



Recommendations to Promote Alignment and Interoperability Across Data Frameworks Related to Cross-border Payments: Consultation report

Response to Consultation

EBA CLEARING

General

1. **Is the proposed scope of the recommendations appropriate for addressing frictions arising from data frameworks in cross-border payments?**

-

2. **What, if any, additional issues related to data frameworks in cross-border payments, beyond those identified in the consultative report, should be addressed to help achieve the G20 Roadmap objectives for faster, cheaper, more accessible and more transparent cross-border payments?**

-

3. **Is the proposed role of the Forum (i.e. coordinating implementation work for the final recommendations and addressing existing and newly emerging issues) appropriate?**

-

Section 1: Addressing uncertainty about how to balance regulatory and supervisory obligations

4. **Discussions with industry stakeholders highlighted some uncertainties about how to balance AML/CFT data requirements and data privacy and protection rules. Do you experience similar difficulties with other types of “data frameworks” that could be addressed by the Forum? If so, please specify.**

-

5. **What are your suggestions about how the Forum, if established, should address uncertainties about how to balance regulatory and supervisory obligations?**

-

- 6. Are the recommendations sufficiently flexible to accommodate different approaches to implementation while achieving the stated objectives?**

-

Section 2: Promoting the alignment and interoperability of regulatory and data requirements related to cross-border payments

- 7. The FSB and CPMI have looked to increase adoption of standardised legal entity identifiers and harmonised ISO 20022 requirements for enhancing cross-border payments. Are there any additional recommendation/policy incentives that should be considered to encourage increased adoption of standardised legal entity identifiers and the CPMI's harmonised ISO 20022 data requirements?**

EBA CLEARING agrees that national authorities should support the uptake of the ISO 20022 messaging standard.

However, rather than national authorities enforcing a specific version of the standard, it is more effective to leverage the work of existing consultation bodies, such as CBPR+, IP+ and HVPS+. In light of the significant resources and technical effort required by all PSPs to upgrade to a different version of ISO 20022, any such changes should be first consulted with the industry via these consultation bodies, to ensure that the discussion properly weighs the advantages and disadvantages of any proposed upgrade.

- 8. Recommendation 4 calls for the consistent implementation of AML/CFT data requirements, on the basis of the FATF standards (FATF Recommendation 16 in particular) and related guidance. It also calls for the use of global data standards if and when national authorities are requiring additional information. Do you have any additional suggestions on AML/CFT data-related issues? If so, please specify.**

-

- 9. Industry feedback highlights that uneven regulatory expectations for sanctions compliance create significant frictions in cross-border payments affecting the Roadmap objectives. What actions should be considered to address this issue?**

-

- 10. Do the recommendations sufficiently balance policy objectives related to the protection of individuals' data privacy and the safety and efficiency of cross-border payments?**

-

Section 3: Mitigating restrictions on the flow of data related to payments across borders

- 11. The FSB understands that fraud is an increasing challenge in cross-border payments. Do the recommendations sufficiently support the development of data transfer tools that specifically address fraud?**

-

- 12. Is there any specific sectoral- or jurisdiction-specific example that you would suggest the FSB to consider with respect to regulation of cross-border data flows?**

-

Section 4: Reducing barriers to innovation

- 13. How can the public sector best promote innovation in data-sharing technologies to facilitate the reduction of related frictions and contribute to meeting the targets on cross-border payments in 2027?**

-

- 14. Do you have any further feedback not captured by the questions above?**

EBA CLEARING supports Recommendation 9. National data protection frameworks should allow payment service providers to share certain information across borders, to ensure there can be effective, dynamic, and evolving fraud prevention and detection. Harmonisation across jurisdictions is also critical to ensuring that the same legal pathway exists across the jurisdictions in which the cross-border payment will be processed.