemically important	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				institutions, O-SIIs) authorized to operate	
				in Italy. The Bank of Italy has decided to	
				set an additional capital buffer ('O-SII	
				buffer') equal to zero per cent for 2016	
				for the three banking groups. The	
				decision to identify the three banking	
				groups as O-SIIs was taken pursuant to	
				Bank of Italy Circular No. 285/2013 on	
				prudential regulations for banks, that also	
				specifies the criteria on which the	
				methodology for identifying the O-SIIs is	
				based. The assessment was carried out	
				following the European Banking	
				Authority Guidelines (EBA/GL/2014/10),	
				which set out the criteria and the data	
				required to identify O-SIIs in EU	
				jurisdictions.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				https://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/stabil	
				ita-finanziaria/politica-	
				macroprudenziale/index.html?com.dotma	
				rketing.htmlpage.language=1	
				http://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigilan	
				za/normativa/archivio-	
				norme/circolari/c285/index.html (IN	
				ITALIAN ONLY)	
				http://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/2015	
				/06/12/15G00087/sg (IN ITALIAN	
				ONLY)	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				https://www.bancaditalia.it/pubblicazioni/	
				qef/2015-	
				0278/index.html?com.dotmarketing.html	
				page.language=1	
				http://www.ivass.it/ivass_cms/docs/F238	
				18/Regulation%2020%20-	
				%2030%20Jun%202014.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V.	Improving oversight	of credit rating agencies (CRAs)			
			Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs including registration, oversight and sharing of information between national authorities. They should also indicate their consistency with the following IOSCO document: • Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies (Mar 2015) (including governance, training and risk management) Jurisdictions may also refer to the following IOSCO documents:	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : □ Implementation completed as of: June 2013	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: See response by the EU Commission. Web-links to relevant documents:
		CRAs should differentiate ratings for structured products and provide full disclosure of their ratings track record and the information and assumptions that underpin the ratings process. The oversight framework should be consistent across jurisdictions with appropriate sharing of information between national authorities, including through IOSCO. (London) Regulators should work together towards appropriate, globally compatible solutions (to conflicting compliance	 Principle 22 of Principles and Objectives of Securities Regulation (Jun 2010) which calls for registration and oversight programs for CRAs Statement of Principles Regarding the Activities of Credit Rating Agencies (Sep 2003) Final Report on Supervisory Colleges for Credit Rating Agencies (Jul 2013) Jurisdictions should take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those principles. 	Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: See below. Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Since July 2011 all registration and supervisory responsibilities over credit rating agencies were transferred to ESMA. Registration and certification are	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		obligations for CRAs) as early as possible		core activities within ESMA's	
		in 2010. (FSB 2009)		supervisory responsibilities. Applicants	
		We encourage further steps to enhance		are granted registration only if they	
		transparency and competition among		demonstrate their ability to meet all the	
		credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)		regulatory requirements. Any firm that is	
		credit fating agencies. (St i etersourg)		established in the EU and is carrying out	
				credit rating activities in the EU without	
				prior registration is operating in breach of	
				Articles 2(1) and 14(1) of the Regulation.	
				There were 26 EU registered CRAs at the	
				end of 2015. The EU Regulation requires	
				that CRAs put in place written procedures	
				and methodologies providing for a fair	
				and thorough analysis of all information	
				relevant to credit analyses. In particular,	
				CRAs are required to use rating	
				methodologies that are "rigorous,	
				systematic, continuous and subject to	
				validation based on historical experience,	
				including back-testing". CRAs are also	
				required to put in place procedures for	
				permanent monitoring as well as regular	
				updates of credit ratings as new	
				information becomes available. The EU	
				Regulation also requires CRAs to take all	
				necessary steps to ensure that the issuing	
				of a credit rating is not affected by any	
				existing or potential conflict of interest or	
				business relationship involving the credit	
				rating agency issuing the credit rating, its	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				managers, rating analysts, employees, any	
				other natural person whose services are	
				placed at the disposal or under the control	
				of the credit rating agency, or any person	
				directly or indirectly linked to it by	
				control As regards supervisory aspects,	
				the EU CRA Regulation provides ESMA	
				with a set of enforcement powers in cases	
				where a regulated CRA fails to meet	
				registration requirements after its initial	
				registration including the power to	
				withdraw a firm's license, if licensing	
				requirements are no longer met. So far,	
				ESMA has conducted a number of	
				thematic and individual investigations in	
				order to verify the level of compliance by	
				registered CRAs with the requirements	
				set forth in the Regulation. Moreover,	
				ESMA has been active ensuring	
				coordination with National Competent	
				Authorities (NCAs) and non-EU	
				regulators and has finalized MoUs with a	
				number of jurisdictions. In addition, the	
				cooperation with third country regulators	
				has been reinforced with the	
				establishment of supervisory colleges,	
				which met for the first time in November	
				2013 and through the enhancement of the	
				on-going dialogue at the IOSCO level, as	
				ESMA contributed to the drafting of the	



I	ta	ly	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				recommendations for Supervisory	
				Colleges for CRAs (published on 30 July	
				2013 on IOSCO's website). The	
				Regulation has been amended in 2011	
				and 2013. See also EU Commission	
				response.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				See response by the EU Commission.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				See response by EU Commission.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14	Reducing the reliance	We also endorsed the FSB's principles on	Jurisdictions should indicate the steps	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(14)	on ratings	reducing reliance on external credit	they are taking to address the	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
		ratings. Standard setters, market	recommendations of the May 2014 FSB	at the moment	See EU Commission response.
		participants, supervisors and central	thematic peer review report on the	\square Implementation ongoing:	_
		banks should not rely mechanistically on	implementation of the FSB Principles for	Status of progress [for legislation and	Web-links to relevant documents:
		external credit ratings. (Seoul)	Reducing Reliance on Credit Ratings,	regulation/guidelines only]:	vveb-miks to relevant documents.
		Authorities should check that the roles	including by implementing their <u>agreed</u>	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	
		that they have assigned to ratings in	action plans. Any revised action plans	publication by:	
		regulations and supervisory rules are	should be sent to the FSB Secretariat so	☐ Draft published as of:	
		consistent with the objectives of having	that it can be posted on the FSB website.	☐ Final rule or legislation approved	
		investors make independent judgment of	Jurisdictions may refer to the following	and will come into force on:	
		risks and perform their own due	documents:	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
		diligence, and that they do not induce	• FSB <i>Principles for Reducing Reliance</i>	☑ Implementation completed as of: see	
		uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a	on CRA Ratings (Oct 2010)	below	
		substitute for that independent evaluation.		T . 1 . 11 10 1	
		(Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008)	• FSB <u>Roadmap for Reducing Reliance</u>	Issue is being addressed through:	
		We reaffirm our commitment to reduce	on CRA Ratings (Nov 2012)	✓ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		authorities' and financial institutions'	BCBS Consultative Document	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
		reliance on external credit ratings, and	Revisions to the Standardised Approach	☑ Other actions (such as supervisory	
		call on standard setters, market	for credit risk (Dec 2015)	actions), please specify:	
		participants, supervisors and central	• IAIS ICP guidance 16.9 and 17.8.25	The use of external credit ratings for	
		banks to implement the agreed FSB		prudential purposes is regulated in the	
		principles and end practices that rely	IOSCO <u>Good Practices on Reducing</u>	Reg. UE 575/2013 (CRR), directly	
		mechanistically on these ratings.	Reliance on CRAs in Asset	applicable to Italian credit institutions and	
		(Cannes)	Management (June 2015)	investment firms. In parallel the	
			• IOSCO Sound Practices at Large	registration/certification and supervision	
		We call for accelerated progress by	Intermediaries Relating to the	of Credit Rating Agencies (CRA) is	
		national authorities and standard setting	Assessment of Creditworthiness and the	settled in the Reg. 1060/2009 (and its	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance	Use of External Credit Ratings (Dec	subsequent updates). On the other end,	
		on credit ratings and encourage steps that	2015).	from a supervisory activities point of	
		would enhance transparency of and		view, in the Bank of Italy's Guide for the	
		competition among credit rating agencies.		supervisory activities (Circ. 269/2008) is	
		(Los Cabos)		stated that, in order to assess the quality	
				of the credit portfolio, the in dept analysis	
		We call on national authorities and		on individual exposures have to be based	
		standard setting bodies to accelerate		on information not linked with external	
		progress in reducing reliance on credit		credit ratings (e.g. information contained	
		rating agencies, in accordance with the		in Bank of Italy credit register and	
		FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)		internal ratings when available). See also	
				below the Joint Communication by	
				national competent authorities and Italy's	
				action plan.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				CRA III Regulation (directly applicable	
				since 21 June 2013) establishes principles	
				to reduce overreliance on credit ratings,	
				as well as regulatory changes in the asset	
				management sector (Directive	
				2013/14/EU amendments to the UCITS	
				Directive and AIFMD) and occupational	
				and retirement pensions (Directive	
				2013/14/EU amendments to the	
				Occupational Retirement Provision	
				Directive) with regard to reducing sole	
				and mechanistic reliance on credit	
				ratings. Initiatives at the national level are	
				to a large extent strictly connected with	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the implementation of the roadmap set	
				forth under EU legislation to reduce over-	
				reliance on CRA ratings. In particular, in	
				order to implement Directive	
				2013/14/EU, in Italy primary legislation	
				(i.e. Consolidated Law on Finance) has	
				been modified. Under the new provisions,	
				reference to mechanistic reliance on	
				credit ratings for assessing the	
				creditworthiness of an entity or financial	
				instrument shall be avoided and own	
				credit assessments should be encouraged.	
				In line with such provisions, under a joint	
				and coordinated initiative, on 22 July	
				2013, CONSOB, Banca d'Italia, IVASS	
				and COVIP issued parallel	
				communications aimed at reducing over-	
				reliance on credit ratings in the	
				investment choices of collective	
				investment portfolio managers, insurance	
				companies, and pension funds. In	
				particular, Consob draws the attention to	
				the fact that in the exercise of its own	
				management discretion in relation to each	
				UCITS, the collective portfolio manager	
				must adopt correct, transparent and	
				appropriate internal credit risk assessment	
				processes and perform the necessary due	
				diligence activities before ordering the	
				execution of investment or disinvestment	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				transactions related to, or depending	
				from, a certain level of the credit rating or	
				credit rating changes. Moreover, for each	
				UCITS managed, the collective portfolio	
				manager has to keep records documenting	
				the aforesaid analyses and assessment	
				activities that form the basis of the	
				investment and disinvestment decisions	
				taken. In the Insurance Code a specific	
				provision to reduce the mechanistic	
				reliance on external ratings has been	
				recently introduced (art.30-bis, paragraph	
				11 and 12), which implements the EU	
				delegated regulation on Solvency II on	
				this matter. IVASS has contributed to the	
				definition of an Implementing Technical	
				Standard (ITS) which regulates the credit	
				rating assigned by certified ECAIs. As	
				described in the ITS, firms have to assess	
				the appropriateness of any external rating	
				with alternative tools in order to avoid the	
				over-reliance. See also EU Commission	
				response	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Bank of Italy manages an In-House	
				Credit Assessment System (BI-ICAS) of	
				non-financial corporations' credit claims	
				eligible as collateral in monetary policy	
				operations. A first reduced version of the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				system has been used since August 2013	
				within the additional credit claims	
				framework adopted by the Bank of Italy.	
				In 2014 the Bank of Italy adopted the	
				additional expert module and switched to	
				a fully fledged system, meeting the	
				general Eurosystem requirement for the	
				assessment of all eligible credit claims. In	
				2015 the Bank of Italy reviewed the	
				statistical methodology used for BI-ICAS	
				and the activity of the ICAS has been	
				increased with the involvement in the	
				expert system module of analysts of	
				further eight local branches . On March	
				2016 eleven local branches were involved	
				in the activity of rating production. As far	
				as CCPs are concerned, the EMIR	
				regulation and delegated legislation	
				include specific provisions aimed at	
				limiting the reliance on CRAs by CCPs.	
				The Italian CCP, the Cassa di	
				Compensazione, was authorised in May	
				2014 and, in that occasion, a general	
				check of its compliance with the EMIR	
				regulation was made, including the	
				reliance or not on CRAs. Furthermore, in	
				the ongoing supervision also this issue is	
				monitored by the Italian competent	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				authorities. Italy's action plan to	
				Implement the Financial Stability Board	
				Principles for Reducing Reliance on	
				Credit Rating Agency Ratings has been	
				published (see link below). As	
				mentioned above, enhanced due diligence	
				and internal risk assessment requirements	
				are provided for investment in	
				securitization under the EU Commission	
				proposals on a common framework for	
				securitisations, which is in the process of	
				being discussed by the Council and	
				European Parliament.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/polmo n-garanzie/gestione-garanzie/qualita- crediti/index.html?com.dotmarketing.htm lpage.language=1 http://www.ivass.it/ivass cms/docs/F137 58/CAP_annotato.pdf (THE UPDATED VERSION IN ITALIAN ONLY) Italy's action plan: http://www.consob.it/main/consob/cosa_f a/impegni internazionali/FSB action pla n.html See also EU Commission	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VI.	Enhancing and alignin	g accounting standards			
	•		Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are of a high and internationally acceptable quality (eg equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB), and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Analysis-of-the-G20-IFRS-profiles.aspx . As part of their response on this recommendation, jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for appropriate application of fair value	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: Financial statements of the financial year closing or ongoing on 31 December 2005 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			accounting. In addition, jurisdictions should set out any steps they intend to take (if appropriate) to foster transparent and consistent implementation of the new	The adoption of the IAS/IFRS in Italy was introduced by the Legislative Decree nr. 38 of February 28, 2005, exercising the options contained in the Regulation (EC) no 1606/2002 of the European	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			accounting requirements for expected	Parliament and of the Council of 19 July	
			loan loss provisioning for impaired loans	2002. The legislative Decree 38/05	
			that are being introduced by the IASB	identifies the companies which are	
			and are scheduled to be introduced by the	mandatorily required or permitted to	
			FASB.	adopt the International Accounting	
			See, for reference, the following BCBS	Standards in preparing Consolidated and	
			document:	Separate Financial Statements, as	
				follows: - Publicly traded entities, banks,	
			• <u>Supervisory guidance for assessing</u>	publicly accountable entities, regulated	
			banks' financial instrument fair value	financial entities are mandatorily required	
			practices (Apr 2009)	to fill their consolidated and separate	
				financial statement according to	
				IAS/IFRS; - Publicly traded insurance	
				entities/ private insurance entities are	
				mandatorily required to fill their	
				consolidated financial statement	
				according to IAS/IFRS; - Entities other	
				than those that are allowed to prepare	
				abridged accounts are permitted to fill	
				their consolidated and separate financial	
				statements according to IAS/IFRS	
				Therefore, the scope of the mandatory	
				application in Italy is wider than that	
				provided by Regulation (EC) no	
				1606/2002, which is limited to	
				consolidated financial statements of	
				publicly traded entities. The wide use in	
				Italy of an high quality set of accounting	
				standard is aimed at improving investor	
				protection and enhancing their	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				confidence. The Bank of Italy contributes	
				to the improvement of international	
				accounting standards participating in the	
				working groups on accounting issues	
				established at the BCBS and EBA level,	
				as well as Consob participates to the	
				ESMA work in this respect. These fora	
				actively contribute to the evolution of	
				accounting standards, by providing the	
				accounting standard setters with analysis	
				and comments in due process. The Bank	
				of Italy, Consob and IVASS, with the	
				Ministry of Finance are involved in the	
				endorsement process of IFRS in Europe,	
				within the Accounting Regulatory	
				Committee (ARC). At national level, the	
				Bank of Italy, Consob and IVASS	
				actively cooperate in the field of	
				accounting, and since 2008 have	
				established a permanent forum on	
				consistent application of IAS/IFRS which	
				has also close links with the national	
				accounting standard setter (OIC). The	
				Bank of Italy has made structural the	
				relationship with the auditing profession	
				and the financial industry to discuss	
				relevant issues in the field of accounting.	
				The Bank of Italy has no power in terms	
				of valuation in the context of financial	
				statements. As regards the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				implementation of the IFRS 9 expected	
				losses impairment model, on 5 August	
				2013 the Bank of Italy sent a	
				communication recommending financial	
				institutions to start a review of their	
				processes and systems to ensure a timely	
				and consistent implementation of the	
				forthcoming standard. Moreover, the	
				Bank of Italy was actively involved in the	
				drafting of the BCBS Guidelines on	
				accounting for expected credit losses	
				whose objective is to set out supervisory	
				requirements on sound credit risk	
				practices associated with the	
				implementation and ongoing application	
				of expected credit loss (ECL) accounting	
				models	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				As required by the Italian and the	
				European Union's legislation, the	
				financial statements must conform with	
				the requirements of the relevant European	
				directives and with the IFRS issued by	
				the International Accounting Standards	
				Board (IASB) as endorsed in the	
				European Union. The BI issues the	
				national regulation (Circular n. 262/2005)	
				regarding standardized schemes and	
				templates, in order to ensure a consistent	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				and homogeneous "disclosure" in the	
				Annual Report published by banks and	
				other supervised financial intermediaries.	
				All entities subject to Consob's	
				jurisdiction, including issuers admitted to	
				trading in a regulated market, investment	
				services providers and asset management	
				companies, are required to prepare	
				financial statements in accordance with	
				IFRS. Issuers admitted to trading in	
				MTFs (such as AIM) are not required to	
				submit their financial statements	
				according to IFRS. Issuers whose	
				securities are widely held must apply	
				IAS/IFRS. As far as AIM is concerned,	
				issuers can submit their financial	
				statements according to (i) local GAAP,	
				(ii) IFRS or (iii) US GAAP. For the	
				purposes of offerings or listings by	
				foreign issuers, under the relevant	
				European Regulation (809/2004/EC),	
				third country issuers must present	
				historical financial information in	
				accordance with IFRS, Japanese GAAP,	
				or US GAAP. Enforcement on financial	
				information issued by listed companies is	
				carried out by Consob on a systematic	
				basis. According to Article 89-quater of	
				Consob Regulation no. 11971/1999 on	
				issuers, Consob shall perform checks of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the financial information contained in the	
				documents made public by listed issuers	
				under the law on a sample basis, in	
				accordance with the relevant standards	
				issued by the ESMA (see in this respect	
				ESMA Guidelines on enforcement of	
				financial information, in force since	
				December 29, 2014 and implemented by	
				Consob). According to Article 157 of	
				Legislative Decree no. 58/1998, the	
				resolution of the shareholders' meeting or	
				meeting of the supervisory board	
				approving the annual accounts may be	
				challenged by Consob within six months	
				of the entry of the annual accounts or the	
				consolidated accounts in the Company	
				Register. Where the infringement to the	
				reporting framework are material the	
				Commission may submit the case to the	
				Civil Courts. According the article 154-	
				ter of Legislative Decree no. 58/1998,	
				without prejudice to the powers	
				envisaged by Article 157, subsection 2,	
				where it is ascertained that documents	
				comprising the financial statements	
				pursuant to this article do not comply	
				with drafting regulations, Consob may	
				request that the issuer publishes this fact	
				and arrange publication of supplementary	
				information as necessary in order to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				reinstate correct market information. On	
				January 19, 2015, Consob has also	
				published Communication no. 0003907,	
				which identifies the issues in the balance	
				sheets which, in the current market	
				environment, are considered as a priority	
				in terms of disclosure of the information	
				to be provided in the financial reports as	
				at December 31, 2014. The above-	
				mentioned Communication does not	
				introduce additional regulatory	
				requirements but draws the attention of	
				the persons responsible for the drafting of	
				the financial statements to a detailed and	
				exhaustive application of the applicable	
				provisions and accounting principles.	
				See also EU Commission response.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				The Bank of Italy is involved in the	
				initiatives carried out by the European	
				Banking Authority (EBA) in order to	
				incorporate the BCBS Guidance on	
				accounting for expected credit losses into	
				the EU regulatory and supervisory	
				framework. To this extent, in January	
				2016, the EBA launched a survey on a	
				sample of approximately 50 EU	
				institutions (including some Italian	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				banking groups) in order to assess the	
				estimated impact of IFRS 9 and the way	
				institutions are preparing for its first time	
				adoption. Results are expected for mid-	
				2016. See EU Commission response.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				https://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigila nza/normativa/archivio-norme/circolari/c262/index.html Consolidated Law on Finance: http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/english/laws/fr_decree58_1998.htm Consob Regulation on Issuers: http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/english/laws/reg11971e.htm ESMA Guidelines: https://www.esma.europa.eu/system/files_force/library/2015/11/2014-807final_report_on_esma_guidelines_on_enforcement_of_financial_information.pdf? download=1	



™ T	D	COMPAND I	D I	Duo guess to doto	Nové séans
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VII.	Enhancing risk manag	T			
16	Enhancing guidance to	Regulators should develop enhanced	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(17)	strengthen banks' risk	guidance to strengthen banks' risk	measures taken to enhance guidance to	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
	management practices,	management practices, in line with	strengthen banks' risk management	at the moment	In September 2016 the new EU reporting
	including on liquidity	international best practices, and should	practices.	☐ Implementation ongoing:	scheme for the supervision of liquidity
	and foreign currency	encourage financial firms to re-examine	Jurisdictions may also refer to the	Status of progress [for legislation and	risk will come into effect.
	funding risks	their internal controls and implement	following documents:	regulation/guidelines only]:	
		strengthened policies for sound risk	-	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	William I
		management. (Washington)	• FSB's <u>thematic peer review report on</u>	publication by:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		National supervisors should closely check	<u>risk governance (Feb 2013);</u>	☐ Draft published as of:	
		banks' implementation of the updated	• Joint Forum's <u>Developments in credit</u>	☐ Final rule or legislation approved	
		guidance on the management and	risk management across sectors:	and will come into force on:	
		supervision of liquidity as part of their	current practices and	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in	
		regular supervision. If banks'	recommendations (June 2015); and	force since :	
		implementation of the guidance is	BCBS Peer review of supervisory	☑ Implementation completed as of:	
		inadequate, supervisors will take more		since November 2014 supervisory actions are taken in the SSM context	
		prescriptive action to improve practices.	authorities' implementation of stress	detions are taken in the SSIVI context	
		(Rec. II.10, FSF 2008)	testing principles (Apr 2012) and	Issue is being addressed through:	
		Regulators and supervisors in emerging	<u>Principles for sound stress testing</u> practices and supervision (May	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		markets ¹ will enhance their supervision of	2009).	☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
		banks' operation in foreign currency	<u>2007).</u>	☑ Other actions (such as supervisory	
		funding markets. (FSB 2009)		actions), please specify:	
		Tantang markow. (1 02 2007)		SSM supervisory manual	
		We commit to conduct robust, transparent		Short description of the content of the	
		stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)		legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		stress tests as freeded. (1 fitsburgh)			

¹ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Since November 2014 the 15 largest	
				Italian banks are subject to the direct	
				supervision of the Single Supervisory	
				Mechanism (SSM). In the new	
				methodology adopted for banking	
				supervision the quality of the	
				management of liquidity risk is among	
				the most important issues to be assessed	
				for evaluating the viability of banks.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				In October 2015 a new specific scheme of	
				reporting for the supervision of liquidity	
				risk has been agreed in the EU legislation	
				(COREP and FINREP regulatory	
				schemes, Regulation (EU) No 680/2014).	
				Italian supervisor is also fully involved in	
				the activities conducted at SSM level	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 17 (18)	Description Enhanced risk disclosures by financial institutions	G20/FSB Recommendations Financial institutions should provide enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington) We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance financial institutions' disclosures of the risks they face, including the ongoing	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the status of implementation of the disclosures requirements of IFRSs (in particular IFRS 7 and 13) or equivalent. Jurisdictions may also use as reference the recommendations of the October 2012 report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force on Enhancing the Risk Disclosures of Banks and Implementation Progress Report by the EDTF (Dec 2015), and set out any steps they have taken to foster adoption of the EDTF Principles and Recommendations.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 31.12.2013	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force. (St. Petersburg)		Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Financial sector: As reported in point 15 above, the Bank of Italy issues the national regulation regarding standardized schemes and templates to be adopted by banks and other supervised financial intermediaries. This circular ensures the alignment of those schemes and templates to the evolution of the accounting rules. The amendments to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				IFRS 7 "Disclosures – Transfers of	
				Financial Assets" have been adopted in	
				August 2012 with amendments to	
				Circular no. 262/2005. The amendments	
				to IFRS 7 "Disclosures – Offsetting	
				Financial Assets and Financial	
				Liabilities" and the new disclosures	
				required by IFRS 13 "Fair Value	
				Measurement", both to be applied for	
				annual periods beginning on or after 1	
				January 2013, have been adopted with a	
				revision of Circular 262/2005 applying	
				starting from end-2013 Annual Reports.	
				With a supervisory letter of 31 January	
				2013 the Bank of Italy has required banks	
				and financial intermediaries to take into	
				account the recommendations provided	
				by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force	
				in the preparation of their Annual	
				Reports, possibly starting from end-2012	
				Annual Reports. In addition, some	
				amendments to Circular no. 262/2005,	
				applying from end-2013 Annual Reports,	
				have been made to take into account the	
				recommendations provided by the	
				Enhanced Disclosure Task Force. The	
				requirements of IFRS 12 "Disclosure of	
				interests in other entities" have also been	
				adopted through an amendment of the	
				Circular n. 262/2005, issued in December	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				2014. Insurance sector: The Delegated	
				Act 2015/35 and the Solvency II regime	
				(Directive 2009/138/EC) envisage a wide	
				set of rules covering both narrative	
				reporting and quantitative information,	
				aiming at enhancing the public disclosure	
				by insurance undertakings (Delegated Act	
				: TITLE I – chapter XII and title II,	
				chapter V) The EU commission also	
				issued the Implementing Regulation	
				(EU) 2015/2452 of 2 December 2015	
				laying down technical standard with	
				regard to the procedures, formats and	
				templates of the Solvency and Financial	
				condition report. In this context, EIOPA	
				supported the implementation into the EU	
				member States by publishing Guidelines	
				on reporting and public disclosure which	
				provide a supervisory guidance on the	
				disclosure issue. The provisions on public	
				disclosures have been implemented in the	
				Italian Code of Insurance (art. 47-septies,	
				47-octies, 47-novies and 47-decies and in	
				art. 216-novies) concerning the public	
				disclosure of Solvency and Financial	
				Condition Report (SFCR) . The EIOPA	
				Guidelines will be fully adopted with the	
				issuing of an IVASS regulation, which is	
				currently in public consultation (Public	
				consultation document n.6/2016) The	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				outgoing IVASS Regulation is fully	
				compliant with the content and relevant	
				provisions of the Delegated Act and with	
				the EIOPA Guidelines on this matter. In	
				line with the above, the regulation is	
				intended to require also further details on	
				some aspects already covered in the EU	
				legislation.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	
				Securities Financial sector: As reported	
				in point 15 above, the Bank of Italy issues	
				the national regulation regarding	
				standardized schemes and templates to be	
				adopted by banks and other supervised	
				financial intermediaries. This circular	
				ensures the alignment of those schemes	
				and templates to the evolution of the	
				accounting rules. The amendments to	
				IFRS 7 "Disclosures – Transfers of	
				Financial Assets" have been adopted in	
				August 2012 with amendments to	
				Circular no. 262/2005. The amendments	
				to IFRS 7 "Disclosures – Offsetting	
				Financial Assets and Financial	
				Liabilities" and the new disclosures	
				required by IFRS 13 "Fair Value	
				Measurement", both to be applied for	
				annual periods beginning on or after 1	
				January 2013, have been adopted with a	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				revision of Circular 262/2005 applying	
				starting from end-2013 Annual Reports.	
				With a supervisory letter of 31 January	
				2013 the Bank of Italy has required banks	
				and financial intermediaries to take into	
				account the recommendations provided	
				by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force	
				in the preparation of their Annual	
				Reports, possibly starting from end-2012	
				Annual Reports. In addition, some	
				amendments to Circular no. 262/2005,	
				applying from end-2013 Annual Reports,	
				have been made to take into account the	
				recommendations provided by the	
				Enhanced Disclosure Task Force. The	
				requirements of IFRS 12 "Disclosure of	
				interests in other entities" have also been	
				adopted through an amendment of the	
				Circular n. 262/2005, issued in December	
				2014. Insurance sector: The Delegated	
				Act 2015/35 and the Solvency II regime	
				(Directive 2009/138/EC) envisage a wide	
				set of rules covering both narrative	
				reporting and quantitative information,	
				aiming at enhancing the public disclosure	
				by insurance undertakings (Delegated Act	
				: TITLE I – chapter XII and title II,	
				chapter V) The EU commission also	
				issued the Implementing Regulation	
				(EU) 2015/2452 of 2 December 2015	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				laying down technical standard with	
				regard to the procedures, formats and	
				templates of the Solvency and Financial	
				condition report. In this context, EIOPA	
				supported the implementation into the EU	
				member States by publishing Guidelines	
				on reporting and public disclosure which	
				provide a supervisory guidance on the	
				disclosure issue. The provisions on public	
				disclosures have been implemented in the	
				Italian Code of Insurance (art. 47-septies,	
				47-octies, 47-novies and 47-decies and in	
				art. 216-novies) concerning the public	
				disclosure of Solvency and Financial	
				Condition Report (SFCR) . The EIOPA	
				Guidelines will be fully adopted with the	
				issuing of an IVASS regulation, which is	
				currently in public consultation (Public	
				consultation document n.6/2016) The	
				outgoing IVASS Regulation is fully	
				compliant with the content and relevant	
				provisions of the Delegated Act and with	
				the EIOPA Guidelines on this matter. In	
				line with the above, the regulation is	
				intended to require also further details on	
				some aspects already covered in the EU	
				legislation.	
				In addition, sectoral provisions are	
				established for the asset	
				management/investment fund sector,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				which require asset management	
				companies to prepare an annual and a	
				semi-annual report for each CIS they	
				manage. Accounts must be prepared in	
				accordance with IFRS. In addition to the	
				above, for the offering of CIS, the	
				preparation of a comprehensive	
				prospectus is required, which must	
				include all relevant information about the	
				CIS, including, among others,	
				information about the asset valuation	
				methodology; procedures for	
				subscription, redemption and pricing of	
				units; custodial arrangements; investment	
				policy; risks; fees and charges. Offerors	
				of CIS must post (and constantly update)	
				on their website the prospectus, periodic	
				financial reports and, if not included in	
				the prospectus, the fund rules. Updated	
				data on the risk-reward profile and costs	
				of a CIS must be disclosed to investors by	
				the end of February of each year, as well	
				as any changes not otherwise	
				communicated to the information given in	
				the KIID. Moreover, as mentioned above,	
				as far as structured products are	
				concerned, the CRA III Regulation	
				(Regulation (EU) no. 462/2013), which is	
				directly applicable in Italy, requires the	
				issuer, the originator and the sponsor of a	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				structured financial instrument	
				established in the Union to jointly	
				disclose to the public - through a	
				centralized website operated by ESMA -	
				specific information on structured finance	
				products on an ongoing basis (ie	
				information on the credit quality and	
				performance of the underlying assets of	
				the structured finance instrument, the	
				structure of the securitisation transaction,	
				the cash flows and any collateral	
				supporting a securitisation exposure as	
				well as any information that is necessary	
				to conduct comprehensive and well	
				informed stress tests on the cash flows	
				and collateral values supporting the	
				underlying exposures). In general, in	
				addition to prospectus requirements for	
				public offerings and market abuse	
				disclosure obligations, issuers with	
				securities admitted to trading in a RM are	
				subject to a more comprehensive set of	
				disclosure obligations on a periodic and	
				ongoing basis in accordance with EU	
				legislation. Some disclosure requirements	
				are also provided by the listing rules in	
				relation to issuers of securities negotiated	
				in a MTF (admission document, financial	
				reports, etc), as reported under	
				recommendation no. 15, and for issuers	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				whose securities are widely held (defined	
				on the basis of quantitative criteria).	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Circular 262 was further amended on	
				December 2015 to take into account in	
				the disclosure on non-performing	
				exposures and forbearance the new	
				definitions laid down by the EBA ITS on	
				Supervisory reporting on forbearance and	
				non-performing exposures (Commission	
				Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/227	
				of 9 January 2015). See also response by	
				the EU Commission.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				https://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigila nza/normativa/archivio-norme/circolari/c262/index.html http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201 5R2452&from=EN https://eiopa.europa.eu/GuidelinesSII/EI OPA_EN_Public_Disclosure_GL.pdf Consolidated Law on Finance: http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/english/laws/fr_decree58_1998.htm Consob Regulation on Issuers: http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/english/laws/reg11971e.htm	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VIII.	Strengthening deposit	insurance			
	1		Jurisdictions should describe any revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 thematic peer review report on deposit insurance systems: • Adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system (for those jurisdictions that do not have one) • Addressing the weaknesses and gaps to full implementation of the Core Principles for Effective Deposit	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: 8 March 2016	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			Insurance Systems issued by IADI in November 2014.	Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The transposition process of the EU directive on Deposit Guarantee Schemes (DGSD) has been completed with the Legislative Decree No. 30/2016 enacted in March 2016. With respect to the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				previous legislative framework, a level	
				of coverage of 100,000 euro per depositor	
				has been confirmed; the main change is	
				the adoption of ex ante funding equal to	
				0.8% of covered deposits. In addition, the	
				transposition law of Directive on	
				Recovery and Resolution of credit	
				institutions (BRRD), enacted in	
				November 2015, has introduced depositor	
				preference; that is, the DGS subrogating	
				to reimbursed depositors has a	
				preferential ranking in insolvency	
				proceedings.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				The transposition process of the EU	
				directive on Deposit Guarantee Schemes	
				(DGSD) has been completed with the	
				Legislative Decree No. 30/2016 being	
				enacted in March 2016. In addition, the	
				transposition law of the Directive on	
				Recovery and Resolution of credit	
				institutions (BRRD), enacted in	
				November 2015, has introduced depositor	
				preference; that is, the DGS subrogating	
				to reimbursed depositors has a	
				preferential ranking in insolvency	
				proceedings.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				wed-miks to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IX.	Safeguarding the integ	rity and efficiency of financial markets	S		
19 (20)	Enhancing market integrity and efficiency	We must ensure that markets serve efficient allocation of investments and savings in our economies and do not pose risks to financial stability. To this end, we commit to implement initial recommendations by IOSCO on market integrity and efficiency, including measures to address the risks posed by high frequency trading and dark liquidity, and call for further work by mid-2012. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should indicate whether high frequency trading and dark pools exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendations: • in relation to dark liquidity, as set out in the IOSCO Report on Principles for Dark Liquidity (May 2011). • on the impact of technological change in the IOSCO Report on Regulatory Issues Raised by the Impact of Technological Changes on Market Integrity and Efficiency (Oct 2011). • on market structure made in the IOSCO Report on Regulatory issues raised by changes in market structure (Dec 2013).	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 1999, with the entry into force of the Consolidated Law on Finance. However, further measures in this regard have been introduced with the implementation in Italy of Directive 2004/39/EC and Directive 2006/3/EC in 2007 and in 2012/2013, with the implementation into the Italian legal framework of the ESMA Guidelines on Automated Trading and of Regulation (EU) no. 648/2012 (EMIR). Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: See response by the EU Commission. Moreover, the implementation/transposition into the national legal framework of the new provisions of MAR/MAD and MiFIR/MiFID2 is already underway. See also EU Commission response. Web-links to relevant documents:
				☑ Regulation /Guidelines☑ Other actions (such as supervisory	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	_			actions), please specify:	
				Ongoing supervision and Consob	
				Resolution on ESMA Guidelines on	
				automated trading.	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/regulation/guideline:	
				Trade matching and execution algorithm	
				of automated trading systems are laid	
				down in market and trading systems	
				rules. Consob (and the Ministry for	
				Economy and Finance, after consulting	
				the Bank of Italy and Consob, for	
				wholesale markets in government	
				securities), shall approve any amendment	
				to market rules. Market microstructure	
				and trade matching/execution systems are	
				continuously monitored through the	
				supervised activity carried out by Consob	
				(and the Bank of Italy for wholesale	
				markets in government securities), on	
				orderly conduct of trading. Ad hoc	
				reviews are also carried out where	
				specific changes in the market	
				microstructure are implemented by	
				market operators. In particular, Consob	
				supervises regulated markets and trading	
				systems on a real time basis with the aim	
				of ensuring transparency of the market,	
				orderly conduct of trading and investors	
				protection. Consob is the competent	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				authority for market abuse investigations.	
				RMs and MTFs operators are required to	
				monitor transactions executed by market	
				participants through their trading	
				facilities to identify any infringement of	
				the rules adopted by the market operator,	
				abnormal trading terms or conducts	
				classifiable as market abuse. In this	
				respect, Consob: a) has access to real	
				time data on trading activity is aware in	
				real time of any issues arising from the	
				functioning of the trading system; b)	
				directly participate to test activities	
				performed by Borsa Italiana when new	
				functionalities are introduced or updated.	
				On December 22, 2011, ESMA issued	
				Guidelines on systems and controls in an	
				automated trading environment for	
				trading platforms, investment firms and	
				competent authorities. The Guidelines	
				have been issued by ESMA under Article	
				16 of ESMA Regulation (Regulation no.	
				1095/2010). The Italian version of the	
				official ESMA document was published	
				in April 2012. The above-mentioned	
				ESMA's Guidelines are aimed at	
				ensuring a standardised, uniform,	
				coherent application of European Union	
				provisions to systems and controls	
				established for trading platforms and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				investment companies in an automated	
				trading environment, also in relation to	
				the possibility of enjoying direct access	
				or sponsored access to the market. On	
				April 4, 2012 Consob issued a specific	
				Resolution (no. DME/120270714)	
				addressed to the Italian operators of	
				regulated markets and MTFs requesting	
				them to comply with the said ESMA	
				Guidelines from May 1, 2012 and to	
				transmit a self-assessment to Consob by	
				July 1, 2012. As regards the operators of	
				wholesale markets and MTFs in	
				government securities, the Bank of Italy	
				requested them to comply with ESMA	
				Guidelines with a communication on	
				April 30, 2012 and with the Bank of Italy	
				Supervisory Instructions of August 28,	
				2012. Moreover, on April 30, 2012, the	
				Bank of Italy and Consob have published	
				a joint communication in relation to the	
				systems and controls in an automated	
				environment for intermediaries, in	
				implementation of the said Guidelines.	
				The Guidelines fall under the scope of	
				the provisions of the Bank of Italy and	
				Consob Regulation on organization and	
				procedures of intermediaries providing	
				investment services or collective	
				investment management services. With	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				regard to the risks posed by dark trading	
				(i.e. dark pools, as markets where there is	
				no pre-trade transparency), it is noted that	
				waivers to pre-trade transparency	
				requirements are strictly regulated at EU	
				level. In addition, as required by the EU	
				legislation, each and every use of a	
				waiver by regulated markets and MTF	
				operators need to be previously	
				authorised by Consob. The market	
				microstructure (including the types of	
				orders) is set out in the rules adopted by	
				regulated markets and MTFs operators,	
				respectively approved and verified by	
				Consob or by the Ministry for Economy	
				and Finance for wholesale markets in	
				government securities, after consulting	
				the Bank of Italy and Consob.	
				Information on dark trading and dark	
				orders is included in the data set provided	
				to the regulators.	
				In addition, further transparency in OTC	
				derivative markets is being pursued by	
				Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 of the	
				European Parliament and of the Council	
				of 4 July 2012 on OTC derivatives,	
				central counterparties (CCPs) and trade	
				repositories (TRs) (EMIR), which is	
				directly applicable in Italy. In fact, the	
				main obligations under EMIR are: (i)	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Central Clearing for certain classes of	
				OTC derivatives; (ii) Application of risk	
				mitigation techniques for non-centrally	
				cleared OTC derivatives; (iii) Reporting	
				to trade repositories; (iv) Application of	
				organisational, conduct of business and	
				prudential requirements for CCPs; (v)	
				Application of requirements for Trade	
				repositories, including the duty to make	
				certain data available to the public and	
				relevant authorities. However, it is noted	
				that the above-mentioned regulatory	
				regime will be further strengthened with	
				the entry into force and transposition into	
				the national legal framework of the new	
				rules envisaged under MiFID2/MiFIR	
				package. In particular, such rules aim at	
				increasing transparency (among others,	
				enhanced pre- and post-trade	
				transparency requirements are	
				introduced), as well as they incorporate	
				the provisions of the above-mentioned	
				ESMA Guidelines on automated trading.	
				As regards the Market Abuse Regulation	
				(MAR) and subsequent implementing	
				measures, see EU Commission response.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				As mentioned above, the new rules under	
				MAR/MAD and MiFID2/MiFIR are in	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the process of being	
				transposed/implemented in Italy.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				ESMA's Guidelines on systems and controls in an automated trading environment for trading platforms, investment firms and competent authorities: http://www.esma.europa.eu/system/files/esma_2012_122_en.pdf Consob Resolution no. DME/120270714 of April 4, 2012: http://www.consob.it/main/documenti/bol lettino2012/c12027074.htm?hkeywords=comunicazione&docid=3&page=0&hits=11 Consob and the Bank of Italy Resolution of April 30, 2012: http://www.consob.it/main/regolamentazione/esma_documenti/index.html See also EU Commission response.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 20 (21)	Description Regulation and supervision of commodity markets	We need to ensure enhanced market transparency, both on cash and financial commodity markets, including OTC, and achieve appropriate regulation and supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management powers, including the power to set exante position limits, particularly in the delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012. (Cannes)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate whether commodity markets of any type exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on Principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011). Jurisdictions, in responding to this recommendation, may also make use of the responses contained in the update to the survey published by IOSCO in September 2014 on the principles for the regulation and supervision of commodity derivatives markets.	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 2007, with the introduction of article 66-bis into the Italian Consolidated Law on Finance and the implementation of Directive 2004/39/EC (MiFID) and Directive 2006/3/EC on market abuse.	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: See also response by the EU Commission. Web-links to relevant documents:
		We also call on Finance ministers to monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO's principles for the regulation and supervision on commodity derivatives markets and encourage broader publishing and unrestricted access to aggregated open interest data. (St. Petersburg)		 Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: MoUs. Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Legislative Decree no. 58/1998 provides 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				for some specific rules applying to energy	
				and gas derivative markets. In particular,	
				article 66-bis of the Consolidated Law on	
				Finance widens the scope of the	
				provisions of Chapter I, Part III, Title I	
				of the Decree, concerning regulated	
				markets (about, for instance, market	
				rules, authorization, recording of	
				transactions, clearing, guarantee and	
				settlement systems, supervision), also to	
				regulated markets for the trading of	
				electricity and gas derivatives and to	
				companies operating such markets.	
				Moreover, article 66-bis sets the	
				conditions for the coordination of	
				competences, roles and functions of	
				Consob (supervising both trades in	
				energy derivatives and the relevant	
				market operator, Borsa Italiana S.p.A.)	
				and the Authority for Electricity and Gas	
				(supervisor of the energy spot markets)	
				and requires the stipulation of special	
				memoranda of understanding. The	
				Autorità per l'energia elettrica e il gas	
				(AEEG - Authority for Electricity and	
				Gas) is the competent authority for the	
				supervision of the underlying energy	
				market, where the reference price for the	
				financial futures contracts is determined.	
				Consob and AEEG signed the required	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				MoU in 2008 for the exchange of the	
				relevant information between the two	
				authorities. The MoU provides for the	
				establishment of a Technical Committee	
				and a Contact Body intended to manage	
				the exchange of information between the	
				two authorities. More in details, Consob	
				and the Authority for Electricity and Gas	
				shall provide to each other mutual	
				assistance and cooperation, including by	
				means of exchange of information. This	
				is without prejudice to the jurisdictions of	
				Consob and the Authority for Electricity	
				and Gas on, respectively, commodity	
				derivatives and on spot markets.	
				According to the aforementioned article,	
				Consob is under a duty to: (i) agree with	
				the Authority for Electricity and Gas on	
				the recognition of foreign energy	
				derivatives markets, the authorisation of	
				Italian enforcement actions against the	
				energy derivatives market operators, the	
				authorisation of relevant clearing and	
				settlement systems, and urgency actions	
				in order to ensure market integrity and	
				transparency, whereas energy derivatives	
				market operators fail to act; (ii) consult	
				the Authority for Electricity and Gas	
				before issuing regulation and resolutions	
				on admission, suspension and exclusion	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				of energy derivatives. The approach	
				followed considers the role of Consob in	
				pursuing transparency, the orderly	
				conduct of trading and investor	
				protection, and the competence of the	
				Authority for Electricity and Gas for the	
				stability and the competitiveness of	
				electricity and gas markets, as well as for	
				the safety and the good functioning of	
				national electricity and gas distribution	
				networks. Furthermore, it is worth	
				mentioning also article 42 of Consob	
				Regulation no. 16191 of 29 October	
				2007, implementing the provisions on	
				markets of the Consolidated Law on	
				Finance (hereinafter, Consob Regulation	
				on Markets). The above-mentioned article	
				provides for a specific definition of inside	
				information in relation to derivatives on	
				commodities, which is the "information	
				directly or indirectly related to one or	
				more derivatives on commodities that	
				users of the market on which such	
				instruments are traded expect to receive,	
				in compliance with market practices"	
				[]: a) routinely made available to the	
				users of those markets, or b) required to	
				be disclosed in accordance with legal or	
				regulatory provisions, market rules,	
				contracts or customs on the relevant	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				market or markets for the underlying	
				commodities".	
				Moreover, the above-mentioned Article	
				66-bis provides that Articles 64 and 74 of	
				the Consolidated Law on Finance shall	
				apply also to energy and gas derivatives	
				markets. Pursuant to Art. 64 of the Italian	
				Consolidated Law on Finance, the	
				companies authorized to manage a	
				regulated market should adopt all the	
				measures required for the efficient	
				operation of the market, and: a) arrange	
				and maintain effective devices and	
				procedures for the control and observance	
				of the regulation; b) adopt all the	
				provisions and measures required to	
				prevent and identify insider trading and	
				market manipulation; c) admit, exclude	
				and suspend financial instruments and	
				market participants to and from trading	
				and immediately inform Consob about	
				the decisions taken. According to Article	
				74, Consob shall supervise regulated	
				markets and may adopt any measure in	
				order to ensure the transparency of the	
				market, the orderly conduct of trading	
				and the protection of investors. Moreover,	
				Consob may require the operator of the	
				regulated market to communicate data	
				and information and to transmit	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				documents and records on a periodic or	
				other basis in the manner and within its	
				established time limits. Consob may also	
				carry out inspections of the above-	
				mentioned operators and require the	
				exhibition of documents and the adoption	
				of measures deemed necessary. In cases	
				of necessity and as a matter of urgency	
				Consob shall adopt the measures needed	
				and may decide to act in the place of the	
				regulated market management company.	
				Concerning market abuse, Consob may	
				exercise additional powers pursuant to	
				article 187-octies of the Consolidated	
				Law on Finance. In particular, Consob	
				may in relation to any person who could	
				be acquainted with the facts: a) require	
				information, data or documents in any	
				form whatsoever, establishing the time	
				limits for receipt thereof; b) require	
				existing telephone records, establishing	
				the time limits for receipt thereof, after	
				the authorisation by the Public Prosecutor	
				where they involve persons other than	
				entities subject to Consob supervision; c)	
				conduct personal hearings; d) seize	
				property that may be confiscated, after	
				the authorisation by the Public	
				Prosecutor; e) carry out inspections, after	
				the authorisation by the Public Prosecutor	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				where they involve persons other than	
				entities subject to Consob supervision; f)	
				conduct searches within the limits	
				provided by law and after the	
				authorisation by the Public Prosecutor.	
				Consob may further: a) avail itself of the	
				cooperation of governmental bodies,	
				requiring to be provided with data and	
				information and access the information	
				system of the tax records database; b) ask	
				the provider for traffic records, after the	
				authorisation by the Public Prosecutor; c)	
				require the communication of personal	
				data, after the authorisation by the Public	
				Prosecutor where they involve persons	
				other than entities subject to Consob	
				supervision; d) avail itself, where	
				necessary, of the information contained in	
				the of accounts and deposits; e) gain	
				direct access, through a dedicated	
				electronic connection, to the data	
				contained in the Bank of Italy's Central	
				Credit Register; e-bis) make use where	
				necessary, also through an electronic	
				connection, of data contained in the	
				special section of the tax records system.	
				Where there are grounds for suspecting	
				cases of market abuse, Consob may	
				impose to cease the relevant conduct as a	
				precautionary measure. As mentioned	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				above, further transparency is also	
				ensured under the EMIR regime.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				The above-mentioned framework is going	
				to be enhanced due to the	
				transposition/implementation of the new	
				rules under the MiFID II package and	
				MAR, which is ongoing. For details on	
				the new rules, see EU Commission	
				response.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				CONSOLIDATED LAW ON FINANCE: http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/english/laws/fr_decree58_1998.htm#Article_66 As regards the MiFID2 package and MAR and subsequent implementing measures, see EU Commission response. MoU between, Consob and the Authority for Electricity and Gas (in Italian): http://www.consob.it/main/consob/cosa_f a/cooperazione/protocollo_consob_energiagas_20080806.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
21	Reform of financial	We support the establishment of the	Collection of information on this		
(22)	benchmarks	FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to	recommendation will continue to be		
(22)		coordinate work on the necessary reforms	deferred given the forthcoming FSB		
		of financial benchmarks. We endorse	progress report on implementation of		
		IOSCO's Principles for Financial	FSB recommendations in this area, and		
		Benchmarks and look forward to reform	ongoing IOSCO work to review the		
		as necessary of the benchmarks used	implementation of the IOSCO Principles		
		internationally in the banking industry	for Financial Benchmarks.		
		and financial markets, consistent with the			
		IOSCO Principles. (St. Petersburg)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
X.	Enhancing financial co	onsumer protection			
22 (23)	Enhancing financial consumer protection	We agree that integration of financial consumer protection policies into regulatory and supervisory frameworks contributes to strengthening financial stability, endorse the FSB report on consumer finance protection and the high level principles on financial consumer protection prepared by the OECD together with the FSB. We will pursue the full application of these principles in our jurisdictions. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD's G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection (Oct 2011). Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD's September 2013 and September 2014 reports on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High-level Principles. The effective approaches are of interest across all financial services sectors – banking and credit; securities; insurance and pensions – and consideration should be given to their cross-sectoral character when considering implementation. Jurisdictions should, where necessary, indicate any changes or additions that have been introduced as a way to support the implementation of the High-level Principles, to address particular national terminology, situations or determinations.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: July 2009 (with the "Regulation on transparency in banking services and conduct rules in the relationship between intermediaries and clients"), as amended in July 2015. For the securities sector: 1999, with the entry into force of the Consolidated Law on Finance. However, a number of measures have been adopted afterwards and in the recent years to further strengthen the principles already contained under the Consolidated Law on Finance of 1998, as, for instance, the adoption of the Communications on illiquid products and on complex products, the protocol with Bank of Italy, IVASS and Covip and the Financial Ombudsman and the development of the Investor Charter.	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Italy is compliant with the G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection. Enhancement of the consumer protection framework is expected in 2016 as a result of the implementation of EU directives on mortgage credit and payment accounts, as well as of the new rules under MiFID2/MiFIR and PRIIPs Regulation. See also response under EU Commission. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Issue is being addressed through:	
				☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Letters addressed to all supervised	
				financial intermediaries addressing	
				specific issues detected on the market;	
				on-site inspections; exercise of	
				enforcement powers; financial education	
				initiatives; MoUs with relevant	
				authorities and institutions.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	
				The Italian legislation on financial	
				consumer protection is fully aligned with	
				the OECD/G20 principles high level	
				principles on financial consumer	
				protection. Banking sector: In the field of	
				banking services (i.e. deposits, accounts,	
				payment services, loans) the overall legal	
				framework covers all aspects of consumer	
				protection and is fully consistent with the	
				G20-OECD. The Bank of Italy oversees	
				the transparency and correctness of	
				relations between intermediaries and their	
				customers. The Bank of Italy carries out	
				regular controls on banks and credit	
				intermediaries to verify the respect of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				rules on disclosure, transparency and	
				business conduct; controls on authorized	
				agents are carried out by an ad hoc	
				control body, the OAM (Organismo degli	
				agenti e dei mediatori), which is overseen	
				by the Bank of Italy. Yearly controls are	
				planned on the basis of information	
				acquired from the monitoring of	
				intermediary-customer relations and they	
				are carried out according to criteria and	
				methods calibrated to the characteristics	
				of the firms concerned (banks and other	
				credit intermediaries). The information	
				include, among others, the data obtained	
				from checks on transparency, the	
				outcomes of complaints to Bank of Italy	
				and Arbitro Bancario Finanziario (the	
				Italian ADR) and any other fact and	
				circumstances described in reports	
				received by the other supervisory areas of	
				the Bank of Italy. Controls on	
				intermediaries verify both compliance	
				with transparency rules and the	
				organizational arrangements to guarantee	
				the substantial fairness of relations with	
				customers. Financial education:	
				According to the G20 High-level	
				Principles on Financial Consumer	
				Protection, Bank of Italy considers	
				financial education as part of its	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				responsibilities in the wider context of	
				ensuring financial stability and consumer	
				protection. As to the information	
				provided to the general public the Bank	
				of Italy's website features a financial	
				education section aimed at persons	
				interested in developing their economic	
				and financial knowledge and at those	
				who, as savers and users of banking	
				services, wish to enhance their financial	
				culture. The section provides information	
				on the main banking issues and discusses	
				banking, economic and financial matters	
				in simple language. In 2007, based on a	
				Memorandum of Understanding, the	
				Bank of Italy and the Ministry of	
				Education, University and Research	
				started cooperating to run an	
				experimental program aimed at	
				incorporating financial education into	
				school curricula for all school levels, as a	
				part of various subjects. This school year	
				(2015-2016) we are running the project	
				for the 8th time. Insurance sector IVASS	
				implemented the EIOPA guidelines on	
				complaints handling by insurance	
				undertakings through amendments to the	
				IVASS Regulation n. 24. IVASS is in the	
				process to implement the EIOPA	
				guidelines on complaints handling by	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				insurance intermediaries providing a	
				proportionate regime which takes into	
				account the different kind of	
				intermediaries operating in the market. At	
				EIOPA level, an on-going work is also	
				carried out in respect to the Insurance	
				Distribution Directive (IDD). The IDD	
				seeks a level playing field between	
				participants in insurance sales in order to	
				improve consumer protection, market	
				integration and competition. It applies to	
				all distribution channels (intermediaries	
				and direct sellers) including on an	
				ancillary basis non-insurance	
				professionals. The IDD entered into force	
				on 22 February 2016. Its provisions must	
				be given legal force in each Member	
				State within the two years following.	
				Therefore IDD is to be implemented by	
				23 February 2018. Following the	
				empowerment by the European	
				Commission to EIOPA to advice on	
				technical aspects, currently IVASS is	
				heavily involved at EIOPA level in the	
				preparation of the EIOPA Technical	
				Advices to the Commission on the	
				Insurance Distribution Directive, with	
				specific regards to the distribution of	
				insurance-based investment products and	
				the Product Oversight an Governance	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				arrangements	
				Securities sector:Consob has	
				responsibility for transparency and proper	
				conduct of business by intermediaries; the	
				orderly functioning of regulated markets;	
				the protection of investors; and the	
				efficiency and transparency of the market	
				in corporate control and the capital	
				market. The rules of conduct applicable	
				in the provision of investment services	
				are set forth in Legislative Decree no.	
				58/1998 and Consob Regulation	
				16190/2007. In order to limit regulatory	
				and product arbitrage and enhance	
				investor protection in relation to products	
				more difficult to understand, in 2005 the	
				same financial instruments related	
				distribution and disclosure rules were	
				applied horizontally also to financial	
				products issued or distributed by banks	
				and insurance undertakings. In particular,	
				according to article 23 of Legislative	
				Decree no. 58/1998 investment firms are	
				required to enter into written contracts	
				with retail clients for the provision of	
				investment services or non-core services,	
				except for the provision of advisory	
				services. A copy of this contract is given	
				to the customer. Details of what is	
				required in these contracts are set out in	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Article 37 of Consob Regulation	
				16190/2007 and additional requirements	
				for portfolio management services in	
				Article 38. Among other things, the	
				contract must contain details of the	
				services to be provided and fees.	
				Moreover, articles 27-32 of Consob	
				Regulation require intermediaries to	
				provide information to retail clients and	
				set out the details of the information	
				required. Intermediaries are also required	
				to provide on a periodic basis detailed	
				information to clients about: (i) the	
				receipt, transmission and execution of	
				orders and fees applying; (ii) portfolio	
				management services. As regards	
				marketing material, advertising shall be	
				clearly recognizable as such. The	
				information contained in the	
				advertisement must be accurate and not	
				be misleading about the features, nature	
				and risks of the financial products offered	
				and the related investment. Moreover, the	
				scope of application of prospectus related	
				requirements was extended to any offer	
				of financial products to the public. The	
				principle to act honestly, fairly and	
				professionally in accordance with the best	
				interests of the clients/collective	
				investment schemes and the provisions on	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				conflict of interest have been confirmed	
				by the recent regulatory initiatives at EU	
				level and accordingly	
				transposed/implemented in Italy. In 2009,	
				Consob issued a communication on the	
				distribution of illiquid assets to retail	
				investors providing recommendations to	
				intermediaries on how they are expected	
				to comply with Italian legal provisions	
				implementing MiFID in relation to the	
				distribution of illiquid financial products	
				to retail clients. The aim is to ensure that	
				adequate processes are put in place by	
				intermediaries to prevent mis-selling of	
				financial products which do not have an	
				active secondary market or are	
				particularly complex. In line with this	
				approach, more recently, Consob has	
				published a Communication for	
				intermediaries on the subject of	
				distribution of complex financial products	
				to retail customers with the objective to	
				raise the level of protection in favour of	
				the least aware and therefore weakest and	
				most vulnerable component of the	
				market. Furthermore, it is fair to	
				underline that Consob's strategic	
				objectives include investor education and	
				a special section of its website is devoted	
				to the topic. In 2010 Consob also signed a	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				protocol of understanding with the BI,	
				COVIP, ISVAP (now IVASS) and the	
				Competition and Market Ombudsperson	
				to promote and create joint initiatives on	
				the investor protection, to strengthen the	
				existing reciprocal cooperation tools and	
				to coordinate future activities. In this	
				regard, in 2013, Consob has reinforced its	
				relationships with Investors Association,	
				by the identification of the key points of a	
				proposed "Investor's Charter", aimed at	
				providing investors concrete operational	
				tools to raise awareness of the investors'	
				rights	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				The European Directive on alternative	
				dispute resolution for consumers	
				(2013/11/UE) was transposed into	
				national law by Legislative Decree	
				130/2015: as regards the Banking and	
				Financial Ombudsman (Arbitro Bancario	
				Finanziario - ABF), the role of national	
				competent authority is assigned to the	
				Bank of Italy (BI). The customer can	
				recourse to the ABF without legal	
				assistance and at an extremely modest fee	
				assistance and at an extremely modest rec $(\varepsilon 20)$, which is reimbursed by the	
				intermediary if the decision is in the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				customer's favour. The ABF examines	
				the complaint in the light of the	
				documents presented by the parties:	
				decisions (that are not legally binding)	
				are taken on individual cases according to	
				law. If an intermediary refuses to comply	
				with a decision, notice of its	
				noncompliance is published on the ABF's	
				website. The outcomes of the ABF's	
				proceedings constitute a significant	
				contribution to supervisory activity. An	
				account of the activity undertaken by the	
				ABF is given in the Annual Report,	
				which includes data on complaints.	
				During 2015, the BI disposed controls on	
				over 200 branches, covering more than a	
				hundred financial intermediaries. The	
				main anomalies detected concerned	
				unilateral modifications of contracts'	
				terms and conditions, misalignment of	
				pre-contractual and contractual	
				documentations in use, application of	
				detrimental conditions in respect of those	
				advertised or included in the contract,	
				application of fees and charges in breach	
				of certain legislative and regulatory	
				provisions, inadequate organizational	
				arrangements, dysfunctional internal	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				procedures. More than eighty financial	
				intermediaries were invited to enhance	
				their compliance with the relevant	
				provisions on transparency and fairness,	
				and to adopt corrective actions and	
				appropriate measures to tackle the	
				detected issues. In addition, firms'	
				internal procedures for complaints	
				handling were subject to in-depth	
				scrutiny. As a result of this scrutiny, the	
				BI issued guidelines to the system,	
				illustrating best practices and criticalities	
				detected. Due to amendments to the	
				relevant primary legislation, an updated	
				version of the "Regulation on	
				transparency in banking services and	
				conduct rules in the relationship between	
				intermediaries and clients" entered into	
				force in October 2015. Following the	
				cooperation agreement established	
				between the BI and the AGCM	
				(Antitrust) aimed at improving consumer	
				protection through coordination and	
				information exchange among the two	
				authorities, the BI delivered several	
				opinions on cases handled by the AGCM	
				and referring to investigation on suspect	
				commercial practices by financial	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				intermediaries. Finally, the BI and the	
				IVASS have published a common	
				statement on the specific cross-sectoral	
				issue of combined insurance-loan sales.	
				Additionally, in cooperation with BI, a	
				letter to undertakings and intermediaries	
				was issued to increase the level of	
				consumer protection when Policies are	
				linked to loans. This results in a revision	
				of the policy and the selling techniques in	
				order to ensure that the characteristics of	
				the product fit with the risks coverage	
				needs of consumers and that the	
				distribution is carried out fairly and	
				professionally in accordance with the best	
				interests of the customers. Financial	
				education: Since the fall 2015 the BI is	
				actively working with other authorities on	
				developing a national strategy to realize a	
				more coherent, effective and independent	
				offer of financial education at country	
				level. As part of this project, together	
				with other partners, we have launched a	
				survey on the financial education	
				initiatives currently offered in Italy.	
				Furthermore, at the national level again,	
				the BI signed, with almost all major	
				financial and economic institutions, a	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	_			MOU Charter of Intent on "Financial	
				education as a tool of growth and social	
				development" (for more information, see	
				the complete survey)	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigilan za/avvisi-pub/relazioni-int-clienti/index.html?com.dotmarketing.htm lpage.language=1; http://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigilan za/avvisi-pub/relazioni-int-clienti/tutela-trasparenza/PPI-misure-tutela-clienti.pdf; http://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigilan za/avvisi-pub/relazioni-int-clienti/tutela-trasparenza/PPI20.pdf; http://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigilan za/normativa/archivio-norme/disposizioni/trasparenza_operazio ni/Provvedimento.pdf; http://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigilan za/normativa/archivio-norme/disposizioni/trasparenza_operazio ni/Disposizioni_trasparenza_operazio ni/Disposizioni_trasparenza_operazio ni/Disposizioni_trasparenza_operazio ni/FAQ.pdf; http://www.bancaditalia.it/compiti/vigilan za/normativa/archivio-norme/disposizioni/trasparenza_operazio ni/FAQ.pdf; https://www.arbitrobancariofinanziario.it/pubblicazioni/relazioniAnnuali/enrelazione-ABF-2014.pdf. http://www.ivass.it/ivass_cms/docs/F158 47/PPI20.pdf (in Italian only) http://www.ivass.it/ivass_cms/docs/F511 7/PPI_Misure%20a%20tutela%20dei%20 clienti_ENG.pdf https://eiopa.europa.eu/Publications/Guid	
				elines/EIOPA_Complaints_Handling_GL_EN.PDF#search=EIOPA%20complaints	
				%20handling%20gl	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://www.ivass.it/ivass/imprese_jsp/Pag eEnglishDocument.jsp https://eiopa.europa.eu/Publications/Guid elines/GLs_Complaints_Handling_IInter mediaries_Original_EN.pdf#search=GLs %20Complaints%20Handling%20IInterm ediaries%20Original%20EN http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/english/laws/fr_decree58_1998.htm http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/english/laws/reg16190e.htm Consob Communication of December 22, 2014 http://www.consob.it/mainen/documenti/english/en_newsletter/2015/year_21_n-26_6_july_2015.html#news5 Consob website's section on financial education: http://www.consob.it/mainen/target/investors/education/index.html As regards MiFID II package and PRIIPS Regulation and subsequent implementing measures, see EU Commission response	



Italy



XI. Source of recommendations:

Brisbane: G20 Leaders' Communique (15-16 November 2014)

St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)

Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)

Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)

Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)

Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)

Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)

London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)

Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)

FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)

FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)

FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)

FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)

XII. <u>List of Abbreviations used:</u>

Index of Abbreviations AIF: Alternative Investment Fund AIFMD: Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive BI: Bank of Italy BI-ICAS: Bank of Italy - In-House Credit Assessment System CCP: Central Counterparty Clearing House CCyB: Countercyclical Capital Buffer COREP: Common Reporting COVIP: Italian Pension Fund Regulatory Authority CRA: Credit Rating Agencies CRD IV: Capital Requirements Directive CRR: Capital Requirements Regulation EBA: European Banking Authority EBA ITS: Implementing Technical Standards ECB: European Central Bank EMIR: European Markets Infrastructure Regulation ESRB: European Systemic Risk Board FINREP: Financial Reporting KIID: Key Investor Information Document MIFID: Markets in Financial Instruments Directive MIFIR: Markets in Financial Instruments Regulation NAV: Net Asset Value RM: Regulated Market? Pag. 106 SFT: Securities Financing Transactions SRB: Single

Resolution Board? PAg. 67 SSM: Single Supervisory Mechanism UCITS: Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities