

Jurisdiction:

Spain

2015 IMN Survey of National Progress in the Implementation of G20/FSB Recommendations

- I. <u>Hedge funds</u>
- II. Securitisation
- III. Enhancing supervision
- IV. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools
- V. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VI. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VII. Enhancing risk management
- VIII. Strengthening deposit insurance
 - IX. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
 - X. Enhancing financial consumer protection
 - XI. Reference to source of recommendations
- **XII.** List of Abbreviations



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I.	Hedge funds				
1 (2)	Registration, appropriate disclosures and oversight of hedge funds	We also firmly recommitted to work in an internationally consistent and non- discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul)	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles contained in IOSCO's <i>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</i> . In particular, jurisdictions should specify whether:	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: 	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		Hedge funds or their managers will be registered and will be required to disclose appropriate information on an ongoing basis to supervisors or regulators, including on their leverage, necessary for assessment of the systemic risks they pose individually or collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London)	 whether: Hedge Funds (HFs) and/or HF managers are subject to mandatory registration Registered HF managers are subject to appropriate ongoing requirements regarding: Organisational and operational standards; Conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; Disclosure to investors; and Prudential regulation. 	 □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: □ Directive-21st July 2012 / Regulation 11th April 2013 Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Fully implemented as for domestic hedge funds regards. While the level of hedge fund activity in Spain is rather low, implemented regulation in Spain on Hedge Funds already requires mandatory 	Commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				registration of both managers and hedge	
				funds themselves. Hedge funds managers	
				are required to disclose information on	
				an ongoing basis to the CNMV. Risk	
				management procedures are disclosed to	
				the CNMV at the outset of the project	
				and on an ongoing basis when material	
				changes occur. Moreover, information on	
				leverage is disclosed to the CNMV on a	
				regular basis. These institutions and their	
				risk management systems are subject to	
				oversight by the regulator periodically.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2014/11/13/p	
				dfs/BOE-A-2014-11714.pdf	
				http://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2012/07/20/p	
				dfs/BOE-A-2012-9716.pdf	
				http://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2015/02/14/p	
				dfs/BOE-A-2015-1454.pdf	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please indicate whether Hedge Funds (HFs) are domiciled locally and, if available, the size of the industry in terms of Assets under Management and number of HFs.	
				At the end of 2014 there were 50 HFs	
				domiciled locally with assets under	
				management of around 1,413	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				millionUSD.	
				2. Please specify the main criteria and numerical thresholds (if applicable) for subjecting HFs and/or HF managers to mandatory registration.	
				The same contained in the EU AIMFD.	
				3. Please specify whether registered HF managers are subject to ongoing requirements regarding organisational and operational standards; conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; disclosure to investors; and prudential regulation. If any of these requirements are not applicable, please explain.	
				We have recently transposed the EU	
				AIFMD. The EU legislation is very strict	
				in terms of organizational requirements	
				(e.g., conflict of interest, internal control,	
				risk management) imposed on hedge	
				fund managers and depositories, as well	
				as in terms of reporting requirements,	
				among others. The AIFMD has been	
				transposed into Spanish legislation	
				through Law 22/2014, which has	
				modified Law 35/2003 on collective	
				investment undertakings. The Spanish	
				Regulation developing Law 22/2014 has	
				been passed in February 2015 (Royal	
				Decree 83/2015). Nevertheless, although	
				this modification is very recent, the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				previous Spanish legislation already	
				contained requirements in terms of	
				organization and risk controls on	
				alternative fund managers similar to the	
				current ones. Currently, requirements in	
				terms of organization, risk management	
				and conflict of interest imposed on	
				companies managing alternative	
				collective investment institutions are the	
				same as those imposed on companies	
				managing traditional ones. They are	
				contained under Chapter II of Title IV of	
				the Spanish Royal Decree 1082/2012	
				developing Law 35/2003, as modified	
				per Royal Decree 83/2015. Law 35/2003	
				on collective investment undertakings,	
				under article 41 bis, established criteria	
				for possible exemption in favour of those	
				companies managing alternative	
				collective investment institutions whose	
				assets under management are lower than	
				100M€, or 500M€ in the case of non-	
				leveraged collective investment	
				institutions. Nonetheless, in practice the	
				Royal Decree 1082/2012 developing	
				Law 35/2003, as modified per Royal	
				Decree 83/2015, has not developed the	
				requirements for exemption, so that all	
				managers are actually subject to the same	
				general requirements, regardless of their	

$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$	•
	nain
\sim	Juli

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				size. In spite of the fact that general	
				organization requirements are the same	
				for companies managing both traditional	
				and alternative collective investment	
				undertakings, there are some additional	
				requirements under article 100 of Royal	
				Decree 1082/2012 regarding companies	
				managing alternative collective	
				investment undertakings. Specifically, an	
				additional 0.01% of capital is required to	
				face liabilities arising from professional	
				negligence (or either take out civil	
				liability insurance in the same amount).	
				4. Please describe the main challenges (where relevant) and any lessons learned in implementing this reform.	
				We have no comments in this regard.	
				The hedge fund sector in Spain is very	
				small in Spain, representing less than 1%	
				of overall assets under management of	
				collective investment undertakings.	
				5. Are you monitoring the effects of this reform in your jurisdiction? If yes, please share the main findings and any related policy initiatives in response to those findings.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
2	Establishment of international	We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms for cooperation and information sharing	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide
(3)	information sharing	between relevant authorities in order to	made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's <u>Report on Hedge</u>	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	reasons for delayed implementation:
		1			Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.cnmv.es/Portal/~/verDoc.axd?t={6ee09bf3-b963-4101-9fe1-1e13b007af6f} http://www.cnmv.es/portal/Legislacion/verDoc.axd?t={011286e4-ab24-4f0d-a710-04eb347ed193} http://www.cnmv.es/Portal/~/verDoc.axd?t={dc959c85-e599-49f2-b6cf-1dc058978334} http://www.cnmv.es/portal/Legislacion/verDoc.axd?t={7e404694-4fb8-4ea9-a206-dde87af80935}
				CNMV, as a member of ESMA has signed, within such framework 35	



\circ	•
Sp	aın

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				bilateral agreements to date.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3 (4)	Enhancing counterparty risk management	Supervisors should require that institutions which have hedge funds as their counterparties have effective risk management, including mechanisms to monitor the funds' leverage and set limits for single counterparty exposures. (London) Supervisors will strengthen their existing guidance on the management of exposures to leveraged counterparties. (Rec. II.17, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate specific policy measures taken for enhancing counterparty risk management and strengthening their existing guidance on the management of exposure to leveraged counterparties. In particular, jurisdictions should indicate whether they have implemented principle 2.iii of IOSCO <i>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</i> . Jurisdictions should also indicate the steps they are taking to implement the new standards on equity exposures (<i>Capital requirements for banks' equity investments in funds, Dec 2013</i>) by 1 January 2017. For further reference, see also the following documents: • BCBS <i>Sound Practices for Banks' Interactions with Highly Leveraged Institutions (Jan 1999)</i> • BCBS <i>Banks' Interactions with Highly Leveraged Institutions (Jan 1999)</i>	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: May 2002 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Web-links to relevant documents: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain]



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I	I. Securitisation				
4 (6)	Strengthening of regulatory and capital framework for monolines	Insurance supervisors should strengthen the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured credit. (Rec II.8, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for strengthening the regulatory and capital framework for monolines. See, for reference, the following principles issued by IAIS: • ICP 13 – Reinsurance and Other Forms of Risk Transfer; • ICP 15 – Investments; and	 ✓ Not applicable There are no monolines operating in Spain ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Web-links to relevant documents:
			 ICP 17 - Capital Adequacy. Jurisdictions may also refer to: IAIS <u>Guidance paper on enterprise risk management for capital adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct 2008).</u> Joint Forum document on <u>Mortgage insurance: market structure, underwriting cycle and policy implications (Aug2013).</u> 	□ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Highlight main developments since last year's survey: The transposition of the EU Solvency II	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps		
II	II. Securitisation						
				Directive under Spanish Law 20/2015, of			
				14th of July, on the Regulation,			
				Supervision and Solvency of Insurance			
				and Reinsurance Undertakings has			
				introduced a regulation on monolines into			
				Spanish legislation. In practice, however,			
				there are still no monolines operating in			
				Spain, which explains our classification			
				as "N/A".			
				Web-links to relevant documents:			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 5 (7)	Description Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	G20/FSB Recommendations Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18, FSF 2008)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance product. Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's report on Good Practices in Relation to	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	Next steps If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			Investment Managers' Due Diligence When Investing in Structured Finance Instruments (Jul 2009). Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint Forum report on Credit Risk Transfer- Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul 2008).	□ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: 1 Jaunuary 2016 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	[The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] http://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2014/04/03/p dfs/BOE-A-2014-3559.pdf http://www.cnmv.es/cnmvdia/decetes/gui afuncionesCI.pdf http://www.boe.es/buscar/pdf/2003/BOE-A-2003-20331-consolidado.pdf http://www.boe.es/buscar/pdf/2012/BOE-A-2012-9716-consolidado.pdf
				[The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Spanish rules on investment firms, investment funds and management companies put special focus on strengthening the requirements and risk control systems (which also includes structured and complex products). Spanish securities regulation, as adapted to EU legislation, already	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				complies with IOSCO's	
				recommendations. Regarding the	
				marketing of complex securities to retail	
				investors, since 2012 Royal Decree-Law	
				24/ is in force, establishing a new	
				aggravated regime for the marketing of	
				complex financial products to retail	
				clients2012 (Thirteenth additional	
				provision and Third final provision). For	
				the marketing to retail clients of	
				subordinated debt instruments, the	
				existence of a tranche directed to	
				professional investors is required. For the	
				marketing of complex products deemed	
				not suitable to a retail client, a warning	
				and the client's hand-written consent are	
				required.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 6 (8)	Description Enhanced disclosure of securitised products	G20/FSB Recommendations Securities market regulators should work with market participants to expand information on securitised products and their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10-III.13, FSF 2008)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for enhancing disclosure of securitised products. See, for reference, IOSCO's Report on Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012) and IOSCO's Disclosure Principles for Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-Backed Securities (Apr 2010).	 Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: 	Next steps If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Web-links to relevant documents:
				 ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 21st May 2013 / 2009 Issue is being addressed through : ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory 	Web-links to relevant documents:
				actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Spanish securities regulation, as adapted to EU legislation, already complies with IOSCO's recommendations. Highlight main developments since last year's survey: In 2014 CNMV Circular 6/2014 modified	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				CNMV Circular 2/2009 on accounting	
				rules, annual accounts, public financial	
				statements and confidential statements on	
				statistical information about securitisation	
				funds. This modification aimed at	
				complying with EU Regulation (CE)	
				1075/2013. As a consequence, more	
				detailed information on the balance sheet	
				of securitisation funds is obtained, as well	
				as on their financial operations. Law	
				5/2015 on the Promotion of Business	
				Finance (Ley de Fomento de la	
				Financiación Empresarial), which is	
				aimed to facilitate the financing of	
				SMEs, establishes new measures	
				regarding securitization by adapting the	
				legal framework to the most stringent	
				international standards. It strengthens	
				transparency (by specifying reporting	
				obligations, and the contents of the yearly	
				and quarterly reports) and investor	
				protection (by allowing for a creditors'	
				committee and modifying the supervision	
				regime). Banco de España is actively	
				contributing to the drafting of new	
				regulations for simple and transparent	
				securitizations both at global and	
				European level, via its participation in the	



α	•
\n	aın
IJΝ	am

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				BCBS and the EBA respectively.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				https://www.ecb.europa.eu/ecb/legal/pdf/en_02013r1075-20131127-en.pdf https://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2014/11/08/pdfs/BOE-A-2014-11565.pdf http://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2015/04/28/pdfs/BOE-A-2015-4607.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
III.	Enhancing supervision	l			
7 (9)	Consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of SIFIs	All firms whose failure could pose a risk to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh)	Jurisdictions should indicate: (1) whether they have identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors; (2) whether the names of the identified SIFIs have been publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs. See, for reference, the following documents: BCBS: • Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) • Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012) • BCP 12 (Sep 2012) IAIS: • Global Systemically Important Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013) • ICP 23- Group wide supervision FSB: • Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov 2011)	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 1st January 2014 for G-SIBs Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Banking (G-SIBs, D-SIBs) In Spain, a new Law (Law 11/2015,18th June) has been	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Web-links to relevant documents: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain]



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				preparation resolution powers (the so-	
				called "resolution prevention functions")	
				including resolution planning, which are	
				allocated to the Bank of Spain for credit	
				institutions, and executive resolution	
				powers (implementation of resolution	
				tools), which are allocated to the FROB.	
				Bank of Spain has adopted guidelines	
				issued by EBA regarding G-SIIs and O-	
				SIIs: "Guidelines on disclosure of	
				indicators of Global Systemic	
				importance" and "Guidelines on criteria	
				to assess other systemically important	
				institutions (O-SIIs)".	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2015/06/19/pdfs/BOE-A-2015-6789.pdf	



8 Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk assessments	To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
assessments		of G-SIBs and G-SIIs.	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory colleges. (Seoul)	Please indicate the progress made in establishing and strengthening the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs and G-SIIs using, as reference, the following documents: BCBS: Principle 13 of the BCBS <u>Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision (Sep 2012)</u> Principles for effective supervisory colleges (Jun 2014) IAIS: ICP 25 and Guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6 on establishment of supervisory colleges Guidance 25.6.20 and 25.8.16 on risk assessments by supervisory colleges Application paper on supervisory colleges (Oct 2014)	at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 1 ☐ January 2014 (Banking) / 1 January 2016 (Banking, Insurance) Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Securities (market infrastructures) The CNMV chairs a supervisory college and is a member of three additional ones. Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Insurance and pension funds The	
				Directorate General for Insurance and	
				Pension Funds (Ministry of Economy and	
				Competitiveness) as Spanish supervisory	
				authority for insurance and pension funds	
				hosts every year supervisory colleges for	
				two groups and is a member of twenty	
				eight international supervisory colleges.	
				The two supervisory colleges hosted by	
				the Directorate General for Insurance and	
				Pension Funds met in 2014. In addition	
				to this, the DGSFP signed seven	
				coordination arrangements following	
				EIOPA guidelines. The purpose of these	
				arrangements is to establish the main	
				rules for a proper and efficient	
				functioning of supervisory colleges. They	
				cover information exchange, how	
				decisions are taken, different procedures,	
				etc.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please indicate whether supervisory colleges for all G-SIBs/G-SIIs headquartered in your jurisdiction have been established. If not, please explain.	
				Banking In 2015, Bank of Spain has hosted two Supervisory Colleges in	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Madrid regarding BBVA and Banco	
				Santander. However, it is the ECB within	
				the new European Supervisory	
				framework (SSM) who assumes the	
				leadership of the Supervisory Colleges,	
				due to the assumption of supervisory	
				competences since November 2014.	
				2. Please indicate the structure of the supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction (core, universal, other) and the reasons why it may differ across firms.	
				Banking The general structure of the	
				Supervisory Colleges has been Universal	
				Colleges. However, in 2015 the ECB has	
				set a a Core College for Banco Santander.	
				Insurance and pension funds There are	
				no G-SIIs headquartered in Spain.	
				3. Please indicate the frequency of meetings over the past year of the supervisory colleges (core, universal, other) for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction.	
				Banking In the case of Banco Santander,	
				the Supervisory College has met twice. In	
				the case of BBVA, there has been one	
				meeting.	
				4. Please describe the main objectives of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction and the types of issues that have been discussed over the past year. (e.g. specific area(s) of risk, coordinated	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				risk assessments, joint supervisory work, coordinated supervisory plans). In your response, please indicate briefly some of the main challenges in conducting joint risk assessments and steps taken to address them.	
				Banking One of the main objectives of Supervisory Colleges is the assessment of risk matrix for the Bank. From our perspective, one of the main issues is the use of a common methodology for the risk assessment. There is a sharing of information of the outcome of the risk assessment made by each supervisor. The most significant issues under debate are those that have impact on Banking Groups. Additionally, representatives of Banking Groups attend some of the meetings where they provide information on most relevant topics for the Banking Group.	
				5. Please describe the main challenges in the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction and any plans to enhance the effectiveness of colleges. Banking Since November 2014, the ECB has assumed the leadership of the Supervisory Colleges	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps					
No 9 (11)	Description Supervisory exchange of information and coordination	To quicken supervisory responsiveness to developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions, supervisory exchange of information and coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved at both national and international levels.	Jurisdictions should include any feedback received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the <u>September 2012</u> BCP 3 (Cooperation and collaboration) and BCP 14 (Home-host relationships). Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:					
			relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.				 □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : 			
		Enhance the effectiveness of core supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	Jurisdictions should describe any recent or planned regulatory, supervisory or legislative changes that contribute to the	☑ Implementation completed as of: 1 January 2014 (Banking) / 1 January 2016 (Banking, Insurance)						
			legislative changes that contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via bilateral or multilateral MoUs).	sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via	sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via	within supervisory colleges or via	within supervisory colleges or via	within supervisory colleges or via	 Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: 	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Banking A new Implementing Regulation No. 710/2014, 23rd June, has been issued, regarding conditions of application of the Joint Decision process for institution-						
				specific prudential requirements. One of the main target of this Regulation is to set						



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				a clear process to facilitate the	
				information exchange among supervisors	
				in order to promote an effective	
				supervision. In 2014, Bank of Spain	
				signed a Memorandum of Understanding	
				for sharing the Key Risk Indicators,	
				among other supervisors and EBA.	
				Securities (Market infrastructure)	
				CNMV chairs a supervisory college and	
				is a member of three additional ones.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
10	Strengthening resources	We agreed that supervisors should have	No information on this recommendation		
(12)	and effective	strong and unambiguous mandates,	will be collected in the current IMN		
(12)	supervision	sufficient independence to act,	survey due to the recent publication of the		
		appropriate resources, and a full suite of	FSB thematic peer review report on		
		tools and powers to proactively identify	supervisory frameworks and approaches		
		and address risks, including regular stress	to SIBs.		
		testing and early intervention. (Seoul)			
		Supervisors should see that they have the			
		requisite resources and expertise to			
		oversee the risks associated with financial			
		innovation and to ensure that firms they			
		supervise have the capacity to understand			
		and manage the risks. (FSF 2008)			
		Supervisory authorities should			
		continually re-assess their resource needs;			
		for example, interacting with and			
		assessing Boards require particular skills,			
		experience and adequate level of			
		seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)			
		- ` ` '			



α	•
Sn	aın
\sim P	aiii

N.T.	D	CAN/ECD D	n i	Duo 2002 - 4 - 3 - 4 -	Now4 -4
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IV.	Building and implemen	nting macroprudential frameworks and	d tools		
11	Establishing regulatory	Amend our regulatory systems to ensure	Please describe major changes in the	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(13)	framework for macro-	authorities are able to identify and take	institutional arrangements for	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
	prudential oversight	account of macro-prudential risks across	macroprudential policy (structures,	at the moment	
		the financial system including in the case	mandates, powers, reporting etc.) that	☑ Implementation ongoing:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		of regulated banks, shadow banks ¹ and	have taken place since the financial crisis,	Status of progress :	
		private pools of capital to limit the build	including over the past year.	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	
		up of systemic risk. (London)		publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
		Ensure that national regulators possess	Please indicate whether an assessment	_	
		the powers for gathering relevant	has been conducted with respect to the	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		information on all material financial	adequacy of powers to collect and share	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in	
		institutions, markets and instruments in	relevant information among different	force since:	
		order to assess the potential for failure or	authorities on financial institutions,	☐ Implementation completed as of:	
		severe stress to contribute to systemic	markets and instruments to assess the	Issue is being addressed through:	
		risk. This will be done in close	potential for systemic risk. If so, please	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		coordination at international level in order to achieve as much consistency as	describe identified gaps in the powers to collect information, and whether any	☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
		possible across jurisdictions. (London)		☐ Other actions (such as supervisory	
		possible across jurisdictions. (London)	follow-up actions have been taken.	actions), please specify:	
				Regarding the macroprudential	
				instruments set by the CRDIV/CRR, Law	
				10/2014, of the 26th of June, on	
				Regulation, Supervision and Solvency of	
				Credit Institutions establishes that,	
				pending the set-up of a national	

-

¹ The recommendation as applicable to shadow banks will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				macroprudential authority, BdE is	
				responsible for their management at	
				national level. Regarding the creation of	
				a national macroprudential authority, a	
				Draft Law is currently being analysed.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Banking The instruments developed	
				under the CRDIV/CRR framework are	
				already available for BdE	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Last year, we focused on the	
				macroprudential instruments introduced	
				by the CRDIV/CRR, which has already	
				been transposed into Spanish legislation.	
				This year, we have taken into account	
				that there is still no national	
				macroprudential authority in Spain,	
				although its set-up has been discussed.	
				Hence, we have changed the status of	
				implementation from "REF" to "DIP".	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please describe the institutional arrangements for financial stability and macroprudential policy in your	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				jurisdiction, including whether a macroprudential authority has been explicitly identified and the respective roles and responsibilities of the central bank and other authorities.	
				Banking The macro-prudential authority has not yet been created. Until the Spanish legislation regarding the macroprudential authority is approved,	
				Banco the España will exercise the macroprudential functions as regards the	
				banking system. 2. If a macroprudential authority has been explicitly identified in your jurisdiction, please describe its legal basis, mandate, composition, powers (warnings, recommendations, prudential tools, powers of direction, other) and accountability arrangements. Who provides the resources and analytical support for the authority's activities?	
				3. Is there an inter-agency body on financial stability or macroprudential matters — distinct from the designated macroprudential authority — in your jurisdiction? If so, please describe its legal basis, mandate, composition, powers and accountability arrangements. Who provides the resources and analytical support for its activities?	
				No inter-agency body on financial stability or macroprudential matters has been formally established in Spain so far.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				4. Please describe the extent to which the macroprudential authority (or other relevant body) is able to collect information on material financial institutions, markets and instruments in order to assess potential systemic risks. In your response, please indicate whether the authorities involved in systemic risk monitoring have specific legal powers to collect information from financial institutions (whether regulated or not) for financial stability purposes, and whether there exist dedicated information gateways (e.g. Memorandum of Understanding) to share such information among relevant authorities.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12 (14)	Enhancing system-wide monitoring and the use of macro-prudential instruments	Authorities should use quantitative indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for supervisory purposes. Authorities should use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution-specific and at the macro-prudential (system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009) We are developing macro-prudential policy frameworks and tools to limit the build-up of risks in the financial sector, building on the ongoing work of the FSB-BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes) Authorities should monitor substantial changes in asset prices and their implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)	Please describe at a high level (including by making reference to financial stability or other reports, where available) the types of methodologies, indicators and tools used to assess systemic risks. Please indicate the use of macroprudential tools in the past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and apply them. See, for reference, the following documents: • CGFS report on Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments (Dec 2012) • FSB-IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011) • IMF staff papers on Macroprudential policy, an organizing framework (Mar 2011), Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy (Jun 2013), and Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy (Dec 2014)	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 1 January 2014 (CRD IV/CRR) Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Banking At the national level, Banco de España is working on several forward-looking methodologies to identify risks and guide the macroprudential policy. First, Banco de España has developed a forward looking tool (FLESB or forward looking exercise on Spanish banks) that allows	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Web-links to relevant documents: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain]



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				evaluating the solvency position of	
				Spanish banks in the face of different	
				macroeconomic scenarios. In addition,	
				Banco de España is working on a	
				CAMELS model to estimate a forward-	
				looking measure of banks' default	
				probability. Finally, Banco de España is	
				developing a risk dashboard based on a	
				comprehensive set of indicators with the	
				final goal of generating early warning	
				signals for emerging vulnerabilities. In	
				addition, on the basis of the new EU	
				prudential rules for banks (CRDIV/CRR)	
				that entered into force on 1 January 2014,	
				Banco de España can apply a set of	
				instruments to address macroprudential	
				risks more efficiently.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please describe, at a high level, the types of methodologies, indicators and reports used in your jurisdiction to identify, analyse, communicate and address systemic risks.	
				Banking At the national level, Banco de	
				España is working on several forward-	
				looking methodologies to identify risks	
				and guide the macroprudential policy,	
				which will be used as input for the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Financial Stability Report. First, Banco	
				de España has developed a forward	
				looking tool (FLESB or forward looking	
				exercise on Spanish banks) that allows	
				evaluating the solvency position of	
				Spanish banks in the face of different	
				macroeconomic scenarios. In addition,	
				Banco de España is working on a	
				CAMELS model to estimate a forward-	
				looking measure of banks' defaults.	
				Finally, Banco de España is developing a	
				risk dashboard based on a comprehensive	
				set of indicators with the final goal of	
				generating early warning signals for	
				emerging vulnerabilities. Securities	
				CNMV carries out regular financial	
				stability analyses based on the	
				information gathered from the regular	
				supervisory process (periodic financial	
				reporting of financial institutions, off-site	
				and on-site supervision) and other	
				information provided by external entities.	
				In particular, CNMV performs liquidity,	
				funding, market and credit risk and	
				solvency analyses. To this aim, an ample	
				variety of indicators have been	
				developed. It also carries out analyses on	
				developments in other parts of the	
				financial system with a direct or indirect	
				connection with the securities markets	

α	•
	naın
\sim	Jam

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				(e.g. credit, banks' funding, households'	
				savings patterns, etc.). In the context of	
				financial contagion, CNMV has a set of	
				indicators measuring correlations as well	
				as spillovers across markets and asset	
				classes. In addition, CNMV has	
				performed recently some work on the	
				design of some heat maps and an	
				indicator to measure stress in the whole	
				financial system has been developed.	
				Network theory is also being used to	
				explore the existing linkages in the stock	
				exchange market. CNMV collects the	
				information from financial accounts and	
				other financial market indicators. Large	
				exposures and market shares are helpful	
				in the analysis. Part of this information is	
				published regularly (in the Quarterly	
				Bulletin of CNMV) or occasionally (in	
				CNMV Working Paper series). The most	
				relevant CNMV publications regarding	
				financial stability and systemic risks are	
				the following: (i) The "Securities	
				markets and their agents: situation and	
				outlook" report, published in the	
				Quarterly Bulletin of CNMV, provides an	
				overview of the Spanish securities	
				markets and their participants. This semi-	
				annual report takes into account the	
				relevant international context and focuses	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				on the relevant risks factors affecting	
				prices and volumes in the main trading	
				venues, the performance of securities	
				markets intermediaries and investment	
				vehicles (mutual funds, SICAV, hedge	
				funds and venture capital). (ii) Annual	
				Report. The Annual Report of CNMV	
				(chapter 1) analyses the main macro-	
				financial developments of the preceding	
				year and identifies the most important	
				risks on the international and domestic	
				context. (iii) Ad-hoc documents. Finally,	
				CNMV carries out some specific studies	
				over particular areas of interest that are	
				published as CNMV working papers.	
				Here is a list of the most recent research	
				studies that are involved in some respect	
				with financial stability or systemic risks:	
				Cambón M.I. and Leticia Estévez	
				(2015). A Spanish Financial Market	
				Stress Index (FMSI). CNMV working	
				paper series n 60.	
				http://www.cnmv.es/DocPortal/Publicaci	
				ones/MONOGRAFIAS/Monografia_60_	
				en.pdf • Peralta, G. (2015). Network-	
				based Measures as Leading Indicators of	
				Market Instability: The case of the	
				Spanish Stock Market. CNMV working	
				paper series n 59.	
				http://www.cnmv.es/DocPortal/Publicaci	



noin	٠ •	α
SDAIII	bain	5

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				ones/MONOGRAFIAS/Monografia_59e	
				n.pdf	
				2. Please describe the range of policy tools (prudential and other) currently available to the authorities for macroprudential purposes. ²	
				Banking There are a number of macro-	
				prudential tools for banks harmonised	
				under EU law, mainly capital-related	
				(Counter-cyclical Capital Buffer,	
				Systemic Risk Buffer etc). Other tools	
				are governed by national law (LTV, LTI	
				etc). Securities Regarding the asset	
				management sector, we have already in	
				place a number of tools for general	
				prudential supervision, and which, given	
				their nature, can be used for	
				macroprudential purposes: - Capital	
				requirements to asset managers. Their	
				minimum capital requirement is	
				proportional to the amount of assets	
				under management. When the asset	
				manager manages alternative funds,	
				additional capital is requested to mitigate	
				possible operational risk Availability	
				of data. Collective Investment Schemes	

An indicative list of such tools can be found in "Macroprudential Policy Tools and Frameworks – Progress Report to the G20" by the FSB, IMF and BIS (October 2011, http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/wp-content/uploads/r 111027b.pdf); "Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy" (December 2014, http://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/eng/2014/110614.pdf) by IMF staff; and "Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments" (December 2012, http://www.bis.org/publ/cgfs48.pdf) by the CGFS.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				are subject to comprehensive reporting to	
				CNMV on their investment portfolios.	
				This includes identification of any asset,	
				valuation as of the end of the month,	
				daily net asset value, daily subscription	
				and redemptions, derivatives investments,	
				counterparty information, leverage and	
				counterparty risk. This information	
				allows an ongoing monitoring of outflows	
				and the valuation procedures followed by	
				the managers Regarding liquidity	
				management, management companies	
				should set an appropriate liquidity policy	
				regarding each CIS managed, aimed at	
				ensuring that its management strategy and	
				liquidity profile matches its redemption	
				policy. Stress tests should be carried out	
				to test the resilience of the CIS to	
				redemptions Additionally,	
				management companies may resort to	
				different tools to deal with redemptions	
				pressures. We can distinguish between	
				ordinary and extraordinary tools.	
				Ordinary tools are: 1) Swing prices.	
				Asset managers' valuation procedures	
				may contemplate the possibility of	
				assessment using offer prices whenever	
				redemptions pressure appears. 2) It is	
				also possible to set a redemption fee to	
				compensate the cost of disinvestment. 3)	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Ten-day notice period may be requested	
				when redemptions by one investor	
				exceeds 300.000 €. Extraordinary tools	
				can be used in extraordinary	
				circumstances. These include: 4) Side	
				pockets where the illiquid assets are put	
				aside. 5) Temporary suspension of	
				redemptions, when it is not possible to	
				determine unit's fair value or a "force	
				majeure" event occurs. 6) Paying	
				redemptions in kind. In case of Hedge	
				Funds, gates and lock-up periods are also	
				allowed. Regarding broker-dealers and	
				dealers, they are subject to the same	
				prudential regulation as banks, including	
				the Basel buffers contained in Directive	
				2013/36, recently transposed into Spanish	
				legislation through Royal Decree	
				358/2015 in the case of investment	
				services companies (modifying article	
				101 of Royakl Decree 217/2008 on	
				investment services companies).	
				Nevertheless, broker-dealers do not give	
				loans and the overall size of their assets	
				under management does not have	
				systemic relevance (they barely represent	
				0.3% of financial assets of resident	
				banks).	
				3. Please indicate which tools	
				have been deployed for	
				macroprudential purposes over the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and apply them.	
				Banking No instrument has been activated over the last year, since the indicators described in question 1 support a neutral macroprudential policy stance at the moment. Securities Over the last year, we have not deployed tools for	
				specific macroprudential concerns. 4. Please describe whether and, if so, how the relevant authorities assess the ex ante cost and benefits of macroprudential policies and their ex post effectiveness.	
				Banking Banco de España has analysed in the past the impact and effectiveness of previous measures with a macroprudential interpretation, such as dynamic provisioning. In addition, Banco de España is currently working, in the context of the FSC and the ESRB in the development of new models to assess the costs and benefits of CRD IV/CRR	
				macroprudential policies, as well as their effectiveness.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V.	Improving oversight of	f credit rating agencies (CRAs)			
13 (16)	Enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	All CRAs whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes should be subject to a regulatory oversight regime that includes	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment 	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	CRAs	regulatory oversight regime that includes registration. The regulatory oversight regime should be established by end 2009 and should be consistent with the IOSCO Code of Conduct Fundamentals. (London) National authorities will enforce compliance and require changes to a rating agency's practices and procedures for managing conflicts of interest and assuring the transparency and quality of the rating process. CRAs should differentiate ratings for structured products and provide full disclosure of their ratings track record and the information and assumptions that underpin the ratings process. The oversight framework should be consistent across jurisdictions with	including registration, oversight and sharing of information between national authorities. They should also indicate their consistency with the following IOSCO document: • Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies (Mar 2015) Jurisdictions may also refer to the following IOSCO documents: • Principle 22 of Principles and Objectives of Securities Regulation (Jun 2010) which calls for registration and oversight programs for CRAs • Statement of Principles Regarding the Activities of Credit Rating Agencies (Sep 2003) • Final Report on Supervisory Colleges	at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 7 October 2009 Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Web-links to relevant documents:
		appropriate sharing of information between national authorities, including through IOSCO. (London) Regulators should work together towards appropriate, globally compatible solutions (to conflicting compliance obligations for CRAs) as early as possible	for Credit Rating Agencies (Jul 2013)	[The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Securities EU Regulation is directly applicable to CRAs. It includes registration and supervision of CRAs. ESMA has been entrusted with centralized supervision on CRAS in the EU. ESMA also drafts	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		in 2010. (FSB 2009)		Regulatory Technical Standards and	
		We encourage further steps to enhance		guidelines and recommendations.	
		transparency and competition among		Furthermore, the Regulation mandates	
		credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)		ESMA to maintain a central repository	
		oreans running agentation (201 over 20 ung)		where information on the past	
				performances of CRAs and information	
				about credit ratings issued in the past are	
				to be kept and made public. Regarding	
				the consistency of the EU CRA	
				Regulation with the recently updated	
				IOSCO CRA Code of Conduct, it is	
				worth mentioning that the review of the	
				Code was decided on the basis of	
				updating the Code in line with the CRA	
				Regulatory programs lately introduced or	
				amended in a number of jurisdictions, so	
				that it could work in harmony with them.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 14 (17)	Description Reducing the reliance on ratings	We also endorsed the FSB's principles on reducing reliance on external credit ratings. Standard setters, market participants, supervisors and central banks should not rely mechanistically on external credit ratings. (Seoul) Authorities should check that the roles that they have assigned to ratings in regulations and supervisory rules are consistent with the objectives of having investors make independent judgment of risks and perform their own due diligence, and that they do not induce uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a substitute for that independent evaluation. (Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008) We reaffirm our commitment to reduce authorities' and financial institutions' reliance on external credit ratings, and call on standard setters, market participants, supervisors and central banks to implement the agreed FSB principles and end practices that rely mechanistically on these ratings. (Cannes) We call for accelerated progress by national authorities and standard setting bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the steps they are taking to address the recommendations of the May 2014 FSB thematic peer review report on the implementation of the FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance on Credit Ratings, including by implementing their agreed action plans. Jurisdictions may refer to the following documents: • FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance on CRA Ratings (Oct 2010) • FSB Roadmap for Reducing Reliance on CRA Ratings (Nov 2012) • BCBS Consultative Document Revisions to the Standardised Approach for credit risk (Dec 2014)	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: 21 May 2013 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Securities The Spanish Legal Framework has recently incorporated the provisions set out in the Directive 2013/14/EU regarding over-reliance on credit ratings.	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		would enhance transparency of and		Accordingly, Article 106 of the Royal	
		competition among credit rating agencies.		Decree with the Supplementary	
		(Los Cabos)		Regulation of Spanish Collective	
				Investment Schemes (Real Decreto	
		We call on national authorities and		1082/2012) has been amended (in	
		standard setting bodies to accelerate		February 2015) to incorporate, among	
		progress in reducing reliance on credit		others, that "Every management company	
		rating agencies, in accordance with the		should employ a risk-management	
		FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)		process that enables it to monitor and	
				measure at any time the risk of the	
				positions and their contribution to the	
				overall risk profile of the portfolio. In	
				particular, it shall not solely or	
				mechanistically rely on credit ratings	
				issued by credit rating agencies as	
				defined in Article 3(1)(b) of Regulation	
				(EC) No 1060/2009 of the European	
				Parliament and of the Council of 16	
				September 2009 on credit rating agencies	
				for assessing the creditworthiness of the	
				assets." (Article 106) Additionally,	
				Article 71 septies (6) of the Collective	
				Investment Schemes Law (Ley 35/200)	
				amended in November of 2014, sets out	
				that "taking into account the nature, scale	
				and complexity of the investments	
				managed, the CNMV shall monitor the	
				adequacy of the asset manager's credit	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				assessment processes, assess the use of	
				references to credit ratings in the	
				investment policies and, where	
				appropriate, encourage the mitigation of	
				the impact of such references, with a	
				view to reducing sole and mechanistic	
				reliance on such credit ratings. Article	
				106 of the Royal Decree with the	
				Supplementary Regulation of CIS and Art	
				71 septies of CIS Law (Ley 35/2003)	
				apply to asset managers who manage	
				both UCITS and Alternative Investment	
				Funds, Identical provisions are included	
				in Articles 62.3 and Article 87.6. of the	
				Law 22/2014 on Private Equity and	
				Venture Capital.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2015/02/14/p dfs/BOE-A-2015-1454.pdf http://www.boe.es/buscar/pdf/2003/BOE- A-2003-20331-consolidado.pdf http://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2014/11/13/p dfs/BOE-A-2014-11714.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VI.	Enhancing and alignin	g accounting standards			
15 (18)	Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards	Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington)	Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB or are otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Analysis-of-the-G20-IFRS-profiles.aspx .	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 1 January 2005 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Banking Banco de España participates in international accounting groups, at EBA and Basel level, to interact with accounting standard setters (IASB, FASB). Bank of Spain is	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				accounting for individual credit	
				institutions. The accounting legislation is	
				in line with the IFRS framework. At	
				consolidated level entities apply the	
				international accounting standards	
				endorsed by the European Commission.	
				Securities ESMA's work in this area is	
				carried out by the Corporate Reporting	
				Standing Committee and by the EECS.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
16 (19)	Appropriate application of Fair Value Accounting	Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine the use of valuation reserves or adjustments for fair valued financial instruments when data or modelling needed to support their valuation is weak. (Rec. 3.4, FSF 2009) Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine possible changes to relevant standards to dampen adverse dynamics potentially associated with fair value accounting. Possible ways to reduce this potential impact include the following: (1) Enhancing the accounting model so that the use of fair value accounting is carefully examined for financial instruments of credit intermediaries; (ii) Transfers between financial asset categories; (iii) Simplifying hedge accounting requirements. (Rec 3.5, FSF 2009)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for appropriate application of fair value accounting. Although not an application of fair value accounting, jurisdictions should additionally be mindful of implementation issues arising from the new accounting requirements for expected loan loss provisioning for impaired loans that are being introduced by the IASB and the FASB, and, for those jurisdictions where specific action is needed to foster transparent and consistent implementation, set out any steps they intend to take. See, for reference, the following BCBS documents: • Basel 2.5 standards on prudent valuation (Jul 2009) • Supervisory guidance for assessing banks' financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009)	Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : Implementation completed as of: 1 January 2013 (IFRS 13) Issue is being addressed through : Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation /Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation / regulation/guideline: The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain Banking The International Accounting Standards adopted by the European Commission are valid in Spain. Among them, IFRS 13 is included. Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



***	5 1.4	CAN FIGH H	n ,	D 4 1 4	NI 4 4
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VII.	Enhancing risk manag	gement			
17	Enhancing guidance to	Regulators should develop enhanced	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(20)	strengthen banks' risk	guidance to strengthen banks' risk	measures taken to enhance guidance to	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
	management practices,	management practices, in line with	strengthen banks' risk management	at the moment	Banking In Spain, a new Ministerial
	including on liquidity	international best practices, and should	practices.	☐ Implementation ongoing:	Order is being developed in order to
	and foreign currency	encourage financial firms to re-examine	Jurisdictions may also refer to FSB's	Status of progress:	determine the content, structure and
	funding risks	their internal controls and implement	thematic peer review report on risk	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	requirements of the Annual Governance
		strengthened policies for sound risk	governance (Feb 2013) and the BCBS	publication by:	Report of Banking Foundations.
		management. (Washington)	Peer review of supervisory authorities'	☐ Draft published as of:	
		National supervisors should closely check	implementation of stress testing	☐ Final rule or legislation approved	Web-links to relevant documents:
		banks' implementation of the updated	principles (Apr 2012) and Principles for	and will come into force on:	Web mins to relevant documents.
		guidance on the management and	sound stress testing practices and	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in	
		supervision of liquidity as part of their	supervision (May 2009).	force since :	
		regular supervision. If banks'		✓ Implementation completed as of: 1	
		implementation of the guidance is		January 2014	
		inadequate, supervisors will take more		Issue is being addressed through:	
		prescriptive action to improve practices.		✓ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		(Rec. II.10, FSF 2008)		✓ Regulation /Guidelines	
		Regulators and supervisors in emerging		☐ Other actions (such as supervisory	
		markets ³ will enhance their supervision		actions), please specify:	
		of banks' operation in foreign currency		Short description of the content of the	
		funding markets. (FSB 2009)		legislation/regulation/guideline:	
				The response by the EU Commission is	
		We commit to conduct robust, transparent		also applicable in Spain]	
		stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)		Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				·	

³ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Banking In Spain, new Laws (Law	
				10/2014, 26th June, on supervision and	
				solvency of credit institutions and Royal	
				Decree 84/2015 which develops Law	
				10/2015) have been approved in order to	
				regulate the general legal regime for	
				accessing the status of credit institutions,	
				the adequacy of corporate governance	
				and the supervisory and sanctioning tools	
				to be used by the supervisor. Those	
				regulations aim at the transposition of EU	
				Directives. They introduce	
				improvements in the area of corporate	
				governance and internal organizations for	
				banking groups. In relation to	
				remuneration policies, the Law	
				incorporates the provisions of CRDIV	
				whose main purpose is to ensure that	
				those policies are better aligned with their	
				medium-term risks. Regarding liquidity	
				risk, a delegated act adopted by the	
				Commission has implemented the LCR in	
				the European Union. The requirement of	
				maintaining liquid assets will be	
				introduced in October 2015. Law	
				10/2015 allows Bank of Spain to require	
				additional liquid assets, as a Pillar 2	
				requirement. Additionally, Bank of	



α	•	
5	naın	
\sim	74111	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Spain has adopted the Funding Plans	
				Guidelines issued by the EBA.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 18 (22)	Description Enhanced risk disclosures by financial institutions	Financial institutions should provide enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the status of implementation of the disclosures requirements of IFRSs (in particular IFRS7 and 13) or equivalent. Jurisdictions may also use as reference the recommendations of the October 2012 report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force on Enhancing the Risk Disclosures of Banks and Implementation Progress Report by the EDTF (Aug 2013), and set out any steps they have taken to foster adoption of the EDTF Principles and	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance financial institutions' disclosures of the risks they face, including the ongoing work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force. (St. Petersburg)	Recommendations.	 ☑ Implementation completed as of: 1 January 2013 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Banking Different Guidelines regarding better risk disclosures by financial institutions recently issued by the EBA have been adopted by Banco de España 	



α	•
\n	aın
IJΝ	am

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				over the last year, such as "Guidelines on	
				disclosure of encumbered and	
				unencumbered assets" and "Guidelines	
				on materiality, proprietary and	
				confidentiality and on disclosure	
				frequency".	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VIII.	Strengthening deposit	insurance			
19 (23)	Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements	National deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed international principles, and authorities	Jurisdictions should describe any revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
	insurance arrangements	should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008)	address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 thematic peer review report on deposit insurance systems: • Adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system (for those jurisdictions that do not have one) • Addressing the weaknesses and gaps to full implementation of the Core Principles for Effective Deposit Insurance Systems issued by IADI in November 2014	Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 3 July 2015 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Banking In Spain, the New DGS Directive (Directive 2014/49/EU) was transposed in the same legal text than the	Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Directive 2014/59/EU. This was done	
				through Law 11/2015 (18th of June 2015)	
				of recovery and resolution of credit	
				entities and investment services	
				companies This explains the	
				reclassification of our status of	
				implementation from "IOG" in 2014 to	
				"REF" in 2015.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Banking Spanish Law 11/2015: http://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2015/06/19/p dfs/BOE-A-2015-6789.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IX.	Safeguarding the integ	rity and efficiency of financial markets			
20 (24)	Enhancing market integrity and efficiency	We must ensure that markets serve efficient allocation of investments and savings in our economies and do not pose risks to financial stability. To this end, we commit to implement initial recommendations by IOSCO on market integrity and efficiency, including measures to address the risks posed by high frequency trading and dark liquidity, and call for further work by mid-2012. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should indicate whether high frequency trading and dark pools exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendation in the following IOSCO reports in their regulatory framework: • Regulatory issues raised by changes in market structure (Dec 2013) • Report on Regulatory Issues Raised by the Impact of Technological Changes on Market Integrity and Efficiency (Oct 2011) • Report on Principles for Dark Liquidity (May 2011).	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: 3 July 2016 (MAD) □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Securities Spanish securities regulation, as adapted to EU legislation, already complies with IOSCO's recommendations. Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Web-links to relevant documents: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain]



α	•	
	nain	
$\mathbf{\mathcal{I}}$	Pam	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Following the response by the EU	
				Commission, we have updated our	
				implementation status from "IOG" in	
				2014 to "REF" in 2015.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
21 (25)	Regulation and supervision of commodity markets	We need to ensure enhanced market transparency, both on cash and financial commodity markets, including OTC, and achieve appropriate regulation and supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management powers, including the power to set exante position limits, particularly in the delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012. (Cannes) We also call on Finance ministers to monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO's principles for the regulation and supervision on commodity derivatives markets and encourage broader publishing and unrestricted access to aggregated open interest data. (St. Petersburg)	Jurisdictions should indicate whether commodity markets of any type exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on Principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011). Jurisdictions, in responding to this recommendation, may also make use of the responses contained in the update to the survey published by IOSCO in September 2014 on the principles for the regulation and supervision of commodity derivatives markets.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: 3 January 2017 (MIFID II) / 3 July 2016 (MAR) □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Securities After reaching its expiration date (18th November 2014), MFAO (Spanish olive oil futures market), ended	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Web-links to relevant documents: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain]



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				trading in futures contracts on olive oil as	
				an Official Secondary Market. MFAO,	
				is currently promoting the creation of a	
				Multilateral Trading System, ruled by	
				Part XI (Spanish Securities Market Law),	
				and the establishment of a Brokerage	
				Firm that will facilitate the trading on	
				olive oil futures.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.mfao.es/agenda/destacamos.asp?Id=1891	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
22	Reform of financial	We support the establishment of the	Collection of information on this		
(26)	benchmarks	FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to	recommendation will continue to be		
(20)		coordinate work on the necessary reforms	deferred given the forthcoming FSB		
		of financial benchmarks. We endorse	progress report on implementation of the		
		IOSCO's Principles for Financial	FSB recommendations in this area, and		
		Benchmarks and look forward to reform	ongoing IOSCO work to review the		
		as necessary of the benchmarks used	implementation of the IOSCO Principles		
		internationally in the banking industry	for Financial Benchmarks.		
		and financial markets, consistent with the			
		IOSCO Principles. (St. Petersburg)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
X.	Enhancing financial co	onsumer protection			
23 (27)	Enhancing financial consumer protection	We agree that integration of financial consumer protection policies into regulatory and supervisory frameworks contributes to strengthening financial stability, endorse the FSB report on consumer finance protection and the high level principles on financial consumer protection prepared by the OECD together with the FSB. We will pursue the full application of these principles in our jurisdictions. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD's G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection (Oct 2011). Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD's September 2013 and September 2014 reports on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High-level Principles.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: Period between 2011 and 2015 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Over the last years, there has been a comprehensive overhaul of the national regulation on financial consumer protection. 1) Clear rules on consumer rights have been issued through: a. Law 47/2007, of	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain] Web-links to relevant documents: [The response by the EU Commission is also applicable in Spain]



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				19 November, amending Law 24/1988, of	
				28 July, on the securities market. b.	
				Law 1/2013, of 14 May, on measures to	
				strengthen protection for mortgage	
				borrowers, debt restructuring and social	
				rent. c. Royal Decree 217/2008, of 15	
				February, on the legal status of	
				investment service companies and other	
				entities providing investment services and	
				partially amending the Regulation of Law	
				35/2003 of 4 November on collective	
				investment institutions approved by	
				Royal Decree 1309/2005 of 4 November.	
				d. Order EHA/1717/2010, of 11 June,	
				of regulation and control over	
				advertisements for investment services	
				and products. e. Order	
				EHA/1718/2010, of 11 June, of	
				regulation and control over	
				advertisements of banking services and	
				products. f. Order EHA/2899/2011,	
				of 28 October, on transparency and client	
				protection in banking services. As	
				regards the recognition of the rights of	
				consumers in the financial sector,	
				improvements have been made both in	
				the banking field, and the securities	
				market. Orders 1717/2010 and	
				1718/2010 regulate the advertising of	
				investment services and products and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				banking services and products and	
				establish a control system by BdE and	
				CNMV respectively. In particular in the	
				banking sector, Order EHA/2899/2011 is	
				seeking to alleviate the effects of the	
				informational disadvantage, promote	
				transparency in the relationship between	
				bank and consumer throughout the entire	
				negotiation with the bank. Furthermore,	
				in the field of securities markets, the Law	
				47/2007 of 19 November, amending Law	
				24/1988, of 28 July, on the securities	
				market transposes three European	
				directives: Directive 2004/39/EC,	
				Directive 2006/73/EC and Directive	
				2006/49/EC, though only the	
				transposition of the first one was	
				completed through Law 42/2007. Much	
				of the remaining two Directives were	
				transposed by Royal Decree 217/2008, of	
				15 February, on the legal status of	
				investment service companies and other	
				entities providing investment services and	
				partially amending Law 35/2003 of 4	
				November on collective investment	
				institutions approved by Royal Decree	
				1309/2005 of 4 November. Finally, Law	
				1/2013 strengthens the protection of the	
				mortgagor, to require that the deed	
				contains a handwritten expression in	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				which the borrower recognizes that it has	
				been properly revealed that he/she was	
				warned of the potential risks arising from	
				the contract when there is a limitations to	
				the variability interest rate, or a hedging	
				instrument of interest rate risk, or the loan	
				is contracted in foreign currency. 2)	
				Improving the out-of-court dispute	
				settlement system: a. 11th final	
				provision of Law 2/2011 of 4 March, on	
				sustainable economy. b. Order	
				ECC/2502/2012, of 16 November,	
				regulating the procedure for submitting	
				complaints to the complaints service of	
				BdE, CNMV and DGSyFP The	
				consumer protection system of the	
				financial sector was improved in Spain by	
				11th final provision of Law 2/2011 of 4	
				March, on sustainable economy, which	
				amends Law 44/2002, of 22 November,	
				on measures to reform the financial	
				system, giving a new regulatory system	
				of consumer complaints in the field of	
				financial system. This regulation gave	
				legal basis both to customer services	
				(internal departments of credit institutions	
				that are entrusted with the first-instance	
				decision of the complaints of consumers)	
				and the complaints services laid down in	
				public bodies which are entrusted with	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the supervision of financial institutions	
				(BdE, CNMV and DGSyFP), acting as a	
				second instance of complaint.	
				Furthermore, this law was developed by	
				Orden ECC/2502/2012, which lays down	
				the procedure governing the complaints	
				services.	
				Finally, these three complaints services	
				are members of FIN-NET. FIN-NET is a	
				cross-border network of out-of-court	
				resolution of disputes in the financial	
				sector, which aims to solve disputes	
				between consumers and financial services	
				providers. This network was launched by	
				the European Commission in 2001 with	
				Recommendation 98/257/EC of 30 March	
				1998 on the principles applicable to the	
				bodies responsible for out-of-court	
				settlement of consumer disputes. Draft	
				Ministerial Order on information	
				obligation and classification on financial	
				products, published for public	
				consultation in May 2015. This	
				Ministerial Order setsout the information	
				that must be provided to the consumer	
				regarding de complexity and liquidity of	
				the financial product, including color-	
				coded traffic light labels Banking PAD	
				Banco de España has been designated as	
				the national competent authority in Spain	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				for the purposes of this Directive.	
				Securities Spanish securities regulation,	
				as adapted to EU legislation, already	
				complies with OECD's principles. In	
				addition, CNMV is responsible for	
				preserving investor protection in carrying	
				out its duties as supervisor of securities	
				markets. CNMV is also in charge of	
				handling investor complaints and is a	
				member of FIN-NET for EU cooperation	
				and coordination. CNMV together with	
				Banco de España and DGSFP promote	
				the Financial Education Plan which is	
				being carried out since 2008. At EU	
				level, investor protection is a core ESMA	
				objective. As a result, financial education	
				is in the Spanish policy agenda. During	
				the last few years, an important expertise	
				has been accumulated in different areas in	
				the framework of the Spanish national	
				strategy, which has led to a greater	
				consolidation of the strategy and has	
				fostered the commitment of the	
				authorities and the private stakeholders	
				for the near future. The activities	
				performed and the main actions for the	
				future, are included in the Financial	
				Education Plan. Insurance	
				20/2015, of 14th July, on Ordination,	
				Supervision and Solvency of Insurance	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Undertakings.(DAF). Regarding	
				consumer protection issues, it establishes	
				the legal regime applicable to Insurance	
				Market Conduct and Insurance Market	
				Conduct Supervision.	
				1490/2011, 24th October on mediators'	
				professional qualifications.(FIF). This	
				Royal Decree set out conditions with	
				regard to knowledge and ability in line	
				with the activity of insurance or	
				reinsurance mediation and the products	
				distributed, particularly if the principal	
				professional activity of the intermediary	
				is other than insurance mediation.	
				Royal Decrees 1684/2007, 14th	
				December, and 681/2014, 1 August, on	
				modification of the regulation on plans	
				and pension funds (Royal Decree	
				304/2004, 20 th February). These Royal	
				Decrees contain level 2 regulation on	
				reporting obligations for consumers and	
				participants, selling activity and transfer	
				of pensions rights procedures .	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Draft Ministerial Order on information	
				obligation and classification on financial	
				products, published for public	
				consultation in May 2015. This	
				Ministerial Order setsout the information	



α	•
21	oain
~	J

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				that must be provided to the consumer	
				regarding de complexity and liquidity of	
				the financial product, including color-	
				coded traffic light labels.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Financial and investor education: http://www.finanzasparatodos.es/ http://www.finanzasparatodos.es/gepeese http://www.cnmv.es/portal/Inversor/Indic e.aspx Financial Education Plan 2013- 2017 http://www.cnmv.es/DocPortal/Publicaci ones/PlanEducacion/Planeducacion_een2 en.pdf	



Spain

XI. Source of recommendations:

Brisbane: G20 Leaders' Communique (15-16 November 2014)

St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)

Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)

Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)

Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)

Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)

Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)

London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)

Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)

FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)

FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)

FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)

FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)

XII. <u>List of Abbreviations used:</u>

CNMV-Comisión Nacional del Mercado de Valores (Spanish supervisory authority for securities, stock markets and investment services companies)

DGSyFP-Dirección General de Seguros y Fondos de Pensiones (Spanish supervisory authority for insurance companies and pension funds)