

Jurisdiction: Turkey

- I. Hedge funds
- **II. Securitisation**
- III. Enhancing supervision
- IV. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools
- V. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VI. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VII. Enhancing risk management
- VIII. Strengthening deposit insurance
- IX. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
- X. Enhancing financial consumer protection
- XI. Reference to source of recommendations
- XII. List of abbreviations



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I.	Hedge funds				
1	Registration,	We also firmly recommitted to work in	Implementation of this recommendation		
(1)	appropriate disclosures and oversight of hedge funds	an internationally consistent and non-discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul) Hedge funds or their managers will be registered and will be required to disclose appropriate information on an ongoing basis to supervisors or regulators, including on their leverage, necessary for assessment of the systemic risks they pose individually or collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London)	was reported to be completed by all FSB jurisdictions in the 2016 IMN survey. Given this, the reporting of progress with respect to this recommendation will take place every 2-3 years henceforth (i.e. in 2019 or 2020).		

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
2 (2)	Description Establishment of international information sharing framework	We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms for cooperation and information sharing between relevant authorities in order to ensure effective oversight is maintained when a fund is located in a different jurisdiction from the manager. We will, cooperating through the FSB, develop measures that implement these principles by the end of 2009. (London)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing recommendation 6 in IOSCO's Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009) on sharing information to facilitate the oversight of globally active fund managers. In addition, jurisdictions should state whether they are: - Signatory to the IOSCO MMoU in relation to cooperation in enforcement - Signatory to bilateral agreements for supervisory cooperation that cover hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO Principles Regarding Cross-border Supervisory Cooperation. Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle 28 of the 2010 IOSCO Objectives and Principles of Securities Regulation, and take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those Principles.	Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Implementation completed as of: 29.08.2013 Issue is being addressed through: Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation /Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: MOUs Short description of the content of the legislation / regulation/guideline: In Turkey, the fund itself and the manager have to be located in the same Jurisdiction.	Next steps If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment	actions), please specify: MOUs Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: In Turkey, the fund itself and the manager	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				The IOSCO MMoU concerning the cooperation and exchange of information among the member countries has been approved by all IOSCO members at the 2002 Annual Conference held in Istanbul. CMB is among the first institutions signing the IOSCO MMoU. CMB is fully cooperating with other jurisdictions in case of any information requested by other authorities or vice versa. CMB has signed MOUs with authorities of 15 European Union countries (Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Lithuania, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Romania, Slovakia, Sweden, Netherlands, United Kingdom) as well as Iceland, Norway and Liechtenstein with respect to cooperation and exchange of information for the supervision of Alternative Investment Fund Managers. In addition, The CMB has collaborative arrangements with foreign regulatory and supervisory authorities and still continues its efforts to sign MoUs concerning the cooperation and sharing of information with foreign counterparts. CMB signed MoUs with authorities from 35 countries in this regard	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Developments mentioned above are still in effect.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://cmb.gov.tr/indexpage.aspx?pageid=7 1&submenuheader=4	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3 (3)	Enhancing counterparty risk management	G20/FSB Recommendations Supervisors should require that institutions which have hedge funds as their counterparties have effective risk management, including mechanisms to monitor the funds' leverage and set limits for single counterparty exposures. (London)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate specific policy measures taken for enhancing counterparty risk management and strengthening their existing guidance on the management of exposure to leveraged counterparties. In particular, jurisdictions should indicate whether they have implemented recommendation 3 of the IOSCO Report on Hedge Fund Oversight	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and]	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		Supervisors will strengthen their existing guidance on the management of exposures to leveraged counterparties. (Rec. II.17, FSF 2008)	In their responses, jurisdictions should not provide information on the portion of this recommendation that pertains to Basel III, since it is monitored separately by the BCBS. Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle 28 of the 2010 IOSCO Objectives and Principles of Securities Regulation, and take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those Principles.	regulation/guidelines only]: □Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □Draft published as of: □Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □Implementation completed as of: 01.07.2014 Issue is being addressed through: □Primary / Secondary legislation □Regulation /Guidelines □Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Guideline for investment funds which includes hedge funds goes in detail regarding how to calculate counterparty risk, market risk methods such as VAR and risk systems and required and suggested risk calculation methods vary across different investment fund types based on how much leverage a fund is exposed.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Furthermore, there are one limits put on place regarding total counterparty risk.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				We are still monitoring the results of the new regulations.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.spk.gov.tr/displayfile.aspx?action=displayfile&pageid=1087&submenuheader=0	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps			
I	II. Securitisation							
4	Strengthening of	Insurance supervisors should strengthen	Implementation of this recommendation					
(4)	regulatory and capital	the regulatory and capital framework for	was reported to be completed by all FSB					
(4)	framework for	monoline insurers in relation to	jurisdictions in the 2016 IMN survey.					
	monolines	structured credit. (Rec II.8, FSF 2008)	Given this, the reporting of progress					
			with respect to this recommendation will					
			take place every 2-3 years henceforth					
			(i.e. in 2019 or 2020).					

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
5 (5)	Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance products. Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's report on Good Practices in Relation to Investment Managers' Due Diligence When Investing in Structured Finance Instruments (Jul 2009). Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint Forum report on Credit Risk Transfer-Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul 2008).	Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 01.07.2014 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: According to "Communiqué on Principles of Investment Funds" and "Guideline on Investment Funds" and "Guideline on Investment Funds" that came into force on 01.07.2014, all the issuers of structured products that funds invest in have to be investment-grade rated. Also funds investing in structured products have to	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:

FSB	FINANCI/ STABILIT BOARD
------------	-------------------------------

	reported daily to supervisors of risk management unit and weekly to Board of Directors of the management firm.
	Highlight main developments since last year's survey:
	Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6 (6)	Enhanced disclosure of securitised products	Securities market regulators should work with market participants to expand information on securitised products and their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10-III.13, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures and other initiatives taken in relation to enhancing disclosure of securitised products, including working with industry and other authorities to continue to standardise disclosure templates and considering measures to improve the type of information that investors receive. See, for reference, IOSCO's Report on Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012), Disclosure Principles for Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-Backed Securities (Apr 2010) and report on Global Developments in Securitisation Regulations (November 2012), in particular recommendations 4 and 5.	□Not applicable □Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☑Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □Draft published as of: □Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: 09.01.2014 □Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □Primary / Secondary legislation ☑Regulation /Guidelines □Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: In order to ensure the investors are informed, fundamentals relating to disclosure of securitised products are determined specifically by Communique on Asset-Backed and Mortgage-Backed Securities (III-58.1) which was put into effect on 9.1.2014.	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: As there has been no ABS public offering in Turkey up to now and also there isn't any application and shared road map for ABS public offering, objective and qualitative information could not be obtained from the market participants in order to complete ABS prospectus standard. Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Detailed information about the parties involved in the ABS issuance or ABS itself for public offerings will be featured in the prospectus standard of ABS. CMB will continue to work on ABS prospectus standard compatible with international standards/guidelines. Indeed, the date of commencement depends critically on ABS public offering applications to CMB. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.cmb.gov.tr/apps/teblig/display teblig.aspx?id=494&ct=f&action=displayf ile	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
III.	Enhancing supervision				
7 (7)	Consistent, consolidated supervision and	All firms whose failure could pose a risk to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and	Jurisdictions should indicate: (1) whether they have identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors (banks, insurers,	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
	regulation of SIFIs	regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh)	other etc.); (2) whether the names of the identified SIFIs have been publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs.	If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: Implementation ongoing:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			Jurisdictions should not provide details on policy measures that pertain to higher	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	
			loss absorbency requirements for G/D-SIBs, since these are monitored	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
			separately by the BCBS.	☐ Draft published as of:	
			See, for reference, the following documents:	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
			BCBS:	⊠ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: 23.02.2016	
			• Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013)	☐ Implementation completed as of:	
			• Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012)	Issue is being addressed through:	
				⊠ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			IAIS:	☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
			Global Systemically Important Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul)	☑Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			2013) and revised assessment methodology (updated in June	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
			<u>2016)</u>	BRSA: A secondary regulation has been issued on 23.02.2016 regarding the	
			• <u>IAIS SRMP guidance - FINAL (Dec 2013)</u>	identification and additional capital requirements for D-SIBs in line with BCBS Framework. The D-SIBs are identified for 2017, but the D-SIB list is not disclosed to the public. On the other	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			Guidance on Liquidity management and planning (Oct 2014) FSB: Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov 2011)	hand, according to Regulation on Audits that will be conducted by the BRSA, D-SIBs are already subject to enhanced/intensified consolidated supervision. Also, while the frequency of on-site supervision for other banks depends on their size, complexity and supervision rating score, specifically D-SIBS are subject to comprehensive consolidated supervision process every year. Besides, different from other banks, D-SIBs are unconditionally obliged to fully comply with the best practices guidelines published by BRSA on various subjects while other banks are to comply with the guidelines on the basis of proportionality principle. TREASURY There is no GSII in Turkey. However, consolidated supervisions are conducted for some insurers in coordination with BRSA. CMB According to the Assessment Methodologies for Identifying NBNI GSIFIs, Turkish investment funds, asset management companies and investment firms are not considered as SIFIs. Regarding these, CMB has no action on regulation and supervision of NBNI GSIFI's. Highlight main developments since last year's survey: BRSA On-site supervision guidelines have been revised and noted in guidelines that independent of any criterion, D-SIBS are subject to comprehensive consolidated supervision process every year. Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce	
				/Mevzuat/Bankacilik_Kanununa_Iliskin_	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Duzenlemeler/14988sistemik_onemli_ba nkalar_hakkinda_yonetmelik.pdf	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
8 (8)	Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk assessments	To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London) We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory colleges. (Seoul)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of global systemically important insurers (G-SIIs). The BCBS is separately monitoring implementation progress in this area with respect to banks. Please indicate the progress made in establishing and strengthening the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIIs, including the development of any joint supervisory plans within core colleges and leveraging on supervisory activities conducted by host authorities. See, for reference, the following IAIS documents: • ICPs 24 and 25, especially guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6, 25.6, 25.7 and 25.8 • Application paper on supervisory colleges (Oct 2014)	□ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: BRSA: Turkey does not have any GSIIs □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: BRSA: Turkey does not have any GSIIs. Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents:	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
9 (9)	Supervisory exchange of information and coordination	To quicken supervisory responsiveness to developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions, supervisory exchange of information and coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved	Jurisdictions should include any feedback received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the September 2012 BCP 3 (Cooperation and collaboration) and BCP 14 (Homehost relationships). Jurisdictions should	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		at both national and international levels. (Rec V.7, FSF 2008)	also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in this area, particularly in response to relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.	justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	
		Enhance the effectiveness of core	Jurisdictions should describe any recent	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	or planned regulatory, supervisory or	☐ Draft published as of:	
			legislative changes that contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g.	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
			within supervisory colleges or via bilateral or multilateral MoUs).	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	
				⊠ Implementation completed as of: 25.02.2011 (BRSA); 30.12.2012 (CMB); 27.07.2013 (Treasury)	
				Issue is being addressed through:	
				☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
				⊠Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: MMoUs: In order to enhance supervisory exchange of information and coordination, the CMB has been signing MoUs with both international financial organizations and national authorities for the purposes of developing bilateral cooperation opportunities, carrying out cross border supervision and	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				enforcement activities effectively. The CMB is one of the first countries signing the IOSCO Multilateral MoU. Additionally, starting from the 1990s, CMB has signed bilateral MoUs with various regulatory and supervisory authorities from 35 jurisdictions.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	
				legislation/regulation/guideline: BRSA An amendment in the Banking Law Nr. 5411 concerning the professional secrecy issue is enacted as of 25.02.2011. In this context, the conflict between Turkish legislation and EU Directives has been eliminated through this amendment. This change in the Law enabled BRSA to enter into Memorandum of Understanding with EU Supervisory Authorities which enhanced the cooperation. BRSA has signed bilateral MoUs with the 36 regulatory and supervisory authorities of other jurisdictions (as of December 2016) Furthermore, the BRSA is legally authorized to cooperate with a foreign supervisory authority even in the absence of a specific agreement. Pursuant to Article 98/3 of the BL, if a MoU is not effective, the information requests of authorities of foreign countries within the context of Article can be fulfilled within the framework of the principle of reciprocity. CMB Capital Market Law promulgated in 2012, Article No: 128/d: "Cooperating in any manner in relation to capital markets and signing bilateral or multilateral memorandum of understanding in accordance with the	
				principles of reciprocity and the protection of professional confidentiality, with corresponding foreign institutions	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				that are authorized to regulate and supervise capital markets, in order to exchange information, meet requests for document, inspecting the headquarters, branch offices or subsidiaries or affiliates located in Turkey of institutions performing activities in the capital markets of foreign countries as well as in the bodies from which they outsource within the framework of a written contract and to take the necessary administrative measures, share the expenditures related to the activities to be carried out in this context" is defined within the duties of the CMB. TREASURY Regulation about supervisory exchange of information and coordination akin to ICP 5 and ICP 17. Insurance Law Article No. 29 is pertinent to information sharing and cooperation among international institutions. Article 32 of Regulation on Monitoring and Supervision Insurance and Pension Sector (amendment date: R.G. 27.07.2013 – 28720) deals with information sharing and collaboration. It enables the Treasury to cooperate with national and international monitoring and supervising authorities, to be a party to agreements on information sharing and joint supervision, and to exchange information within the legal framework of the Insurance Regulations. The Treasury is a signatory of IAIS Multilateral Memorandum of Understanding (IAIS MMoU) as of 28.03.2013. Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents: For the CMB Law: http://www.cmb.gov.tr/displayfile.aspx?a ction=displayfile&pageid=87&fn=87.pdf &submenuheader=null For The MOUs Signed by the CMB: http://cmb.gov.tr/indexpage.aspx?pageid =71&submenuheader=4	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
10 (10)	Strengthening resources and effective supervision	We agreed that supervisors should have strong and unambiguous mandates, sufficient independence to act, appropriate resources, and a full suite of	Jurisdictions should indicate any steps taken on recommendations 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 (i.e. supervisory strategy, engagement with banks, improvements in banks' IT	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		tools and powers to proactively identify and address risks, including regular stress testing and early intervention. (Seoul)	and MIS, data requests, and talent management strategy respectively) in the FSB thematic peer review report on supervisory frameworks and	If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			approaches to SIBs (May 2015).	\square Implementation ongoing:	
				Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	
		Supervisors should see that they have the requisite resources and expertise to	Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken or envisaged in terms of	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		oversee the risks associated with financial innovation and to ensure that	resources/expertise, supervisory measures and/or regulation to strengthen	☐ Draft published as of:	
		firms they supervise have the capacity to understand and manage the risks. (FSF	the oversight of risks associated with financial innovation (FinTech).	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		2008)	mancial innovation (Fin Lech).	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	
		Supervisory authorities should		⊠Implementation completed as of: 23.02.2016 (BRSA); 31.05.2014 (Treasury)	
		continually re-assess their resource		Issue is being addressed through:	
		needs; for example, interacting with and		☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		assessing Boards require particular skills, experience and adequate level of		⊠ Regulation /Guidelines	
		seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)		☑Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				BRSA: A secondary regulation has been issued on 23/02/2016 regarding the identification and additional capital requirements for D-SIBs in line with BCBS Framework. The D-SIBs are identified for 2017, but the D-SIB list is	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				not disclosed to the public. Implementation of this recommendation do not require any change in regulations/guidelines. On the other hand, according to Regulation on Audits that will be conducted by the BRSA, DSIBs are already subject to enhanced/intensified consolidated supervision according to their size, complexity and risk profile. TREASURY Insurance Early Warning System (SEUS) has been established by the Treasury. In the context of SEUS, early warning indicators of potential financial distress have been defined out of number of indicators by taking into account of correlations and weights. In addition, insurance sector specific stress testing mechanism has been developing. In the context of stress testing, while a top down approach for the assessment of an earthquake scenario and contagion risk shocks has been used, a bottom up approach is partly considered in the solvency regime for the market risk (only interest rate shock is used)There is also a catastrophic risk monitoring mechanism in place. Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce/Mevzuat/Bankacilik_Kanununa_Iliskin_Duzenlemeler/14988sistemik_onemli_bankalar_hakkinda_yonetmelik.pdf	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IV.		ing macroprudential frameworks and too			
				□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: 08.06.2011 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: In order to enhance the effectiveness of SRAG work, two subgroups are planned to be established under SRAG. Member agencies of SRAG are working on developing the protocols of these groups, one of which is planned to focus on monitoring systemic risk and other on crisis management and resolution. Web-links to relevant documents:
				 ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Supervision Agency, Capital Markets	
				Board and Saving Deposit Insurance	
				Fund under the chairmanship of Minister	
				in charge of the Treasury (currently	
				Deputy Prime Minister). The FSC	
				monitors and identifies the systemic risks	
				and determines possible necessary	
				macroprudential measures to mitigate	
				them. Building on the individual	
				institutions' powers pertaining to their	
				specific areas, the law (Law on the Structures and Duties of the	
				Undersecretariat of Treasury, No: 4059,	
				Additional Article: 4) empowers the	
				Financial Stability Committee with the	
				mandate to gather the information from	
				all relevant parties, and to coordinate and	
				monitor the policy implementations of	
				them. Also, members of the FSC have	
				the power to reach all available data from	
				their respective bodies. There are also	
				MoUs and bilateral subprotocols	
				between several authorities on	
				information sharing. Current and	
				emerging data needs are shared within	
				the principles of these protocols. The	
				peer review report of Turkey was	
				published at 19.11.2015. One of the	
				topics that had been examined is	
				macroprudential policy framework and	
				tools. The peer review team did not	
				identified any gaps in the powers to	
				collect information for the assessment of	
				the systemic risk. The Systemic Risk	
				Assessment Group (SRAG), established	
				by the FSC members in 2012, aims to	
				provide support to the FSC by	
				identifying potential systemic risk in the	
				financial system, informing the FSC	
				about potential systemic risks, and developing policies to mitigate the	
				system-wide risks	
				system-wide risks	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				While Secretariat of FSC is hosted by Undersecretariat of Treasury, BRSA was acting as Secretariat of SRAG. To strengthen effective cooperation between FSC and SRAG, SRAG protocol was modified to change the Secretariat of SRAG as Undersecretariat of Treasury. Strengthening the analytical support available to the FSC and SRAG through an integrated and enhanced Secretariat is also a suggestion by the FSAP team. FSAP report of Turkey has been published on 03.02.2017. The FSAP team did not identify any gaps in the powers to collect information for the assessment of the systemic risk. Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.treasury.gov.tr/en-US/Pages/Legislation-Duties-of-Financial-Stability-Committee	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12 (12)	Enhancing system-wide monitoring and the use of macro-prudential instruments	Authorities should use quantitative indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for supervisory purposes. Authorities should use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution-specific and at the macro-prudential (system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009) We are developing macro-prudential policy frameworks and tools to limit the build-up of risks in the financial sector, building on the ongoing work of the FSB-BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes)	Please describe at a high level (including by making reference to financial stability or other reports, where available) the types of methodologies, indicators and tools used to assess systemic risks. Please indicate the use of tools for macroprudential purposes over the past year, including: the objective for their use; the process to select, calibrate and apply them; and the approaches used to assess their effectiveness. See, for reference, the following documents: • FSB-IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011) • CGFS report on Operationalising the selection and application of	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ⊠ Implementation completed as of: Implementation of macro-prudential instruments is an ongoing process.	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: The subgroup of the SRAG that is responsible for monitoring systemic risks aims to strengthen the analytical framework of heat map that is planned to be developed into an early warning exercise. In 2017 the BRSA and CBRT stress-testing teams are planning to organize a second workshop in order to discuss their scenarios and newly incorporated techniques into their models. Web-links to relevant documents:
		Authorities should monitor substantial changes in asset prices and their implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)	 macroprudential instruments (Dec 2012) IMF staff papers on Macroprudential policy, an organizing framework (Mar 2011), Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy (Jun 2013), and Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy (Dec 2014) IMF-FSB-BIS paper on Elements of Effective Macroprudential 	Heat map has been presented to SRAG since July 2016. Issue is being addressed through: Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation /Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Heat map is a system-wide monitoring tool. Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The FSC was established in June 8, 2011. Since the end of 2010, variety of macro prudential tools have been used by	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			Policies: Lessons from International Experience (Aug 2016) CGFS report on Experiences with the ex ante appraisal of macroprudential instruments (Jul 2016) CGFS report on Objective-setting and communication of macroprudential policies (Nov 2016)	related authorities. Systemic risk is monitored and analysed by the authorities regularly in both aggregate and granular level within the scope of the duties and powers. The FSC's role is to coordinate policy actions and to better integrate micro and macroprudential perspectives among institutions. The CBRT regularly monitors the developments in the global and Turkish economy. The CBRT follows a "guided discretionary approach" considering the systemic threats to financial stability in a proactive manner. CBRT's Financial Stability Report and the BRSA's Annual Report communicate macro prudential instruments. Related authorities have been using various macro prudential tools. • Loan-to-value (LTV) ceilings on residential mortgage loans, vehicle loans; • Use of risk weights for by loan type; • Debt to Income: i.e., credit card limit must be proportional to the income of the card holder • Use of provisions by loan type; • Limits to credit card payments and number of installments; • Upper limit to consumer loan maturity • Liquidity requirements; • Measures on Extension of the Maturity of Deposits (Withdrawal before maturity, differentiation of withholding according to maturity of deposits, differentiation of Resource Utilization Support Fund (RUSF) based on Maturity); • Differentiation on Deposit Insurance System Premiums (risk based premium system); • Maturity cap for general purpose and vehicle loans; • Reserve requirements Although insurance companies in Turkey neither is described as systemically important nor pose systemic risk in the financial sector, as the UoT, we established an Insurance	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Early Warning System Model (SEUS) measuring the financial strength of companies and the sector. In addition, insurance sector specific stress testing mechanism has been developing. There is also a catastrophic risk monitoring mechanism in place.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				last year's survey: Most of these policies came into force late 2013 and had been strictly applied for 16 quarters. However, in the year of 2016, an adjustment of BRSA's macro prudential policies have been implemented with a view of addressing financial cycle without harming systemic risk concerns. In this context, LtV changed from 75% to 80% for housing loans, Provisions and risk weights are adjusted from high to standard levels, and maximum maturity of consumer loans is limited to 48 months. Risk weights for consumer loans have been lowered to be compatible with Basel standards. General provisions for consumer loans have been lowered to 1% for standard loans and to 2% for loans under close monitoring, which were 4 and 8% respectively for banks with retail loan share in total loans greater than 25% and/or with NPL ratio for retail loans excluding housing loans greater than 8%. General provisions are decreased for corporate loans which has been effective since January 2017. The	
				BRSA has increased the installment period from 9 to 12 months for cash advances and purchases of goods and	
				services made with credit cards. Relevant changes are closely monitored by offsite supervision department of	
				BRSA on a weekly basis. The SRAG	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				has developed a heat map, which is a collaborative presentation of systemic risk in Turkey. The heat map is designed to show risk accumulation in the financial sector by three major segments, namely, financial institutions, financial markets and real economy. The heat map design captures risks arising from the above three channels that are monitored and assessed by the relevant institutions. The CBRT has developed the technical framework of stress test exercise. New satellite models have been formed to provide better insight for the stress testing module. The BRSA and the CBRT have organized a joint workshop in November 2016 in order to share their stress-testing experiences. CBRT: In 2016, it was mentioned that first phase of the CBRT "Data Project" has finished in October 2014. The second phase is under way and the arrangement for the new regulation continues. After completing the second phase it is expected that the data gap will tighten and it will be possible to reach more frequent reporting of the banking and financial institutions. Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce/Mevzuat/Bankacilik_Kanununa_Iliskin_Duzenlemeler/Bankacilik_Kanununa_Iliskin_Duzenlemeler.aspx	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V.	Improving oversight of	credit rating agencies (CRAs)			
	•		Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs including registration, oversight and sharing of information between national authorities. They should also indicate their consistency with the following IOSCO document: • Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies (Mar 2015) (including on governance, training and risk management) Jurisdictions may also refer to the following IOSCO documents: • Principle 22 of Principles and Objectives of Securities Regulation (Jun 2010) which calls for registration and oversight programs for CRAs • Statement of Principles Regarding the Activities of Credit Rating Agencies (Sep 2003) • Final Report on Supervisory Colleges for Credit Rating Agencies (Jul 2013) Jurisdictions should take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC	□Not applicable □Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☑Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □Draft published as of: □Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: CMB: Original regulation in 1997, final amendment on 29.08.2013 □Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: ☑Primary / Secondary legislation □Regulation /Guidelines ☑Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: The EU Project to renew the regulations on CRAs functioning in capital markets has not been finalized due to several changes demanded in the original schedule by the EU counterpart. In this respect, the alignment of Turkish regulations with EU rules is planned to be completed in 2017. Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		appropriate, globally compatible solutions (to conflicting compliance	assessment against those principles.	CMB: Pursuant to paragraph (n) of Article 22 and Article 39 of the former Capital Markets Law, the first regulation on credit rating activities in capital	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No	Description	obligations for CRAs) as early as possible in 2010. (FSB 2009) We encourage further steps to enhance transparency and competition among credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)	Remarks	markets, which required authorization and supervision of rating agencies, was promulgated in 1997. CMB is one of the first authorities that introduced regulatory oversight regime with registration. Since that first Communiqué, the provisions of the Communiqué have been updated several times (2004, 2007, 2010 and 2013) in order to make it in compliance with 2003 IOSCO principles and 2004 IOSCO Code of Conduct as well as to reflect the changes in the financial markets. Only rating agencies established in Turkey that are qualified by CMB and international rating agencies recognized by CMB are permitted to provide rating service inTurkey. There are 6 domestic CRAs, which are under oversight of Capital Markets Board of Turkey, and 3 international CRAs authorized to rate Turkish listed companies and capital market institutions. Rating activities within the context of the Communiqué are subject to the surveillance and inspection of CMB; CMB may request any kind of information and document from rating agencies. In addition to several administrative actions that may be taken by CMB, CMB has the authority to delist the rating agency should there be a substantial change in the degree that the agency satisfies the listing criteria or should CMB detect a violation of relevant provisions of rating regulations. The last amendment in CMB's CRA communique was published in 2013 and aimed to adapt the EU-rules regarding sovereign ratings. Currently, the CMB is carrying out a project to have the CRA communique in line with EU 2009/1060 Regulation. Draft is not disclosed to public as of yet.	Next steps



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				On the other hand, it is worth to note that BRSA has its own set of rules regarding CRAs. BRSA: "Regulation on the Principles Regarding the Authorization and Activities of Rating Agencies" which mainly incorporates international best practices entered into force on 17.04.2012.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: https://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkc e/Mevzuat/Bankacilik_Kanununa_Iliskin _Duzenlemeler/10821derecelendirme_yo netmeligi.pdf http://cmb.gov.tr/apps/teblig/displaytebli g.aspx?id=236&ct=f&action=displayfile	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14 (14)	Reducing the reliance on ratings	We also endorsed the FSB's principles on reducing reliance on external credit ratings. Standard setters, market	Jurisdictions should indicate the steps they are taking to address the recommendations of the May 2014 FSB	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		participants, supervisors and central banks should not rely mechanistically on external credit ratings. (Seoul) Authorities should check that the roles	thematic peer review report on the implementation of the FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance on Credit Ratings, including by implementing	If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		that they have assigned to ratings in regulations and supervisory rules are consistent with the objectives of having investors make independent judgment of	their <u>agreed action plans</u> . Any revised action plans should be sent to the FSB Secretariat so that it can be posted on the FSB website.		
		risks and perform their own due diligence, and that they do not induce	Jurisdictions may refer to the following documents:	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of:	
		uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a substitute for that independent evaluation. (Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008)	• FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance on CRA Ratings (Oct 2010)	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		We reaffirm our commitment to reduce authorities' and financial institutions'	• FSB <u>Roadmap for Reducing</u> Reliance on CRA Ratings (Nov	⊠ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: 06.09.2014 (BRSA); 18.02.2017 (CMB)	
		reliance on external credit ratings, and call on standard setters, market	<u>2012)</u>	☐ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through:	
		participants, supervisors and central	BCBS Consultative Document	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		banks to implement the agreed FSB principles and end practices that rely	<u>Revisions to the Standardised</u> Approach for credit risk (Dec 2015)	⊠ Regulation /Guidelines	
		mechanistically on these ratings.	• IAIS <u>ICP guidance</u> 16.9 and 17.8.25	Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
		(Cannes)	• IOSCO <u>Good Practices on Reducing</u>	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		We call for accelerated progress by national authorities and standard setting bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance	Reliance on CRAs in Asset Management (Jun 2015) • IOSCO Sound Practices at Large	BRSA: As stated in the Agreed Action Plan for Reducing Reliance on CRAs, due to the nature of the local market and the BRSA regulations, practically CRA	
		on credit ratings and encourage steps that would enhance transparency of and	Intermediaries Relating to the Assessment of Creditworthiness and	ratings have no effect with regards to banking activities. BRSA follows Basel Committee to finalize its work on	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		competition among credit rating agencies. (Los Cabos) We call on national authorities and standard setting bodies to accelerate progress in reducing reliance on credit rating agencies, in accordance with the FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)	the Use of External Credit Ratings (Dec 2015).	alternative methods of risk weighting. BRSA will then develop regulations in line with new Basel standards. All banks are obliged to make their own credit assessments before extending credits and not to solely rely on any CRA rating. CRA ratings are not a replacement of internal risk assessment according to BRSA regulations. In order to incentivise market participants to develop internal risk management capabilities, BRSA published regulations on IRB and AMA on 06.09.2014. (Currently all banks are using Basel's standard approaches) BRSA has also published a detailed credit risk management guideline on 17.07.2014 in order to improve banks' internal risk assessment capabilities. CMB: Debt securities regulation TREASURY Reinsurance risk is one of the risk categories that is used to calculate the required capital of the insurance, reinsurance and pension companies. Before the amendment of the "Regulation on Measurement and Assessment of Capital Requirements of Insurance, Reinsurance and Pension Companies" on 23.08.2015, for the calculation of the reinsurance risk, the premiums ceded to the "reinsurers rated with the minimum rating by the rating agencies to be determined by the Undersecretariat" were multiplied with the risk factor of 0,03. In order to reduce the reliance on ratings, this provision was amended as follows: the premiums ceded to the "reinsurers included in the list to be published by the Undersecretariat based on the financial and technical competence criteria" shall be multiplied with the risk factor of 0,06.	

Inst year's survey: BRSA: In line with the aim of being fully compliant with the Base! farmework, during the RCAP process of Turkey, regulations on credit rating agencies, the IRB approach has been updated on 25/10/2015 and 20/01/2016. Credit Risk Management Guide has been revised on 31.03.2016. Credit Risk Management Guide has been revised on 31.03.2016. Credit Risk Management Guide has been revised on 31.03.2016. Credit Risk Management Guide has been revised on 31.03.2016. Credit Risk Management Guide has been revised on 31.03.2016. Credit Risk Management Guide has been revised by the state of the credit of the securities regulation regarding the securities regulation regarding the securities regulation regarding the securities regulation of the top-three ratings in the investment grade of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned to be required for public output of the planned for the public output of the planned for t			Highlight main developments since	
fully compliant with the Basel framework, during the RCAP process of Turkey, regulations on credit rating agencies, the IRB approach has been updated on 2510/2015 and 2001/2016. Credit Risk Managenent Guode has been updated on 2510/2015 and 2001/2016. Credit Risk Managenent Guode has been experienced to the process of t				
revised on 31.03.2016. CMB: In flast year's survey it is stated that; —The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit (iff they have been granted one of the top-three ratings in the investment grade) is planned to be removed completely, —Investment grade is planned to be removed completely,—Investment grade note is planned to be required for public offerings with the amendment that will be made on debt securities regulation. By February/2017, the amendment of communique on debt securities is approved by the Board and delivered to promulgate. Taking into consideration the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkee //Mevaua/Bankacilik Kanunnan lliskin			fully compliant with the Basel framework, during the RCAP process of Turkey, regulations on credit rating agencies, the IRB approach has been updated on 23/10/2015 and 20/01/2016.	
rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit (if they have been granted one of the top-three ratings in the investment grade) is planned to be removed completely. Investment grade note is planned to be required for public offerings with the amendment that will be made on debt securities regulation. By February/2017, the amendment of communique on debt securities is approved by the Board and delivered to promulgate. Taking into consideration the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities markets; —The rating reference used in the existing debt securities markets; —The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities regulation is regarding the insuance limit of debt securities regulation is not securities regulation. Web-limit is removed just for financial institutions. Investment grade note is not required for debt securities regulation. Web-limks to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkee /Mevzuat/Bahakacilik Kanununa Iliskin			revised on 31.03.2016. CMB: In last	
issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit (if they have been granted one of the top-three ratings in the investment grade) is planned to be removed completely Investment grade note is planned to be required for public offerings with the amendment that will be made on debt securities regulation. By February/2017, the amendment of communique on debt securities is approved by the Board and delivered to promulgate. Taking into consideration the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities made debt securities made debt securities made and debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities reabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions. Investment grade note is not required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: IRB Regulation: IRB Regulation: IRB Regulation: IRB Regulation: IRB Regulation: INITIAL SECURITIES AND			rating reference used in the existing debt	
banks and financial institutions to double their limit (if they have been granted one of the top-three ratings in the investment grade) is planned to be removed completely, - Investment grade note is planned to be required for public offerings with the amendment that will be made on debt securities regulation. By February/2017, the amendment of communique on debt securities is approved by the Board and delivered to promulgate. Taking into consideration the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities markets, - The rating reference used in the existing debt securities requlation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions. Investment grade note is not required for debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkee //Mevzut/Bankacilik Kannunna lliskin			securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling	
of the top-three ratings in the investment grade) is planned to be removed completely, - Investment grade note is planned to be required for public offerings with the amendment that will be made on debt securities regulation. By February/2017, the amendment of communique on debt securities is approved by the Board and delivered to promulgate. Taking into consideration the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities markets; - The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities reabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions - Investment grade note is not required for debt securities scantice in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkee //Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin			banks and financial institutions to double	
grade) is planned to be removed completely. Investment grade note is planned to be required for public offerings with the amendment that will be made on debt securities regulation. By February/2017, the amendment of communique on debt securities is approved by the Board and delivered to promulgate. Taking into consideration the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities markets; The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities realbing banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions - Investment grade note is not required for debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce//Mevzuat/Bankacilik. Kanununa Iliskin				
planned to be required for public offerings with the amendment that will be made on debt securities regulation. By February/2017, the amendment of communique on debt securities is approved by the Board and delivered to promulgate. Taking into consideration the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities markets; - The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions and offer of debt securities in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce //Mevzut/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin			grade) is planned to be removed	
offerings with the amendment that will be made on debt securities regulation. By February/2017, the amendment of communique on debt securities is approved by the Board and delivered to promulgate. Taking into consideration the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities markets; - The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions - Investment grade note is not required for debt securities resulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce //Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa lliskin			completely, - Investment grade note is	
February/2017, the amendment of communique on debt securities is approved by the Board and delivered to promulgate. Taking into consideration the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities markets; The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions. Investment grade note is not required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce //Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin			offerings with the amendment that will	
communique on debt securities is approved by the Board and delivered to promulgate. Taking into consideration the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities markets; - The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions - Investment grade note is not required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce //Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin			be made on debt securities regulation. By	
approved by the Board and delivered to promulgate. Taking into consideration the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities markets; - The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions - Investment grade note is not required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce //Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin				
the dynamics of firms in the country and discussions about rating agencies and debt securities markets; - The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce //Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin				
discussions about rating agencies and debt securities markets; - The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions - Investment grade note is not required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce //wevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin			promulgate. Taking into consideration	
debt securities markets, - The rating reference used in the existing debt securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions - Investment grade note is not required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin				
securities regulation regarding the issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions - Investment grade note is not required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin			debt securities markets; - The rating	
issuance limit of debt securities enabling banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions - Investment grade note is not required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin				
banks and financial institutions to double their limit is removed just for financial institutions - Investment grade note is not required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin			issuance limit of debt securities enabling	
institutions - Investment grade note is not required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin			banks and financial institutions to double	
required for debt securities issuance in the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin				
the final rule of debt securities regulation. Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin			required for debt securities issuance in	
Web-links to relevant documents: IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin			the final rule of debt securities	
IRB Regulation: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin				
http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin				
/Mevzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin			IRB Regulation:	
Duzenlemeler/13401idd tehlig ndf Risk			http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Meyzuat/Bankacilik Kanununa Iliskin	
Duzememeter/1347mu_teorig.pur Misk			Duzenlemeler/13491idd_teblig.pdf Risk	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Management Guideline: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik_Kanununa_Iliskin_ Duzenlemeler/14604bankalarin_kredi_y onetimine_iliskin_rehber.pdf http://mevzuat.basbakanlik.gov.tr/Metin. Aspx?MevzuatKod=7.5.21069&Mevzuat Iliski=0&sourceXmlSearch=sermaye%2 0yeter	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VI.	Enhancing and aligning	accounting standards			
	•		Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are of a high and internationally acceptable quality (e.g. equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB), and provide accurate and relevant information on financial position and performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards.	□Not applicable □Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Analysis-of-the-G20-IFRS-profiles.aspx . As part of their response on this recommendation, jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for appropriate application of fair value recognition, measurement and disclosure. In addition, jurisdictions should set out any steps they intend to take (if appropriate) to foster transparent and consistent implementation of the new accounting requirements for the measurement of expected credit losses on financial assets that are being introduced by the IASB and FASB.	□ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: 01.11.2006 (BRSA) 03.06.2007 (Treasury); CMB: 21.12.2004 (for listed companies and capital market institutions other than investment funds and housing finance and asset finance funds) and 30.12.2013 (for investment funds and housing finance and asset finance funds) Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: CMB: Listed companies and capital	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			See, for reference, the following BCBS documents: • Supervisory guidance for assessing banks' financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009) • Guidance on credit risk and accounting for expected credit losses (Dec 2015)	market institutions as defined in Capital market Law apply Turkish Accounting Standards and Turkish Financial Reporting Standards (TAS/TFRS) (which is compatible with IFRSs) as set and disclosed by the Public Oversight, Accounting and Auditing Standards Authority (POA). Application of those standards is required to be audited by independent audit firms according to the related CMB regulations. In addition, a team of experts has been assigned to do revision of financial reports on a risk basis and required precautions are taken for those entities which breach standards and related regulations.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				BRSA: Institutions under the supervision of the BRSA have to comply with TFRSs which are in line with IFRSs since 01.11.2006. BRSA has the authority to supervise and regularly supervises the full implementation of TFRSs by the related companies. BRSA regulations regarding fair value considerations in the calculation of capital requirements are in line with Basel III and in force since July 2012. BRSA published a separate best practice guideline on fair value calculations at December 2015. TREASURY All norms regarding accounting, financial reporting and valuation of assets are compliant with IFRS since 2007. Insurance, reinsurance and pension companies under the supervision of Treasury have to comply with TFRSs which are in line with IFRSs. Treasury has the authority to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	supervise regularly the full implementation of TFRSs by the related companies. CMB Following articles 14 and 36 and subparagraph (g) of first paragraph of article 128 of the Capital Markets Law no. 6362 dated 6.12.2012, Communiqué on Principles of Financial Reporting In Capital Markets was promulgated on 13.06.2013 and Communique on Principles Regarding Financial Reporting of Investment Funds was promulgated on 30.12.2013. The purpose of these Communiqué is to set The principles and procedures regarding financial reports to be publicly announced by issuers and capital market institutions. These entities prepare their financial statements on the basis of TAS/TFRS issued and published by POA. Moreover, to ensure that the financial reporting principles and procedures are clear and understandable, or for the sake of unity in implementation thereof, if and when deemed necessary, the Capital Market Board reaches decisions pursuant to article 14 of the Law. Entities are under obligation to comply with these decisions POA Turkey has already adopted IFRS Standards for the financial statements of all public interest entities. Listed companies, intermediary institutions and portfolio management companies were permitted to use IFRSs as of 2003 (voluntarily), and have been required to use IFRSs since 2005 (mandatory). All banks and financial institutions have been required to use IFRSs since 2006. IFRSs are incorporated into laws and regulations as Turkish Accounting Standards (TASs) and Turkish Financial Reporting Standards (TFRSs). TASs and TFRSs are fully compliant with the	Next steps

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				IFRSs issued by the IASB, and they are published in the Official Gazette as communiques. Turkey has a formal process for the endorsement/adoption of new and amended IFRS. New and amended standards are continuously updated by the the POA and published in the Official Gazette.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				BRSA published a new regulation on classification of assets and provisions set aside for financial assets in June 2016. The regulation requires banks to measure their expected credit losses based on the impairment rules of IFRS 9 starting from January 1, 2018. POA TFRS 9 Financial Instruments was issued by the POA and published in the Official Gazette. TFRS 9 will be mandatory effective for periods beginning on or after 1 January 2018 with early adoption permitted. With the publication of TFRS 9, which is fully compliant with IFRS 9, transparent and consistent implementation of the new accounting requirements for the measurement of expected credit losses on financial assets will be ensured.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				BRSA Accounting Rules http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik_Kanununa_Iliskin_ Duzenlemeler/1688bankalarin_muhasebe _uygulamalarina_ve_belgelerin_saklanm asina_iliskin_usul_ve_esaslarhakkinda_y onetmelik.pdf http://www.tsb.org.tr/Document/Yonetm elikler/Finansal_Raporlama-SON.pdf Turkey's jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				world/Documents/Jurisdiction-profiles/Turkey-IFRS-Profile.pdf Link to all accounting/financial reporting standards: http://kgk.gov.tr/DynamicContentDetail/6538/TMS/TFRS-2017-Seti Link to TFRS 9: http://kgk.gov.tr/Portalv2Uploads/files/Duyurular/v2/TFRS/TFRS_9_2017%20Surumu.pdf http://www.cmb.gov.tr/apps/teblig/displayteblig.aspx?id=477&ct=f&action=displayfile Turkey's jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/use-around-the-world/use-of-ifrs-standards-by-jurisdiction/turkey/	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VII.	Enhancing risk manager	nent			
16 (16)	Enhancing guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, including on liquidity and foreign currency funding risks	Regulators should develop enhanced guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, in line with international best practices, and should encourage financial firms to re-examine their internal controls and implement strengthened policies for sound risk management. (Washington) National supervisors should closely check banks' implementation of the updated guidance on the management and supervision of liquidity as part of their regular supervision. If banks' implementation of the guidance is inadequate, supervisors will take more prescriptive action to improve practices. (Rec. II.10, FSF 2008) Regulators and supervisors in emerging markets ² will enhance their supervision of banks' operation in foreign currency funding markets. (FSB 2009)	Jurisdictions should indicate the measures taken in the following areas: • guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, including BCBS good practice documents (Corporate governance principles for banks, External audit of banks, and the Internal audit function in banks); • measures to monitor and ensure banks' implementation of the BCBS Principles for Sound Liquidity Risk Management and Supervision (Sep 2008); • measures to supervise banks' operations in foreign currency funding markets;¹ and • extent to which they undertake stress tests and publish their results. Jurisdictions should not provide any updates on the implementation of Basel III liquidity requirements (and other recent standards such as capital	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: 31.03.2016 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:

¹ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB should respond to this specific recommendation.

² Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		We commit to conduct robust, transparent stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)	requirements for CCPs), since these are monitored separately by the BCBS.	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: The BRSA published an updated regulation on internal systems and ICAAP for banks on 11.07.2014. Additionally, to address the main G20/FSB recommendations; detailed risk management guidelines on credit risk, market risk, operational risk, counterparty credit risk, liquidity risk (funding and market), interest rate risk in the banking book (yield curve risk, basis risk, optionality risk), concentration risk, country and transfer Risk (indirect country risk, sovereign risk, macroeconomic risk and contagion Risk) have been issued. Moreover, the stress testing framework is regulated more explicitly and the BRSA has also issued the supporting guidelines on stress testing and ICAAP Report preparation. Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik_Kanununa_Iliskin_Duzenlemeler/Bankacilik_Kanununa_Iliskin_Duzenlemeler.aspx	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
17 (17)	Enhanced risk disclosures by financial institutions	Financial institutions should provide enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as	Jurisdictions should indicate the status of implementation of the disclosures requirements of IFRSs (in particular IFRS 7 and 13) or equivalent. Jurisdictions may also use as reference	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington) We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance financial institutions' disclosures of the risks they face, including the ongoing work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force. (St. Petersburg)	the recommendations of the October 2012 report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force on Enhancing the Risk Disclosures of Banks and Implementation Progress Report by the EDTF (Dec 2015), and set out any steps they have taken to foster adoption of the EDTF Principles and Recommendations. In addition, in light of the new IASB and FASB accounting requirements for expected credit loss recognition, jurisdictions should set out any steps they intend to take (if appropriate) to foster disclosures needed to fairly depict a bank's exposure to credit risk, including its expected credit loss estimates, and to provide relevant information on a bank's underwriting practices. Jurisdictions may use as reference the recommendations in the report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force on the Impact of Expected Credit Loss Approaches on Bank Risk Disclosures (Nov 2015), as well as the	no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: 31.03.2016 (BRSA), 01.07.2014 (CMB) Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: BRSA: Institutions under the supervision of the BRSA have to comply with TFRSs on disclosure requirements which are in line with IFRSs. BRSA has the authority	Web-links to relevant documents:
			recommendations in Principle 8 of the BCBS <u>Guidance on credit risk and</u>	to supervise and regularly supervises the full implementation of these disclosure	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	Description		accounting for expected credit losses (Dec 2015)	TFRSs by the related companies TREASURY The Undersecretariat of Treasury monitors the information disclosed by insurers in a timely manner. Article 18 Paragraph 2 of Insurance Law No. 5684 stipulates that the Undersecretariat shall cause to be re- announced such financial statementas corrected if any untrue information is discovered in the announced financial statements announced by insurance and reinsurance companies, taking into account generally accepted accounting rules and principles. PAO As Turkey had already adopted all IFRS Standards, including IFRS 7 and IFRS 13, the disclosure requirements of all IFRSs have been implemented. Banks are required to prepare and issue their financial statements according to TFRSs and TASs, which are fully compliant with the IFRSs and IASs. Hence, they disclose their exposure to credit risk, including their expected credit loss estimates, and relevant information on their underwriting practices on their financial statements. CMB Investment funds have to disclose their "monthly portfolio reports" and also their financial reports in the Public Disclosure Platform, which is easily accessible by all investors. Regarding risk management disclosures, as Guideline On Investment Funds came into force as of 01.07.2014, investment funds which are going to invest in derivatives and engage in other leveraged transactions, became obligated to disclose; A) Risk calculation methodology, under which circumstances the fund will create leverage, through which channels that leverage will be created (derivatives, etc.) and risks related to those	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				transactions. B) Information about VAR method that is going to be used. C) Leverage limit of the fund and calculation method of leverage, D) Information about reference portfolio (if relative-VAR is chosen for risk calculations) In their prospectus. Also, risks related to the fund's investment strategy and risk rating of the fund on a 1 to 7 scale have to be disclosed in the Key Investor Information Document.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				CMB: : We are still monitoring the results.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Bankacilik_Kanununa_Iliskin_ Duzenlemeler/15027risk_yonetimi_acikl amalari_teblig_degisiklik_islenmis.pdf http://www.spk.gov.tr/displayfile.aspx?a ction=displayfile&pageid=1087&subme nuheader=0	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VIII.	Strengthening deposit in	surance			
18 (18)	Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements	National deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed international principles, and authorities should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions that have not yet adopted an explicit national deposit insurance system should describe their plans to introduce such a system. All other jurisdictions should describe any significant design changes in their national deposit insurance system since the issuance of the revised IADI Core Principles for Effective Deposit Insurance Systems (November 2014). In addition, jurisdictions should indicate if they have carried out a self-assessment of compliance with the revised Core Principles: • If so, jurisdictions should highlight the main gaps identified and the steps proposed to address these gaps; • If not, jurisdictions should indicate any plans to undertake a self-assessment exercise.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: ☑ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: No definite timeframe □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: To Introduce missing powers in deposit insurance system and improve the current framework in line with the international standards (IADI, FSB) NOTE FROM SAVINGS DEPOSIT INSURANCE FUND (SDIF) The SDIF	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: As resolution reforms will no longer be recorded in this survey, we deleted our previous planned reform (bridge bank proposal) from the IMN follow-up table. On the other hand, there is an ongoing work to address various shortcomings in both our deposit insurance(*) and bank resolution frameworks compared to international standards. Savings Deposit Insurance Fund (SDIF) finalized the draft document. The draft has presented to the Turkish Financial Stability Committee but not submitted to the Parliament yet. (*) Required amendments related with DIS activities: - Expanding coverage to include commercial deposits, - Empowering the SDIF Board with the power for determining target reserve ratio, - Citing the Single Customer View System in the text of the legislation, - Empowering the SDIF with onsite examination power for issues related to deposit insurance mandate. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				was established in 1983 under the Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey	_
				(CBRT) and became an autonomous	
				legal entity in 2003. The SDIF is	
				governed by a Board of Directors ("Fund	
				Board") which is the decision making	
				body of SDIF and is made up of seven	
				members including the Chairman. The	
				Chairman of the Fund Board also serves as President of the SDIF. All members	
				are appointed by the Council of	
				Ministers, which also designates the	
				Chairman. SDIF operates independently	
				in fulfillment of its mandate and	
				resolutions of SDIF may not be	
				supervised for appropriateness. The	
				main policy objectives of the SDIF are to	
				protect the rights of depositors and	
				participation fund owners and to ensure	
				confidence and stability in financial	
				markets. In addition, the SDIF has a role in resolution of failed banks. The	
				mandate and power of the SDIF are	
				clearly defined and formally specified in	
				the Banking Law (BL). In this context,	
				the SDIF is authorized to insure deposits	
				and participation funds; manage the	
				banks whose management and control	
				have been transferred to the SDIF;	
				strengthen and restructure their financial	
				standing; transfer, merge, sell or	
				liquidate these banks; execute and	
				conclude the follow-up and collection transactions of the receivables of the	
				SDIF and manage the SDIF's assets and	
				resources. The SDIF insures the savings	
				deposit accounts and participation funds	
				opened by only real persons, in Turkish	
				Lira, foreign currency, or precious	
				metals. These accounts must be held at	
				domestic branches of credit institutions	
				operating in Turkey. Savings deposits	
				deposited in foreign branches of credit	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Kemarks	institutions and credit institutions engaged in off-shore banking, among others, are specifically excluded from coverage under Turkish Banking Law and related regulations. The SDIF Board sets the coverage and amount of insured deposit. The SDIF board sets also the tariff, collection time, method and other conditions of the risk-based insurance premium. The rules and procedures with regard to the insurance of savings deposits-participation funds and the premiums collected by the SDIF are laid out in "Regulation on Deposits and Participation Funds Subject to Insurance and Premiums Collected by Savings Deposit Insurance Fund". The SDIF has a differential risk based premium system and collects risk-based premiums from the banks on an ex-ante basis. As of September 2016, there are 39 deposit taking banks (including participation banks namely Islamic banks) in the deposit insurance scheme and the membership is compulsory for all deposit taking banks in Turkey. As of March 2017, there are 39 deposit taking banks (including participation banks namely Islamic banks) in the deposit insurance scheme and the membership is compulsory for all deposit taking banks in Turkey. The current deposit insurance limit is 100.000 Turkish Liras (approximately USD 27.483) per depositor per bank. As of March 2017, the total amount of covered deposits is USD 106.8 billion and 98 per cent of total deposit are insured. The SDIF has a reserve fund but no target ratio. The deposit insurance reserve (DIF) of the SDIF is about USD 6.735	Next steps
				Billion as of March 2017 and the current	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				reserve/insured deposit ratio is 6.77 per cent which is somewhat higher than in peer countries.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				(Article 111, Article 63, Article 64 of the Banking Law) http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/englis h/Legislation/14905banking_law_decem ber_2013.pdf) http://www.tmsf.org.tr/Aspx/kk/ashx/file .ashx?type=1&uploadId=395	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IX.	Safeguarding the integri	ty and efficiency of financial markets			
19 (19)	Enhancing market integrity and efficiency	We must ensure that markets serve efficient allocation of investments and savings in our economies and do not pose risks to financial stability. To this end, we commit to implement initial recommendations by IOSCO on market integrity and efficiency, including measures to address the risks posed by high frequency trading and dark liquidity, and call for further work by mid-2012. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should indicate whether high frequency trading and dark pools exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendations: • in relation to dark liquidity, as set out in the IOSCO Report on Principles for Dark Liquidity (May 2011). • on the impact of technological change in the IOSCO Report on Regulatory Issues Raised by the Impact of Technological Changes on Market Integrity and Efficiency (Oct 2011). • on market structure made in the IOSCO Report on Regulatory issues raised by changes in market structure (Dec 2013).	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: 30.12.2016 Dark orders 05.05.2016 □ HFT Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Although no dark pool is regulated and operated, some dark orders are permitted to be submitted in Borsa İSTANBUL (BIST). In this regard, Mid-Point orders	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: It is planned to follow market implications to determine needed actions if any. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				and Trade At Reference orders are newly	
				introduced by BIST and ruled by Equity	
				Markets Implementing Procedures and	
				Principles amended in 30.12.2016.	
				Algorithmic and high frequency (algo &	
				HFT) trading are also firstly defined in Borsa Istanbul's General Letter about Pre	
				Trade Risk Management (PTRM)	
				Application Procedures and Principles	
				dated 05.05.2016. With this regulation:	
				- Members have to transmit their algo &	
				HFT clients' orders via a dedicated FIX	
				or OUCH[1] users provided by Borsa	
				İstanbul. (Members of Borsa İstanbul are	
				authorized investment firm. HFT firms	
				are not enable to direct their order to the	
				market) - Members have to confirm that	
				they have executed tests in their	
				customers' algo & HFT software, results	
				of these software are foreseeable and will	
				not execute trades that will abuse the	
				market After putting these kind of software into use, members have to take	
				measures to monitor risks in real time, to	
				limit these risks and to stop order	
				transmission immediately when it is	
				necessary Members that own or have	
				customers who operate algo & HFT	
				software, have to use BISTECH PTRM	
				tool (pre trade risk management tool	
				developed by Borsa Istanbul and	
				NASDAQ) which enables them put	
				limits or measures on orders and trades	
				on account basis Members have to document how they calculated their or	
				their customers' risks and set limits for	
				them. They have to provide these	
				documents on the same day when Borsa	
				İstanbul asks for Members are directly	
				responsible for these kind of software	
				even they are owned & operated by their	
				customers Users defined by Borsa	
				İstanbul as HFT users are exempt from	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				conventional order	
				cancellation/modification fees, instead	
				they are subject to pricing scheme that is	
				based on order to trade ratio and aims to	
				charge excess usage. Borsa İstanbul	
				applies base price and price limits in	
				Equity Market. "Base price" is the price which constitutes a base for determining	
				the upper and lower price limits of an	
				equity between which it can be traded	
				during a day. "Base price" is calculated	
				by rounding the "closing price" of the	
				previous day to the nearest price tick.	
				The margin, which is a rate to be applied	
				on the base price in order to determine	
				the price limits are defined as (free	
				margin may be applied at the certain	
				equities and ETFs where necessary): •	
				20% for the equities and exchange traded	
				funds (ETF) • 50% for the preemptive	
				rights and ISKUR.E • Free margin for	
				warrants and certificates Besides, a new	
				Circuit Breaker System is introduced to	
				the Market at 30.11.2015. In case price	
				change calculated by a certain reference	
				value reaches or exceeds threshold	
				values (rates) determined by the	
				Exchange while transactions in a stock	
				are carried out with continuous trading	
				method in the session, transactions of the	
				related stock will be temporarily halted and carried on to the order collection	
				stage of a call auction. At this stage price is determined in a call auction using	
				single price method in the related stock.	
				Then the related stock is again taken to	
				continuous trading and transactions are	
				continued after processes in single price	
				method are completed. Besides; Equity	
				Market transactions are monitored on	
				real time online basis using an electronic	
				surveillance tool called SMARTS, co-	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				developed with Nasdaq and BIST, alongside BISTECH Trading Platform.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.borsaistanbul.com/docs/defa ult-source/uue/pay-piyasasi-isleyisine- iliskin-uygulama-usulu-ve- esaslari.pdf?sfvrsn=18 http://www.borsaistanbul.com/docs/defa ult-source/nasdaqgenelmektup/news- release-2016-77.pdf?sfvrsn=4 http://www.borsaistanbul.com/docs/defa ult-source/nasdaqgenelmektup/new- release-2016-46.pdf?sfvrsn=4	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
20 (20)	Regulation and supervision of commodity markets	We need to ensure enhanced market transparency, both on cash and financial commodity markets, including OTC, and	Jurisdictions should indicate whether commodity markets of any type exist in their national markets.	☐Not applicable ☐Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		achieve appropriate regulation and supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management powers, including the power to set ex-ante position limits, particularly in the delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012.	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on <i>Principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011)</i> . Jurisdictions, in responding to this recommendation, may also make use of the responses contained in the <u>update to the survey</u> published by IOSCO in September 2014 on the principles for the regulation and supervision of commodity derivatives markets.	If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: 31.12.2017 □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		(Cannes)		☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	
				☐ Implementation completed as of:	
		We also call on Finance ministers to		Issue is being addressed through:	
		monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO's principles		□ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		for the regulation and supervision on		☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
		commodity derivatives markets and		Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
		encourage broader publishing and unrestricted access to aggregated open		Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		interest data. (St. Petersburg)		The commodity market had not been regulated before the new Capital Markets Law which was enacted on 30.12.2012. Currently, few Financial commodity derivative contracts (wheat, cotton and electricity) are traded in Derivatives Market of the Borsa Istanbul Enhanced market transparency and marked abuse	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				rules are applied to the market. Additionally, the project to design a new commodity market in the Borsa İstanbul is ongoing.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
21	Reform of financial	We support the establishment of the	Collection of information on this		
(21)	benchmarks	FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to	recommendation will continue to be		
(21)		coordinate work on the necessary	deferred given the forthcoming FSB		
		reforms of financial benchmarks. We	progress report on implementation of		
		endorse IOSCO's Principles for	FSB recommendations in this area, and		
		Financial Benchmarks and look forward	ongoing IOSCO work to review the		
		to reform as necessary of the benchmarks	implementation of the IOSCO Principles		
		used internationally in the banking	for Financial Benchmarks.		
		industry and financial markets, consistent			
		with the IOSCO Principles. (St.			
		Petersburg)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
X.	Enhancing financial con	sumer protection			
(22)	Enhancing financial consumer protection	We agree that integration of financial consumer protection policies into regulatory and supervisory frameworks contributes to strengthening financial stability, endorse the FSB report on consumer finance protection and the high level principles on financial consumer protection prepared by the OECD together with the FSB. We will pursue the full application of these principles in our jurisdictions. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD's G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection (Oct 2011). Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD's September 2013 and September 2014 reports on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High-level Principles. The effective approaches are of interest across all financial services sectors – banking and credit; securities; insurance and pensions – and consideration should be given to their cross-sectoral character when considering implementation. Jurisdictions should, where necessary, indicate any changes or additions that have been introduced as a way to support the implementation of the High-level Principles, to address particular national terminology, situations or determinations.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: 03.10.2014 (BRSA); 28.11.2015 (GTB) □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: BRSA: Supervisory process related to financial consumers issues takes place upon complaints sent by financial consumers. GTB: Under The Law on Consumer Protection and the secondary regulations, supervision has started about the 35 bank and fine was imposed to 18	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: GTB The Directorate General for Consumer Protection and Market Surveillance is proposing to apply for an Erasmus KA2 strategic partnership project about Improving Financial Literacy in the scope of Consumer Academy by the end of March. The project is proposed to be complementary to the Consumer Academy project by improving the general knowledge and consciousness level of consumers about financial issues such as budgeting, saving, investment. Project will be implemented if only it is granted by EU in case of positive evaluation of the application by Turkish National Agency. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				bank. In 2016, Arbitration Committees for Consumer Problems have 1.039.032 (%74 of total) applications about banking sector.	•
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: BRSA has issued a regulation (Regulation On Principles And Procedures Related To Fees To Be Taken From Financial Consumers) on fees that can be charged on financial consumers and principles and procedures regarding contracts, reimbursement of the fee. TREASURY According to the article 32 of the Insurance Law: (1) Insurance companies and intermediaries shall not design their brochures, explanatory notices, other documents and their advertisements and commercials in a way that results in an understanding outside the limits and scope of the rights and benefits which they shall provide to the insured, and shall not make statements that are unreal, misleading, deceiving or that give rise to unfair competition. Where violation of this provision is ascertained the matter shall be referred to the Advertising Board that acts upon the Law on Protection of Consumers. (2) Insurance companies, reinsurance companies, intermediaries and loss adjusters are obliged to refrain from acts which may	
				endanger the rights and benefits of the insured, to act in accordance with the legislation and principles of the business	
				plan, and to behave in compliance with the requirements of insurance and the rules of goodwill. (3) Insurance	
				companies shall not delay the payment of insurance claims in violation of the rules	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				of goodwill. (4) The Undersecretariat is	
				authorized to take all the measures in	
				order to ensure that insurance companies,	
				reinsurance companies, intermediaries	
				and loss adjusters comply with the above	
				mentioned rules. (5) Rights of persons to	
				choose an insurance company shall not	
				be restricted. In contracts, where one of	
				the parties are obliged to buy insurance,	
				provisions requiring the conclusion of	
				the insurance contract with a certain	
				company shall be void." According to	
				the article 30 of the Insurance Law; to	
				settle the disputes arising from the	
				insurance contract between the policy	
				holder or people benefiting from the	
				insurance contract on the one side and the party undertaking the risk on the	
				other side, the Insurance Arbitration	
				Commission has been established.	
				Guarantees can also be deemed as an	
				important financial consumer protection,	
				since in the "Regulation on Financial	
				Structures of the Insurance, Reinsurance	
				and Pension Companies" it is stated that	
				the guarantee shall be a reserve against	
				the receivables of the insured, and in	
				case the licenses of a company with	
				respect to all branches are revoked, or in	
				case the company is liquidated or has	
				declared bankruptcy, the guarantee shall	
				be used for the payment of the	
				receivables of the insured in the relevant	
				branch first, while the remainder shall be	
				added to the guarantees of other	
				branches. Regulation on Furnishing	
				Information in Insurance Contracts	
				regulates the principles and obligations	
				of insurers to ensure that persons who	
				intend to enter into a specific insurance relationship do not confront any	
				insufficient information. "Assurance	
				account" which covers losses that arise	
				account which covers losses that arise	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				as a result of the occurrence of the	
				specific conditions also aims the	
				protection of insured rights. To solve insureds' complaints effectively and on	
				time, Insurance E-Application System	
				has been established within The	
				Undersecretariat of Treasury General	
				Directorate of Insurance. Republic Of	
				Turkey Ministry Of Customs And Trade	
				(GTB) The Law 6502 aims to protect	
				consumers by involving bank and	
				insurance contracts under the framework	
				of consumer issues. At the second (Consumer Credit Contracts) and third	
				section (Housing Finance) of the Law,	
				contracts, pre-contract notification	
				liability, the right of withdrawal, interest	
				rate, use of cancellation rights, default,	
				early payment, issuing insurance and	
				linked credit agreements and other issues	
				are regulated. Other regulation which	
				aimed to protect financial consumer are prepared based on Law 6502 are stated	
				below; 1-Regulation on Distance	
				Contracts For Financial Services, 2-	
				Regulation on Consumer Credit	
				Agreements 3-Regulation on House	
				Finance Agreements 4-Regulation on	
				Arbitration Committees for Consumer 5-	
				Regulation on Unfair Terms in	
				Consumer Agreements The main purpose of these Regulations is to set	
				down the implementation rules,	
				procedures and principles relating to	
				consumer agreements. CMB Regarding	
				fund investors, Communiqué on	
				Principles Of Investment Funds,	
				Communiqué on Portfolio Management	
				Companies and Activities of Such	
				Companies and Communiqué On Portfolio Depositary Service And	
				Providers Of Such Service clearly state	
				the responsibilities of the fund manager,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				internal control and inspection units of the management firm and the depository, which are all mechanisms to ensure that the consumer protection is sufficient. In addition, Istanbul Clearing, Settlement and Custody Bank also checks if the fund share price was correctly calculated. Lastly, any complaint letters from investors are evaluated by CMB. Regarding capital markets investors who are the customers of the investment firms Communique on Principles of Establishment and Activities of Investment Firms (III-39.1) and Communique on Principles Regarding The Internal Auditing Systems of Brokerage Houses (Serial: V, No: 68) clearly mandates the responsibilities of investment firm, its managers and dealers, internal control and inspection units to ensure that the consumer protection is sufficient. These Communiques set down principles to conduct business and require sound organisational structures to establish well-functioning governance frameworks for investment firms. The CMB monitors compliance and enforce the rules through fines and sanctions. Additionally, self-regulatory authority of investment firms, Turkish Capital Markets Association (the TCMA), aims to safeguard the prudent and disciplined conduct of business by its members by establishing professional rules. And also resolve financial consumer complaints on investment firms. Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.bddk.org.tr/WebSitesi/turkce /Mevzuat/Finansal_Tuketicinin_Korunm asina_Iliskin_Duzenlemeler/13586yonet melik_yd_karari_islenmis.pdf http://tuketici.gtb.gov.tr/ www.tuketiciakademisi.gov.tr Communique on Principles of Establishment and Activities of Investment Firms (III-39.1) http://www.cmb.gov.tr/apps/teblig/index. aspx?lang=E&ps=N&submenuheader=-1 Communique on Principles Regarding The Internal Auditing Systems of Brokerage Houses (Serial: V, No: 68) http://www.cmb.gov.tr/apps/teblig/index. aspx?lang=E&ps=O&submenuheader=-1	



Source of recommendations XI.

Hangzhou: G20 Leaders' Communique (4-5 September 2016)

Antalya: G20 Leaders' Communique (15-16 November 2015)

Brisbane: G20 Leaders' Communique (15-16 November 2014)

St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)

Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)

Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)

Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)

Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)

Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)

London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)

Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)

FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)

FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)

FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)

FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)

List of abbreviations used XII.

Treasury: Republic of Turkey Prime Ministery Undersecretariat of Treasury

BRSA: Banking Regulation and Supervision Agency

CBRT: Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey

CMB: Capital Markets Board of Turkey SDIF: Savings Deposit Insurance Fund

POA: Public Oversight, Accounting and Auditing Standards Authority

GTB: Republic Of Turkey Ministiry of Custom and Trade