

Jurisdiction:

Russia

2015 IMN Survey of National Progress in the Implementation of G20/FSB Recommendations

- I. Hedge funds
- II. Securitisation
- III. Enhancing supervision
- IV. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools
- V. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VI. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VII. Enhancing risk management
- VIII. Strengthening deposit insurance
 - IX. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
 - X. Enhancing financial consumer protection
 - XI. Reference to source of recommendations
- **XII.** List of Abbreviations



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I.	Hedge funds				
	•	gistration, propriate disclosures an internationally consistent and non-discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul)	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles contained in IOSCO's <i>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</i> . In particular, jurisdictions should specify whether: - Hedge Funds (HFs) and/or HF managers are subject to mandatory registration - Registered HF managers are subject to appropriate ongoing requirements regarding: • Organisational and operational	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: 01.09.2013	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London)	standards; Conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; Disclosure to investors; and Prudential regulation.	Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Regulation of hedge funds in the Russian Federation is based on the Federal Law No. 156-FZ of 29/11/2001 "On Investment Funds" (hereinafter Federal Law No. 156-FZ), Order of the Federal Financial Market Service (FFMS) No. 10-79/pz-n of 28/12/2010 "On the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Approval of Regulations on the	
				Composition and Structure of Assets of	
				Joint-Stock Investment Funds and of	
				Assets of Share Investment Funds"	
				(hereinafter FFMS Order No. 10-79/pz-	
				n) and FFMS Order No. 09-45/pz-n of	
				10/11/2009 "On Endorsing the	
				Regulations on Reducing (Limiting) the	
				Risks Relating to the Trust	
				Administration of the Assets of Unit	
				Investment Trusts, the Placement of	
				Pension Reserves, the Investment of	
				Accumulated Pension Resources and of	
				the Funds Accumulated to Provide	
				Housing to Military Servicemen and	
				Also on Endorsing Amendments to Some	
				Normative Legal Acts of the Federal	
				Financial Market Service" (hereinafter	
				FFMS Order No. 09-45/pz-n). Hedge	
				funds which are established as joint-stock	
				companies are also regulated by Federal	
				Law No. 208-FZ of 26/12/1995 "On	
				Joint-Stock Companies". Joint-stock	
				investment funds, asset management	
				companies and specialized depositories	
				are subject to mandatory licensing.	
				Hedge funds managers are to be licensed	
				by the Bank of Russia. Hedge funds are	
				only destined to qualified investors.	
				Hedge funds have to submit reports to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the Bank of Russia on a regular basis.	
				The FFMS Order No. 10-79/pz-n sets	
				requirements to asset structure of hedge	
				funds and defines eligible forms of hedge	
				funds (only joint-stock investment funds,	
				closed-end or interval unit funds).	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Requirement regarding capital adequacy	
				of hedge funds managers is now	
				prescribed by the Direction of the Bank	
				of Russia N 3329-U of 21/07/2014 -	
				effective as of 01/09/2014. The size of	
				the requirement remained unchanged.	
				New rules regarding net asset value	
				estimation for mutual and hedge funds	
				have been implemented under Direction	
				of the Bank of Russia N 3758-U of	
				25/08/2015 effective as of 01/01/2016.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please indicate whether Hedge Funds (HFs) are domiciled locally and, if available, the size of the industry in terms of Assets under Management and number of HFs.	
				In 2015 total number of mutual funds in	
				Russia extended by 19 up to 1553 entities	
				(1534 in FY 2014). Total net asset value	
				denominated in rubles increased by 7%	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				(Y-o-Y) between 2014 year end and 1st	
				half of 2015 up to 2,28 trln of rubles (\$41	
				bn) or 15,4% in comparison with	
				respective period in 2014. There was an	
				upturn in net asset value by 16,4% up to	
				115.2 bn of rubles (\$2.08 bn) across	
				mutual funds considered as shadow	
				banking entities by the FSB (hedge	
				funds, money market funds, credit	
				investment funds). In terms of	
				interconnectedness of mutual funds and	
				banking sector, total ruble-denominated	
				bank deposit liabilities of mutual funds	
				declined by 24% to 73.3 bn of rubles	
				(\$1.3bn) in 2014. There are	
				approximately 30 hedge funds operating	
				now in Russia.	
				2. Please specify the main criteria and numerical thresholds (if applicable) for subjecting HFs and/or HF managers to mandatory registration.	
				According to Federal Law No. 156-FZ	
				any joint-stock investment fund may	
				operate in Russia only if the fund has the	
				appropriate licence issued by the Bank of	
				Russia. Federal Law No. 156-FZ also	
				provides for mandatory registration of	
				the rules of trust management of unit	
				investment funds (including closed-end	
				and interval unit funds). In order to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				provide management of a unit investment	
				fund managers must have an appropriate	
				licence issued by the Bank of Russia.	
				3. Please specify whether registered HF managers are subject to ongoing requirements regarding organisational and operational standards; conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; disclosure to investors; and prudential regulation. If any of these requirements are not applicable, please explain.	
				According to Federal Law No. 86-FZ of	
				10/07/2002 "On the Central Bank of the	
				Russia Federation (the Bank of Russia)"	
				since 1 September 2013 the Bank of	
				Russia is empowered to regulate, control	
				and supervise the activities of joint-stock	
				investment funds, asset managers of	
				investment funds, unit investment funds	
				and specialized depositories. Ongoing	
				requirements regarding organisational	
				and operational standards for registered	
				hedge funds managers are set by Federal	
				Law No. 156-FZ (some requirements are	
				to be prescribed by the Bank of Russia).	
				According to Federal Law No. 156-FZ:	
				The Bank of Russia is responsible for	
				regulation of activities of joint-stock	
				investment funds and asset management	
				companies. The Bank of Russia sets	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				requirements concerning activities	
				(operations) of asset management	
				companies and specialized depositories	
				and requirements on activities	
				(operations) which, according to Federal	
				laws, may be conducted only in	
				accordance with a license of asset	
				management company or a license of	
				specialized depository; sets requirements	
				concerning rules of maintaining of	
				registers of investments units holders,	
				internal control rules of asset	
				management companies and specialized	
				depositories; establishes the procedure,	
				terms and forms of submission to the	
				Bank of Russia of reports and	
				notifications of joint-stock investment	
				funds, asset management companies,	
				specialized depositories, as well as	
				persons (entities) which own (provide	
				trust management of) more or equal 5	
				percent of ordinary shares of asset	
				management companies or specialized	
				depositories. The Bank of Russia	
				supervises activities of joint-stock	
				investment funds, asset management	
				companies, specialized depositories,	
				persons (entities) responsible for issuing,	
				redemption and exchange of investment	
				units, registrars of joint-stock investment	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				funds, persons (entities) responsible for	
				managing register accounts of investment	
				units holders; conducts inspections of	
				activities of the aforementioned persons	
				and entities. The Bank of Russia is	
				authorized to issue to joint-stock	
				investment funds, asset management	
				companies, specialized depositories,	
				persons (entities) responsible for the	
				issuance, redemption and exchange of	
				investment units and registrars of joint	
				stock investment funds, and also to	
				persons (entities) responsible for	
				managing register accounts of investment	
				units holders binding orders prescribing	
				disclosure of information (including	
				information, disclosure of which is	
				restricted or prohibited according to	
				federal laws), submission of explanations	
				and documents necessary for	
				performance of functions of the Bank of	
				Russia. The Bank of Russia is authorized	
				to issue binding orders on elimination of	
				violations of the requirements of this	
				Federal Law, regulations of the Bank of	
				Russia; on forbiddance of operations;	
				binding orders to asset management	
				companies or specialized depositories on	
				compensation of actual damage in cases	
				prescribed by law; the Bank of Russia is	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				empowered to appeal to the court in	
				order to protect interests of shareholders	
				of joint stock investment funds and	
				holders of investment units in case of	
				violation of their rights and legitimate	
				interests. According to Federal Law No.	
				156-FZ the Bank of Russia sets rules	
				regarding prevention of conflict of	
				interest of investment funds, including	
				hedge funds. Moreover, conflict of	
				interest is addressed in the Corporate	
				Management Code (Ordinance of the	
				Federal Stock Market Commission No.	
				421/r of 04/04/2002), recommended for	
				the use by the Bank of Russia. On	
				10/04/2014 the Bank of Russia issued	
				Letter No. 06-52/2465 which	
				recommended the new Corporate	
				Governance Code for the use by listed	
				joint-stock companies. Federal Law No.	
				156-FZ also sets requirements regarding	
				disclosure of investment funds'	
				information to investors.	
				4. Please describe the main challenges (where relevant) and any lessons learned in implementing this reform.	
				5. Are you monitoring the effects of this reform in your jurisdiction? If yes, please share the main findings and any related policy initiatives in response to those findings.	







No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
2	Establishment of	We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(3)	international information sharing	for cooperation and information sharing between relevant authorities in order to	made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's <i>Report on Hedge</i>	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
(3)		1	1 0	 □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 16.02.2015 Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: International agreements and memoranda of understanding (including interagency) with foreign regulators concerning the information exchange, including the exchange of confidential information. Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: The Bank of Russia can share 	
				information with foreign regulators according to Article 51 and Article 51.1	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				of the Federal Law No. 86-FZ of	
				10/07/2002 "On the Central Bank of the	
				Russian Federation (Bank of Russia)" -	
				as amended. The Bank of Russia can	
				share information on the basis of bilateral	
				agreements and IOSCO MMoU.	
				Currently the Bank of Russia has	
				bilateral MoUs with the regulators of the	
				following countries: Belarus, Belgium,	
				Brazil, Venezuela, Germany, India,	
				Cyprus, Kyrgyzstan, China, Lichtenstein,	
				Luxembourg, UAE, Oman, Repulic of	
				Korea, Syria, Turkey, Ukraine, France.	
				There are also MoUs with Deutsche	
				Borse AG.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				On 16/02/2015 the Bank of Russia joined	
				the IOSCO MoU. Federal Law No. 432-	
				FZ of 22/12/2014 introduced	
				amendments to Federal Law «On the	
				Central Bank of the Russian Federation	
				(Bank of Russia)» on the disclosure of	
				information to foreign authorities	
				responsible for the resolution of bank	
				holdings and other structures that include	
				credit institutions.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.cbr.ru/eng/press/PR.aspx?file	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				=17022015_184700eng_sbrfr2015-02-	
				17T18_39_22.htm	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3 (4)	Description Enhancing counterparty risk management	G20/FSB Recommendations Supervisors should require that institutions which have hedge funds as their counterparties have effective risk management, including mechanisms to monitor the funds' leverage and set limits for single counterparty exposures. (London)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate specific policy measures taken for enhancing counterparty risk management and strengthening their existing guidance on the management of exposure to leveraged counterparties. In particular, jurisdictions should indicate whether they have implemented principle 2.iii of IOSCO Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009). Jurisdictions should also indicate the steps they are taking to implement the new standards on equity exposures (Capital requirements for banks' equity investments in funds, Dec 2013) by 1 January 2017.	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : □ Implementation completed as of: 28.12.2010 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		Supervisors will strengthen their existing guidance on the management of exposures to leveraged counterparties. (Rec. II.17, FSF 2008)	 BCBS Sound Practices for Banks' Interactions with Highly Leveraged Institutions (Jan 1999) BCBS Banks' Interactions with Highly Leveraged Institutions (Jan 1999) 	Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The appropriate regulation has already been adopted. There are also debt limits set for single counterparty. The FFMS Order No. 10-79/pz-n sets requirements to asset structure of hedge funds. To all banks' equity exposures (direct as well as indirect) the Bank of Russia applies Basel III capital standards: all investments in financial companies are	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				subject to deductions within the certain	
				thresholds. To the part of the investments	
				not deducted from the banks' capital	
				higher risk weight is applied (250%).	
				Investments in nonfinancial companies	
				should be risk-weighted for 1000% if the	
				certain limits of such holdings are	
				exceeded.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Direction of the Bank of Russia No.	
				3401-U of 30/09/2014 introduced	
				amendments to Instruction of the Bank of	
				Russia of 03/12/2012 No. 139-I "On	
				minimum requirements for banks". In	
				particular, there have been changes to the	
				risks calculation rules which are used for	
				the assessment of assets when calculating	
				capital adequacy ratios. Changes bear on	
				securities exposures. Direction of the	
				Bank of Russia of 30/05/2014 No. 3268-	
				U amended Instruction of the Bank of	
				Russia No. 139-I and introduced changes	
				into the calculation rules of the	
				maximum limit of large credit risks, viz.	
				into 1) the bank requirements to a	
				borrower which participates in more than	
				one group of related borrowers, and 2)	
				securities operations. Direction of the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Bank of Russia of 16/12/2014 No. 3490-	
				U introduced new compulsory standard	
				for credit institutions – maximum risk	
				amount of a related party/ group of	
				related parties to a bank. This standard	
				will become effective from 01/01/16. As	
				well, clarifications have been made to the	
				procedure for the calculation of the	
				maximum risk amount per one borrower	
				or a group of related borrowers. In 2014	
				CLS Bank International established	
				correspondent relations with the Bank of	
				Russia. The aim is to facilitate	
				settlements in Russian roubles. In order	
				to improve transparency of risk	
				management practices of all non-bank	
				financial institutions, under Regulation	
				496-P 'On National accounting standards	
				of hedging activities conducted by non-	
				credit financial institutions' of	
				05/10/2015– effective as of 01/01/2018,	
				all non-bank financial institutions are	
				obliged to reflect instruments for hedging	
				in their accounting statements. Hence, all	
				exposures would be clearly defined.	
				Accounts receivables, excepting those	
				that resulted from securitization activities	
				or activities with the Bank of Russia,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				might be considered as eligible collateral	
				under Ordinance No.483-P 'On	
				procedures for credit risk exposure	
				estimation based on Internal Rating-	
				Based approach' of 06/08/2015 effective	
				as of 01/10/2015. Provisions to credit	
				risk are calculated with plain IRB, when	
				banks calculate itself only PD component	
				(probability of default) or advanced	
				internal model for calculation PD, LGD	
				(loss given default), EAD (exposure at	
				default) and debt maturity profiles.	
				Under Ordinance No.3624-U 'On	
				requirements for risk management	
				system and capital provisions for deposit-	
				taking institutions and bank holdings' of	
				15/04/2015 effective as of 26/06/2015,	
				DTI (parent company of banking group)	
				should mitigate concentration risk above	
				certain threshold by enhancing risk-	
				sharing activities of concentration risk	
				such as securitization activities. Under	
				Ordinance No.3624-U risk management	
				procedures should be compliant with	
				Ordinance as of 31/12/2015 for large	
				DTI (assets more 500 million of rubles)	
				and 31/12/2016 for others DTI and large	
				bank holdings.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I	. Securitisation				
4 (6)	Strengthening of regulatory and capital framework for monolines	Insurance supervisors should strengthen the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured credit. (Rec II.8, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for strengthening the regulatory and capital framework for monolines.	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
	monomics	(Rec 11.8, 1'31' 2008)		☐ Implementation ongoing:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			See, for reference, the following principles issued by IAIS:	Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
			• <u>ICP 13</u> – Reinsurance and Other Forms of Risk Transfer;	☐ Draft published as of:	
			• <u>ICP 15</u> – Investments; and	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
			• <u>ICP 17</u> - Capital Adequacy.	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
			Jurisdictions may also refer to:IAIS <u>Guidance paper on enterprise</u>	☑ Implementation completed as of: 02.07.2012	
			risk management for capital	Issue is being addressed through:	
			adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			2008).Joint Forum document on <i>Mortgage</i>	☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
			insurance: market structure,	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			underwriting cycle and policy implications (Aug2013).	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Requirements are set by legislation and regulations. The legal framework for	
				conducting insurance business is	
				established by Law of 22/11/1992	
				No.4015-1 "On organization of insurance business in the Russian Federation".	
				Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
II.	Securitisation				
				year's survey:	
				Order of the Ministry of Finance of the	
				Russian Federation No. 101n of	
				02/07/2012 became void. The Bank of	
				Russia issued Direction of 16/11/2014	
				No. 3444-U «On the procedure for the	
				insurer's own funds (capital) investment	
				and a list of the eligible assets».	
				Ordinance No.3743-U 'On procedures for	
				capital requirements and incurred	
				liabilities calculations applied for	
				insurance companies' of 29/07/2015	
				prescribes capital adequacy rules, under	
				which, effective solvency margin rate	
				(including residual value of subordinated	
				loans) must be higher than prescribed	
				capital ratio for security companies.	
				Capital adequacy rules that are in line	
				with ICP 17 are effective as of	
				29/08/2015.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 5 (7)	Description Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	G20/FSB Recommendations Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18, FSF 2008)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance product. Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's report on Good Practices in Relation to Investment Managers' Due Diligence	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in 	Next steps If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			When Investing in Structured Finance Instruments (Jul 2009). Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint Forum report on Credit Risk Transfer- Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul 2008).	force since: ☐ Implementation completed as of: November 2014 Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Requirements to the structure and composition of the investment funds and unit investment funds assets are imposed by Federal Law No. 156-FZ. Securities listing rules are set by Federal Law No. 39-FZ of 22/04/1996 «On the securities market» - as amended. Procedure for public offering and trading of securities issued by foreign issuers in the Russian	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Federation are imposed by Federal Law	
				No. 39-FZ of 22/04/1996 «On the	
				securities market» - as amended. Federal	
				Law No. 46-FZ of 05/03/1999 «On the	
				protection of rights and legitimate	
				interests of investors on the securities	
				market» (as amended) sets restrictions on	
				securities trading. Order of the Federal	
				Financial Market Service of 30/07/2013	
				No. 13-62/pz-n «On the admission to the	
				organized securities market».	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				As prescribed by Federal Law of	
				21/07/2014 No. 220-FZ Federal Law of	
				28/11/11 No. 335-FZ «On the investment	
				partnership» has been amended with the	
				following provision: «Securities of	
				foreign issuers may be objects of	
				investment when a joint investment	
				activity is being carried out, provided that	
				the indicated securities are admitted to	
				listing and/or trading in the Russian	
				Federation in accordance with the	
				Russian legislation on securities».	
				Direction of the Bank of Russia of	
				20/06/2014 No. 3289-U «On the	
				requirements to the procedure for	
				accounting of claims being securities'	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				collateral and cash flows credited to a	
				collateral account». Direction of the Bank	
				of Russia of 16/11/2014 No. 3444-U «On	
				the procedure for the insurer's own funds	
				(capital) investment and a list of the	
				eligible assets». Federal Law No. 39-FZ	
				of 22/04/1996 "On the securities market"	
				has been amended by the provisions	
				further defining the procedure for public	
				offering and trading of securities issued	
				by foreign issuers. Changes have been	
				made, in particular, in order to strengthen	
				the regulation of investments in	
				structured products. Pension funds are	
				restricted to pool retirement resources	
				into assets that have certain long-term	
				investment grade under Ordinance of the	
				Bank of Russia No.451-P 'On	
				establishment extra restrictions for	
				investments of non-government pension	
				funds' of 25/12/2014. Ratings applied by	
				foreign credit rating agencies might	
				include Fitch rating of Long-term rating	
				of structured finance, project finance and	
				public finance obligations', Moody's	
				rating of Structured Finance Issuer	
				Rating, Structured Finance Long-Term	
				Rating in accordance with the Letter of	



D	•
КII	ssia
1 \ u	SSIA

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the Bank of Russia of 20/10/2015.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6	Enhanced disclosure of	Securities market regulators should work	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(8)	securitised products	with market participants to expand	measures taken for enhancing disclosure	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
		information on securitised products and	of securitised products.	at the moment	reasons for delayed imprementation.
		their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10- See, for reference, IOSCO's <u>Report on</u>	☑ Implementation ongoing:	Planned actions (if any) and expected	
		III.13, FSF 2008)	Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for	Status of progress:	commencement date:
			Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012) and IOSCO's Disclosure Principles for	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
			Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-	☐ Draft published as of:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			Backed Securities (Apr 2010).	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
				☐ Implementation completed as of:	
				Issue is being addressed through:	
				☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	
				Federal Law No. 379-FZ of 21/12/2013	
				"On Amendments to Some Legal Acts"	
				arranges conditions for securitization.	
				The Law introduced amendments to the	
				Law "On the Securities Market"	
				concerning two types of special-purpose	
				companies (analogues to SPVs): special-	
				purpose financial company and special-	
				purpose project financing company,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				which buy and securitize claims. The	
				Law envisages procedures for	
				establishment, functioning and	
				bankruptcy of such companies. Federal	
				Law No. 379-FZ also prescribes that the	
				original creditors (i.e. originating banks)	
				should retain at least 20% of risk of the	
				underlying assets when originating	
				securitization (10% for infrastructure	
				projects). According to Federal Law of	
				11/11/2003 No. 152-FZ "On the	
				mortgage-backed securities" the Bank of	
				Russia is entitled to impose requirements	
				on the credit institutions issuing	
				mortgage-backed securities to disclose	
				information on their activities in addition	
				to the requirements set by other federal	
				laws. According to Federal Law of	
				02/12/1990 No. 395-1 «On banks and	
				banking activities» (as amended) a credit	
				organization is required to disclose	
				information on the factoring transactions	
				(including if the ceded claims are	
				encumbered) carried out with mortgage	
				agents and special-purpose companies.	
				The composition of the information, as	
				well as the procedure for and timeframe	
				for its disclosure are set by the	
				regulations of the Bank of Russia.	
				Highlight main developments since last	



\mathbf{r}	•
KII	ssia
1 L U	SSIG

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			year's survey:		
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
III.	Enhancing supervision	ì			
7 (9)	Consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of SIFIs	All firms whose failure could pose a risk to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh)	Jurisdictions should indicate: (1) whether they have identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors; (2) whether the names of the identified SIFIs have been publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs. See, for reference, the following documents: BCBS: • Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) • Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012) • BCP 12 (Sep 2012) IAIS: • Global Systemically Important Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013) • ICP 23— Group wide supervision FSB: • Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov 2011)	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Ordinance of the Bank of Russia No. 3174-U "On the Compilation of the List of the Systemically Important Credit Institutions" was issued on 16/01/2014. Then this Ordinance was abrogated and now the Ordinance of the Bank of Russia No. 3737-U of 22/07/ 2015 'On methodology for defining systemically	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: At present work continues (recommendations and regulations of the Bank of Russia are been drafted), aimed at the improvement of the national resolution regime. On the basis of the recovery plans submitted by the systemically important credit institutions the Bank of Russia develops action plan containing measures that are used if the implementation of the recovery plan had no positive effect. Currently the Bank of Russia develops regulations on the development of action plans by the Bank of Russia. Ordinances of the Bank of Russia which stipulate capital disclosure requirements under Basel III «Composition of capital disclosure requirements (June 2012)» on consolidated and solo levels will be enforced until the end of 2015. Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.cbr.ru/eng/press/PR.aspx?file =17072015_151733eng2015-07-17T15_14_25.htm



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				important credit financial institutions' is	
				in force.	
				The methodology for D-SIBs	
				identification is based on indicators (such	
				as size, interconnectedness, volume of	
				household deposits, international	
				activities) and supervisory judgment. The	
				Bank of Russia compiled the list of 10 D-SIBS which was published on the official	
				web-site (www.cbr.ru) on 20/10/2015.	
				The Bank of Russia also issued	
				Ordinance of 25/07/2014 No. 3341-U	
				"On the Recognition of Financial Market	
				infrastructures as Systemically	
				Important" which sets criteria for the	
				designation of financial market	
				infrastructures as systemically important.	
				Federal Law No. 146-FZ of 02/07/2013	
				«On Amendments to Certain Legal Acts	
				of the Russian Federation» strengthened	
				the regulation of bank holding	
				companies. The Law stipulates that each	
				credit institution which has affiliates is	
				subject to consolidated supervision by the	
				Bank of Russia. The Law aimed at	
				introducing legislative requirements	
				concerning consolidated supervision and	
				disclosure of information by credit	
				institutions, banking groups and holdings	
				on their activities consistent with	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				international practices in this field,	
				including information disclosure in	
				accordance with Pillar 3 Basel II. In	
				accordance with Federal Law No. 146-FZ	
				of 02/07/2013 Bank of Russia exercises	
				supervision over the activity of credit	
				institutions and of bank groups. Russian	
				legislation does not empower the Bank of	
				Russia to regulate and supervise the	
				activities of bank holding. The Bank of	
				Russia uses information received from	
				bank holding to supervise credit	
				institutions and banking groups that	
				participate in the bank holding. In	
				accordance with the mandate given by	
				Federal Law No. 146-FZ of 02/07/2013	
				the Bank of Russia issued several	
				directions concerning various aspects of	
				consolidated supervision.	
				Federal Law No. 432-FZ of 22/12/2014	
				«On Amendments to Certain Legislative	
				Acts of the Russian Federation, and	
				Repeal of Certain Legislative Acts	
				(Provisions of Legislative Acts) of the	
				Russian Federation" (hereinafter - Federal	
				Law No. 432-FZ) recognized on a	
				permanent basis tools to prevent	
				bankruptcy and banks' liabilities	
				settlement in case of financial situation	
				deterioration, identify situations that	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				poses a threat to the interests of creditors	
				(depositors) and (or) the banking system	
				stability. Approximate structure and	
				content of the recovery plans are spelled	
				out in Letter of the Bank of Russia of	
				29/12/2012 N 193-T "Guidelines for the	
				Development of Recovery Plans by	
				Credit Institutions ". Bank of Russia	
				Ordinance of 25/10/2013 No. 3090-U	
				«On the Calculation of the Equity	
				(Capital), Required Ratios, and Sizes	
				(Limits) of Open Currency Positions of	
				Banking Groups» defines the way in	
				which banking groups are required to	
				calculate their equity (capital), required	
				ratios, and sizes (limits) of their open	
				currency positions, and fixes numeric	
				values for required ratios and sizes	
				(limits) of open currency positions in	
				order to secure the credit institutions'	
				financial sustainability. Bank of Russia	
				Regulation of 11/03/2015 No. 462-P «On	
				the Procedure of Compiling Statements	
				Required for Consolidated Supervision	
				over Credit Institutions, and also Other	
				Information on the Activities of Banking	
				Groups» establishes the procedure for	
				compiling financial statements required	
				for conducting consolidated supervision	
				of credit institutions, and also other	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				information on the activities of banking	
				groups. Bank of Russia Ordinance of	
				25/10/2013 No. 3080-U «On Forms,	
				Procedure and Terms of Information	
				Disclosure by Parent Credit Institutions	
				on Accepted Risk, Risk Evaluation	
				Procedures, and Risk and Capital	
				Management Procedures» establishes	
				forms, procedure and terms of	
				information disclosure by parent credit	
				institutions on accepted risk, risk	
				evaluation procedures, and risk and	
				capital management procedures,	
				according to the Pillar III Basel II. Bank	
				of Russia Ordinance of 25/10/2013	
				No. 3087-U «On Disclosure and	
				Submission of Consolidated Financial	
				Statements by Bank Holding Companies»	
				establishes the procedure and terms for	
				the disclosure of consolidated financial	
				statements by parent organisations	
				(management companies) of bank	
				holding companies and their submission	
				to the Bank of Russia. Bank of Russia	
				Ordinance of 25/10/2013 No. 3086-U	
				«On the Methodology for Determining	
				the Size of Assets and Income of Credit	
				Institutions – Banking Holding Company	
				Participants and of a Bank Holding	
				Company» establishes the methodology	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				for determining the assets and income of	
				credit institutions – participants of a	
				banking holding company and of a	
				banking holding company for qualifying	
				an association of legal entities including	
				at least one credit institution to a banking	
				holding company. Bank of Russia	
				Ordinance of 25/10/2013 No. 3083-U	
				«On Compiling Bank Holding	
				Company's Risk Information and	
				Submitting it to the Bank of Russia»	
				establishes form, procedure and timeline	
				for bank holding companies' parent	
				organisations (management companies)	
				in compiling and submitting to the Bank	
				of Russia the information on bank	
				holding company risks that is necessary	
				for the supervision of credit institutions	
				participating in bank holding companies.	
				Bank of Russia Ordinance of 25/10/2013	
				No. 3089-U «On the Procedure for	
				Exercising Supervision over Banking	
				Groups» establishes the procedure for the	
				Bank of Russia to exercise supervision	
				over the activity of banking groups.	
				Bank of Russia Ordinance of 03/12/2013	
				No. 2923-U «On Disclosing and	
				Presenting Consolidated Financial	
				Statements by the Parent Credit	
				Institutions of Banking Groups»	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				establishes the procedure for disclosing	
				and presenting by the parent credit	
				institutions of banking groups	
				consolidated financial statements.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Payment system must adhere to standard	
				criteria of systemic importance under	
				Ordinance of the Bank of Russia No.	
				2815-U 'On establishment of values for	
				recognition payment system as	
				systemically important' of 02/05/2012	
				with the latest amendments from	
				08/07/2014 regarding transactions	
				volumes measured on quarterly basis	
				(minimum 15 trln rubles) and threshold	
				for cumulative values of particular	
				payment types (minimum 100 million	
				rubles). Starting from 01/01/2016,	
				Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) under	
				Basel III liquidity framework will be	
				applied to all systemically important	
				banks and parent companies of banking	
				groups under Ordinance No. 3737-U 'On	
				methodology for defining systemically	
				important credit financial institutions' of	
				22/07/2015. Currently the value of LCR	
				is established at the level of 70% starting	
				from 01/01/2016, it will be gradually	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				lifted up to 100% in January, the 1st	
				2019. Currently under Methodology	
				prescribed in Ordinance No. 3737-U, 10	
				deposit-taking institutions were defined	
				as systemically important (D-SIBs) that	
				account for 60% of total assets in banking	
				system. Systemically important financial	
				market infrastructure is defined in	
				adherence with Direction of the Bank of	
				Russia 'On acknowledging financial	
				market infrastructure as systemically	
				important' of 25/07/2014. Business	
				continuity of financial market	
				infrastructure recognized as systemically	
				important is guaranteed by application	
				methodology of the Bank of Russia No.	
				20-MP 'Methodology for business	
				continuity provisions conducted by	
				systemically important financial market	
				infrastructure' of 27/07/2015. Business	
				continuity stipulates rules for recovery	
				and resolutions planning that go in line	
				with CPMI-IOSCO recommendations.	
				Methodology and criteria for defining	
				systemically important insurers as well as	
				the list if systemically important insurers	
				were determined by the Bank of Russia	
				for internal purposes.	



D	•
КII	ssia
1 \ u	SSIA

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.cbr.ru/eng/press/PR.aspx?file =17072015_151733eng2015-07- 17T15_14_25.htm	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
8	Establishing	To establish the remaining supervisory	Reporting in this area should be	☑ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(10)		8		No G-SIBs/G-SIIs headquartered	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk assessments	To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London) We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory colleges. (Seoul)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of G-SIBs and G-SIIs. Please indicate the progress made in establishing and strengthening the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs and G-SIIs using, as reference, the following documents: BCBS: Principle 13 of the BCBS <u>Core</u> <u>Principles for Effective Banking</u> <u>Supervision (Sep 2012)</u> Principles for effective supervisory colleges (Jun 2014) IAIS: ICP 25 and Guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6 on establishment of supervisory colleges Guidance 25.6.20 and 25.8.16 on risk assessments by supervisory colleges Application paper on supervisory	• •	been fully implemented, please provide
			colleges (Oct 2014)	Web-links to relevant documents:	
			<u> </u>	Additional questions:	
				1. Please indicate whether supervisory colleges for all G-SIBs/G-SIIs headquartered in your jurisdiction have been established. If not, please explain.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				2. Please indicate the structure of the supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction (core, universal, other) and the reasons why it may differ across firms.	
				3. Please indicate the frequency of meetings over the past year of the supervisory colleges (core, universal, other) for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction.	
				4. Please describe the main objectives of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction and the types of issues that have been discussed over the past year. (e.g. specific area(s) of risk, coordinated risk assessments, joint supervisory work, coordinated supervisory plans). In your response, please indicate briefly some of the main challenges in conducting joint risk assessments and steps taken to address them.	
				5. Please describe the main challenges in the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction and any plans to enhance the effectiveness of colleges.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 9 (11)	Description Supervisory exchange of information and coordination	G20/FSB Recommendations To quicken supervisory responsiveness to developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions, supervisory exchange of information and coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved at both national and international levels. (Rec V.7, FSF 2008)	Remarks Jurisdictions should include any feedback received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the September 2012 BCP 3 (Cooperation and collaboration) and BCP 14 (Home-host relationships). Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in this area, particularly in response to relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		Enhance the effectiveness of core supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	Jurisdictions should describe any recent or planned regulatory, supervisory or legislative changes that contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via bilateral or multilateral MoUs).	Implementation completed as of: July, 2013 Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				By now, the Bank of Russia signed 38 bilateral agreements (memoranda of understanding - MoUs) with banking supervisory authorities of foreign countries, among them are Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, China, CIS countries, Cyprus, Egypt, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Hungary, India, Italy, Latvia, Lichtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Montenegro, Norway, Serbia, Sweden,	
				Turkey, Venezuela, Vietnam. The Bank	
				of Russia is empowered to regulate,	
				control and supervise financial markets	
				and non-credit institutions. Currently the	
				Bank of Russia has bilateral MoUs with	
				the respective foreign regulators of the	
				following countries: Belarus, Belgium,	
				Brazil, Venezuela, Germany, India,	
				Cyprus, Kyrgyzstan, China, Lichtenstein,	
				Luxembourg, UAE, Oman, Republic of	
				Korea, Syria, Turkey, Ukraine, France.	
				There are also MoUs with Deutsche	
				Borse AG. The Federal Law of	
				02/07/2013 No. 146-FZ "On	
				Amendments to Certain Legal Acts of the	
				Russian Federation" introduced changes	
				in Article 26 of Federal Law of	
				02/12/1990 No. 395-1 "On Banks and	
				Banking" and Articles 51 and 73 of	
				Federal Law of 10/07/2002 No. 86-FZ	
				"On the Central Bank of the Russian	
				Federation (Bank of Russia)", which	
				expanded the scope of information	
				provided to foreign supervisory	
				authorities and increased the level of	
				information protection.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				On 16/02/2015 the Bank of Russia joined	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the IOSCO MoU. Federal Law of	
				22/12/2014 No. 432-FZ introduced	
				amendments to Federal Law No. 86-FZ	
				«On the Central Bank of the Russian	
				Federation (Bank of Russia)» on the	
				disclosure of information to foreign	
				authorities responsible for the resolution	
				of bank holdings and other structures that	
				include credit institutions.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
10	Strengthening resources	We agreed that supervisors should have	No information on this recommendation		
(12)	and effective	strong and unambiguous mandates,	will be collected in the current IMN		
(12)	supervision	sufficient independence to act,	survey due to the recent publication of the		
		appropriate resources, and a full suite of	FSB thematic peer review report on		
		tools and powers to proactively identify	supervisory frameworks and approaches		
		and address risks, including regular stress	to SIBs.		
		testing and early intervention. (Seoul)			
		Supervisors should see that they have the			
		requisite resources and expertise to			
		oversee the risks associated with financial			
		innovation and to ensure that firms they			
		supervise have the capacity to understand			
		and manage the risks. (FSF 2008)			
		Supervisory authorities should			
		continually re-assess their resource needs;			
		for example, interacting with and			
		assessing Boards require particular skills,			
		experience and adequate level of			
		seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)			
		56monty. (100. 5, 1 5D 2012)			



n	•
КII	ssia
11u	ssia

		-	<u>-</u>		
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IV.	Building and implemen	nting macroprudential frameworks an	d tools		
11	Establishing regulatory	Amend our regulatory systems to ensure	Please describe major changes in the	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(13)	framework for macro- prudential oversight	authorities are able to identify and take account of macro-prudential risks across	institutional arrangements for macroprudential policy (structures,	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	commencement date:
		the financial system including in the case	mandates, powers, reporting etc.) that	☐ Implementation ongoing:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		of regulated banks, shadow banks ¹ and	have taken place since the financial crisis,	Status of progress:	
		private pools of capital to limit the build up of systemic risk. (London)	including over the past year.	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
		Ensure that national regulators possess the powers for gathering relevant	Please indicate whether an assessment has been conducted with respect to the	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		information on all material financial institutions, markets and instruments in	adequacy of powers to collect and share relevant information among different	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	
		order to assess the potential for failure or severe stress to contribute to systemic	authorities on financial institutions, markets and instruments to assess the	☑ Implementation completed as of: 2013	
		risk. This will be done in close	potential for systemic risk. If so, please	Issue is being addressed through:	
		coordination at international level in	describe identified gaps in the powers to	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		order to achieve as much consistency as	collect information, and whether any	☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
		possible across jurisdictions. (London)	ns. (London) follow-up actions have been taken.	☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				The establishment in 2013 of a single	
				financial regulator on the basis of the	
				Bank of Russia broadened its powers to	
				supervise the Russian financial market.	

_

¹ The recommendation as applicable to shadow banks will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				The Bank of Russia has been given by	
				legislation an explicit financial stability	
				mandate.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Governing rules of the National Council	
				on Ensuring Financial Stability were	
				changed under Regulation 'On	
				amendments to regulation on National	
				Council on Ensuring Financial Stability'	
				on February 25, 2015 following the	
				recommendations of the FSB Peer	
				Review of Russia Report. Currently high-	
				level participation in National Council on	
				Ensuring Financial Stability is ensured by	
				participation of the Fist Deputy Chairman	
				of the Government of the Russian	
				Federation, Governor of the Bank of	
				Russia, Minister of Finance and Minister	
				of Economic Development of the Russian	
				Federation. In addition, principle 'comply	
				or explain' for recommendations	
				elaborated by FSC was incorporated in	
				Regulation by latest amendments.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Additional questions:	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				1. Please describe the institutional arrangements for financial stability and macroprudential policy in your jurisdiction, including whether a macroprudential authority has been explicitly identified and the respective roles and responsibilities of the central bank and other authorities.	
				According to the provisions of Federal Law No. 86-FZ dated 10/07/2002 (as amended) "On the Central Bank of the Russian Federation (Bank of Russia)" the Bank of Russia with the involvement of the Government of the Russian Federation elaborates and pursue the policy of development and ensuring	
				stability of the Russian financial market. According to the Law the Bank of Russia conducts monitoring of the state of the Russian financial market, including for the purpose of detecting situations threatening the stability of the financial	
				system of the Russian Federation. In order to prevent such situations the Bank of Russia elaborates measures aimed at reducing threats to the stability of the financial system. National Council on Ensuring Financial Stability is authorised to issue recommendations and warnings. The Council is an inter-agency advisory	
				body on financial stability issues and serves as a platform for discussions	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				between the Bank of Russia, the Ministry	
				of Finance and other governmental	
				authorities. In November 2014, the Bank	
				of Russia established a high-level internal	
				Financial Stability Committee, chaired by	
				the Governor, to formalise and further	
				strengthen macroprudential policy	
				decision making.	
				2. If a macroprudential authority has been explicitly identified in your jurisdiction, please describe its legal basis, mandate, composition, powers (warnings, recommendations, prudential tools, powers of direction, other) and accountability arrangements. Who provides the resources and analytical support for the authority's activities?	
				According to the legislation the Bank of	
				Russia is empowered to use various tools	
				(including prudential tools, licensing	
				process, recommendations, mandatory	
				orders, other supervisory actions) in order	
				to fulfil its functions as a macroprudential	
				authority. There are dedicated	
				departments in the Bank of Russia,	
				including banking regulation and	
				supervision block, financial market	
				regulation and supervision block,	
				Financial Stability Department. The	
				Bank of Russia is accountable to the State	
				Duma of the Federal Assembly of the	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Russian Federation.	
				3. Is there an inter-agency body on financial stability or macroprudential matters — distinct from the designated macroprudential authority — in your jurisdiction? If so, please describe its legal basis, mandate, composition, powers and accountability arrangements. Who provides the resources and analytical support for its activities?	
				The National Council on Ensuring	
				Financial Stability was established in	
				accordance with Government Decree No.	
				571 of 05/07/2013 (amended in February	
				2015) in order to coordinate the activities	
				of federal authorities and the Bank of	
				Russia in the field of ensuring financial	
				stability, identification of systemic risks	
				and development of measures to address	
				these risks. The National Council	
				members include the First Deputy	
				Chairman of the Government of the	
				Russian Federation, Governor of the	
				Bank of Russia, First Deputy Governors	
				of the Bank of Russia, Minister of	
				Finance and Minister of Economic	
				Development of the Russian Federation,	
				their Deputies, assistant of the President	
				of the Russian Federation, the Managing	
				Director of the Deposit Insurance	
				Agency.	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Invited specialists also regularly	
				participate in the meetings. The National	
				Council has the following objectives: - to	
				assess the condition of the global	
				economy and the respective risks; - to	
				analyze results of assessment of financial	
				and commodities markets conditions,	
				assess systemic risks; - to assess	
				proposals made by the Bank of Russia on	
				the methodology for identification of	
				systemically important financial	
				institutions (credit institutions, banking	
				groups, bank holding companies, non-	
				bank financial institutions, financial	
				market infrastructures) and their list; - to	
				review methodologies for identification	
				and assessment of systemic risks and	
				threats to the financial stability; - to	
				assess the level of systemic risks and	
				threats to financial stability; - to develop	
				proposals on measures to restore the	
				financial stability. The Ministry of	
				Finance provides services of secretariat	
				to the National Council. Analytical	
				support is rendered by the Ministry of	
				Finance and the Bank of Russia.	
				4. Please describe the extent to	
				which the macroprudential authority	
				(or other relevant body) is able to collect information on material	
				financial institutions, markets and	
				instruments in order to assess potential	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				systemic risks. In your response, please indicate whether the authorities involved in systemic risk monitoring have specific legal powers to collect information from financial institutions (whether regulated or not) for financial stability purposes, and whether there exist dedicated information gateways (e.g. Memorandum of Understanding) to share such information among relevant authorities.	
				A number of matters related to credit institutions disclosure of the information	
				on their activities for a wide range of users, including the Bank of Russia,	
				relates to the requirements for credit	
				institutions and banking groups to	
				disclose information on risks, risk	
				assessment procedures and risk management (Pillar 3 "Market discipline"	
				of Basel II). This matter was resolved by	
				adopting the Federal Law No. 146-FZ.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12 (14)	Enhancing system-wide monitoring and the use of macro-prudential	Authorities should use quantitative indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for	Please describe at a high level (including by making reference to financial stability or other reports, where available) the	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
	instruments	supervisory purposes. Authorities should use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution-specific and at the macro-prudential (system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009) We are developing macro-prudential policy frameworks and tools to limit the build-up of risks in the financial sector, building on the ongoing work of the FSB-BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes)	types of methodologies, indicators and tools used to assess systemic risks. Please indicate the use of macroprudential tools in the past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and apply them. See, for reference, the following documents: • CGFS report on <i>Operationalising the</i>	 ✓ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: 	Web-links to relevant documents:
		Authorities should monitor substantial changes in asset prices and their implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)	 selection and application of macroprudential instruments (Dec 2012) FSB-IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011) IMF staff papers on Macroprudential policy, an organizing framework (Mar 2011), Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy (Jun 2013), and Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy (Dec 2014) 	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: On 01/09/2013 single regulator was established on the basis of the Bank of Russia (Federal Law No. 251-FZ of 23/07/2013). Its renewed mandate includes powers to monitor the financial market of the Russian Federation and identify vulnerabilities threatening financial stability as well as develop measures aimed at reduction of threats to financial stability. The Bank of Russia	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				twice a year publishes Financial Stability	
				Review which contains analysis of	
				systemic risks. In 2014 the Bank of	
				Russia increased risk weights and	
				provisioning rates for unsecured	
				consumer loans (these measures also	
				applied previously). In 2014 the Bank of	
				Russia started to collect on a confidential	
				basis data about risks of the largest 50	
				non-financial companies. The Bank of	
				Russia widened its analysis of the risks of	
				non-bank financial organizations, a	
				special unit was created in the Financial	
				Stability Department.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please describe, at a high level, the types of methodologies, indicators and reports used in your jurisdiction to identify, analyse, communicate and address systemic risks.	
				2. Please describe the range of policy tools (prudential and other) currently available to the authorities for macroprudential purposes. ²	

An indicative list of such tools can be found in "Macroprudential Policy Tools and Frameworks – Progress Report to the G20" by the FSB, IMF and BIS (October 2011, http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/wp-content/uploads/r 111027b.pdf); "Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy" (December 2014, http://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/eng/2014/110614.pdf) by IMF staff; and "Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments" (December 2012, http://www.bis.org/publ/cgfs48.pdf) by the CGFS.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				3. Please indicate which tools have been deployed for macroprudential purposes over the past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and apply them.	
				4. Please describe whether and, if so, how the relevant authorities assess the <i>ex ante</i> cost and benefits of macroprudential policies and their <i>ex post</i> effectiveness.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V.	Improving oversight of	credit rating agencies (CRAs)			
13 (16)	Enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	All CRAs whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes should be subject to a regulatory oversight regime that includes	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	CRAs	regulatory oversight regime that includes registration. The regulatory oversight regime should be established by end 2009 and should be consistent with the IOSCO Code of Conduct Fundamentals. (London) National authorities will enforce compliance and require changes to a rating agency's practices and procedures for managing conflicts of interest and assuring the transparency and quality of the rating process. CRAs should differentiate ratings for structured products and provide full disclosure of their ratings track record	including registration, oversight and sharing of information between national authorities. They should also indicate their consistency with the following IOSCO document: • Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies (Mar 2015) Jurisdictions may also refer to the following IOSCO documents: • Principle 22 of Principles and Objectives of Securities Regulation (Jun 2010) which calls for registration and oversight programs for CRAs	at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 14.07.2015 Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		and the information and assumptions that underpin the ratings process. The oversight framework should be consistent across jurisdictions with appropriate sharing of information between national authorities, including through IOSCO. (London) Regulators should work together towards appropriate, globally compatible solutions (to conflicting compliance obligations for CRAs) as early as possible	 Statement of Principles Regarding the Activities of Credit Rating Agencies (Sep 2003) Final Report on Supervisory Colleges for Credit Rating Agencies (Jul 2013) 	Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Accreditation procedures for rating agencies were introduced by the Ministry of Finance of the Russian Federation (Order No. 37n of 04/05/2010). These procedures were elaborated taking into account the IOSCO principles in this field. The issues of maintaining high	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		in 2010. (FSB 2009)		quality of services of CRAs and resolution of disputes are regulated by	
		We encourage further steps to enhance		codes of professional conduct, which	
		transparency and competition among		every CRA is required to have. The	
		credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)		Federal Law on the regulation of	
				activities carried out by CRAs in Russia	
				introduces the notions of "credit rating",	
				"creditworthiness", "national rating	
				scale", "rating analyst", and "group of	
				rating agencies". The document sets the	
				accreditation procedure for CRAs, unified	
				rules of their activities, and their capital.	
				According to the Federal Law, the Bank	
				of Russia is in charge of the CRAs	
				accreditation and keeps a register of	
				CRAs, conducts inspections, submits	
				orders and applies other measures of	
				influence.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Direction of the Bank of Russia No.	
				3453-U of 25/11/2014 "On usage patterns	
				of credit ratings aimed at application of	
				regulations of the Bank of Russia". The	
				Federal Law on the regulation of	
				activities carried out by CRAs in Russia	
				was signed by the President of the	
				Russian Federation on 14.07.2015. The	
				Federal Law No.222-FZ 'On credit rating	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				agencies' of 13/07/2015 prescribes	
				governing rules for CRA activities,	
				increases transparency by mandatory	
				reporting to credit registers, establishes	
				concentration limits (banks and non-bank	
				financial institutions are prohibited to	
				exceed 20% share in CRA) and CRA's	
				capital standards, regulates risk	
				management systems and enhances CRA	
				resilience, mitigates potential conflict of	
				interests of shareholders, managers and	
				supervisory bodies, refines credit	
				assessment methodology, stipulates data	
				disclosure standards and outlines mandate	
				of the Bank of Russia on CRA	
				supervision and oversight. All CRA	
				activities should adhere to outlined	
				standards as of January 14, 2017.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14 (17)	Reducing the reliance on ratings	We also endorsed the FSB's principles on reducing reliance on external credit ratings. Standard setters, market participants, supervisors and central banks should not rely mechanistically on external credit ratings. (Seoul) Authorities should check that the roles that they have assigned to ratings in regulations and supervisory rules are consistent with the objectives of having investors make independent judgment of risks and perform their own due diligence, and that they do not induce uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a substitute for that independent evaluation. (Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008) We reaffirm our commitment to reduce authorities' and financial institutions' reliance on external credit ratings, and call on standard setters, market participants, supervisors and central banks to implement the agreed FSB principles and end practices that rely mechanistically on these ratings. (Cannes) We call for accelerated progress by national authorities and standard setting bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance on credit ratings and encourage steps that	Jurisdictions should indicate the steps they are taking to address the recommendations of the May 2014 FSB thematic peer review report on the implementation of the FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance on Credit Ratings, including by implementing their agreed action plans. Jurisdictions may refer to the following documents: • FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance on CRA Ratings (Oct 2010) • FSB Roadmap for Reducing Reliance on CRA Ratings (Nov 2012) • BCBS Consultative Document Revisions to the Standardised Approach for credit risk (Dec 2014)	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 14.07.2015 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The Bank of Russia actively participates in the implementation of IRB Approach of Basel II in order to develop risk-management technics in banks and reduce the reliance on Credit Rating Agencies. On 06/08/2015 the Bank of Russia issued Regulation No. 483-P on the procedure of credit risk calculation based on internal	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: It is expected that credit institutions will begin to use IRB approach for the calculation of capital adequacy in 2015. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		would enhance transparency of and competition among credit rating agencies. (Los Cabos) We call on national authorities and standard setting bodies to accelerate progress in reducing reliance on credit rating agencies, in accordance with the FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)		ratings. The Federal Law on the regulation of activities carried out by CRAs in Russia sets the requirements for: • CRAs methodology; • Rating and methodological committees; • CRAs management, internal control, independent members of Board of Directors, rating analysts; • Detection and prevention of conflicts of interests and their resolution; • CRAs information disclosure. Adding to this the given Federal Law provides for the use of national rating scale in regulative purposes unless otherwise required by the international standards and such use is recognized in Russia. Highlight main developments since last year's survey: The Federal Law on the regulation of	
				activities carried out by CRAs in Russia was signed by the President of the Russian Federation on 14.07.2015. Direction of the Bank of Russia No. 3453-U of 25/11/2014 "On usage patterns of credit ratings aimed at application of regulations of the Bank of Russia" provides for the following: 1.In cases when applicability of international credit	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				rating assigned by Standard & Poor's,	
				Fitch Ratings or Moody's Investor	
				Service to credit institutions, other legal	
				entities, the Russian Federation,	
				constituent entities of the Russian	
				Federation, municipal entities, securities	
				issued by them, other financing	
				instruments is prescribed by the	
				regulations of the Bank of Russia, the	
				Board of Directors of the Bank of Russia	
				may determine the date of the assignment	
				of the credit rating - hereinafter "the date	
				of rating" – for the purposes of a	
				particular regulation. 2. A credit rating as	
				of date of rating is not applicable in the	
				following cases: - if after the date of	
				rating credit institutions, other legal	
				entities, the Russian Federation,	
				constituent entities of the Russian	
				Federation, municipal entities, securities	
				issued by them, other financing	
				instruments were assigned by the credit	
				rating in compliance with the regulations	
				of the Bank of Russia; - if international	
				credit rating as of the date of rating was	
				not assigned by the aforementioned	
				CRAs to credit institutions, other legal	
				entities, the Russian Federation,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				constituent entities of the Russian	
				Federation, municipal entities, securities	
				issued by them, other financing	
				instruments. 3. Information on the date of	
				rating is available on the official website	
				of the Bank of Russia. The Board of	
				Directors of the Bank of Russia in line	
				with Direction No. 3453-U decided the	
				following: for credit institutions, funding	
				instruments issued by them including	
				securities the date of rating is 01/03/2014,	
				for other entities mentioned in Direction	
				of the Bank of Russia No. 3453-U and	
				financing instruments issued by them the	
				date of rating is 01/12/2014.	
				On 06/08/2015 the Bank of Russia issued	
				Regulation No. 483-P on the procedure of	
				credit risk calculation based on internal	
				ratings.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



15 C	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
$\left \begin{array}{c} (18) \end{array} \right $ of	Enhancing and alignin	g accounting standards			
	Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards	Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington)	Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB or are otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Analysis-of-the-G20-IFRS-profiles.aspx .	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation / regulation/guideline: Federal Law «On Consolidated Financial Reporting» No. 208-FZ of 27/07/2010 (hereinafter - Federal Law No. 208-FZ), Federal Law «On accounting» No. 402-FZ of 06/12/2011 (hereinafter - Federal Law No. 402-FZ), «Regulations on the	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
				Federal Law «On accounting» No. 402- FZ of 06/12/2011 (hereinafter - Federal	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the Territory of the Russian Federation»	
				approved by the Resolution of the	
				Government of the Russian Federation	
				No. 107 of 25/02/2011 (hereinafter -	
				Decree No. 107), Order of the Ministry of	
				Finance of the Russian Federation «On	
				Approval of the Plan of the Ministry of	
				Finance of the Russian Federation for	
				2012-2015 for the Development of	
				Accounting and Reporting on the Basis of	
				International Financial Reporting	
				Standards in the Russian Federation» No.	
				440 of 30/11/2011 (with the amendments	
				by the Order of the Ministry of Finance	
				No. 455 of 30/11/2012), Order of the	
				Ministry of Finance of the Russian	
				Federation «On Implementation of	
				International Financial Reporting	
				Standards and Interpretations of	
				International Financial Reporting	
				Standards in the Russian Federation» No.	
				160n of 25/11/2011 (hereinafter - Order	
				No. 160n), Order of the Ministry of	
				Finance of the Russian Federation «On	
				Implementation and Determination of	
				International Financial Reporting	
				Standards in the Russian Federation» No.	
				106n of 18/07/2012 (hereinafter - Order	
				No. 106n), Order of the Ministry of	
				Finance of the Russian Federation «On	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Implementation of International Financial	
				Reporting Standards in the Russian	
				Federation» No. 143n of 31.10.2012,	
				Order of the Ministry of Finance of the	
				Russian Federation of 02/04/2013 No.	
				36n "On Implementation and	
				Determination of International Financial	
				Reporting Standards' Documents in the	
				Russian Federation", Order of the	
				Ministry of Finance of the Russian	
				Federation of 07/05/2013 No. 50n "On	
				Implementation of International Financial	
				Reporting Standards' Documents in the	
				Russian Federation", Order of the	
				Ministry of Finance of the Russian	
				Federation of 24/12/2013 No. 135n "On	
				Implementation of International Financial	
				Reporting Standards' Documents in the	
				Russian Federation" (hereinafter – Order	
				No. 135n).	
				Legal basis for the application of IFRS by	
				Russian companies was created by the	
				Federal Law No. 208-FZ. According to	
				this Federal Law consolidated financial	
				reporting should be prepared in	
				compliance with IFRS standards by	
				public companies (whose shares are	
				included in the quotation list), banks,	
				insurance companies, and other entities	
				obliged to do so according to legislation	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				or articles of association. These	
				companies prepare consolidated financial	
				statements in compliance with IFRS	
				standards starting from 2012. The	
				procedure of recognition of IFRS is	
				defined according to Decree No. 107.	
				IFRS documents enter into force by	
				decision of the Ministry of Finance with	
				the approval of the Bank of Russia.	
				Currently, all IFRS standards published	
				by IFRS Foundation and required for the	
				preparation of financial reports in 2014	
				and 2015 are recognized and in effect.	
				All IFRS standards are recognized in the	
				form they were originally published by	
				IFRS Foundation. Federal Law No. 208-	
				FZ contains requirements for the	
				consistent application of IFRS. For	
				instance, consolidated financial	
				statements are subject to mandatory audit.	
				The Bank of Russia supervises	
				submission and publication of	
				consolidated financial statements. Federal	
				Law No. 402-FZ defines IFRS as the	
				basis for national reporting standards.	
				There are 24 national standards based on	
				IFRS, which are regularly reviewed in	
				order to reflect the changes in IFRS	
				standards. Federal Law No. 208-FZ	
				states general requirements to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				preparation, presentation and publication	
				of consolidated financial statements in	
				accordance with IFRS by a legal entity,	
				including credit institutions starting from	
				the reporting for 2012. Federal Law No.	
				402-FZ, effective from 01/01/2013,	
				provides for application of international	
				standards as a basis for developing	
				national and sectoral accounting	
				standards.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				In November 2014 there were	
				amendments to Federal Law No.402-FZ	
				that made provisions to abandon	
				application of simplified accounting	
				policies including conventional	
				accounting (financial) standards for a	
				variety of economic agents. Order of the	
				Ministry of Finance of the Russian	
				Federation of 17/12/2014 No.151n 'On	
				Implementation of International Financial	
				Reporting Standards' Documents in the	
				Russian Federation' puts in place the	
				following: 1) IFRS 14; 2) IAS 19 'Post-	
				employment benefits with defined	
				contribution plans: contributions of	
				employees (amendments to IAS 19); 3)	
				IASB 'Annual improvements of IFRS	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				during 2010-2012 cycle'; 4) IASB	
				'Annual improvements of IFRS during	
				2011-2013 cycle'. Above-mentioned	
				documents enter into force in the Russian	
				Federation: in case of voluntary	
				application since a date of its official	
				publication and in case of mandatory	
				application since a term defined in	
				regulation. Order of the Ministry of	
				Finance of 21/01/2015 No.9n 'On	
				implementation and termination of IFRS	
				documents in the Russian Federation'	
				represents an amendment to Order	
				No.160n: IFRS 15 enters into force in the	
				Russian Federation: in case of voluntary	
				application since a date of its official	
				publication and in case of mandatory	
				application since a term defined in	
				regulation. In line with amendments to	
				Federal Law No.208-FZ, the Direction of	
				the Bank of Russia of 16/01/2015	
				No.2964-U 'On reporting and publication	
				of annual financial statements in	
				compliance with IFRS by credit	
				institutions' becomes invalid since	
				01/07/2015 by the Direction of the Bank	
				of Russia of 25/02/2015 No.3576-U.	
				International audit standards have been	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				incorporated in 'National standards' with	
				Regulation No.1033 'On adjustments to	
				Regulation No.1521' of 29/09/2015	
				effective as of March, 2016.	
				International accounting standards	
				regarding deferred tax obligations of non-	
				bank financial institutions were	
				incorporated in Federal Law No.402-FZ	
				'On accounting standards' of 06/12/2011	
				with introduction of Regulation No.490-P	
				'Industry-specific standards of accounting	
				standards regarding deferred tax	
				obligations and assets of non-bank	
				financial institutions' of 04/09/2015	
				effective as of January the 1st, 2017.	
				International accounting standard	
				concerning employee benefits (IAS 19,	
				Employee benefits) in non-bank financial	
				institutions was adopted by Regulation	
				No. 489-P 'Industry-specific standards of	
				accounting standards regarding employee	
				benefits in non-bank financial	
				institutions' of 04/09/2015 effective as of	
				January the 1st, 2017. International	
				accounting standards were deployed for	
				derivatives accounting (IAS 39, Financial	
				instruments: recognition and	
				measurement) in non-bank financial	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				institutions by Regulation No. 488-P	
				'Industry-specific standards of accounting	
				standards regarding derivative contracts	
				in non-bank financial institutions' of	
				02/09/2015 effective as of January the	
				1st, 2017. Regulation No.133-N 'On	
				implementation and termination	
				international accounting standards in	
				Russian Federation' of 26/08/2015	
				incorporates international accounting	
				standards for hedging activities in line	
				with IFRS 7 (Financial instruments:	
				disclosure), IFRS 9 (Financial	
				instruments) and IAS39 (Financial	
				instruments: recognition and	
				measurement).	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
Appropriate application of Fair Value Accounting	Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine the use of valuation reserves or adjustments for fair valued financial	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for appropriate application of fair value accounting.	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	instruments when data or modelling needed to support their valuation is weak. (Rec. 3.4, FSF 2009)	Although not an application of fair value accounting, jurisdictions should additionally be mindful of implementation issues arising from the new accounting requirements for	Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
	Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine possible changes to relevant standards to dampen adverse dynamics potentially associated with fair value accounting. Possible ways to reduce this potential impact include the following: (1) Enhancing the accounting model so that the use of fair value accounting is carefully examined for financial instruments of credit intermediaries; (ii) Transfers between financial asset categories; (iii) Simplifying hedge accounting requirements. (Rec 3.5, FSF 2009)	new accounting requirements for expected loan loss provisioning for impaired loans that are being introduced by the IASB and the FASB, and, for those jurisdictions where specific action is needed to foster transparent and consistent implementation, set out any steps they intend to take. See, for reference, the following BCBS documents: • Basel 2.5 standards on prudent valuation (Jul 2009) • Supervisory guidance for assessing banks' financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009)	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 18.07.2012 Issue is being addressed through : ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Regulation No. 372-P stipulates mandatory accounting of derivatives at fair value by credit organizations since 01.01.2012. Regulation No. 385-P replaced earlier Regulation of the Bank of Russia of 26.03.2007 No. 302-P «On accounting in credit institutions in the Russian Federation». According to	
	Appropriate application of Fair Value	Appropriate application of Fair Value Accounting Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine the use of valuation reserves or adjustments for fair valued financial instruments when data or modelling needed to support their valuation is weak. (Rec. 3.4, FSF 2009) Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine possible changes to relevant standards to dampen adverse dynamics potentially associated with fair value accounting. Possible ways to reduce this potential impact include the following: (1) Enhancing the accounting model so that the use of fair value accounting is carefully examined for financial instruments of credit intermediaries; (ii) Transfers between financial asset categories; (iii) Simplifying hedge accounting requirements. (Rec 3.5, FSF	Appropriate application of Fair Value Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine possible changes to relevant standards to dampen adverse dynamics potential impact include the following: (1) Enhancing the accounting model so that the use of fair value accounting is carefully examined for financial instruments of credit intermediaries; (ii) Transfers between financial accounting requirements. (Rec 3.5, FSF	Appropriate application of Fair Value Accounting Although not an application of fair value accounting Accounting Accounting Although not an application of fair value accounting Accounting Although not an application of fair value accounting Accounting Although not an application of fair value accounting Although not an application of fair value accounting accounting Although not an application of fair value accounting accounting Although not an application of fair value accounting accounting accounting Although not an application of fair value accounting accounting accounting Although not an application of fair value accounting accounting accounting accounting Although not an application of fair value accounting accounting accounting accounting sturied counting accounting sturied accounting accounting in instruded by the IASB and the FASB, and, for implementation, set out any steps they intend to take. Basel 2.5 standards on prudent valuation (Jul 2009) Supervisory guidance for assessing banks financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009) Supervisory guidance for assessing banks financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009) Supervisory guidance for assessing banks financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009)



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				since 01/01/2008 have been recognizing	
				at fair value securities measured at fair	
				value through profit and loss and the	
				changes in fair value of securities	
				available for sale reflected through capital	
				(other comprehensive income); since	
				01/01/2012 credit institutions have the	
				right to account investment property at	
				fair value with recognition of changes in	
				fair value in profit or loss. Order of the	
				Ministry of Finance of the Russian	
				Federation No. 106n of 18.07.2012	
				brought into force IFRS 13 "Fair Value	
				Measurement".	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Direction of the Bank of Russia of	
				19/08/2014 No.3365-U represents the	
				amendments to the Regulation No.385-P,	
				including evaluation of ownership	
				interests in the charter capital of	
				subsidiaries and affiliated entities, as well	
				as shares of legal entities whose purchase	
				price is denominated in a foreign	
				currency. In particular, the value of	
				shares and stakes denominated in a	
				foreign currency in acquisition is	
				reflected in accounting balance No.601	
				'Participation in subsidiaries and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				affiliated joint-stock companies, mutual	
				funds' and are defined in rubles using	
				official exchange rate of foreign currency	
				on acquisition day. If a credit institution	
				acquires the control stake or has a	
				significant influence on joint-stock	
				company's or mutual fund's activities,	
				than a value of stocks and stakes	
				denominated in a foreign currency	
				reflected on accounting balance No.601	
				'Participation in subsidiaries and	
				affiliated joint-stock companies, mutual	
				funds' is defined in rubles using official	
				exchange rate of foreign currency on the	
				day of accounting recognition. In case of	
				forfeiting a control stake or a loss of	
				significant impact on joint stock	
				company's or mutual fund's activities,	
				shares and stakes are transferred to Debt	
				investments accounts in a currency of a	
				book value using official exchange rate of	
				foreign currency on the day of accounting	
				recognition of this transaction.	
				Furthermore, the difference between book	
				value and its ruble-denominated	
				equivalent of fair value defined with	
				official exchange rate of foreign currency	
				as of day has to be reflected on Profit and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Loss accounts. The Direction of the Bank	
				of Russia of 19/08/2014 No.3365-U also	
				implied changes in accounting rules of	
				reflection the difference between fair	
				value of securities and their book value	
				during further re-valuations. Based on	
				International Financial Reporting	
				Standards (IFRS) 9 the Bank of Russia	
				develops hedge accounting standard for	
				banks which establish hedge accounting	
				requirements and will be effective from	
				01/01/2018 in the Russian Federation.	
				Hedge accounting standard based on	
				IFRS 9 will be more simplified in	
				application for banks than requirements	
				based on IAS 39. Derivatives accounting	
				at fair value is mandatory for deposit-	
				taking institutions under Regulation	
				No.372-P 'On procedures for derivative	
				accounting' of 04/07/2011 effective as of	
				01/01/2012 and for non-bank financial	
				institutions under Regulation No. 488-P	
				'Industry-specific standards of accounting	
				standards regarding derivative contracts	
				in non-bank financial institutions' of	
				02/09/2015 effective as of 01/01/2017.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



	2013 IVII V Sui Vey of I vational I Togicss in the implementation of G20/15D Recommendations					
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps	
VII.	8 8					
17	Enhancing guidance to	Regulators should develop enhanced	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected	
(20)	strengthen banks' risk	guidance to strengthen banks' risk	measures taken to enhance guidance to	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:	
	management practices,	management practices, in line with	strengthen banks' risk management	at the moment	Credit institutions' material risks	
	including on liquidity	international best practices, and should	practices.	☑ Implementation ongoing:	management procedures will be assessed	
	and foreign currency	encourage financial firms to re-examine	Jurisdictions may also refer to FSB's	Status of progress:	in terms of ICAAP assessment under	
	funding risks	their internal controls and implement	thematic peer review report on risk	☑ Draft in preparation, expected	SREP. The regulation will be enforced	
		strengthened policies for sound risk	governance (Feb 2013) and the BCBS	publication by: the end of 2015	until the end of 2015. In 2015, the Bank	
		management. (Washington)	Peer review of supervisory authorities'	☐ Draft published as of:	of Russia continues conducting a	
		National supervisors should closely check	implementation of stress testing	☐ Final rule or legislation approved	quantitative impact study and calibration	
		banks' implementation of the updated	principles (Apr 2012) and Principles for	and will come into force on:	of some run-off factors used for the LCR	
		guidance on the management and	sound stress testing practices and	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in	calculation that are not fixed by Basel III.	
		supervision of liquidity as part of their	supervision (May 2009).	force since :	As to alternative liquidity approaches for	
		regular supervision. If banks'		☐ Implementation completed as of:	jurisdictions with an insufficient supply	
		implementation of the guidance is		Issue is being addressed through:	of high quality liquid assets, the Bank of	
		inadequate, supervisors will take more		☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	Russia is developing a mechanism of	
		prescriptive action to improve practices.		, , , ,	committed liquidity facilities to Russian	
		(Rec. II.10, FSF 2008)		☑ Regulation /Guidelines	banks subject to future LCR	
		Regulators and supervisors in emerging		☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	requirements. The LCR is expected to be	
		markets ³ will enhance their supervision			introduced as a prudential ratio by the end	
		of banks' operation in foreign currency		LCR monitoring	of 2015.	
		funding markets. (FSB 2009)		Short description of the content of the		
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	Web-links to relevant documents:	
		We commit to conduct robust, transparent		1. Liquidity: LCR methodology (the		
		stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)		Bank of Russia Regulation № 421-P,		
				dated 30/05/2014, 'On the Calculation of		

³ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the Liquidity Coverage Ratio ('Basel III')	
				has been developed in line with Basel III	
				recommendations. Data collection and	
				monitoring (including LCR by significant	
				currency) came into force on 01/07/2014.	
				Regulation for LCR calculation sets the	
				requirement to compile LCR and report	
				data to the Bank of Russia by the largest	
				Russian banks for monitoring purposes	
				after 01/07/2014. Bank of Russia	
				conducts top-down liquidity stress-testing	
				exercise. 2. Operational risk: Capital	
				adequacy requirements - as of November	
				2009 (amended – July 2012).	
				Requirements to operational risk	
				management with regard to organizations	
				providing payment services, June 2012.	
				Recommendations on operational risk	
				management - as of May 2005 and May	
				2012. Main recommendations regarding	
				bank's stress-testing procedures were	
				published in 2012 and pertain to	
				development of recovery and resolution	
				plans (Recommendation letter No. 193-T	
				of 29/12/2012). First RRP of banks were	
				analyzed by supervisors in 2013. Banks	
				were given recommendation to improve	
				their plans. The Federal Law No. 146-FZ	
				of 02/07/2013: - obliged credit	
				institutions to comply with the Bank of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Russia requirements for internal risk and	
				capital management systems and internal	
				control systems; - empowered the Bank	
				of Russia to set individual reserve	
				requirements for credit institutions which	
				underestimate risks; - allowed to use	
				advanced risk assessment frameworks	
				(IRB approach) in order to calculate	
				capital requirements. 3. Credit risk: on	
				06/08/2015 the Bank of Russia issued	
				Regulation No. 483-P on the procedure of	
				credit risk calculation based on internal	
				ratings.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Regulation of the Bank of Russia No.	
				421-P of 30/05/2014 "On the Procedure	
				for Calculation of the Short-Term	
				Liquidity Coverage Ratio ('Basel III')"	
				came into force on 01/07/2014. The first	
				reporting data was 01/08/2014. Bank of	
				Russia Ordinance № 3241-U of	
				24/04/2014 'On Amendments to the	
				Regulation № 242-P of 16 December	
				2003 «On the Organization of Internal	
				Control in Credit Institutions and	
				Banking Groups», including requirements	
				for the compliance function in credit	
				*	
				institutions. The Bank of Russia assesses	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				risk management in credit institutions,	
				including liquidity risk management	
				procedures, in terms of supervisory	
				assessment of credit institutions'	
				economic condition assessment in	
				accordance with the Bank of Russia	
				Ordinance No. 2005-U, dated	
				30/04/2008, 'On Assessing Banks'	
				Economic Situation' (hereinafter,	
				Ordinance No. 2005-U). The results of	
				risk management assessment are	
				recognized while referring credit	
				institutions to one of five classification	
				groups. Regulation No. 154-I of	
				17/06/2014 "On the Assessment of a	
				Credit Institution's Remuneration System	
				and on the Procedure of Issuing Orders to	
				the Credit Institution to Correct	
				Deficiencies of the Remuneration	
				System" sets out requirements for a credit	
				institution to adjust its remuneration	
				system for its activity and all types of	
				risks. The Bank of Russia reviews and	
				assesses whether remuneration system of	
				a bank is adequate and instructs a bank to	
				take appropriate measures if not. In	
				addition Ordinance No. 2005-U amended	
				by Ordinance of the Bank of Russia of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				19/03/2015 No. 3603-U to empower the	
				Bank of Russia to assess management of	
				the personnel' compensation risk	
				annually. The Ordinance of the Bank of	
				Russia dated 15/04/2015 № 3624-U 'On	
				the Requirements to the Risk and Capital	
				Management System of the credit	
				institution and the banking group'	
				stipulates requirements to ICAAP	
				implementation, including requirements	
				to risk management system and inter alia,	
				to market risk and liquidity risk	
				management requirements.	
				On 06/08/2015 the Bank of Russia issued	
				Regulation No. 483-P on the procedure of	
				credit risk calculation based on internal	
				ratings.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
18 (22)	Enhanced risk disclosures by financial institutions	Financial institutions should provide enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington) We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance financial institutions' disclosures of the risks they face, including the ongoing work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force. (St. Petersburg)	Jurisdictions should indicate the status of implementation of the disclosures requirements of IFRSs (in particular IFRS7 and 13) or equivalent. Jurisdictions may also use as reference the recommendations of the October 2012 report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force on Enhancing the Risk Disclosures of Banks and Implementation Progress Report by the EDTF (Aug 2013), and set out any steps they have taken to foster adoption of the EDTF Principles and Recommendations.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 25.10.2013 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Federal Law No. 146-FZ of 02/07/2013 "On Amendments to Certain Legal Acts of the Russian Federation" contains requirements on disclosure of information concerning activities of credit institutions, banking groups and banking holdings, including information about accepted risks, methods of their measurement and management, as well as asset	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Bank of Russia ordinances which stipulate capital disclosure requirements under Basel III «Composition of capital disclosure requirements (June 2012)» on consolidated and solo levels will be enforced until the end of 2015. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				management. The following legislation is	
				in force: - Federal Law «On	
				Consolidated Financial Reporting» No.	
				208-FZ of 27/07/2010; - Bank of Russia	
				Ordinance of 3/12/2013 No. 2923-U «On	
				Disclosing and Presenting Consolidated	
				Financial Statements by the Parent Credit	
				Institutions of Banking Groups» which	
				establishes the procedure for disclosing	
				and presenting by the parent credit	
				institutions of banking groups	
				consolidated financial statements	
				compiled under Federal Law No. 208-FZ,	
				dated 27/07/ 2010, «On Consolidated	
				Financial Statements»; - Bank of Russia	
				Ordinance No. 3080-U "On the Forms,	
				the Procedure and the Time Terms of	
				Disclosure by the Leading Credit	
				Institutions of Bank Groups of	
				Information on the Assumed Risks and	
				on the Procedures for Estimating Them	
				and for Controlling the Risks and the	
				Capital" which defines the procedure of	
				disclosure of annual and semi-annual	
				information by head credit organizations	
				of bank groups on the assumed risk, their	
				assessment framework and capital and	
				risk managements systems according to	
				the Pillar III Basel II; - Bank of Russia	
				Ordinance No. 3097-U of 25/10/2013	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				"On Amending the Regulation of the	
				Bank of Russia No. 139-I of 03/12/2012	
				"On minimum requirements for banks"; -	
				Bank of Russia Ordinance No. 3081-U,	
				according to which each credit institution	
				discloses on solo basis the information on	
				the assumed risks, their assessment	
				framework, and capital and risk	
				management systems on both quarterly	
				and annual basis, according to the Pillar	
				III Basel II; - Bank of Russia Ordinance	
				No. 3087-U "On Consolidated Financial	
				Statements Disclosed and Submitted by	
				Bank Holding Companies".	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Regulation No.133-N 'On	
				implementation and termination	
				international accounting standards in	
				Russian Federation' of 26/08/2015	
				incorporates international accounting	
				standards for hedging activities in line	
				with IFRS 7 (Financial instruments:	
				disclosure), IFRS 9 (Financial	
				instruments) and IAS39 (Financial	
				instruments: recognition and	
				measurement). Risks associated with risk	
				management strategy should be disclosed	
				under Regulation No.133-N 'On	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				implementation and termination	
				international accounting standards in	
				Russian Federation' of 26/08/2015	
				regarding data disclosure standards IFRS	
				7 (Financial instruments: disclosure).	
				Under chapter 22 'Risk management	
				frameworks' of Regulation No.133-N	
				each type of risk should be disclosed	
				qualitatively and quantitatively,	
				information regarding implemented risk	
				management techniques (risk hedging)	
				and strategies addressing each type of	
				risks, size of risk positions should be	
				clearly defined and published, disclosure	
				on hedging tools, maturity profiles of	
				instruments, interconnectedness, future	
				cash flow estimations should be carried	
				out by companies.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
Strengthening deposit	insurance			
Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements	National deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed international principles, and authorities should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should describe any revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 thematic peer review report on deposit insurance systems: • Adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system (for those jurisdictions that do not have one) • Addressing the weaknesses and gaps to full implementation of the Core Principles for Effective Deposit Insurance Systems issued by IADI in November 2014	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 01.07.2014 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Federal Law No. 177-FZ of 23/12/2003 "On Insuring Natural Persons' Deposits Made with Banks of the Russian Federation" (hereinafter - Federal Law No. 177-FZ) establishes legal, financial and institutional foundations of the	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Bank of Russia ordinance which stipulates insurance fees differentiation for credit institutions «On assessing credit institution's financial position for qualifying it as adequate for payment additional deposit insurance fees» will come into force on 1 January 2016. Web-links to relevant documents:
	Strengthening deposit Strengthening of national deposit	Strengthening deposit insurance Strengthening of National deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed insurance arrangements international principles, and authorities should strengthen arrangements where	Strengthening deposit insurance Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements insurance arrangements Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed international principles, and authorities should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008) Strengthening of National deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed international principles, and authorities should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008) Strengthening of National deposit insurance system, including steps taken to address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 thematic peer review report on deposit insurance systems: Adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system (for those jurisdictions that do not have one) Addressing the weaknesses and gaps to full implementation of the Core Principles for Effective Deposit Insurance Systems issued by IADI in	Strengthening deposit insurance Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed insurance arrangements should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008) **Adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system (for those jurisdictions that do not have one) **Addressing the weaknesses and gaps to full implementation of the *Core Principles for Effective Deposit Insurance Systems* issued by IADI in November 2014 **Implementation ongoing:** **Status of progress:** **Draft published as of:** **Draft published as of:** **Draft published as of:** **Implementation completed as of:** **Olive principles for Effective Deposit Insurance Systems* issued by IADI in November 2014 **Issue is being addressed through:** **Deprimary / Secondary legislation Regulation / Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:** **Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:** **Federal Law No. 177-FZ of 23/12/2003 "On Insuring Natural Persons' Deposits Made with Banks of the Russian Federation" (hereinafter - Federal Law No. 177-FZ) establishes legal, financial



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				person's deposits made with banks in	
				Russia, terms of reference, the procedure	
				of establishment and operation of the	
				organization which fulfills functions	
				related to mandatory insurance of	
				deposits (Deposits Insurance Agency –	
				DIA), the procedure of payout of the	
				compensation on deposits, regulates	
				relations between banks in Russia, DIA,	
				the Bank of Russia and government	
				agencies of the Russian Federation in the	
				field of mandatory insurance of natural	
				person's deposits made with banks in	
				Russia.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Federal Law No. 432-FZ of 22/12/2014	
				amended Federal Law No. 177-FZ with	
				provisions on nominal accounts, escrow	
				accounts, differentiated rates of banks'	
				mandatory payments to the deposit	
				insurance fund depending on specified	
				criteria. According to the Bank of Russia	
				Ordinance No. 3607-U of 23/03/2015	
				"On determining base profit capacity	
				levels of deposits" the Bank of Russia	
				calculates and publishes base profit	
				capacity levels of deposits for 15	
				categories of deposits on its official site	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				monthly. This is necessary to determine	
				banks obliged to pay additional or	
				increased additional rates to the deposit	
				insurance fund. To calculate base	
				capacity profit levels of deposits the Bank	
				of Russia uses information about	
				maximum profit capacity of deposits of	
				the banks that attracted totally 2/3 of sum	
				of all Russian banks' deposits in previous	
				month. A bank is able to dispute the	
				decision determining it obliged to pay	
				additional payments to the deposit	
				insurance fund by applying to the Bank of	
				Russia (Direction of the Bank of Russia	
				No. 3605-U of 23/03/2015 "On order of	
				bank applying for not determining it	
				obliged to pay additional or increased	
				additional rates of insurance payments").	
				Federal Law No. 451-FZ of 29/12/2014	
				amended Federal Law No. 177-FZ:	
				deposit insurance coverage limit for	
				deposits of individuals and accounts of	
				individual entrepreneurs has been	
				increased up to RUB 1.4 million (this	
				provision does not apply to escrow	
				accounts, coverage limit for which is	
				RUB 10 million). Direction of the Bank	
				of Russia No.3801-U 'On admission	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				financial position of a bank appropriate	
				for imposition of higher additional	
				premium rates' of 17/09/2015 prescribes	
				framework for comprehensive assessment	
				of financial position including equity	
				capital, liquidity position, risk	
				management system and internal control	
				of deposit-taking institutions that are	
				members of deposit insurance scheme,	
				the assessment itself is carried out by	
				representatives of the bank supervision of	
				the Bank of Russia. If certain conditions	
				(cumulative assessment results are higher	
				than 2,35 quality points) are met higher	
				premium rates will be applied.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IX.	Safeguarding the integ	rity and efficiency of financial markets	S		
	· •			□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: ○ October 2014 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The Law «On organized trade» No. 325-FZ of 21/11/2011 sets legal framework for trade organizers and exchanges.	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
				Federal Securities Market Law provides for prudential supervision system for non- bank securities market intermediaries, in particular, sets prudential capital	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				requirements for non-banks professional	
				market participants, including their	
				securities lending and repos activity.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Order of FFMS No. 10-78/pz-n of	
				28/12/2010 "On the Approval of the	
				Regulations on Organizing Trade on the	
				Securities Market" became inoperative.	
				New act №. 437-P of 17/10/2014	
				"Regulation and requirements for	
				organized and regulated markets" issued	
				by the Bank of Russia is in force. This act	
				establishes organizational and operating	
				requirements for stock and commodity	
				exchanges and trading platforms,	
				including disclosure rules and pre- and	
				post-trade transparency requirements,	
				regulation for derivatives traded on	
				exchanges and foreign exchange market.	
				This act establishes an ability of	
				exchanges to trade in different trading	
				conditions, including conditions where	
				quotes are not disclosed to public, so	
				current legislation doesn't set any	
				restrictions on dark liquidity. Considering	
				HFT trading it should be noted that	
				exchanges are entitled by law to set	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				trading conditions in exchange's trading	
				rules where activity of HFT traders may	
				be limited if necessary (for example	
				imposing levy when number of bids and	
				offers made by the same participant of the	
				market exceeds limit).	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 21 (25)	Description Regulation and supervision of commodity markets	G20/FSB Recommendations We need to ensure enhanced market transparency, both on cash and financial commodity markets, including OTC, and achieve appropriate regulation and supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management	Jurisdictions should indicate whether commodity markets of any type exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on Principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011). Jurisdictions, in responding to this	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		powers, including the power to set exante position limits, particularly in the delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012. (Cannes) We also call on Finance ministers to	recommendation, may also make use of the responses contained in the <u>update to</u> the survey published by IOSCO in September 2014 on the principles for the regulation and supervision of commodity derivatives markets.	 ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: October 2014 Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: 	
		monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO's principles for the regulation and supervision on commodity derivatives markets and encourage broader publishing and unrestricted access to aggregated open interest data. (St. Petersburg)		There are several licensed commodity exchanges working in Russia. They represent regulated markets of such commodity goods as: oil and petroleum products, energy and natural gas, metals, timber, construction materials, agricultural commodities, chemicals, pharmaceuticals. The Federal Law No. 325-FZ of 21/11/2011 "On organized"	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				trading" regulates relationships on	
				commodities and financial markets,	
				introduces principles for state regulation	
				and control of organized trading on these	
				markets. It also stipulates that all OTC	
				transactions with exchange commodities	
				should be reported to commodity	
				exchange.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Direction of the Bank of Russia No.	
				3132-U of 03/12/2013 became	
				inoperative. New capital adequacy	
				requirement for commodity derivatives	
				traders has been introduced by Direction	
				of the Bank of Russia No. 3329-U of	
				21/07/2014. New act of Bank of Russia	
				"Regulation and requirements for	
				organized and regulated markets" of	
				17/10/2014 No. 437-P came into force	
				since 2015. It covers all of the exchanges,	
				including mercantile ones with disclosure	
				rules, trade and post-trade transparency	
				requirements. Application of Russia's	
				Government Decree of 23/07/2013 No.	
				623 "On reporting of OTC trades with	
				commodities traded on exchange" created	
				a mechanism for consolidation of	
				information about most of the trades on	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				OTC markets for such commodities as	
				Coal, Oil-products and Grain (wheat).	
				This mechanism makes eligible exchange	
				to act as a Repository for OTC trades	
				giving it the possibility to calculate	
				representative indices of OTC market	
				(using information about actual deals	
				only). In the nearest perspective this act	
				will be amended covering crude oil and	
				Natural gas markets.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
22	Reform of financial	We support the establishment of the	Collection of information on this		
(26)	benchmarks	FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to	recommendation will continue to be		
(20)		coordinate work on the necessary reforms	deferred given the forthcoming FSB		
		of financial benchmarks. We endorse	progress report on implementation of the		
		IOSCO's Principles for Financial	FSB recommendations in this area, and		
		Benchmarks and look forward to reform	ongoing IOSCO work to review the		
		as necessary of the benchmarks used	implementation of the IOSCO Principles		
		internationally in the banking industry	for Financial Benchmarks.		
		and financial markets, consistent with the			
		IOSCO Principles. (St. Petersburg)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
Χ.	Enhancing financial co	onsumer protection			
23 (27)	Enhancing financial consumer protection	We agree that integration of financial consumer protection policies into regulatory and supervisory frameworks contributes to strengthening financial stability, endorse the FSB report on consumer finance protection and the high level principles on financial consumer protection prepared by the OECD together with the FSB. We will pursue the full application of these principles in our jurisdictions. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD's G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection (Oct 2011). Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD's September 2013 and September 2014 reports on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High-level Principles.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Federal Law No. 353-FZ of 21/12/2013 "On consumer credit (loan)" provides protection of the retail borrowers: - maximum interest rates are limited; - maximum fines and charges in case of overdue payments are limited; - scope of	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
				· ·	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				which stimulate credit institutions to	
				perform their informational obligations,	
				are introduced; - a period during which a	
				person can withdraw from a credit	
				contract without paying any fines in	
				addition to charged interest during the	
				period is introduced. Direction of the	
				Bank of Russia No. 3249-U of	
				29/04/2014 "On the Procedure of	
				Defining by the Bank of Russia	
				Categories of Consumer Credits (Loans)	
				and on the Procedure of Calculation and	
				Publication of Average Market Effective	
				Interest Rate on a Quarterly Basis".	
				Bank of Russia Ordinance No. 3240-U of	
				23/04/2014 "On the table form of	
				individual terms and conditions of	
				consumer credit (loan) agreement that	
				creditors shall present to borrowers to	
				make a decision to enter into agreement".	
				In 2014 Service for Protection of	
				Financial Services Consumers and	
				Minority Shareholders was established in	
				the Bank of Russia. The main objectives	
				of the Service are: - assessment of	
				financial sector regulation from the point	
				of view of protection of rights of	
				consumers of financial services and	
				investors; - preparation of amendments	
				to respective regulations; - investigation	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				of claims and complaints of investors and	
				consumers of financial services; - take	
				law enforcement measures in respect of	
				(i) providers of financial services, except	
				credit institutions, in case of violation of	
				rights of financial services consumers and	
				investors and (ii) securities issuers and	
				other institutions in case of violation of	
				investors' rights; - analysis and	
				aggregation of practices, identification of	
				major risks to financial services	
				consumers, investors and financial	
				services providers; - development and	
				implementation of financial literacy	
				programs and of informational programs	
				about instruments of the Russian financial	
				market; - support of authorized persons	
				who are protecting the rights of	
				consumers on the Russian financial	
				market. Federal Law of 28/12/2013	
				No. 422-FZ "On Guaranteeing the Rights	
				of Persons Insured in the Mandatory	
				Pension Insurance System of the Russian	
				Federation in the Process of Funding and	
				Investing of Pension Savings, On Setting	
				and Provision of Payments Using the	
				Funds of Pension Savings". Federal Law	
				of 21/12/2013 No. 375-FZ "On	
				Amending Certain Legislative Acts of the	
				Russian Federation" was adopted in order	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				to strengthen the control over	
				microfinance organizations. This Law	
				amended the Administrative Code, by	
				specifying (tightening) responsibility for	
				violation of the laws of the Russian	
				Federation on microfinance activities,	
				credit cooperation, agricultural	
				cooperation and pawnshops. Federal	
				Law No. 127-FZ of 26/10/02 'On	
				Insolvency (Bankruptcy)' amended by	
				Federal Law No. 154-FZ of 29/06/2015	
				sets out procedural matters of bankruptcy	
				of a person.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Federal Law No. 229-FZ of 21/07/2014	
				amended Federal Law No. 353-FZ of	
				21/12/2013 "On Consumer Credit	
				(Loan)". Federal Law of 29/12/2014	
				No. 46-FZ "On Amendments to Certain	
				Legislative Acts of the Russian	
				Federation" introduced new provisions on	
				FOREX dealers activities. At present the	
				Bank of Russia prepares normative acts	
				which will regulate such activities. The	
				Contact Center of the Bank of Russia has	
				been created. The Contact Center	
				provides information on all types of	
				financial services to consumers and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				investors. On 17/12/2014 the Expert	
				Council for Protection of Financial	
				Services Consumers and Minority	
				Shareholders was established in the Bank	
				of Russia. The Expert Council is	
				comprised of representatives of non-	
				governmental organizations, human	
				rights organizations, business and	
				academic communities, and also includes	
				financial ombudsman and jounalists. The	
				Expert Council is a consultative body and	
				is responsible for: developing of	
				recommendations in the field of	
				protection of financial services	
				consumers and minority shareholders;	
				providing expert support on matters	
				concerning financial system regulation in	
				the context of protection of financial	
				services consumers and minority	
				shareholders. The typical questions and	
				answers to them are posted on the website	
				of the Bank of Russia. Therefore,	
				consumers of financial services or	
				investors can quickly get all the necessary	
				information on frequently asked	
				questions. Currently, the process of	
				creation of financial ombudsman service	
				is ongoing. A draft federal law "On the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Financial Ombudsman for the Rights of	
				Consumers of Financial Services	
				Provided by Financial Organizations"	
				was adopted in the first reading by the	
				State Duma of the Russian Federation.	
				The draft is being prepared for the second	
				reading now. The draft law regulates the	
				procedure for the review of the financial	
				services consumers' property claims,	
				establishes legal status of a financial	
				ombudsman and regulates other legal	
				relations connected to the protection of	
				the rights and interests of the financial	
				services consumers.	
				Federal Law No. 223-FZ "On Self-	
				Regulated Organizations Operating in the	
				Financial Market and on Amending	
				Articles 2 and 6 of the Federal Law "On	
				Amending Certain Legislative Acts of the	
				Russian Federation" was adopted on	
				13/07/2015 (main provisions will enter	
				into force on 11/01/2016). The goal of	
				this Law is to improve the effectiveness	
				of cooperation between financial markets	
				self-regulated organizations and the	
				regulator. The Law obliges self-regulated	
				organizations to develop and control	
				implementation of basic standards,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				designed, inter alia, to protect the rights	
				of the financial services consumers.	
				Enhancement of the self-regulatory	
				framework is aimed at the creation of a	
				comprehensive regulatory system that	
				provides appropriate level of control over	
				the market participants, as well as at the	
				increase of the competitive position of the	
				Russian financial market and of the level	
				of protection of financial services	
				consumers.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



Russia

XI. Source of recommendations:

Brisbane: G20 Leaders' Communique (15-16 November 2014)

St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)

Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)

Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)

Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)

Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)

Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)

London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)

Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)

FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)

FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)

FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)

FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)

XII. <u>List of Abbreviations used:</u>