

Jurisdiction:

Republic of Korea

2015 IMN Survey of National Progress in the Implementation of G20/FSB Recommendations

- I. Hedge funds
- II. Securitisation
- III. Enhancing supervision
- IV. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools
- V. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VI. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VII. Enhancing risk management
- VIII. Strengthening deposit insurance
 - IX. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
 - X. Enhancing financial consumer protection
 - XI. Reference to source of recommendations
- **XII.** List of Abbreviations



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I.	Hedge funds				
		We also firmly recommitted to work in an internationally consistent and non-discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul) Hedge funds or their managers will be registered and will be required to disclose appropriate information on an ongoing basis to supervisors or regulators, including on their leverage, necessary for assessment of the systemic risks they pose individually or collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles contained in IOSCO's <i>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</i> . In particular, jurisdictions should specify whether: - Hedge Funds (HFs) and/or HF managers are subject to mandatory registration - Registered HF managers are subject to appropriate ongoing requirements regarding: • Organisational and operational standards; • Conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules;	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: 30-09-2011 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London)	 Disclosure to investors; and Prudential regulation. 	Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: In Sep.2011, Korea adopted regulations that require hedge funds to register and report borrowing and derivatives-trading status to FSC. In addition, the leverage limit of hedge funds is 400% of the NAV(Net Asset Value). Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please indicate whether Hedge Funds (HFs) are domiciled locally and, if available, the size of the industry in terms of Assets under Management and number of HFs.	
				NAV: USD 2.13 billion Number of HFs: 32	
				2. Please specify the main criteria and numerical thresholds (if applicable) for subjecting HFs and/or HF managers to mandatory registration.	
				Minimum capital of KRW6 billion	
				(about USD 5.2 million) and at least 3 professional HF managers	
				3. Please specify whether registered HF managers are subject to ongoing requirements regarding organisational and operational standards; conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; disclosure to investors; and prudential regulation. If any of these requirements are not applicable, please explain.	
				- organizational and operational	
				standards: Required to maintain adequate	
				human and physical resources as well as	
				internal control regime - conflicts of	
				intersts and business conduct: Required	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				to have in place a system to prevent	
				conflicts of interest - Disclosure to	
				investors: no mandatory requirements -	
				Prudential regulation: subject to	
				minimum operational capital and	
				operational risk assessment requirements	
				as well as supervisory prompt corrective	
				action	
				4. Please describe the main challenges (where relevant) and any lessons learned in implementing this reform.	
				N.A.	
				5. Are you monitoring the effects of this reform in your jurisdiction? If yes, please share the main findings and any related policy initiatives in response to those findings.	
				Yes. We monitor the impact of hedge fund regime in Korea on an on-going basis	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
2	Establishment of	We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(3)	international information sharing	for cooperation and information sharing between relevant authorities in order to	made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's <u>Report on Hedge</u>	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	framework	ensure effective oversight is maintained	Fund Oversight (Jun 2009) on sharing	☐ Implementation ongoing:	
		when a fund is located in a different	information to facilitate the oversight of	Status of progress:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		jurisdiction from the manager. We will, cooperating through the FSB, develop	globally active fund managers. In addition, jurisdictions should state	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		measures that implement these principles	whether they are:	☐ Draft published as of:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		by the end of 2009. (London)	- Signatory to the IOSCO MMoU	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
			- Signatory to bilateral agreements for supervisory cooperation that cover	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
			hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO <i>Principles Regarding</i>	☑ Implementation completed as of: 09-06-2010	
			<u>Cross-border Supervisory</u>	Issue is being addressed through:	
			<u>Cooperation.</u>	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				FSC signed the MMOU with IOSCO in	
				June 2010 to share supervisory data with	
				its members.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3 (4)	Enhancing counterparty risk management	Supervisors should require that institutions which have hedge funds as their counterparties have effective risk management, including mechanisms to monitor the funds' leverage and set limits for single counterparty exposures. (London) Supervisors will strengthen their existing guidance on the management of exposures to leveraged counterparties. (Rec. II.17, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate specific policy measures taken for enhancing counterparty risk management and strengthening their existing guidance on the management of exposure to leveraged counterparties. In particular, jurisdictions should indicate whether they have implemented principle 2.iii of IOSCO Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009). Jurisdictions should also indicate the steps they are taking to implement the new standards on equity exposures (Capital requirements for banks' equity investments in funds, Dec 2013) by 1 January 2017. For further reference, see also the following documents: • BCBS Sound Practices for Banks' Interactions with Highly Leveraged Institutions (Jan 1999) • BCBS Banks' Interactions with Highly Leveraged Institutions (Jan 1999)	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 30-09-2014 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Consistent with principle 2.iii of IOSCO Report on Hedge Fund Oversight, Korea's counterparty credit risk framework ensures banks' appropriate risk management systems and controls to monitor their counterparty credit risk exposures to hedge funds. Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: FSS is currently reviewing BCBS publications relating to the new standards on equity exposures. Final rule will come into force by 1 January 2017 as agreed by the BCBS. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				Korea's financial regulators made some	
				revisions to Korea's Detailed Regulations	
				on Supervision of Banking Business in	
				September 2014 as part of the effort to	
				adopt the Basel III reforms to	
				counterparty credit risk management.	
				These changes include, for example, the	
				introduction of internal model method for	
				the computation of CCR and CVA as	
				well as increased banks' capital	
				requirements for exposures to non-CCP	
				counterparties.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://english.fss.or.kr	



regulatory and capital framework for monolines the regulatory and capital framework for monolines the regulatory and capital framework for monolines the regulatory and capital framework for monolines. There is no monoline insurer in Korea. Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Web-links to relevant documents:	No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
regulatory and capital framework for monolines regulatory and capital framework for monolines regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured credit. (Rec II.8, FSF 2008) regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured credit. (Rec II.8, FSF 2008) measures taken for strengthening the regulatory and capital framework for monolines. There is no monoline insurer in Korea. Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Web-links to relevant documents:]				-	
principles issued by IAIS: • ICP 13 - Reinsurance and Other Forms of Risk Transfer; • ICP 15 - Investments; and • ICP 17 - Capital Adequacy. Jurisdictions may also refer to: • IAIS Guidance paper on enterprise risk management for capital adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct 2008). • Joint Forum document on Mortgage insurance: market structure, underwriting cycle and policy implications (Aug 2013). Status of progress: Status of progress: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Draft published as of: Draft published as of: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Implementation onglong. Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Implementation onglong. Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Implementation onglong.	4	Strengthening of regulatory and capital framework for	the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured	measures taken for strengthening the regulatory and capital framework for monolines. See, for reference, the following principles issued by IAIS: • ICP 13 – Reinsurance and Other Forms of Risk Transfer; • ICP 15 – Investments; and • ICP 17 - Capital Adequacy. Jurisdictions may also refer to: • IAIS Guidance paper on enterprise risk management for capital adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct 2008). • Joint Forum document on Mortgage insurance: market structure, underwriting cycle and policy	There is no monoline insurer in Korea. Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation /Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 5 (7)	Description Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	G20/FSB Recommendations Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18, FSF 2008)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance product. Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's report on Good Practices in Relation to Investment Managers' Due Diligence When Investing in Structured Finance Instruments (Jul 2009). Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 08-2012 Issue is being addressed through :	Next steps If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			Forum report on Credit Risk Transfer-Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul 2008).	□ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Guidelines for Asset Backed Securities published in 2012 Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents: http://english.fss.or.kr	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6	Enhanced disclosure of securitised products	Securities market regulators should work with market participants to expand	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for enhancing disclosure	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide
(8)	securitised products	information on securitised products and	of securitised products.	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	reasons for delayed implementation:
		their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10-III.13, FSF 2008)	See, for reference, IOSCO's <u>Report on</u>	☐ Implementation ongoing:	Planned actions (if any) and expected
		111.13, 131 2006)	Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012) and	Status of progress:	commencement date:
			IOSCO's <u>Disclosure Principles for</u>	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	William I all
			Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-	☐ Draft published as of:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			Backed Securities (Apr 2010).	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
				☑ Implementation completed as of: 16- 09-1998	
				Issue is being addressed through:	
				☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Asset-backed Securities Act for	
				structured financial products is already in	
				place in line with IOSCO's principles(e.g.	
				disclosure, registration, and reporting to supervisory authorities)	
				, ,	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://english.fss.or.kr	



No Description G20/FSB Recommendations Remarks Progress to date No.	ext steps
III. Enhancing supervision	
All firms whose failure could pose a risk to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of SIFIs All firms whose failure could pose a risk to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of SIFIs Planned actions commencement of the phase identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors; (2) whether the names of the identified SIFIs have been publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs. See, for reference, the following documents: BCBS: Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012) BCP 12 (Sep 2012) IAIS: Inplementation oaction envisaged at the moment Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment CIOSCO) Korea a participating in IOSCO's framew and on issues measures. Korea actions when the names of the identified SIFIs. See, for reference, the following documents: BCBS: Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012) BCP 12 (Sep 2012) IAIS: Implementation completed as of: Impleme	at the moment is actively the discussions on work for NBNI G-SIFIs regarding supervisory a will take necessary nose agenda have been FSC/FSS will publish rvisory regulation on Meanwhile, Korea will amework of D-SIB adding surcharge in Jan.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				degree of systemic importance based on	
				weighted averages for each of five	
				assessment categories including size,	
				interconnectedness, substitutability,	
				complexity and country-specific factors,	
				and second, identifying the one that	
				scores above a cut-off point as a D-SIB.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Introduction of the D-SIB Framework	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://english.fss.or.kr/fss/en/media/releas e/view.jsp?bbsid=1289277491315&categ ory=null&idx=1433392072838#=99 2&color=green	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
8 (10)	Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk assessments	To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London) We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory colleges. (Seoul)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of G-SIBs and G-SIIs. Please indicate the progress made in establishing and strengthening the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs and G-SIIs using, as reference, the following documents: BCBS: • Principle 13 of the BCBS Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision (Sep 2012) • Principles for effective supervisory colleges (Jun 2014) IAIS: • ICP 25 and Guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6 on establishment of supervisory colleges • Guidance 25.6.20 and 25.8.16 on risk assessments by supervisory colleges • Application paper on supervisory colleges (Oct 2014)	 ☑ Not applicable Korea is not home jurisdiction of any G-SIBs and G-SIIs. ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☐ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Although there is no G-SIBs in Korea, the Financial Supervisory Service Korea held Woori Bank Supervisory College and Shinhan Bank Supervisory College. Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last year's survey: 	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please indicate whether supervisory colleges for all G-SIBs/G-SIIs headquartered in your jurisdiction have been established. If not, please explain.	
				Korea has no G-SIBs/G-SIIs, however,	
				FSS maintained Woori and Shinhan	
				Colleges.	
				2. Please indicate the structure of the supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction (core, universal, other) and the reasons why it may differ across firms.	
				Universal Colllege	
				3. Please indicate the frequency of meetings over the past year of the supervisory colleges (core, universal, other) for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction.	
				Once a year.	
				4. Please describe the main objectives of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction and the types of issues that have been discussed over the past year. (e.g. specific area(s) of risk, coordinated risk assessments, joint supervisory work, coordinated supervisory plans). In your response, please indicate briefly some of the main challenges in conducting joint risk assessments and steps taken to address them.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Main objectives are coordinated risk assessments and joint supervisory work. 5. Please describe the main challenges in the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction and any plans to enhance the effectiveness of colleges. N.A.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
9	Supervisory exchange	To quicken supervisory responsiveness to	Jurisdictions should include any feedback	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(11)	of information and coordination	developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions,	received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the <u>September 2012</u> BCP	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	commencement date:
		supervisory exchange of information and	3 (Cooperation and collaboration) and	☐ Implementation ongoing:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		coordination in the development of best	BCP 14 (Home-host relationships).	Status of progress:	
		practice benchmarks should be improved at both national and international levels.	Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		(Rec V.7, FSF 2008)	this area, particularly in response to	☐ Draft published as of:	
			relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
		Enhance the effectiveness of core	Jurisdictions should describe any recent	☑ Implementation completed as of: 02-2009	
		supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	or planned regulatory, supervisory or legislative changes that contribute to the	Issue is being addressed through:	
			sharing of supervisory information (e.g.	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			within supervisory colleges or via	☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
			bilateral or multilateral MoUs).	☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				The FSC/FSS has entered into MOUs	
				with 65 financial authorities of 44	
				jurisdictions .	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	
				Korea has revised 'the Real Name	
				Financial Transaction Act', which had	
				previously hindered information	
				exchange with supervisors. In addition,	
				'the Financial Investment Services and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Capital Market Act' took effect in	
				February 2009, which is essential for the	
				MMOU with IOSCO. FSC/FSS signed	
				the MMOU with IOSCO in June 2010.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://english.fss.or.kr	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
10	Strengthening resources	We agreed that supervisors should have	No information on this recommendation		
(12)	and effective	strong and unambiguous mandates,	will be collected in the current IMN		
(12)	supervision	sufficient independence to act,	survey due to the recent publication of the		
		appropriate resources, and a full suite of	FSB thematic peer review report on		
		tools and powers to proactively identify	supervisory frameworks and approaches		
		and address risks, including regular stress	to SIBs.		
		testing and early intervention. (Seoul)			
		Supervisors should see that they have the			
		requisite resources and expertise to			
		oversee the risks associated with financial			
		innovation and to ensure that firms they			
		supervise have the capacity to understand			
		and manage the risks. (FSF 2008)			
		Supervisory authorities should			
		continually re-assess their resource needs;			
		for example, interacting with and			
		assessing Boards require particular skills,			
		experience and adequate level of			
		seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)			



	- · ·		-	B (1 (
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IV.		nting macroprudential frameworks and	d tools		
11	Establishing regulatory	Amend our regulatory systems to ensure	Please describe major changes in the	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(13)	framework for macro-	authorities are able to identify and take	institutional arrangements for	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
	prudential oversight	account of macro-prudential risks across	macroprudential policy (structures,	at the moment	
		the financial system including in the case	mandates, powers, reporting etc.) that	☐ Implementation ongoing:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		of regulated banks, shadow banks ¹ and	have taken place since the financial crisis,	Status of progress :	
		private pools of capital to limit the build	including over the past year.	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	
		up of systemic risk. (London)		publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
		Ensure that national regulators possess the powers for gathering relevant	Please indicate whether an assessment has been conducted with respect to the	☐ Final rule or legislation approved	
		information on all material financial	adequacy of powers to collect and share	and will come into force on:	
		institutions, markets and instruments in	relevant information among different	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	
		order to assess the potential for failure or	authorities on financial institutions,		
		severe stress to contribute to systemic	markets and instruments to assess the	☑ Implementation completed as of: 08-2010	
		risk. This will be done in close	potential for systemic risk. If so, please	Issue is being addressed through:	
		coordination at international level in	describe identified gaps in the powers to	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		order to achieve as much consistency as	collect information, and whether any	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
		possible across jurisdictions. (London)	follow-up actions have been taken.	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				The Ministry of Strategy and Finance	
				announced Directives on the	
				establishment and operation of	
				Macroeconomic Financial Meeting in	

_

¹ The recommendation as applicable to shadow banks will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				September 2012. The First Vice-Minister	
				of the Ministry of Strategy and Finance	
				chairs the Meeting while relevant	
				organizations including the FSC, BOK	
				and FSS participate to discuss and	
				coordinate policies relating to macro-	
				economy, financial and FX markets.	
				Guidelines on Financial Institutions	
				Stress Testing (Aug.2010): In order to	
				assess potential risk factors of financial	
				institutions that could cause systemic	
				risks, the FSS monitors the results of	
				stress testing on financial institutions and	
				conducts off-site surveillance to use the	
				outcome for supervisory work.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Regulation (Directive of the Ministry of	
				Strategy and Finance) on Establishment	
				and Operation of Macroeconomic	
				Financial Meeting	
				http://law.go.kr/admRulSc.do?menuId=1	
				&subMenu=9#liBgcolor0 Detailed	
				Regulations on Supervision of Banking	
				Business	
				http://www.bok.or.kr/contents/total/eng/b	
				oardView.action?boardBean.brdid=10803	
				&boardBean.rnum=1&menuNaviId=824	
				&boardBean.menuid=824&boardBean.cP	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				age=1&boardBean.categorycd=0&board	
				Bean.sdt=&boardBean.edt=&boardBean.	
				searchColumn=&boardBean.searchValue	
				=	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please describe the institutional	
				arrangements for financial stability and macroprudential policy in your	
				jurisdiction, including whether a	
				macroprudential authority has been	
				explicitly identified and the respective roles and responsibilities of the central	
				bank and other authorities.	
				The Act on the Establishment of	
				Financial Services Commission explicitly	
				sets forth the responsibilities of the	
				Financial Services Commission as	
				financial stability and macroprudential	
				supervision. The Financial Supervisory	
				Service carries out its financial stability	
				and macroprudential supervision	
				responsibilities as the enforcement body	
				of the FSC. Under the Bank of Korea	
				Act, the Bank of Korea is required to give	
				due consideration to financial stability	
				issue as it carries out its monetary and	
				credit policies. The Bank is also required	
				to prepare and submit to the National	
				Assembly a financial stability assessment	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				report at least twice a year	
				2. If a macroprudential authority has been explicitly identified in your jurisdiction, please describe its legal basis, mandate, composition, powers (warnings, recommendations, prudential tools, powers of direction, other) and accountability arrangements. Who provides the resources and analytical support for the authority's activities?	
				The Financial Services Commission is a	
				government agency and consists of the	
				Financial Services Committee, an	
				independent decision-making body, and a	
				secretariat with the mandate of financial	
				policy-setting, prudential supervision of	
				foreign exchange businesses, and	
				financial supervision. The Act on the	
				Establishment of Financial Service	
				Commission sets forth the responsibilities	
				of the Financial Services Commission as	
				follows: - Matters concerning financial	
				policies and systems; - Matters	
				concerning the supervision, inspection	
				and sanctions of financial institutions;	
				Matters concerning authorization and	
				permission of establishment, merger,	
				conversion, business transfer and take-	
				over, and business administration of	
				financial institutions; - Matters	
				concerning management, supervision and	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	•			surveillance of capital markets; - Matters concerning financial consumer redress, including protection of and compensation for financial consumers; - Matters concerning the creation and development of a finance centre; - Matters concerning prudential supervision of financial and foreign exchange institutions with regard to bilateral and multilateral negotiations and international cooperation; - Matters concerning prudential supervision of foreign exchange institutions, including legislation, revision, and abolition of	
				3. Is there an inter-agency body on financial stability or macroprudential matters — distinct from the designated macroprudential authority — in your jurisdiction? If so, please describe its legal basis, mandate, composition, powers and accountability arrangements. Who provides the resources and analytical support for its activities?	
				Ministry of Strategy and Finance announced Directives on the establishment and operation of Macroeconomic Financial Meeting in September 2012. The First Vice-Minister of the Ministry of Strategy and Finance chairs the Meeting while relevant organizations including the FSC, BOK	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				and FSS participate to discuss and	
				coordinate policies relating to macro-	
				economy, financial and FX markets.	
				4. Please describe the extent to which the macroprudential authority (or other relevant body) is able to collect information on material financial institutions, markets and instruments in order to assess potential systemic risks. In your response, please indicate whether the authorities involved in systemic risk monitoring have specific legal powers to collect information from financial institutions (whether regulated or not) for financial stability purposes, and whether there exist dedicated information gateways (e.g. Memorandum of Understanding) to share such information among relevant authorities.	
				According to relevant financial laws and	
				regulations, FSC and FSS receives	
				business reports from regulated financial	
				institutions on a regular basis, and is	
				capable of demanding additional	
				information for the purpose of securing	
				soundness of FIs and /or financial	
				stability. The Act on the establishment,	
				ETC. of FSC stipulates that the Minister	
				of Strategy and Finance, the FSC/FSS	
				and the Monetary Policy Committee may	
				request information for implementing	
				relevant policies and the requested party	
				is required to provide the requested	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				information unless there is legitimate	
				reason not to. MOU on Information	
				Sharing among Ministry of Strategy and	
				Finance, FSC, FSS, BOK and KDIC	
				facilitates information sharing.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12 (14)	Enhancing system-wide monitoring and the use of macro-prudential	Authorities should use quantitative indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for	Please describe at a high level (including by making reference to financial stability or other reports, where available) the	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
	instruments	supervisory purposes. Authorities should use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution-specific and at the macro-prudential (system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009)	types of methodologies, indicators and tools used to assess systemic risks. Please indicate the use of macroprudential tools in the past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and	☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		We are developing macro-prudential policy frameworks and tools to limit the build-up of risks in the financial sector, building on the ongoing work of the FSB-BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes)	apply them. See, for reference, the following documents: • CGFS report on Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments (Dec 2012) • FSB-IMF-BIS progress report to the	 □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 09-2011 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory 	
		changes in asset prices and their implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)	G20 on Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011) IMF staff papers on Macroprudential policy, an organizing framework (Mar 2011), Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy (Jun 2013), and Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy (Dec 2014)	actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The FSC cooperates with relevant organizations including the FSS and MOSF for close monitoring of changes in asset prices and continuous examination of the impact of changes in asset prices to financial system and macro-economy. Since 2012, Korea has enhanced major quantitative indicators relating to financial market to ensure effective EWS.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Moreover, the authorities are using	
				financial EWS, with strengthened	
				qualitative assessment of major financial	
				markets and financial risk factors (e.g.	
				private debt) as pre-emptive tools for	
				assessing macro-prudence. Since March	
				2013, the members of Macroeconomic	
				Financial Meeting review on a quarterly	
				basis the macroprudential indicators	
				developed in view to early identification	
				of risk factors including EWS. Macro-	
				prudence is reviewed in two parts (i.e.	
				macro-structural prudence and economic	
				agents prudence) so that issues and	
				problems could be identified in advance,	
				in mid-to-long term perspective. Lastly,	
				macro-prudential review framework has	
				become more sophisticated by requiring	
				the BOK to publish macro-financial	
				stability report at least twice a year in	
				accordance with the 8th revised BOK Act	
				effective from 2011.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please describe, at a high level, the types of methodologies, indicators and reports used in your jurisdiction to identify, analyse, communicate and address systemic risks.	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				The Bank of Korea develops and makes	
				full use of a wide range of indicators to	
				detect, assess and provide early warning	
				of various risks present in the financial	
				system in a timely fashion. Based upon	
				this, the Bank prepares and releases a	
				half-yearly Financial Stability Report,	
				analyzing potential risks in the financial	
				system and their effects while providing a	
				comprehensive assessment of the system	
				as a whole	
				2. Please describe the range of policy tools (prudential and other) currently available to the authorities for macroprudential purposes. ²	
				Korea has introduced LTV and DTI in	
				2002 and in 2005 respectively. The	
				korean authrities could modify the ratio	
				of LTV and DTI considering	
				overheating/shrink of the real estate	
				market. And Korea has decided to	
				introduce recently CCB (Countercyclical	
				Capital Buffer) framework following the	
				Basel III.	
				3. Please indicate which tools have been deployed for	

An indicative list of such tools can be found in "Macroprudential Policy Tools and Frameworks – Progress Report to the G20" by the FSB, IMF and BIS (October 2011, http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/wp-content/uploads/r_111027b.pdf); "Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy" (December 2014, http://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/eng/2014/110614.pdf) by IMF staff; and "Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments" (December 2012, http://www.bis.org/publ/cgfs48.pdf) by the CGFS.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				macroprudential purposes over the past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and apply them.	
				In 2014, the FSC and the FSS have decided to loosen LTV and DTI ratios so that LTV/DTI would not impede stagnant real estate market in Korea.	
				4. Please describe whether and, if so, how the relevant authorities assess the <i>ex ante</i> cost and benefits of macroprudential policies and their <i>ex post</i> effectiveness.	
				N.A.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V.	Improving oversight of	f credit rating agencies (CRAs)			
13 (16)	Enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	All CRAs whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes should be subject to a regulatory oversight regime that includes	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	•				reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		Regulators should work together towards appropriate, globally compatible solutions (to conflicting compliance obligations for CRAs) as early as possible		businesses have been transferred from Use and Protection of Credit Information Act to Financial Investment Services and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
140	Description	in 2010. (FSB 2009) We encourage further steps to enhance transparency and competition among credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)	Remarks	Capital Markets Act thereby unifying regulation on CRAs and the use of credit ratings (Aug. 2013). Investor protection was enhanced by requiring disclosure of details on credit rating such as stating credit rating methods in credit reports (Aug. 2013).	Text sups
			Highlight main developments since last year's survey:		
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14	Reducing the reliance	We also endorsed the FSB's principles on	Jurisdictions should indicate the steps	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(17)	on ratings	reducing reliance on external credit	they are taking to address the	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
		ratings. Standard setters, market	recommendations of the May 2014 FSB	at the moment	When BCBS concludes final revisions to
		participants, supervisors and central	thematic peer review report on the	☑ Implementation ongoing:	the Standardised Approach for credit risk,
		banks should not rely mechanistically on	implementation of the FSB Principles for	Status of progress:	FSS will implement the revision within
		external credit ratings. (Seoul)	Reducing Reliance on Credit Ratings,	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	an appropriate time frame.
		Authorities should check that the roles	including by implementing their agreed	publication by:	
		that they have assigned to ratings in	action plans.	☐ Draft published as of:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		regulations and supervisory rules are	Jurisdictions may refer to the following	☐ Final rule or legislation approved	
		consistent with the objectives of having	documents:	and will come into force on:	
		investors make independent judgment of	• FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
		risks and perform their own due	on CRA Ratings (Oct 2010)	☐ Implementation completed as of:	
		diligence, and that they do not induce	• FSB Roadmap for Reducing Reliance	implementation completed as of.	
		uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a	on CRA Ratings (Nov 2012)	Issue is being addressed through:	
		substitute for that independent evaluation. (Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008)	BCBS Consultative Document	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			Revisions to the Standardised Approach	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
		We reaffirm our commitment to reduce	for credit risk (Dec 2014)	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory	
		authorities' and financial institutions'	Jor creativisk (Dec 2014)	actions), please specify:	
		reliance on external credit ratings, and		Short description of the content of the	
		call on standard setters, market		legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		participants, supervisors and central		In relation to banking sector, Korea has	
		banks to implement the agreed FSB		the CRA-rating related provisions in its	
		principles and end practices that rely		regulation and detailed regulation on	
		mechanistically on these ratings.		supervision of banking business. The	
		(Cannes)		provisions were created for the purpose	
		W11 f14		of adopting the Basel standards (the pillar	
		We call for accelerated progress by		1 and 3). In compliance with the pillar 1	
		national authorities and standard setting		adopted, the standardised approach using	
		bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance		banks are obligated to use the CRA	
		on credit ratings and encourage steps that			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		would enhance transparency of and		ratings for the measurement of risk	
		competition among credit rating agencies.		weighted assets. And in accordance with	
		(Los Cabos)		the pillar 3 adopted, the banks are	
				responsible for disclosing information on	
		We call on national authorities and		how they are managing risks; the	
		standard setting bodies to accelerate		information includes the names of CRAs	
		progress in reducing reliance on credit		and how the CRA ratings are mapped into	
		rating agencies, in accordance with the		the Basel standards. Since all the CRA-	
		FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)		rating related provisions are designed to	
				comply with the Basel standards,	
				reducing further the reliance on CRA	
				ratings in Korea may have to involve the	
				change in the Basel standards.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Banks do not use CRA ratings for their	
				credit assessment purpose. Reference to	
				CRA ratings remain only within the	
				implementation of the standardized	
				approach of the Basel regulation with	
				which banks are obligated to use the CRA	
				ratings for the measurement of risk-	
				weighted assets. Therefore the	
				amendment of the related law and	
				regulation might not be necessary for the	
				purpose of reducing the reliance on CRA	
				ratings for banks. But in order to	
				strengthen supervisory oversight to assess	
				the adequacy of market participants' own	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				credit assessment process and disclosures	
				we will continue to closely monitor the	
				appropriateness of each financial	
				institution's risk management process	
				including internal credit assessment	
				capacity. In addition, as a member of	
				international standard setters like BCBS,	
				IOSCO and IAIS, Korea will actively	
				participate in international discussion on	
				this area and review domestic regulations	
				according to the discussion.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/w p- content/uploads/c_140429r.pdf?page_mo ved=1	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VI.	Enhancing and aligning	ng accounting standards			
15 (18)	Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards	Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington)	Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB or are otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Analysis-of-the-G20-IFRS-profiles.aspx .	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 01-01-2011 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Because Korea has adopted IFRS in full from 2011, Korea refrains from producing its own interpretations of IFRS. When there are application issues that Korea needs to address, Korea cooperates with the IASB, IFRS	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Korean government will continue to cooperate with the IASB, IFRS Interpretations Committee and other national standard setters and to monitor the quality of financial statements in order to ensure that IFRS is applied consistently. Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.kasb.or.kr/



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				national standard setters to seek solutions	
				that are globally acceptable. Korea has	
				been working to ensure that companies	
				prepare IFRS-compliant annual financial	
				statements by analysing the quarterly	
				financial statements and encouraging	
				companies to apply IFRS more	
				rigorously.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.kasb.or.kr/	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
16	Appropriate application	Accounting standard setters and	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(19)	of Fair Value Accounting	prudential supervisors should examine the use of valuation reserves or	measures taken for appropriate application of fair value accounting.	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	Accounting	adjustments for fair valued financial instruments when data or modelling needed to support their valuation is weak. (Rec. 3.4, FSF 2009) Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine possible changes to relevant standards to dampen adverse dynamics potentially associated with fair value accounting. Possible ways to reduce this potential impact include the following: (1) Enhancing the accounting model so that the use of fair value accounting is carefully examined for financial instruments of credit intermediaries; (ii) Transfers between financial asset categories; (iii) Simplifying hedge accounting requirements. (Rec 3.5, FSF 2009)	Although not an application of fair value accounting, jurisdictions should additionally be mindful of implementation issues arising from the new accounting requirements for expected loan loss provisioning for impaired loans that are being introduced by the IASB and the FASB, and, for those jurisdictions where specific action is needed to foster transparent and consistent implementation, set out any steps they intend to take. See, for reference, the following BCBS documents: • Basel 2.5 standards on prudent valuation (Jul 2009) • Supervisory guidance for assessing banks' financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009)	Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 01-01-2011 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Because Korea has adopted IFRS in full from 2011, Korea refrains from producing its own interpretations of IFRS. When there are application issues that Korea needs to address, Korea cooperates with the IASB, IFRS Interpretations Committee and other national standard setters to seek solutions	Korean government will continue to cooperate with the IASB, IFRS Interpretations Committee and other national standard setters and to monitor the quality of financial statements in order to ensure that IFRS is applied consistently. The Korea Accounting Standards Board (KASB) is using IFRS educational material for fair value measurement to help implementation of fair value accounting. KASB is processing the adoption of IFRS9 which requires expected loan loss provisioning for impaired loans. The adoption of IFRS9 is expected to be completed by late 2015 or early 2016. Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.kasb.or.kr/
				national standard setters to seek solutions that are globally acceptable. Korea has	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				been working to ensure that companies	
				prepare IFRS-compliant annual financial	
				statements by analysing the quarterly	
				financial statements and encouraging	
				companies to apply IFRS more	
				rigorously.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.kasb.or.kr/	



	1	· S	•		
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VII.	Enhancing risk manag	ement			
17	Enhancing guidance to	Regulators should develop enhanced	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(20)	strengthen banks' risk	guidance to strengthen banks' risk	measures taken to enhance guidance to	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
	management practices,	management practices, in line with	strengthen banks' risk management	at the moment	[Banks] The financial authorities
	including on liquidity	international best practices, and should	practices.	☐ Implementation ongoing:	supervise banks' LCR to exceed
	and foreign currency	encourage financial firms to re-examine	Jurisdictions may also refer to FSB's	Status of progress:	minimum requirements according to
	funding risks	their internal controls and implement	thematic peer review report on risk	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	Basel III rules. Moreover, the FSS plans
		strengthened policies for sound risk	governance (Feb 2013) and the BCBS	publication by:	to introduce regulation on NSFR in
		management. (Washington)	Peer review of supervisory authorities'	☐ Draft published as of:	accordance with the time frame of BCBS
		National supervisors should closely check	implementation of stress testing	☐ Final rule or legislation approved	agreement.
		banks' implementation of the updated	principles (Apr 2012) and Principles for	and will come into force on:	
		guidance on the management and	sound stress testing practices and	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in	Web-links to relevant documents:
		supervision of liquidity as part of their	supervision (May 2009).	force since :	web-miks to relevant documents.
		regular supervision. If banks'		☑ Implementation completed as of:	
		implementation of the guidance is		[Bank] 01-01-2015, [FX] LCR 01-07- 2015, Stress test 06-2011	
		inadequate, supervisors will take more		2013, Siless test 00-2011	
		prescriptive action to improve practices.		Issue is being addressed through:	
		(Rec. II.10, FSF 2008)		☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		Regulators and supervisors in emerging		☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
		markets ³ will enhance their supervision		☐ Other actions (such as supervisory	
		of banks' operation in foreign currency		actions), please specify:	
		funding markets. (FSB 2009)		Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		We commit to conduct robust, transparent		[Banks] Final rules on Basel III LCR	
		stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)		and disclosure standards were published	
				in Dec. 2014. The rules on LCR have	

³ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				been implemented in Jan. 2015. [FX]	
				As for Basel III liquidity regulation, the	
				FSS established monitoring system so	
				that foreign currency LCR ratio reporting	
				figures as of month end are monthly	
				reported. And regulated ratio is expected	
				to gradually strengthen until 2019.	
				Starting from the latter half of 2010, the	
				FSS has been conducting stress tests on	
				FX liquidity. Since June 2011, the FSS	
				applied 2008 crisis-like situations for FX	
				liquidity stress testing which is conducted	
				every month. Thanks to strengthened FX	
				liquidity stress testing, all banks in Korea	
				now holds sufficient FX reserves	
				enabling them to endure 3 consecutive	
				months of external shocks similar to 2008	
				Global Financial Crisis.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 18 (22)	Description Enhanced risk disclosures by financial institutions	G20/FSB Recommendations Financial institutions should provide enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington) We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance financial institutions' disclosures of the risks they face, including the ongoing work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the status of implementation of the disclosures requirements of IFRSs (in particular IFRS7 and 13) or equivalent. Jurisdictions may also use as reference the recommendations of the October 2012 report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force on Enhancing the Risk Disclosures of Banks and Implementation Progress Report by the EDTF (Aug 2013), and set out any steps they have taken to foster adoption of the EDTF Principles and Recommendations.	Progress to date ☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☐ Implementation completed as of: 01-01-2013 Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.kasb.or.kr/view/jsp/bbsDownl oad.jsp?bbsCd=12&bbsSeq=57&orderNo=1 http://www.kasb.or.kr/web/services/bbs/bbsView.action?bbsBean.bbsCd=12&bbsBean.bbsCd=12&bbsBean.bbsSeq=74&searchBean.currentPage=1&ctgCd=&searchBean.startDt=&searchBean.endDt=&searchBean.searchKey=1&searchBean.searchVal=http://english.fss.or.kr
		Force. (St. Petersburg)		 ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: [IFRS 7] Korea Accounting Standards Board (KASB) made word-for-word translation of IFRS 7 and established K-IFRS 1107 on Nov 23, 2007. K-IFRS has been implemented since fiscal year 2011. [IFRS 13] KASB made a word-for-word translation of IASB's IFRS 13 and established K-IFRS 1113 on Nov 18, 2011. It is mandatory for all banks to 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				apply K-IFRS 1113 starting from fiscal	
				year 2013 and early application prior to	
				this year is also permitted.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



commendations Remarks Progress to date	Next steps
urance arrangements against the agreed oles, and authorities Jurisdictions should describe any revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to revisions made to national deposit insurance system.	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
	n) in f: 29- ory in 95 f the w s as a
vehicle of deposit in Korea was enacted in Short description of legislation/ regulati The FSB's Thematic Report mentions Korea	surance scheme n December 199 of the content of ion/guideline: c DI Peer Review rea several time rally good depos



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				with best practices in this field. (No	
				particular problem was identified.)	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.law.go.kr/lsInfoP.do?lsiSeq=60413&chrClsCd=010203&urlMode=engLsInfoR&viewCls=engLsInfoR#0000	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IX.	Safeguarding the integ	rity and efficiency of financial markets	S		
20 (24)	Enhancing market integrity and efficiency	We must ensure that markets serve efficient allocation of investments and savings in our economies and do not pose risks to financial stability. To this end, we commit to implement initial recommendations by IOSCO on market integrity and efficiency, including measures to address the risks posed by high frequency trading and dark liquidity, and call for further work by mid-2012. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should indicate whether high frequency trading and dark pools exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendation in the following IOSCO reports in their regulatory framework: • Regulatory issues raised by changes in market structure (Dec 2013) • Report on Regulatory Issues Raised by the Impact of Technological Changes on Market Integrity and Efficiency (Oct 2011) • Report on Principles for Dark Liquidity (May 2011).	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 30-09-2013 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Comprehensive management of algorithmic trading in Korea Derivatives Market Highlight main developments since last year's survey: N/A	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://eng.krx.co.kr/coreboard/BHPENG0 9004/view.jspx?bbsSeq=20017&secretYn =N	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps								
No 21 (25)	Description Regulation and supervision of commodity markets	We need to ensure enhanced market transparency, both on cash and financial commodity markets, including OTC, and achieve appropriate regulation and supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management powers, including the power to set exante position limits, particularly in the delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012. (Cannes) We also call on Finance ministers to monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO's principles for the regulation and supervision on commodity derivatives markets and	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate whether commodity markets of any type exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on Principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011). Jurisdictions, in responding to this recommendation, may also make use of the responses contained in the update to the survey published by IOSCO in September 2014 on the principles for the regulation and supervision of commodity derivatives markets.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 30-04-2013 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: After the amendment of Capital Market Act, Korea regulates market price manipulation not only market derivatives	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:								
	implementation of IOSCO's principles for the regulation and supervision on commodity derivatives markets and encourage broader publishing and											After the amendment of Capital Market Act, Korea regulates market price	
		unrestricted access to aggregated open interest data. (St. Petersburg)		year's survey: N/A Web-links to relevant documents:									



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		http://english.fss.or.kr			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
22	Reform of financial	We support the establishment of the	Collection of information on this		
(26)	benchmarks	FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to	recommendation will continue to be		
(20)		coordinate work on the necessary reforms	deferred given the forthcoming FSB		
		of financial benchmarks. We endorse	progress report on implementation of the		
		IOSCO's Principles for Financial	FSB recommendations in this area, and		
		Benchmarks and look forward to reform	ongoing IOSCO work to review the		
		as necessary of the benchmarks used	implementation of the IOSCO Principles		
		internationally in the banking industry	for Financial Benchmarks.		
		and financial markets, consistent with the			
		IOSCO Principles. (St. Petersburg)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps		
Χ.	X. Enhancing financial consumer protection						
23 (27)	Enhancing financial consumer protection	We agree that integration of financial consumer protection policies into regulatory and supervisory frameworks contributes to strengthening financial stability, endorse the FSB report on consumer finance protection and the high level principles on financial consumer protection prepared by the OECD together with the FSB. We will pursue the full application of these principles in our jurisdictions. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD's G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection (Oct 2011). Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD's September 2013 and September 2014 reports on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High-level Principles.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☑ Draft published as of: 06-07-2012 □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The new legislation stipulates provisions concerning consumer financial protection including regualtion on sales, settlement for financial disputes and etc which are currently provided under different laws and regulations for each financial institution.	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:		
				concerning consumer financial protection including regulation on sales, settlement for financial disputes and etc which are currently provided under different laws and regulations for each financial			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			year's survey:		
			Web-links to relevant documents:		



Republic of Korea

XI. Source of recommendations:

Brisbane: G20 Leaders' Communique (15-16 November 2014)

St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)

Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)

Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)

Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)

Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)

Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)

London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)

Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)

FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)

FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)

FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)

FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)

XII. List of Abbreviations used: