

Jurisdiction: Germany

# 2014 IMN Survey of National Progress in the Implementation of G20/FSB Recommendations

- I. Refining the regulatory perimeter
- II. Hedge funds
- **III. Securitisation**
- IV. Enhancing supervision
- V. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools
- VI. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VII. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VIII. Enhancing risk management
- IX. Strengthening deposit insurance
- X. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
- XI. Enhancing financial consumer protection
- XII. Reference to source of recommendations
- XIII. List of Abbreviations



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I.	Refining the regulator		2.02.1.02	2208.000.00	- teat stops
No I.  1 (1)	Refining the regulator Review of the boundaries of the regulatory framework including strengthening of oversight of shadow banking <sup>1</sup>	We will each review and adapt the boundaries of the regulatory framework to keep pace with developments in the financial system and promote good practices and consistent approaches at an international level. (London)  We agree to strengthen the regulation and oversight of the shadow banking system. <sup>2</sup> (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should indicate the steps taken to expand the domestic regulatory framework to previously unregulated entities, for example, non-bank financial institutions (e.g. finance companies, mortgage insurance companies, credit hedge funds) and conduits/SIVs etc.  Jurisdictions should indicate policy measures to strengthen the regulation and oversight of the shadow banking system. See, for reference, the recommendations discussed in section 2 of the October 2011 FSB report: Shadow Banking:  Strengthening Oversight and Regulation.	Progress to date  ☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☐ Implementation ongoing or completed: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Germany has implemented the	Planned actions (if any): Germany aims to implement further measures in line with the proposals currently being developed by the EU Commission and European Banking Authority, as necessary.  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:
				European Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive into national law. Monitoring of structural developments in the financial system is an integral part of macro-prudential analyses and is conducted by relevant authorities in Germany (BaFin, Deutsche Bundesbank, and German government). It also encompasses	

Some authorities or market participants prefer to use other terms such as "market-based financing" instead of "shadow banking" is not intended to cast a pejorative tone on this system of credit intermediation. However, the FSB is using the term "shadow banking" as this is the most commonly employed and, in particular, has been used in the earlier G20 communications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This recommendation will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				reviewing the adequacy of the	
				respective scope of regulation. The	
				micro perspective exercised by	
				prudential supervisors focuses on	
				structural developments on a more	
				granular level. Relevant authorities in	
				Germany share their views in different	
				national committee structures.	
				Intensified monitoring of the shadow	
				banking system by Bundesbank and	
				BaFin within their organisations and	
				jointly within the respective structures.	
				The analysis and results are also being	
				shared with the FSB as part of its	
				annual monitoring of the shadow	
				banking system. The quality and	
				granularity of relevant data will be	
				enhanced continuously. Moreover,	
				BaFin and Bundesbank contribute to	
				the FSB Information Sharing	
				Mechanism as set forth under the	
				Policy Framework for Oversight and	
				Regulation of Shadow Banking	
				Entities, adopted by the FSB in August	
				2013. In January 2014, the	
				European Commission has issued a	
				proposal on structural measures	
				improving the resilience of EU credit	
				institutions. Alongside that proposal,	
				the Commission has also proposed	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				accompanying measures aimed at	
				increasing the transparency of certain	
				transactions in the shadow banking	
				sector to avoid that banks circumvent	
				other rules by moving those activities	
				to the shadow banking sector (i.e. a	
				proposal for a regulation on reporting	
				and transparency of securities	
				financing transactions). Upon entry	
				into force, the regulations will be	
				applicable in all EU Member States.	
				Besides, the European Banking	
				Authority (EBA) is currently assessing	
				the perimeter of credit institutions and	
				more broadly the scope bank-like	
				activities carried out by non-banks. The	
				EBA has forwarded an interim report	
				to the EU Commission in April 2014	
				and is going to forward its final report	
				in October/November 2014.	
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: The Kapitalanlagegesetzbuch (KAGB) entered into force in July 2013	
				Short description of the content of the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeff	
				entlichungen/EN/Meldung/2013/meldun	
				g_130722_kapitalanlagegesetzbuch_en.h	
				tml	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: The KAGB provides	
				BaFin with an enhanced set of policy	
				tools which contribute to increasing	
				transparency and supervision of	
				alternative investment funds managers.	
				For further details see	
				http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeff	
				entlichungen/EN/Meldung/2013/	
				meldung_130722_kapitalanlagegesetzbu	
				ch_en.html	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/kagb/	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
II.	Hedge funds				
		We also firmly recommitted to work in an internationally consistent and non-discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul)  Hedge funds or their managers will be registered and will be required to disclose appropriate information on an ongoing basis to supervisors or regulators, including on their leverage, necessary for assessment of the systemic risks they pose individually or collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London)	Jurisdictions should state whether Hedge Funds(HFs) are domiciled locally and, if available, indicate the size of the industry in terms of Assets Under Management (AUM) and number of HFs. Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles contained in IOSCO's Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009).  In particular, jurisdictions should specify whether:  - HFs and/or HF managers are subject to mandatory registration  - Registered HF managers are subject to appropriate ongoing requirements regarding:  • Organisational and operational standards;  • Conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules;  • Disclosure to investors; and  • Prudential regulation.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Germany has in force a regulatory framework for hedge funds. This framework sets out regulation for managers of hedge funds as well as for hedge funds themselves. According to this regulation, for example, both managers and funds are subject to an approval process. BaFin takes part in IOSCO Survey on Hedge Funds (via Task Force on Unregulated Entities), covering systemically relevant information about hedge funds.	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:  Planned actions (if any):  Expected commencement date: AIFMD is implemented and in force in the German KAGB since July 2013.  Web-links to relevant documents: see-above
				For further information in respect to the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive (AIFMD), please	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				refer to the EU-COM answer.	
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 22.07.2013	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeff	
				entlichungen/EN/Meldung/2013/meldun g_130722_kapitalanlagegesetzbuch_en.h	
				tml	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Hedge funds	
				Hedge funds launched and marketed in	
				Germany are subject to supervision under	
				the Investment Code	
				(Kapitalanlagegesetzbuch – KAGB).	
				Authorised domestic management	
				companies may establish single hedge	
				funds and funds of hedge funds in	
				Germany. Funds of hedge funds may be	
				approved as retail or special funds, single	
				hedge funds may only be approved as	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				special funds	
				Funds of hedge funds	
				A fund of hedge funds may in principle	
				acquire units in both domestic regulated	
				single hedge funds and foreign	
				investment funds with comparable	
				investment policies as their target funds	
				(section 225 (1) sentences 1 and 2 of the	
				KAGB). As regards the choice of single	
				hedge funds, in addition to the principle	
				of risk-diversification, other spreading	
				rules apply; for instance, no more than 20	
				per cent of a fund of hedge funds may be	
				invested in a single target fund. Leverage	
				– with the exception of borrowings in	
				accordance with section 199 of the	
				KAGB- and short selling may not be	
				employed for funds of hedge funds	
				(section 225 (1) sentence 3 of the	
				KAGB). Before investing, funds of	
				hedge funds have to obtain a minimum	
				amount of information about the target	
				funds. Thereafter they must monitor the	
				investment strategies and risks of the	
				target funds on an ongoing basis.	
				Single hedge funds	
				Unlike funds of hedge funds, single	
				hedge funds may only be offered as	
				special funds, i.e. they may only be	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				marketed to professional and semi-	
				professional investors. There are hardly	
				any legal restrictions on the investment	
				strategies of single hedge funds. They	
				are, by definition, open domestic special	
				alternative investment funds (AIFs) as set	
				out in section 282 of the KAGB, which	
				provide either for the use of leverage on	
				a substantial basis or the sale of assets for	
				the collective account of investors which	
				do not form part of the AIF at the time of	
				sale (short sale).	
				In this context, leverage designates any	
				mechanism used by management	
				companies to increase the investment	
				level of an investment fund managed by	
				it – either by borrowing, securities loans,	
				leverage embedded in derivatives or any	
				other means. In accordance with	
				applicable Level 2 Regulations, leverage is used on a substantial basis when its	
				calculated exposure exceeds three times	
				the AIF's net asset value.	
				The marketing provisions for hedge	
				funds are governed by sections 293 et	
				seq. of the KAGB.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/kagb/	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3 (3)	Establishment of international information sharing framework	We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms for cooperation and information sharing between relevant authorities in order to ensure effective oversight is maintained when a fund is located in a different jurisdiction from the manager. We will, cooperating through the FSB, develop measures that implement these principles by the end of 2009. (London)	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009) on sharing information to facilitate the oversight of globally active fund managers.  In addition, jurisdictions should state whether they are:  - Signatory to the IOSCO MMoU  - Signatory to bilateral agreements for supervisory cooperation that cover hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO Principles Regarding Cross-border Supervisory  Cooperation.  In particular, jurisdictions should indicate those jurisdictions where an MoU is in place that provides for oversight when a hedge fund is located in one of these jurisdictions and manager is located elsewhere.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  BaFin cooperates and shares information with authorities on the basis of relevant IOSCO and ESMA MoU.  Besides that, the AIFM Directive demands the closure of cooperation agreements (MoU) in case of existing AIF business with third country NCAs. The content of the MoU is regulated by an ESMA Guideline. The purpose of these agreements is to enable the signatories to exchange information on a regulated basis. It covers all AIFs including hedge funds. So far, the BaFin has entered 21 AIFMD MoU	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:  Planned actions (if any): BaFin is preparing to share information with other IOSCO members on the basis of the information sharing exercise conducted by the IOSCO Task Force on Unregulated Entities.  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	agreements. http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroe ffentlichungen/DE/Merkblatt/WA/mb_ 130722_internat_koopvereinbarungen_ kagb.html  Status of progress:  Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:  Reform effective (completed) as of: 22.07.2013  Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Guidelines on the model MoU concerning consultation, cooperation and the exchange of information related to the supervision of AIFMD entities.  Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents: The content of the ESMA AIFMD Model MoU is available at: http://www.esma.europa.eu/system/files/2013-998_guidelines_on_the_model_mous_concerning_aifmd.pdf	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
4	Enhancing counterparty	Supervisors should require that	Jurisdictions should indicate specific	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): For actions
(4)	risk management	institutions which have hedge funds as	policy measures taken for enhancing	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	planned, in particular the implementation
		their counterparties have effective risk	counterparty risk management and	the moment	of para. 112 Basel III please refer to the
		management, including mechanisms to	strengthening their existing guidance on	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but	EU-COM answer!
		monitor the funds' leverage and set limits	the management of exposure to leveraged	no action envisaged" has been	
		for single counterparty exposures.	counterparties.	selected, please provide a brief justification:	Expected commencement date:
		(London)	In particular, jurisdictions should indicate	☑ Implementation ongoing or	Revised MaRisk (version 2012-12-14;
			whether they have implemented the	completed:	circular 10/2012 (BA)) including
			Basel III rules for credit exposures to	Issue is being addressed through:	enhanced requirements concerning credit
			highly leveraged counterparties (para 112	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	deals with hedge funds and private equity
			of <u>Basel III (Jun 2011)</u> – see also <u>FAQ</u>	✓ Regulation / Guidelines	firms has come into effect until 2013-01-
			no 1b.4 on Basel III counterparty credit	✓ Other actions (such as supervisory	01.
			risk, Dec 2012), and principle 2.iii of	actions), please specify:	
			IOSCO Report on Hedge Fund Oversight		Web-links to relevant documents:
			(Jun 2009). Jurisdictions should also	German regulations require financial institutions to have an effective risk	web-miks to relevant documents.
			indicate the steps they are taking to	management in place, which covers all	
			implement the new standards on equity	counterparties. This includes	
			exposures ( <u>Capital requirements for</u> banks' equity investments in funds, Dec	counterparties. This includes	
			2013) by 1 January 2017.	mechanisms for hedge funds In	
				addition to these general requirements,	
			For further reference, see also the	the revised Minimum Requirements for	
			following documents:	Risk Management (Banks) require	
(4)		Supervisors will strengthen their existing	BCBS Sound Practices for Banks'	explicitly that institutions have to	
		guidance on the management of	Interactions with Highly Leveraged	implement an internal policy regarding	
		exposures to leveraged counterparties.	Institutions (Jan 1999)	credit deals with hedge funds or private	
		(Rec. II.17,FSF 2008)		equity firms, where applicable.	
			BCBS <u>Banks' Interactions with</u> Highly Leveraged Institutions (Jan	Amongst other things, this comprises a	
			1999)	policy regarding gathering financial	
			<u> </u>	and non-financial information about	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				their counterparties and an analysis of	
				the structure and the purpose of the	
				transactions financed.	
				The investment of insurance	
				undertakings in hedge funds is	
				regulated in BaFin circular 7/2004	
				(BaFin – Circular 7/2004 (VA)	
				Investments in Hedge Funds).	
				German regulations require financial	
				institutions to consider every relevant	
				risk which they are exposed to. This	
				includes also the specific risks of	
				exposures to leveraged counterparties.	
				For further information on	
				CRDIV/CRR, please refer to the EU-	
				COM answer.	
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: for insurance and only in relation to the above mentioned circulars: 20.08.2004. The implementation of Solvency II is still ongoing. For further information on CRDIV/CRR, please refer to the EU-COM answer. See link for InvMaRisk below	
				Short description of the content of the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: for	
				insurance and only in relation to the	
				above mentioned circulars: 20.08.2004.	
				The implementation of Solvency II is	
				still ongoing.For further information on	
				CRDIV/CRR, please refer to the EU-	
				COM answer.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeff	
				entlichungen/EN/Rundschreiben/rs_0407	
				_investmenthedge_en_va.html	
				"InvMaRisk	
				http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeff	
				entlichungen/DE/Rundschreiben/rs_1005	
				_wa_invmarisk.html	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
III.	Securitisation	320/102 Recommendations	Tellia K	Trogress to dute	Treat steps
5 (5)	Improving the risk management of securitisation	During 2010, supervisors and regulators will:  • implement IOSCO's proposals to strengthen practices in securitisation markets. (FSB 2009)  The BCBS and authorities should take forward work on improving incentives for risk management of securitisation, including considering due diligence and quantitative retention requirements by 2010. (London)  Securitization sponsors or originators should retain a part of the risk of the underlying assets, thus encouraging them to act prudently. (Pittsburgh)	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendations contained in:  • IOSCO's <u>Unregulated Financial Markets and Products (Sep 2009)</u> , including justification for any exemptions to the IOSCO recommendations; and  • BCBS's Basel 2.5 standards on exposures to securitisations (Jul 2009), http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs157.pdf and http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs158.pdf.  Jurisdictions may also indicate progress in implementing the recommendations of the IOSCO's <u>Report on Global Developments in Securitisation Regulation (Nov 2012)</u> .	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Reform effective (completed) as of: 31.12.2010 with respect to the Banking sector and as of 22. 07.2013 with respect to the Alternative Investment Fund Managers.	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:  Planned actions (if any): Insurance Sector The new EU-Solvency II framework will establish an enhanced risk management. With respect to quantitative retention it is currently planned that insurance and reinsurance undertakings shall only invest in tradable securities and other financial instruments based on repackaged loans if the originator or sponsor has explicitly disclosed to the undertaking in the documentation governing the investment that it will retain, on an ongoing basis a net economic interest which, in any event shall not be less than 5%. When this Regulation enters into force it is directly applicable in all Member States.  Expected commencement date:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Jurisdictions should not provide responses on IOSCO recommendations concerning the alignment incentives associated with securitisation (including risk retention requirements) since these will be covered by an IOSCO peer review in 2014.

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Still in the national implementation phase with respect to Alternative Investment Fund Managers and Insurance Sectors (pls. see below).	Web-links to relevant documents:
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: The	
				IOSCO recommendation to require	
				originators and or sponsors to retain a	
				long-term economic exposure to the securitisation had been implemented in	
				Europe via the inclusion of a new Article	
				122a in the CRD in May 2009. The	
				relevant amendments to the EU-CRD had	
				been transposed into German law.	
				By 01.01.2014 the almost identical rules	
				of Articles 404 to 410 of Regulation	
				(EU) No 575/2013 ('CRR') have	
				replaced the former Article 122a of the	
				CRD and its national implementation	
				into German law. Additional technical	
				standards further specifying the	
				requirements of Articles 405 to 409 of	
				the CRR are applicable since 02.04.2014 (regulatory technical standards) and	
				24.06.2014 (implementing technical	
				standards).	
				Banking Sector	
				The Minimum Requirements for Risk	
				Management require banks to identify,	
				assess, manage, and report all material	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	risks, including risks from securitisation actions. In addition, they include requirements for stress testing for all relevant risk areas which also covers securitisations (risks resulting from securitisation positions shall be adressed by the institution's stress tests). Furthermore, banks must not rely solely on external ratings. They are rather obliged to assess the quality of securitisations positions on their own.  Enhanced risk management practices for securitisation portfolios and retention requirements for originators/sponsors of securitisations had been required by EU-law (CRD II) since end 2010 which had been transposed into German law by amendments to existing laws (German Banking Act) and the applicable regulations.  Relevant regulation is now contained in Articles 404 to 410 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 and corresponding	Next steps
				technical standards and stipulates, in particular, that investors may assume exposures to securitisation risk only if the originator or sponsor (or original lender) has confirmed that it will retain at least 5% of the risk.	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Alternative Investment Fund Sector	
				Similar requirements to those of CRD	
				for credit institutions are being developed	
				in EU for investors in other sectors -	
				Alternative Investment Fund Managers	
				(AIFM) Directive imposes general	
				requirements that alternative investment	
				and collective investment vehicle	
				managers conduct thorough due	
				diligence to ensure that originators	
				comply with 5% risk retention	
				requirements when investing in	
				securitisation structures (Art 17a of the	
				Directive) The technicalities of these	
				retention requirements remain to be	
				adopted by the EC.	
				The AIFM Directive entered into force	
				on 21 July 2011. EU Member States have	
				to implement the Directive in local	
				Member State law by 22 July 2013. In	
				Germany an Act Implementing the AIFM	
				Directive (the Kapitalanlagegesetzbuch	
				(KAGB)) has entered into force on	
				22.07.2013. (see also answer to	
				recommendation 2).	
				For more information on sectoral	
				provisions, please refer to the EU-COM	
				answer.	
				Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey: The CRD rules which	
				had required a national implementation	
				through EU Member States have been	
				replaced by almost identical rules of	
				Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 and	
				corresponding technical standards that	
				are directly applicable in all EU Member	
				States and thus neither require nor allow	
				for a transposition into national law.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Gesetz zur Umsetzung der Richtlinie	
				über die Verwalter alternativer	
				Investmentfonds: http://www.gesetze-	
				im-internet.de/kagb/	
				http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2009:302:0097:0119:EN:PDF	
				http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2013:321:0006:0342:EN:PDF	
				http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=OJ:JOL_201	
				4_174_R_0006&from=EN	
				http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:320	
				14R0602&from=EN	
				14K0002&HUIII-EN	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6	Strengthening of	Insurance supervisors should strengthen	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☑ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any):
(6)	regulatory and capital framework for monolines	the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured credit. (Rec II.8 ,FSF 2008)	measures taken for strengthening the regulatory and capital framework for monolines.	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but	Expected commencement date:
			See, for reference, the following principles issued by IAIS:	no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: There are no monoline	Web-links to relevant documents:
			• <u>ICP 13</u> – Reinsurance and Other Forms of Risk Transfer;	insurers in Germany.	
			• <u>ICP 15</u> – Investments; and	☐ Implementation ongoing or completed :	
			• <u>ICP 17</u> - Capital Adequacy.	Issue is being addressed through:	
			Jurisdictions may also refer to:	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
			IAIS <u>Guidance paper on enterprise</u> <u>risk management for capital</u>	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct	Status of progress :	
			<ul> <li><u>2008).</u></li> <li>Joint Forum's consultative document</li> </ul>	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
			on <u>Mortgage insurance: market</u>	☐ Draft published as of:	
			structure, underwriting cycle and policy implications (Feb 2013).	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☐ Reform effective (completed) as of:	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
<b>No</b> 7 (7)	Description  Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	G20/FSB Recommendations  Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18 ,FSF 2008)	Remarks  Jurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance product.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:  Planned actions (if any): It is planned to consolidate different existing circulars (among others also the circular to
			Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's report on <i>Good Practices in Relation to Investment Managers' Due Diligence</i>	<ul> <li>☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:</li> <li>Issue is being addressed through:</li> <li>☑ Primary / Secondary legislation</li> <li>☑ Regulation / Guidelines</li> </ul>	structured products), first draft of the consolidated version probably in 2014.  Expected commencement date:
			When Investing in Structured Finance Instruments (Jul 2009).	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Status of progress:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint Forum report on <u>Credit Risk Transfer-Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul 2008).</u>	<ul><li>□ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</li><li>□ Draft published as of:</li></ul>	
				<ul> <li>☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</li> <li>☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 31.12.2010</li> </ul>	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: For financial institutions (esp. banks) the requirements in Germany for risk management, including the new product process, have been enhanced. Financial institutions must have a clear understanding of the products and the risk	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				profile of all investments.	
				Investment products have to be examined	
				adequately in the internal risk	
				management processes (risk	
				measurement, limit system, stress testing,	
				etc.) and the internal capital adequacy	
				assessment process. Furthermore, the	
				investment in structured products has to	
				be in line with the strategy of the	
				institution.	
				The respective enhancements of EU	
				legislation (CRD) have been transposed	
				into German law, e.g. the strengthened	
				management requirements for structured	
				investment products and further due	
				diligence requirements, especially for re-	
				securitisations.	
				As regards investment funds there are	
				provisions on risk management in the	
				UCITS Directive and more detailed Level	
				3 guidance by CESR from 2009.	
				Noteworthy is the fact that the guidelines	
				– under the impression of the financial	
				crisis - require investment firms to	
				conduct a strict due diligence before	
				acquiring complex products like	
				structured finance products. This	
				requirement was transposed into the	
				German Minimum Requirements for Risk	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Management (MARisk) for investment	
				companies.	
				For hedge funds the AIFMD also	
				provides for enhanced and independent	
				risk management.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.gesetze-im-	
				internet.de/kredwg/index.html	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
8 (8)	Description  Enhanced disclosure of securitised products	G20/FSB Recommendations  Securities market regulators should work with market participants to expand information on securitised products and their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10-III.13, FSF 2008)	Remarks  Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for enhancing disclosure of securitised products.  See, for reference, IOSCO's Report on Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012) and	Progress to date  ☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief	Next steps  If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:  Planned actions (if any):
			IOSCO's <u>Disclosure Principles for</u> <u>Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-</u> <u>Backed Securities (Apr 2010)</u> .	justification:  ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:	Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:
				Issue is being addressed through:  □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  BaFin currently requests specific data from and interviews with senior management of banks, insurance companies, and asset management companies, to better assess the risk exposure of their securitised products.	
				Banks also have to fulfill comprehensive disclosure requirements if they are acting as originator or sponsor of a securitisation which had been introduced by the CRD II (Directive 2009/111/EC) and transposed into German law by 31.12.2010 and has now been replaced by the almost identical rules of Article	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				409 of Regulation 8EU) No 575/2013.	
				BaFin requests quarterly specific data	
				on securitised products of systemically	
				relevant banks. Other banks, insurance	
				companies, and asset management	
				companies are queried on a case-by-	
				case basis where necessary. Interviews	
				with senior management at banks and	
				insurance companies with significant	
				risks.	
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: Amendments to CRA Regulation entered directly into force within EU Member States on 20.06.2013.	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/regulation/guideline: As a	
				response to the financial crisis the	
				European Union introduced the Capital	
				Requirements Directive II (CRD II)	
				(Directive 2009/111/EC of the European	
				Parliament and of the Council of 16	
				September 2009 amending Directives	
				2006/48/EC, 2006/49/EC and	
				2007/64/EC as regards banks affiliated to	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				central institutions, certain own funds	
				items, large exposures, supervisory	
				arrangements, and crisis management),	
				which includes, among others, enhanced	
				disclosure rules regarding ABS. Under	
				Article 122a (7) of CRD II each credit	
				institution acting as sponsor or originator	
				of a securitisation is subject to	
				comprehensive disclosure obligations	
				towards prospective investors. The rules	
				of Article 122a (7) of CRD II have been	
				replaced by the almost identical rules of	
				Article 409 of Regulation (EU) No	
				575/2013. According to this Article in	
				particular credit institutions acting as	
				originator, sponsor or original lender with	
				regard to a securitisation need to ensure	
				that prospective investors have readily	
				available access to:	
				• all materially relevant data on the credit	
				quality and performance of the individual	
				underlying exposures, cash flows and	
				collateral supporting a securitisation	
				exposure; and	
				all information that is necessary to	
				conduct comprehensive and well	
				informed stress tests on the cash flows	
				and collateral values supporting the	
				underlying exposures.	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				The respective regulations of EU CRD II	
				legislation had been transposed into	
				German law both of which have now	
				been replaced by the corresponding	
				regulations of Regulation (EU) No	
				575/2013. In addition, more technical	
				issues regarding Article 409 of	
				Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 are	
				clarified by regulatory technical standards	
				are applicable since 02.04.2014.	
				For further information on issuers	
				originators and sponsors of ABS and their	
				enhanced disclosure obligations under	
				CRA III, please refer to the EU-COM	
				answer.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: Transformation of the	
				CRD disclosure requirements for	
				securitisations (requiring national	
				implementation of these requirements)	
				into directly binding CRR requirements	
				applicable as of 1 January 2014 (see	
				detailed description above)	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2013:321:0006:0342:EN:PDF	
				http://register.consilium.europa.eu/pdf/en/	
				12/st16/st16680.en12.pdf http://eur-	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2013:146:0001:0033:EN:PDF	
				http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=OJ:JOL_201	
				4_174_R_0006&from=EN	



		·	8 1		
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IV.	<b>Enhancing supervision</b>				
9 (9)	Consistent,	All firms whose failure could pose a risk	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): Discussions
	consolidated	to financial stability must be subject to	measures taken for implementing	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	within crisis management groups have
	supervision and	consistent, consolidated supervision and	consistent, consolidated supervision and	the moment	been taking place since early 2010.
	regulation of SIFIs	regulation with high standards.	regulation of SIFIs. <sup>4</sup>	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been	Additional provisions for cross-border
		(Pittsburgh)	See, for reference, the following	no action envisaged" has been	crisis resolution are subject to ongoing
			documents:	selected, please provide a brief justification:	work at the FSB (Resolution Steering
			BCBS:	☑ Implementation ongoing or	Group) and the EU level.
			• Framework for G-SIBs (Nov 2011)	completed:	Insurance
				Issue is being addressed through:	Darin is assemble a suboth on the assemble.
			• Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012)	✓ Primary / Secondary legislation	BaFin is examining whether the currently available instruments for recovery and
			• <u>BCP 12 (Sep 2012)</u>	☐ Regulation / Guidelines	resolution are sufficient for the national
			IAIS:	✓ Other actions (such as supervisory	insurance sector or if additional ones are
			Global Systemically Important	actions), please specify:	needed. Furthermore, there is a consensus
			Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013)	Banking sector	that legally binding international and
			• ICP 23– Group wide supervision		European directions are the minimum of
			FSB:	Starting the 4 <sup>th</sup> of November ECB will	what would be implemented nationally.
				assume direct supervisory authority	
			• Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov	regarding significant institutions.	Banking sector
			<u>2011)</u>	Supervisory strategy and core themes	BaFin is examining the submitted
				regarding SIFIS therefore will	recovery plans, which are written by the
				presumably largely be determined by the	potential systemically important
				1	
					1
				1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
					elaborate the draft resolution plans and
				ECB. Especially, ECB will be responsible for supervisory decisions. Within the framework of the Joint Supervisory Teams (JST) composed of NCA staff to a large extent, however, BaFin and	institutions. In consultation with the Federal Agency for Financial Market Stabilisation (FMSA), the BaFin will elaborate the draft resolution plans and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The scope of the follow-up to this recommendation will be revised once the monitoring framework on policy measures for G-SIFIs, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Bundesbank strive to proactively	assess the resolvability of the institutions.
				participate in the joint supervisory effort	With the BRRD Implementation Act
				including formal decisions.	(BRRD-Umsetzungsgesetz) from
				The following statements should be	January 2015 the FMSA, after consulting
				regarded against the background of this	the supervisory authority, will draw up a
				upcoming shift in terms of formal	resolution plan for each institution that is
				supervisory responsibility.	not part of a group subject to consolidated
					supervision. Therefore the resolution
				For further information on identification /	authority will have to agree on these
				higher loss absorbency, please refer to the	actions with the supervisory authority.
				EU-COM answer.	The same will apply for the assessment of
				In order to come into effect, the CRD IV	resolvability carried out by the resolution
				provisions which were finalized in July	authority, after consulting the supervisory
				2013 require a further transposition into	authority and the resolution authorities of
				national law. The amendments to the	the jurisdictions in which significant
				German 'Kreditwesengesetz' passed the	branches are located.
				Federal Council by late June 2013 and	
				entered into force by 1 January 2014.	Expected commencement date:
				More intensive supervision:	
				Accordingly, pursuant to the Ongoing	Web-links to relevant documents:
				Monitoring Guideline	
				("Aufsichtsrichtlinie", Article 6) of	
				February 2013, the supervision of	
				banking institutions of systemic	
				importance is now more rigorous, with a	
				particular emphasis on detailed analyses	
				of the risks and their possible	
				repercussions on the institutions' risk-	
				bearing capacity. In addition, cooperation	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				between BaFin and the Deutsche Bundesbank, as the institutions sharing supervisory functions, has been intensified.	
				Prudential supervision is carried out with respect to banking and financial holding groups with regard to the group's solvency, its compliance with large exposure limits and its investments outside the financial sector. The scope of consolidation is set out in Section 10a German Banking Act in conjunction with Article 18 CRR and encompasses all institutions, asset management firms, financial institutions, ancillary services undertakings, e-money- institutions and payment services institutions belonging to the group as well as where applicable the superordinated financial holding company. In addition these groups have to report on risk concentrations and intragroup transactions (German Banking Act, Section 13c). Furthermore, the provisions in the Minimum Requirements for Risk Management are also addressing consolidated risk management for all	
				material risks and their coverage at the group level for banking and financial holding groups as well as financial conglomerates (MaRisk, Section AT 4.5.)	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				A supplementary supervision applies to	
				financial conglomerates.	
				Insurance sector:	
				Mirroring the banking regulations	
				insurance groups as well have to	
				regularly submit to BaFin the calculation	
				of the group solvency margin and a report	
				about important intragroup transactions.	
				In addition, since September 2009 the	
				groups have to quarterly report on	
				important risk concentrations concerning	
				counterparts outside the group (German	
				Insurance Supervision Act, Sections	
				104e, 104g and 104i). Moreover, the	
				Minimum Requirements for Risk	
				Management (Insurance Companies),	
				Section 2 no. 1 explicitly addresses group	
				risks.)	
				Recovery and Resolution	
				According to the newly inserted section	
				64d of the Insurance Supervision Act	
				BaFin can ask any insurance undertaking	
				to develop a recovery plan thus including	
				of a broader range of insurers than the	
				international discussion currently	
				involves.	
				G-SIIs	
				In accordance with the IAIS Policy	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Measures a CMG has been established for the G-SII which is supervised by BaFin as group supervisor. The G-SII has developed a Systemic Risk Management Plan as well as a Liquidity Risk Management Plan. Recovery and Resolution Plans are under way.  Financial Conglomerates Directive (2002/87/EC) has been amended by Directive 2011/89/EC which has been implemented into German legislation in	-
				July 2013.  Within the relevant scope, financial firms have been asked to provide BaFin with a draft contingency and de-risking plan in early 2010. The results were already discussed and further work has been initiated to refine the planning.  Banking recovery and resolution	
				On January 1, 2011 the "Bank Restructuring Act" came into effect.  It introduces two voluntary proceedings that may be initiated and managed by the troubled bank's management, i.e.,  A recovery proceeding;	
				A recovery proceeding  A recovery proceeding may be initiated	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				by the management of a troubled bank at	
				an early stage of a crisis and notified to	
				the supervisory authority. The	
				notification must include a recovery plan,	
				which may include all measures	
				appropriate for a restructuring of the	
				bank. A general principle of the measures	
				implemented under the recovery plan is	
				that they may not impair any rights of any	
				creditor without its prior consent. In case	
				the recovery proceeding seems	
				insufficient for a bank restructuring the	
				bank's management may apply for the	
				opening of a reorganisation proceeding.	
				Such application has to include a	
				reorganisation plan, which needs to	
				stipulate, inter alia, the individual	
				restructuring actions to be adopted by the	
				creditors. The restructuring plan can	
				directly impair the rights of creditors as	
				well as the rights of shareholders of the	
				bank.	
				Both proceedings are initiated by a	
				notification from the bank to the	
				supervisory authority (BaFin). The	
				proceedings are conducted under control	
				of a court, to which BaFin submits the	
				necessary applications.	
				Status of progress :	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	-			☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	-
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 1 Jan 2011 (entry into force of Bank Restructuring Act)	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: On August, 13th 2013 the	
				"Act on Ringfencing and Recovery and	
				Resolution Planning for Credit	
				Institutions and Financial Groups"	
				entered into force. Together with the	
				Restructuring Act it builds the main	
				framework for crisis management and the	
				restructuring of financial institutions.	
				Potential systemically important	
				institutions are required to elaborate and	
				submit recovery plans to the national	
				supervisory authorities. The Minimum	
				Requirements for the content of recovery	
				plans contain detailed requirements for	
				the content of recovery plans. The	
				Minimum Requirements were released on	
				April, 25th, 2014. Beyond the recovery	
				plans BaFin draw up resolutions plan and	
				examine the resolvability of the	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				institutions. Also the EU Directive	
				2014/59/EU (BRRD) forms an important	
				part of supervision and regulation of	
				SIFIs. The BRRD contains further	
				requirements for the recovery and	
				resolution of credit institutions and	
				investment firms. Thereby the directive	
				ensures a harmonisation of the procedures	
				for resolving institutions at Union Level.	
				In Germany the draft of BRRD	
				Implementation Act (BRRD-	
				Umsetzungsgesetz) transposes BRRD in	
				national law that will enter into force on	
				1. January 2015. According to the draft of	
				the BRRD Implementation Act (BRRD-	
				Umsetzungsgesetz) the resolution	
				authority – in a first step – is to be the	
				Financial Market Stabilisation Agency	
				(Bundesanstalt für	
				Finanzmarktstabilisierung – FMSA). In a	
				second step this new resolution unit is to	
				be incorporated into BaFin. In a nutshell	
				the shared responsibilities in practice can	
				be described as follows: The supervisory	
				authority is still responsible for the	
				assessment of recovery plans. Pursuant to	
				the current draft legislation the resolution	
				authority, after consulting the supervisory	
				authority, draws up a resolution plan for	
				each institution that is not part of a group	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				subject to consolidated supervision.The	
				same applies for the assessment of	
				resolvability carried out by the resolution	
				authority, after consulting the supervisory	
				authority and the resolution authorities of	
				the jurisdictions in which significant	
				branches are located. The supervisory	
				authority after hearing the resolution	
				authority and the resolution authority	
				after hearing the supervisory authority are	
				able to decide, that an institution is failing	
				or likely to fail. Finally, the resolution	
				authority is able to apply the resolution	
				tools to institutions.	
				Also the EU Directive 2014/59/EU	
				(BRRD) forms an important part of	
				supervision and regulation of SIFIs. The	
				BRRD contains further requirements for	
				the recovery and resolution of credit	
				institutions and investment firms.	
				Thereby the directive ensures a	
				harmonisation of the procedures for	
				resolving institutions at Union Level.	
				In Germany the draft of BRRD	
				Implementation Act (BRRD-	
				Umsetzungsgesetz) transposes BRRD in	
				national law that will enter into force on	
				1. January 2015. According to the draft of	
				the BRRD Implementation Act (BRRD-	
				Umsetzungsgesetz) the resolution	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				authority – in a first step – is to be the	
				Financial Market Stabilisation Agency	
				(Bundesanstalt für	
				<u>Finanzmarktstabilisierung – FMSA). In a</u>	
				second step this new resolution unit is to	
				be incorporated into BaFin.	
				In a nutshell the shared responsibilities in	
				practice can be described as follows:	
				The supervisory authority is still	
				responsible for the assessment of	
				recovery plans.	
				Pursuant to the current draft legislation	
				the resolution authority, after consulting	
				the supervisory authority, draws up a	
				resolution plan for each institution that is	
				not part of a group subject to consolidated	
				supervision. The same applies for the	
				assessment of resolvability carried out by	
				the resolution authority, after consulting	
				the supervisory authority and the	
				resolution authorities of the jurisdictions	
				in which significant branches are located.	
				The supervisory authority after hearing	
				the resolution authority and the resolution	
				authority after hearing the supervisory	
				authority are able to decide, that an	
				institution is failing or likely to fail.	
				Finally, the resolution authority is able to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				apply the resolution tools to institutions.	
				Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.bundesfinanzministerium.de/ Content/DE/Gesetzestexte/Gesetzentwuer fe_Arbeitsfassungen/2012-08-22- crdivpdf?blob=publicationFile&v=3 http://www.bgbl.de/banzxaver/bgbl/start. xav?start=//*%5B@attr_id='xavStartpage '%5D#bgbl%2F%2F*%5B%40attr_i d%3D'bgbl113s3090.pdf'%5D1400503 999798	
				BRRD: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L201 4.173.01.0190.01.ENG BRRD-Umsetzungsgesetz:	
				http://dip21.bundestag.de/dip21/btd/18/02 5/1802575.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 10 (10)	Description  Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk assessments	G20/FSB Recommendations  To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London)  We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory colleges. (Seoul)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of significant cross-border firms. Please indicate whether supervisory colleges for all significant cross-border firms (both banks and insurance companies) have been established and whether the supervisory colleges for G-SIFIs are conducting rigorous risk assessments.  Principle 13 of BCBS Core Principles for	Progress to date  ☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☐ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through:	Next steps  If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:  Planned actions (if any):  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:
			Effective Banking Supervision and Good practice principles on supervisory colleges (Oct 2010) may be used as a guide for supervisor to indicate the implementation progress. For further reference, see the following documents:  BCBS:  • Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision (Sep 2012)  IAIS:  • ICP 25 and Guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6 on establishment of supervisory colleges  • Guidance 25.6.20 and 25.8.16 on risk assessments by supervisory colleges  IOSCO:  • Principles Regarding Cross-Border	<ul> <li>☑ Primary / Secondary legislation</li> <li>☐ Regulation / Guidelines</li> <li>☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</li> <li>Status of progress:</li> <li>☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</li> <li>☐ Draft published as of:</li> <li>☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</li> <li>☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 01.12.2010/01.03.2011</li> <li>Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The process of setting up supervisory colleges for those German large and complex cross-border banks and insurance undertakings identified by the FSB has been completed and college meetings</li> </ul>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			Supervisory Cooperation (May 2010)	have taken place.	
			Supervisory Cooperation (May 2010)	have taken place.  In addition, the banking groups for which EU law (CRD II) requires the establishment of supervisory colleges have also been identified and the process for setting up these colleges has been completed, too. Germany is home supervisor to about 20 colleges and host supervisor in more than 50 colleges. Up to 2013, Germany has used a template for the risk assessment of those banking groups for which Germany is the home supervisor. This template was jointly developed by Bafin and Bundesbank and was based on EU guidelines. It served as an input to reach the joint decision on the	
				capital adequacy at the individual, sub- consolidated or consolidated level as required by EU legislation for all colleges.	
				In June 2014 the EU Commission published the Implementing Technical Standards (ITS) on the joint decision progress on institution-specific prudential requirements (joint decision on capital and liquidity). These standards specify the annual risk assessment process. They contain a number of templates for gathering the risk data from all involved NCAs, which form the basis for the joint	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				decision on capital and liquidity as	
				required by Article 113 of the Directive	
				2013/36/EU. Germany is using these	
				new templates in preparing the joint	
				decisions on capital and liquidity for	
				2014 for those colleges for which	
				Germany is the home supervisor.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
11	Supervisory exchange	To quicken supervisory responsiveness to	Jurisdictions should include any feedback	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any):
(11)	of information and coordination	developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions,	received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the <u>September 2012</u> BCP 3	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Insurance Sector
(11)	coordination	across a number of institutions, supervisory exchange of information and coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved at both national and international levels. (Rec V.7, FSF 2008)  Enhance the effectiveness of core supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	(Cooperation and collaboration) and BCP 14 (Home-host relationships).  Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in this area, particularly in response to relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.  Jurisdictions should describe any regulatory, supervisory or legislative changes that will contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via bilateral or multilateral MoUs).	If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:  ☐ Implementation ongoing or completed: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  BaFin has set up a cross-sectoral risk committee, which meets on a quarterly basis. The risk committee analyses and monitors cross-sectoral as well as major sector-specific risks. Representatives come from Deutsche Bundesbank and each of BaFin's directorates. Within each of these directorates an internal committee identifies and monitors sector-specific risks and transfers the relevant information into the cross-sectoral risk committee.  In BaFin's Banking Supervision Directorate the risk-committee deals with the effects of the financial crisis.	BaFin participates at the IAIS Supervisory Forum (vice-chairing the committee), which is mandated to facilitate the exchange of experiences of supervisory practice. It helps i.a. identify existing and potentially emerging trends and risk issues and encourages the participating supervisors to share ideas on the experience and methodologies applied in practice for the qualitative and quantitative supervision of large insurers and insurance groups.  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				This body is specifically charged with	
				collecting and analysing information	
				and undertaking best practice studies.	
				Several other bodies exist to facilitate	
				co-ordination with Deutsche	
				Bundesbank (e.g. working group on	
				risk-oriented supervision) and the	
				Ministry of Finance (eg the new –	
				albeit macroprudential – "Financial	
				Stability Commission", cf. para 13). In	
				BaFin's Insurance Supervision	
				Directorate risk identification, risk-	
				analysis and risk-monitoring are carried	
				out by a special section dealing with the	
				risk orientation of insurance	
				supervision. This section also serves as	
				an interface to the cross-sectoral risk	
				committee and is responsible for the	
				information exchange.	
				BaFin's Securities Supervision	
				Directorate has also set up a committee	
				to identify, monitor and address	
				systemic risks resulting from the	
				securities markets. This committee	
				meets frequently and is represented in	
				the quarterly meetings of the BaFin	
				cross-sectoral risk committee. Also,	
				insurance supervision colleges (large	
				insurers/groups) meet on a regular basis	
				to exchange information. This will	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				become even more important with the	
				start of Solvency II. Legislative	
				changes in regard to the sharing of	
				information with the European	
				Insurance and Occupational Pensions	
				Authority (EIOPA) have been made in	
				December 2011 (Section 84 of the	
				German Insurance Supervision Act).	
				Further amendments of this provision	
				are planned to enhance the information	
				exchange between supervisory	
				authorities, especially concerning	
				Solvency II.	
				In addition to regular bilateral contacts,	
				supervisory colleges, especially core	
				colleges, are also a major tool for the	
				exchange of information and	
				coordination among competent NSAs	
				regarding individual institutions.	
				Overarching issues in contrast are	
				addressed through many multilateral	
				initiatives, for example the new	
				European Supervisory Authorities (i.e.	
				EBA, EIOPA and ESMA) the BCBS,	
				FSB working groups, IAIS, etc.	
				As of May 2014, Germany has signed	
				bilateral MoUs with roughly 60 NSAs	
				in the banking sector and	
				approximately 30 NSAs in the	
				insurance sector and more than 30 in	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the securities sector.	
				In the area of European regulation via the EBA BaFin actively participated in drafting BTS on information exchange dealing with the information requirements for information between supervisory authorities related to the supervision of branches and services. In mid-2014 the EU Commission published the regulatory and the implementing technical standard specifying the information that competent authorities of home and host Member States supply to one another. These standards are accompanied by	
				the regulatory and the implementing technical standard on passport notification which were published by the EU Commission in mid-2014.	
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 2011	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12	Strengthening resources	We agreed that supervisors should have	No information on this recommendation		
(12)	and effective	strong and unambiguous mandates,	will be collected in the current IMN		
(12)	supervision	sufficient independence to act,	survey since a peer review is taking place		
		appropriate resources, and a full suite of	in this area during 2014.		
		tools and powers to proactively identify			
		and address risks, including regular stress			
		testing and early intervention. (Seoul)			
(12)		Commission of cold and the three harms the			
(12)		Supervisors should see that they have the			
		requisite resources and expertise to oversee the risks associated with financial			
		innovation and to ensure that firms they			
		supervise have the capacity to understand			
		and manage the risks. (FSF 2008)			
(12)		Supervisory authorities should			
		continually re-assess their resource needs;			
		for example, interacting with and			
		assessing Boards require particular skills,			
		experience and adequate level of			
		seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V.	Building and implemen	nting macroprudential frameworks and	d tools	,	
				Progress to date  □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Since 1 January 2013, the Act on the Strengthening of German Financial Supervision is in force. It contains the Financial Stability Act (FinStabG):  • The FinStabG confers a financial stability mandate upon Deutsche Bundesbank.	Planned actions (if any): Macroprudential analyses and risk identification processes will be further enhanced – also taking account of discussions in international fora.  The (Bafin's) joint cross-sectoral risk committee continues its structured dialogue in its quarterly meetings.  The regulatory reporting was under review to take into account the lessons learnt from the financial crisis. The new reporting regime has been adopted and will strengthen data coverage in several fields (e.g. earnings, large exposures) starting in the next months till the end of the Year 2014.  Dates can be found at the European Commission (http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/bank/docs/regcapital/its-reporting-templates en.pdf).
		follow-up actions have been taken.	The Financial Stability     Commission has been installed     encompassing members of	Expected commencement date:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The recommendation as applicable to shadow banks will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Deutsche Bundesbank, BaFin, the Ministry of Finance and the Federal Agency for Financial Market Stabilisation. The FSC meets quarterly (had its first meeting in March 2013). The FSC's tasks resemble those of the ESRB, but with a national focus.  The FinStabG establishes reporting requirements and allows information sharing within and between relevant institutions.  The FinStabG responds to ESRB Recommendation ESRB/2011/3.  At the Bundesbank units for	Web-links to relevant documents:
				macroprudential analysis, surveillance have been exended by a policy division in 2012.	
				Bundesbank and BaFin are members of the European Systemic Risk Board. Cf. also #14.	
				For more information on the ESRB, please refer to the EU-COM answer.	
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of:	
				1 January 2013	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: Act	
				on the Strengthening of German Financial	
				Supervision (available in German only,	
				no official English translation available as	
				yet):	
				http://www.bgbl.de/Xaver/text.xav?bk=B	
				undesanzeiger_BGBl&start=%2F%2F*%	
				5b%40attr_id%3D%27bgbl112056.pdf%	
				27%5d&wc=1&skin=WC#Bundesanze	
				iger_BGBl%2F%2F*[%40attr_id%3D	
				%27bgbl112s2369b.pdf%27]13662718	
				02175	
				Financial Stability Act::	
				http://www.bundesbank.de/Redaktion/EN	
				/Downloads/Bundesbank/Tasks_and_org	
				anisation/bundesbank_act_monitoring_fi	
				nancial_stability.pdf?blob=publication	
				File	
				ESRB Recommendation ESRB/2011/3:	
				http://www.esrb.europa.eu/pub/pdf/recom	
				mendations/2011/ESRB_2011_3.en.pdf?	
				38c057b902aaa3e860b27c96df848eb3	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14 (14)	Enhancing system-wide monitoring and the use of macro-prudential instruments	Authorities should use quantitative indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for supervisory purposes. Authorities should use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution-specific and at the macro-prudential (system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009)  We are developing macro-prudential policy frameworks and tools to limit the build-up of risks in the financial sector, building on the ongoing work of the FSB-BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes)  Authorities should monitor substantial changes in asset prices and their implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)	Please describe at a high level (including by making reference to financial stability or other public reports, where available) the types of systems, methodologies and processes that have been put in place to identify macroprudential risks, including the analysis of risk transmission channels. Please indicate the use of macroprudential tools in the past two years, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and apply them.  See, for reference, the CGFS document on <i>Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments (Dec 2012)</i> .  Jurisdictions can also refer to the FSB-IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on <i>Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011)</i> , and the IMF staff papers on <i>Macroprudential policy, an organizing framework (Mar 2011)</i> and on <i>Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy (Jun 2013)</i> .	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  On the newly established – high-level - Financial Stability Committee, please refer to answer #13.  Work on macroprudential instruments is ongoing in Deutsche Bundesbank and BaFin.  Monitoring capital market and asset prices and assessing their implications for the financial system and the macroeconomy at large is part of financial macro-prudential analyses in relevant German authorities, in particular Deutsche Bundesbank and BaFin in line with their respective mandates.	Planned actions (if any): For actions planned, please refer to the EU-COM answer!  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:  Transposition of EMIR into German law (German only)  http://www.bgbl.de/Xaver/text.xav?bk=B undesanzeiger_BGBl&start=%2F%2F*% 5B%40attr_id%3D'bgbl113006.pdf%5D &wc=1&skin=WC#_Bundesanzeiger_BGBl_%2F%2F*%5B%40attr_id%3D'bgbl113006.pdf%5D_1377103917492  BaFin is planning to implement a system for monitoring the data of derivatives transaction provided from Trade Repositories according to the Reporting Obligation of EMIR for participants of the derivatives market (exchange traded and OTC).

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				The BaFin Risk Committee supports	
				macro-prudential supervision as it is	
				tasked to identify macro-prudential	
				risks, to analyse the data and to	
				evaluate it. According to this	
				evaluation, the Risk Committee might	
				decide on further steps and concrete	
				measures (with specific responsibilities	
				and time limits) if considered	
				necessary. As the BaFin is the authority	
				which will have to implement many of	
				the macroprudential instruments, the	
				preparation work regarding conditions	
				precedent and processes to implement	
				these is ongoing and being discussed	
				and prepared in the Risk Committee	
				and where necessary in the FSC.	
				A leverage ratio reporting requirement	
				was introduced into German	
				supervisory law as an indicator under	
				Pillar 2.	
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 2013	
				Short description of the content of the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents:	



DI I ('f)
Planned actions (if any):
Expected commencement date:
Web-links to relevant documents:

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				supervision.	
				Deutsche Bundesbank and BaFin have fora at different levels to exchange information including on financial stability (cf. # 11, 13)	
				Inter alia, BaFin has established a new section to analyse comparative issues, in collaboration with Deutsche Bundesbank (cross-institutional analysis, thematic work).	
				For more information on existing arrangements, please refer to the EU-COM answer.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: http://eur- lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do ?uri=CELEX:32013R0462:EN:NOT http://www.esma.europa.eu/content/Cons ultation-Paper-CRA3-Implementation http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/securi ties/agencies/index_en.htm	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VI.	Improving oversight o	f credit rating agencies (CRAs)			•
16 (16)	Enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	All CRAs whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes should be subject to a regulatory oversight regime that includes registration. The regulatory oversight	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
(16)		regime should be established by end 2009 and should be consistent with the IOSCO Code of Conduct Fundamentals. (London)  National authorities will enforce compliance and require changes to a rating agency's practices and procedures	including registration, oversight and sharing of information between national authorities. They should also indicate their consistency with the following IOSCO document:  • <u>Code of Conduct Fundamentals for</u>	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:  ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:	Planned actions (if any): For actions planned, please refer to the EU-COM answer!  Expected commencement date:
		for managing conflicts of interest and assuring the transparency and quality of the rating process.  CRAs should differentiate ratings for structured products and provide full disclosure of their ratings track record	<ul> <li>Credit Rating Agencies (May 2008)</li> <li>Jurisdictions may also refer to the following IOSCO documents:</li> <li>Principle 22 of Principles and Objectives of Securities Regulation</li> </ul>	Issue is being addressed through:  ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation  ☐ Regulation / Guidelines  ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Status of progress:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		and the information and assumptions that underpin the ratings process.  The oversight framework should be consistent across jurisdictions with appropriate sharing of information between national authorities, including through IOSCO. (London)	<ul> <li>(Jun 2010) which calls for registration and oversight programs for CRAs</li> <li>Statement of Principles Regarding the Activities of Credit Rating Agencies (Sep 2003)</li> <li>Final Report on Supervisory Colleges</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</li> <li>□ Draft published as of:</li> <li>□ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</li> <li>☑ Reform effective (completed) as of:</li> </ul>	
(16) (New)		Regulators should work together towards appropriate, globally compatible solutions (to conflicting compliance obligations for CRAs) as early as possible in 2010. (FSB 2009)	for Credit Rating Agencies (Jul 2013)	December 2009  Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: For information on CRA regulation and supervision, please refer to the EU-COM	
(INCW)		We encourage further steps to enhance transparency and competition among credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)		answer.  Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=CELEX:32013R0462:EN:NOT	
				http://www.esma.europa.eu/content/Cons	
				ultation-Paper-CRA3-Implementation	
				http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/securi	
				ties/agencies/index_en.htm	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 17 (17)	Description Reducing the reliance on ratings	We also endorsed the FSB's principles on reducing reliance on external credit ratings. Standard setters, market participants, supervisors and central banks should not rely mechanistically on external credit ratings. (Seoul)  Authorities should check that the roles that they have assigned to ratings in regulations and supervisory rules are consistent with the objectives of having investors make independent judgment of risks and perform their own due diligence, and that they do not induce uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a substitute for that independent evaluation. (Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008)  We reaffirm our commitment to reduce authorities' and financial institutions' reliance on external credit ratings, and call on standard setters, market participants, supervisors and central banks to implement the agreed FSB principles and end practices that rely mechanistically on these ratings. (Cannes)  We call for accelerated progress by national authorities and standard setting bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance	Remarks  No information on this recommendation will be collected in the current IMN survey since the report of the second stage of the thematic peer review has been published recently [insert link whenever published].	Progress to date	Next steps



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		would enhance transparency of and			
		competition among credit rating agencies.			
		(Los Cabos)			
(New)					
		We call on national authorities and			
		standard setting bodies to accelerate			
		progress in reducing reliance on credit			
		rating agencies, in accordance with the			
		FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)			

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VII.	Enhancing and alignin	g accounting standards			
	-		Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB or are otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards.  Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: <a href="http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Jurisdiction-profiles.aspx">http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Jurisdiction-profiles.aspx</a> .	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Reform effective (completed) as of: 15.12.2004 ("Accounting Enforcement Act") – Enforcement System in place since 01.07.2005)  Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: .For more information, please refer to the EU-COM answer!	Planned actions (if any): For actions planned, please refer to the EU-COM answer!  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:
				Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey: 27.07.2014: ministerial	
				draft bill published for the	
				implementation of 2013/34/EU in	
				German Commercial Law (RefE-	
				BilRUG:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.gesmat.bundesgerichtshof.de/	
				gesetzesmaterialien/15_wp/Bilanzkontrol	
				lgesetz/bgbl104s3408.pdf (in German	
				only).	
				http://www.bmjv.de/SharedDocs/Downlo	
				ads/DE/pdfs/Gesetze/RefE_BilanzRichtli	
				nieUmsetzungsGesetz.pdf;jsessionid=FB	
				70A55618F5C383C22231BD9882F4D0.	
				1_cid289?blob=publicationFile (in	
				German only)	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
19	Appropriate application	Accounting standard setters and	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(19)	of Fair Value Accounting	prudential supervisors should examine the use of valuation reserves or	measures taken for appropriate application of fair value accounting.	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
(19)		adjustments for fair valued financial instruments when data or modelling needed to support their valuation is weak. (Rec. 3.4, FSF 2009)  Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine possible changes to relevant standards to dampen adverse dynamics potentially associated with fair value accounting. Possible ways to reduce this potential impact include the following: (1) Enhancing the accounting model so that the use of fair value accounting is carefully examined for financial instruments of credit intermediaries; (ii) Transfers between financial asset categories; (iii) Simplifying hedge accounting requirements. (Rec 3.5, FSF 2009)	See, for reference, the following BCBS documents:  • Basel 2.5 standards on prudent valuation (Jul 2009)  • Supervisory guidance for assessing banks' financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009)	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:  ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through:  ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation  ☐ Regulation / Guidelines  ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Status of progress:  ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:  ☐ Draft published as of:  ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:  ☑ Reform effective (completed) as of:  a) 01.01.2010 (date of the last relevant amendment of the German Commercial Code — BilMoG)  b) 01.01.2013 (effective date of IFRS 13; endorsed by 1255/2012/EU)  c) 01.01.2014 (effective date of Article 105 of Regulation (EU) 575/2013 (CRR)  Short description of the content of the	Planned actions (if any): Implementation of the forthcoming RTS on prudent valuation (adoption by EU Commission is outstanding)  Expected commencement date: Late 2014/early 2015  Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.eba.europa.eu/-/eba-publishes-final-draft-technical-standards-on-prudent-valuation

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				a) Banks and investment firms that apply the German Commercial Code, not IFRS are required to hold a reserve for fair value gains. Until this reserve has reached a certain limit it is earmarked for potential losses from trading (§ 340e (4) of the code)	
				b) IFRS 13 "Fair Value Measurement" defines fair value on the basis of an "exit price" notion and introduces a "fair value hierarchy", which results in a market-based, rather than entity-specific, measurement	
				c) Art. 105 CRR sets out requirements relation to prudent valuation adjustments of fair value measured positions to determine prudent values. It mandates the EBA to prepare draft regulatory technical standards (RTS) in this area.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey: EBA has provided to the EU Commission a draft regulatory technical standard (RTS) on prudent valuation.  Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/bundesrecht/hgb/gesamt.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				(in German only)	
				http://www.eba.europa.eu/-/eba-	
				publishes-final-draft-technical-standards-	
				on-prudent-valuation	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VIII.	Enhancing risk manag	ement			
20 (20)	Enhancing guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, including on liquidity and foreign currency funding risks	Regulators should develop enhanced guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, in line with international best practices, and should encourage financial firms to re-examine their internal controls and implement strengthened policies for sound risk	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to enhance guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices.  In particular, please indicate the status of implementation of the following standards:	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief	Planned actions (if any): The EU Commission has adopted its delegated act that specifies the European LCR at 10th of October. The liquidity rules will come into force from October 2015. The associated reporting will go live at the same date. All these elements will
(20)		management. (Washington)  National supervisors should closely check banks' implementation of the updated guidance on the management and	BCBS <u>Basel III: International</u> <u>framework for liquidity risk</u> <u>measurement, standards and</u> <u>monitoring (Dec 2010)</u> BCBS Principles for sound stress	justification:  ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through:  □ Primary / Secondary legislation	enhance liquidity risk management practices as well  Expected commencement date:
		supervision of liquidity as part of their regular supervision. If banks' implementation of the guidance is inadequate, supervisors will take more prescriptive action to improve practices. (Rec. II.10, FSF 2008)	testing practices and supervision (May 2009)  Jurisdictions may also refer to FSB's thematic peer review report on risk	<ul> <li>☑ Regulation / Guidelines</li> <li>☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</li> <li>Status of progress:</li> <li>☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</li> </ul>	Web-links to relevant documents:  http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/bank/ regcapital/acts/delegated/index_en.htm#1 41010-liquidity
(20)		Regulators and supervisors in emerging markets <sup>6</sup> will enhance their supervision of banks' operation in foreign currency funding markets. (FSB 2009)	governance (Feb 2013) and BCBS Peer review of supervisory authorities' implementation of stress testing principles (Apr 2012)	☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
(20)		We commit to conduct robust, transparent stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)		<ul> <li>☑ Reform effective (completed) as of:         Dec 2012 (for all topics other than LCR and Principles for effective risk data aggregation)     </li> <li>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</li> </ul>	

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Germany has transposed the FSB and	
				BCBS recommendations in the Minimum	
				Requirements for Risk Management	
				("Mindestanforderungen an das	
				Risikomanagement", MaRisk; revised	
				version for the banking sector published	
				on 14 December 2012, circular 10/2012	
				(BA)) for financial institutions. Inter alia,	
				the MaRisk require financial institutions	
				to have sound stress testing practices in	
				place. Stress test results must be taken	
				into account as part of the institutions'	
				internal capital adequacy assessment	
				process. Accordingly, bank's stress	
				testing practices form part of BaFin's	
				and Deutsche Bundesbank's Supervisory	
				Review and Evaluation Process.	
				Furthermore, MaRisk require banks to	
				implement appropriate processes and	
				procedures for the management of foreign	
				currency liquidity funding in major	
				currencies. This shall include a separate	
				liquidity overview, separate foreign	
				currency stress tests and explicit inclusion	
				in the liquidity shortfall contingency plan.	
				The updated guidance is implemented in	
				the Minimum Requirements for Risk	
				Management and is subject to on-site	
				inspections.	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				It is also part of the guidance for the regular compilation of the risk profile of	
				an institution and taken into account	
				when judging an institution's liquidity	
				management. In case of inadequate	
				implementation banks are required to take	
				remedial action. The implementation is	
				then closely supervised.	
				Principles for effective risk data	
				aggregation: The content of the BCBS-	
				Paper 239 will be transformed into	
				supervisory practice amending the	
				MaRisk to this effect. It is intended to	
				publish a first consultation paper of the	
				new MaRisk version for public	
				consultation in January of 2015.	
				Monitoring of liquidity risk management	
				practices is part of ongoing supervision.	
				Banking sector	
				Robust stress testing for institutions is	
				required by the Minimum requirements	
				for risk management. Requirements are in	
				line with the BCBS principles on stress	
				testing. Supervisory stress tests are	
				conducted on a regular basis. Germany	
				participates in the EU stress tests	
				conducted by EBA.	
				On 14.12.2012 BaFin has published an	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				amended version of the MaRisk (circular	
				10/2012 (BA)). This new version	
				contains additional requirements on the	
				liquidity risk management, in particular	
				with respect to foreign currency funding	
				risk and liquidity cost benefit allocation	
				mechanisms. Furthermore requirements	
				on governance aspects (risk control	
				function, compliance function) are	
				incorporated. By this means existing	
				guidelines of the EBA (Internal	
				Governance) and recommendations of the	
				ESRB (foreign currency funding risk) are	
				implemented in German supervisory	
				practise.	
				Insurance sector	
				Based on the German Insurance	
				Supervision Act (circulars R4/2011 (VA)	
				and 1/2004 (VA)) the insurance	
				undertakings have to conduct a stress test	
				at least quarterly and to submit the stress	
				test annually on national level. BaFin	
				predefines the stress test model and the	
				scenarios in the annual stress tests.	
				Quarterly stress tests have to meet	
				appropriate criteria.	
				Also in 2013 Germany has agreed to	
				participate in the pan-European stress test	
				of EIOPA performed in spring 2014. A	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				new minimum market coverage requirement concerning the EIOPA stress	
				test has been agreed for in 2013. This	
				shall ensure a minimum national market	
				coverage of at least 50% for life and non-	
				life insurances (based on annual gross	
				premium written). BaFin will also	
				conduct a quantitative survey on the	
				financial situation of life insurers under	
				Solvency II assumptions in the second	
				half of 2014.	
				Similar to the banking sector, the MaRisk (VA) (circular 3/2009 (VA)) cover detailed requirements regarding risk management of insurers.	
				The EIOPA Preparatory Guidelines, which BaFin intends to apply for the German market during the Solvency II preparatory phase, contain additional requirements on forward-looking risk assessments.	
				For further information, please refer to the EU-COM answer.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeff	
				entlichungen/EN/Rundschreiben/rs 1104	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				_anlage_geb_vermoegen_en_va.html	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
21	Efforts to deal with	Our efforts to deal with impaired assets	Jurisdictions should indicate steps taken	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any):
(21)	impaired assets and raise additional capital	and to encourage the raising of additional capital must continue, where needed.  (Pittsburgh)	to reduce impaired assets and encourage additional capital raising. For example, jurisdictions could include here the amount of new equity raised by banks	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been	Expected commencement date:
			operating in their jurisdictions during 2013. Jurisdictions may also refer to the relevant IMF Financial Soundness	selected, please provide a brief justification:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			Indicators at <a href="http://fsi.imf.org/">http://fsi.imf.org/</a> .	☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:	
				Issue is being addressed through:	
				☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
				☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				The programs for asset relief and	
				recapitalisation administered by the	
				German Financial Markets Stabilisation	
				Fund have been taken up by banks and have stabilised these banks. Separately,	
				a number of banks not subject to	
				stabilisation measures of the FMSA	
				have raised additional capital and	
				undertaken steps to improve asset	
				quality.	
				During 2013 and the beginning of	
				2014, systemic banks have issued core	
				equity and additional Tier 1	
				instruments. In combination with the	
				reduction of risk-weighted assets, some	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				systemic banks increased their core	
				equity Tier 1 ratio (CRR fully loaded)	
				by more than 300 basis points. Capital	
				plans indicate further issuances; in	
				particular additional Tier 1 instruments	
				are gradually replaced by CRR	
				compliant instruments. Banks are	
				encouraged to invest these	
				supplementary own funds in a prudent	
				manner and to strengthen their core	
				business. This involves the reduction of	
				non-performing assets.	
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☐ Reform effective (completed) as of:	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: Since	
				1 January 2011 a new law has been	
				enacted and provides measures to	
				restructure banks if their going-concern	
				risk results in a systemic risk.	
				The programmes for asset relief,	
				recapitalisation, liquidity support and so-	
				called "bad banks" were reopened for	
				new applications due to the coordinated	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				approach of the EU member states until 31 December 2012 to ensure market confidence. In November 2012 the German parliament decided to further extend the availability of these measures until December 31 <sup>st</sup> 2014, as to additionally strengthen market confidentiality, until a common European mechanism will be enforced.	
				Since the beginning of the financial market crisis in 2008 stabilisation measures based on the Financial Market Stabilisation Fund Act ("FMStFG") have been made available. Such measures may continue be granted until 31st December 2014 under the precondition that the respective financial institution files an application and meets the requirements of the Act.	
				The stabilisation measures are funded by the Financial Market Stabilisation Fund which is publicly-financed (so-called "SoFFin"). Pursuant to the Restructuring Act a bank may be resolved by administrative act (even against its will). Usually the resolution will be effected by issuing a transfer order by BaFin. Systemically relevant assets and liabilities may be ringfenced by transferring them to a separate legal	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				entity. If no such entity is available a "bridge bank" established by the Restructuring Fund may take over the financial assets and liabilities listed in the transfer order.	
				The Restructuring Fund established in 2011 is funded by contributions (annual contributions and - if their amount is not sufficient - by special contributions) of financial institutions as defined in the Restructuring Fund Act ("RStruktFG"). The contributions have annual limits (caps) depending on the profits of the respective institution.	
				The stabilisation measures of the Financial Market Stabilisation Fund (so-called "SoFFin") are limited as follows: According to the FMStFG there is a Federal budget authorisation of up to 400 bn. Euro for guarantees and up to 70 bn. Euro for recapitalisation measures.	
				The measures of the Resolution Fund are limited as follows: As of 31 December 2013 the Restructuring Fund has a volume of 1.8 bn. Euro. The Fund may grant guarantees up to a maximum of 100 bn. Euro. The Restructuring Fund may borrow money up to a maximum of 20 bn. Euro from the Federal budget if it is	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				unable to cover its funding needs in a	
				timely manner by special contributions.	
				The loans will be paid back by special	
				contributions of financial institutions	
				raised in future.	
				As of 31st December 2013 a total of 17.1	
				bn Euro of recapitalisation measures is	
				still outstanding.	
				For more information, please refer to the	
				EU-COM answer.	
				According to the draft of the BRRD	
				Implementation Act these measures of the	
				FMStFG will be extended to	
				31.12.2015.Furthermore the draft of the	
				BRRD Implementation Act establishes	
				another feasibilities to raise additional	
				capital. If the resolution of an institution	
				is necessary, the resolution authority is	
				able to apply the resolution tools to the	
				institution. These resolution tools are the	
				sale of business tool, the bridge	
				institution tool, the asset separation tool	
				and the bail-in tool. They should be	
				applied before any public sector injection	
				of capital or equivalent extraordinary	
				public financial support to an institution.	
				This, however, should not impede the use	
				of funds from the deposit guarantee	
				schemes or resolution funds in order to	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				absorb losses that would have otherwise been suffered by covered depositors or discretionarily excluded creditors. In that respect, the use of extraordinary public financial support, resolution funds or deposit guarantee schemes to assist in the resolution of failing institutions should comply with the relevant State aid provisions, like the EU-Banking communication.  Of particular importance is the bail-in	
				tool, that ensures that shareholders and creditors of the failing institution suffer appropriate losses and bear an appropriate part of the costs arising from the failure of the institution (Article 1, Paragraph 90 BRRD-Umsetzungsgesetz). In addition the bail-in tool will give shareholders and creditors of institutions a stronger incentive to monitor the health of an institution during normal circumstances.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: BRRD: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L201 4.173.01.0190.01.ENG	
				BRRD-Umsetzungsgesetz: http://dip21.bundestag.de/dip21/btd/18/02	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				5/1802575.pdf	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
22	Enhanced risk	Financial institutions should provide	Jurisdictions should indicate the status of	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): If the BCBS
(22)	disclosures by financial institutions	enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an	implementation of the disclosures requirements of IFRSs (in particular	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	should endorse the CP, it will be publicised in Q1/2015.
(New)		ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington)  We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance financial institutions' disclosures of the risks they face, including the ongoing work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force. (St. Petersburg)	IFRS7 and 13) or equivalent. Jurisdictions may also use as reference the recommendations of the October 2012 report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force on Enhancing the Risk Disclosures of Banks and Implementation Progress Report by the EDTF (Aug 2013).	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:  ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through:  ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation  ☐ Regulation / Guidelines  ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  German supervisory authorities have strongly advised the relevant international banks and insurance companies to adhere to this recommendation and informed industry about upcoming requirements at an early stage. Information from the main financial institutions shows that important banks have significantly improved their respective disclosure practices.  Status of progress:  ☑ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Q1/2015  ☐ Draft published as of:	Any rules contained regarding enhanced disclosure for banks will subsequently become part of European legislation.  Expected commencement date: Q1/2016  Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs286.htm



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: 1 January 2013 (Effective date of IFRS 13)	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 1 January 2007 (Effective date of IFRS 7)	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Review of the Pillar 3 disclosure	
				requirements (BCBS Consultative	
				document – June 2014)	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Downlo	
				ads/DE/Protokoll/dl_050901_Anwendun	
				gsbsp.html	

Ī	No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
İ	IX	. Strengthening dep	osit insurance			
				Jurisdictions should describe any revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 thematic peer review report on deposit insurance systems:  • Adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system (for those who do not have one) • Full implementation of the Core Principles for Effective Deposit Insurance Systems jointly issued by BCBS and IADI in June 2009 (by addressing the weaknesses and gaps identified in peer review)	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed: □ Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Reform effective (completed) as of: □ Germany enacted an amendment to the Act on Deposit Guarantee and Investor Compensation ("Einlagensicherungs- und Anlegerentschädigungs-gesetz") which entered into force in June 2009. Current national deposit insurance arrangements are	Planned actions (if any): In 2010 the European Commission provided a legislative proposal for a thorough revision of the Directive on Deposit Guarantee Schemes. The Directive has been adopted by the European Parliament on 15 April 2014 and published in the Official Journal of the European Union in June 2014.  The Directive on Deposit Guarantee Schemes is designed to improve depositor protection in Europe. It stipulates, inter alia, that deposit guarantee schemes need to be financed ex ante, they need to reach a target level of 0,8% of their member institutions' covered deposits within the next ten years. Depositors will have a legal right to compensation of up to €100,000 for their covered deposits.  The German Deposit Guarantee and Investor Compensation Act (Einlagensicherungs- und Anlegerentschädigungsgesetz – EAEG) as well as all contribution regulations specifying the requirements of the EAEG in greater detail will be adapted to the new rules or rewritten.
					2009. Current national deposit	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: According to the Deposit Guarantee and Investor Compensation Act supervision of DGS by BaFin is mandatory.  BaFin is empowered to counteract irregularities which may impair the proper handling of the compensation or jeopardise the assets accumulated for paying compensation.	directive will be transposed into German law by July 2015.  Web-links to relevant documents:  http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/bank/guarantee/index_en.htm
				BaFin also monitors whether national regulation complies with international principles. To this end, BaFin and Deutsche Bundesbank regularly receive broad information on the national DGSs (such as: on risk oriented contribution systems, monitoring procedures within the guarantee schemes, financial statements, stresses and strains of the funds).	
				The risk orientated contribution system has been improved in 2011.  Highlight main developments since last year's survey: The European Directive on Deposit Guarantee Schemes (DGSD) entered into force.	
				Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Aufsich tsrecht/EN/Gesetz/eaeg_en_ba.html http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Aufsich	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				tsrecht/EN/Verordnung/EdBBeitvV_en.ht	
				ml	
				http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Aufsich	
				tsrecht/EN/Verordnung/edvoebbeitrv_en.	
				html	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
X.	Safeguarding the integ	rity and efficiency of financial markets			
24	Enhancing market	We must ensure that markets serve	Jurisdictions should indicate whether	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any):
(24)	integrity and efficiency	efficient allocation of investments and savings in our economies and do not pose risks to financial stability. To this end, we	high frequency trading and dark pools exist in their national markets.  Jurisdictions should indicate the progress	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but"	Expected commencement date:
		commit to implement initial recommendations by IOSCO on market integrity and efficiency, including	made in implementing the recommendation in the following IOSCO reports in their regulatory framework:	no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		measures to address the risks posed by high frequency trading and dark liquidity, and call for further work by mid-2012.	<u>Report on Regulatory Issues Raised by</u> <u>the Impact of Technological Changes</u>	☑ Implementation ongoing or completed :	
		(Cannes)	on Market Integrity and Efficiency (Oct	Issue is being addressed through:	
		(Cumies)	<u>2011)</u> ; and	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			• Report on Principles for Dark Liquidity	☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
			(May 2011).	☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Germany adheres to the international	
				recommendations, e.g. suitable trading	
				control mechanisms to deal with	
				volatile market conditions are well	
				known in the German trading	
				landscape, e.g. so called volatility	
				interruptions and appropriate control	
				mechanisms for order flow are in place,	
				e.g. so called "naked sponsored access"	
				would generally not be permitted. A	
				High frequency Trading Act was	
				adopted in 2013. The operation of dark	
				pools (trading venues that provide for	
				exceptions of pre-trade transparency	
				requirements) needs a specific	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				permission. This permission, so called	
				"waiver" would only in specific and	
				limited circumstances be granted and	
				would be discussed on EU/ESMA	
				level. The new MiFIR which has	
				officially been published in June 2014	
				limits the use of waivers such as the	
				reference price waiver. There are no	
				exceptions as regards post trade	
				transparency requirements, except the	
				possibility of deferred publication for	
				large transactions.	
				In addition BaFin supports in principle	
				all respective initiatives on EU level.	
				For more information on these	
				initiatives, please refer to the EU-COM	
				answer.	
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☐ Reform effective (completed) as of:	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/securi	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				ties/isd/mifid_en.htm	
				httP://www.esma.europa.eu/content/Final	
				-report-Dudelines-systems-and-controls-	
				automated-trading-environment-trading-	
				platforms	
				Link to MiFIR text: http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201	
				4R0600&from=EN	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
25	Regulation and	We need to ensure enhanced market	Jurisdictions should indicate whether	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any):
(25)	supervision of commodity markets	transparency, both on cash and financial commodity markets, including OTC, and achieve appropriate regulation and	commodity markets of any type exist in their national markets.  Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but"	Expected commencement date:
		supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly	measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on   Principles for the Regulation and  Supervision of Commodity Derivatives	no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		markets and prevent market abuses. In	Markets (Sep 2011).	☑ Implementation ongoing or completed :	
		particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management	Jurisdictions, in responding to this	Issue is being addressed through:	
		powers, including the power to set ex-	recommendation, may also make use of	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		ante position limits, particularly in the	the responses contained in the <u>report</u>	☑ Regulation / Guidelines	
		delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on	published by the IOSCO's Committee on Commodity Futures Markets based on a	☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
		IOSCO to report on the implementation	survey conducted amongst its members in	"Markttransparenzstelle Gesetz" adjusts	
		of its recommendations by the end of	April 2012 on regulation in commodity	German law to REMIT.	
		2012. (Cannes)	derivatives market.	Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		We also call on Finance ministers to		☐ Draft published as of:	
(New)		monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO's principles		☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		for the regulation and supervision on		☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: March 27th 2012	
		commodity derivatives markets and encourage broader publishing and		Short description of the content of the	
		unrestricted access to aggregated open		legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		interest data. (St. Petersburg)		According to current German legislation,	
				market manipulation rules apply both to	
				commodities and commodity derivatives	
				admitted to trading on a regulated market	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				(sec.20a securities trading act-WpHG).	
				Further strengthening of the regulatory	
				framework regarding commodities and	
				commodity derivative and European	
				harmonisation will be achieved by the	
				new EU Market Abuse regulation	
				(MAR/MAD II) and MiFIR/MiFID II.	
				Germany supports the aforementioned	
				regulatory framework and will adjust	
				national rules accordingly.	
				Under MiFID National Competent	
				Authorities will be enabled to set position	
				limits, according to a Methodology	
				developed by ESMA. A position	
				reporting regime will be established as	
				well. For more information on MiFID,	
				please refer to the EU-COM answer.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: MAR/MAD II and	
				MiFIR/MiFID II were published and	
				entered into force in summer 2014. There	
				is a transition period: MAR/MAD II will	
				apply from July 2016 and MiFIR/MiFID	
				II will apply from January 2017 onwards.	
				ESMA is currently working on technical	
				standards in order to specify further the	
				regime on position limits and position	
				reporting under MiFIR/MiFID II.	
				Web-links to relevant documents: Link	
				to MiFIR text: http://eur-	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201	
				4R0600&from=EN Link to MiFID II	
				text: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201	
				4R0600&from=DE	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
26	Reform of financial	We support the establishment of the	Collection of information on this		
(New)	benchmarks	FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to	recommendation will be deferred to the		
(110W)		coordinate work on the necessary reforms	2015 IMN survey given the ongoing		
		of financial benchmarks. We endorse	policy work in this area, the reviews of		
		IOSCO's Principles for Financial	interest rate and foreign exchange		
		Benchmarks and look forward to reform	benchmarks during 2014, and the recent		
		as necessary of the benchmarks used	publication of IOSCO's Principles for		
		internationally in the banking industry	Financial Benchmarks.		
		and financial markets, consistent with the			
		IOSCO Principles. (St. Petersburg)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
XI.	Enhancing financial co	nsumer protection			
27 (27)	Enhancing financial consumer protection	We agree that integration of financial consumer protection policies into regulatory and supervisory frameworks contributes to strengthening financial stability, endorse the FSB report on consumer finance protection and the high level principles on financial consumer protection prepared by the OECD together with the FSB. We will pursue the full application of these principles in our jurisdictions. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD's G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection (Oct 2011).  Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD's update report including the Annex to the report on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High-level Principles based around the following three priority principles:  • Disclosure and transparency  • Responsible business conduct of financial services providers and their authorised agents  • Complaints handling and redress	<ul> <li>□ Not applicable</li> <li>□ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</li> <li>If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:</li> <li>☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:</li> <li>Issue is being addressed through:</li> <li>☑ Primary / Secondary legislation</li> <li>☑ Regulation / Guidelines</li> <li>☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</li> <li>Status of progress:</li> <li>□ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</li> <li>□ Draft published as of:</li> <li>□ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</li> <li>☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: The reform progress was enacted through amendments of different laws and Regulations. The latest versions including the amendments are listed below:</li> <li>- WpHG, German Securities Trading Act:: 29.09.2013</li> <li>- KAG, Investment Code: 15.07.2014</li> <li>- GewO: Trade and Industry Code: 6.09.13</li> </ul>	Planned actions (if any): For more information on MiFID, IMD II and PRIPS-Regulation, please refer to the EU-COM answer.  Guidelines/ Opinions on best practices on different issues of consumer protection and financial innovation by the ESAs are expected to be finalised by the end of this year.  With the order to establish a complaints management function as of 1 January 2014 Germany transformed the EIOPA Guidelines on Complaints Handling into national legislation.  Implementation of the Act on fee based investment advice on financial instruments (Honoraranlageberatungsgesetz), by 1.  August 2014.  EU legislation on Alternative and Online Dispute Resolution (Directive on alternative dispute resolution (ADR) and a Regulation on online dispute resolution (ODR)) which will make it easier for consumers and businesses to solve problems and disputes have been adopted on 21 May 2013.  On April 15, 2014 the European



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				- Einführungsgesetz zum BGB:	Parliament adopted the Directive on the
				Introductory Law of the Civil Code: 20.09.2013	transparency and comparability of
				- Versicherungsvertrags Informations	payment account fees, payment account
				Verordnung Regulation on	switching and access to a basic payment
				Information Obligation for Insurance Contracts: 1.08.2014	account. This directive is closely related
					to Principle 3 Equitable and Fair
				- KAVerOV (Verordnung zur Konkretisierung der	Treatment of Consumers; Principle 4
				Verhaltensregeln und	Disclosure and Transparency and
				Organisationsregeln nach dem KAGB) Regulation Governing	Principle 10 Competition.
				Rules of Conduct and	The Mortgage Credit Directive was
				Organisational Rules under the	adopted on February 4, 2014. This
				Investment Code: 16.07.2013	directive brings direct and indirect
				Short description of the content of the	benefits to consumers, which relate to the
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	Principles (e.g. Enhanced transparency,
				Disclosure and Transparency, Principle 4:	Ensuring proper conduct of business,
				Working in the best interest of	Quality standards.)
				consumers:	
				For Consumer Credit Article 247	Expected commencement date:
				Introductory Law of the Civil Code	Expected commencement dates
				(Einführungsgesetz zum Bürgerlichen	
				Gesetzbuch) stipulates the pre-contracual	Web-links to relevant documents:
				information duties: So notification has to	
				be given to the consumer on a european	
				wide standardised form. The notification	
				has to entail the essential characteristics	
				of the credit together with the contact	
				details of the creditor/intermediary and	
				the credit costs.	
				Key information has to be provided to the	
				consumer by the financial service	
				provider due to the following regulations:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Section 31 paragraph (3a) of the German	
				Securities_Trading Act: In the case of	
				investment advice, the client shall be	
				provided with a brief and easily	
				understandable information sheet	
				concerning every financial instrument to	
				which a buy recommendation relates in	
				good time before a transaction in	
				financial instruments is concluded. The	
				information provided in the information	
				sheets pursuant to sentence 1 must not be	
				false or misleading and must be in	
				accordance with the information given in	
				the prospectus.	
				The information sheet shall be replaced	
				by the key investor information document	
				(pursuant to	
				• section 164 and 166 of the	
				Investment Code	
				(Kapitalanlagegesetzbuch - KAGB)	
				in the case of units of UCITS and	
				open-ended alternative investment	
				funds (AIF),	
				• section 268 and 270 of the	
				Investment Code in the case of	
				closed-end AIF	
				• section 318 para. 5 of the Investment	
				Code in the case of foreign	
				alternative investment funds	
				domiciled in the EU or third countries	
				and distributed in Germany	
				• section 298 para. 1 of the Investment	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Code in the case of foreign UCITS	
				domiciled in the EU and distributed	
				in Germany) .	
				Key information has to be given also on	
				products of the "gray market": see	
				Section 7 German Capital Investment Act	
				(Vermögensanlagegesetz in conjunction	
				with Section 2 Vermögensanlagegesetz)	
				For insurance companies: According	
				section 4 Paragraph 1 Regulation on	
				Information Obligation for Insurance	
				Contracts (Versicherungsvertrags	
				Informations Verordnung, VVG-InfoV)	
				insurance companies have also to give a	
				product information sheet to the	
				consumer. This sheet has to entail all	
				information that are important to enter	
				into and to fulfill an insurance contract.	
				Principle 6: Responsible Business	
				Conduct of financial services providers	
				and their authorised agents: First of all	
				Art. 15 of the IMD II proposal explicitly	
				states that all information adressed by the	
				intermediary or insurer to potential	
				customers must be fair, clear and not	
				misleading	
				Consumer needs have to be assessed by	
				the financial services providers and their	
				agents: agents (Pursuant to Art. 6 German	
				Insurance Contract Law advice has to be	
				given to the policy holder. That is to	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				ensure that the insurance cover offered is	
				optimally oriented on what the	
				policyholder wants and needs.	
				For further details on conduct of business	
				rule serving investor protection see also	
				Section 31 German Securities Trading	
				Act, Section 9 German Capital Act for	
				securities (according to Section2 German	
				Securities Trade Act and section 26 of the	
				Investement Code)	
				Staff has to be properly trained:	
				Financial intermediaries of financial	
				instruments have to provide evidence of	
				their qualification by passing an	
				examination, pursuant to section 34 f	
				paragraph 2 no.4 of Treade and Industry	
				Code (Gewerbeordnung, GewO) Pursuant	
				to section 25a German Banking Act	
				(Kreditwesengesetz) in conjunction with	
				the Minimum Requirements for Risk	
				Management (MaRisk) bank staff has to	
				meet certain qualification requirements.	
				Depending on their Tasks and	
				arepsonsibilities employees have to	
				posess the required knowledge and	
				experiences.	
				The conflict of interest regime in	
				Germany is regulated in Section 27 of the	
				Investment Code: The management	
				company should identify conflicts of	
				interest and avoid any damage to	
				investors' interests arising from such	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				conflicts of interests wherever possible.It	
				has to ensure that unavoidable conflicts	
				which cannot be resolved are disclosed to	
				the investor.	
				See also Section 26 Paragraph German	
				Investment Code in conjunction with	
				Section 3 KAVerOV (Verordnung zur	
				Konkretisierung der Verhaltensregeln und	
				Organisationsregeln nach dem KAGB)	
				Regulation Governing Rules of Conduct	
				and Organisational Rules under the	
				Investment Code	
				Section 11 of the German Ordinance on	
				Insurance Mediation	
				(VersicherungsvermittlungsVO) poses	
				explicit information requirements on	
				intermediaries when they get into contact	
				to customers.	
				This is also true for Section 16 of the	
				proposal for a revised Insurance	
				Mediation Directive (so-called IMD II)	
				Moreover § 17 specifically refers to	
				conflicts of interest and transparency.	
				After the adoption of the proposal all	
				Member States have to transpose these	
				rules into national law.	
				Complaints handling (see Principle 9) is	
				also already done by BaFin: For	
				description of progress in complaints	
				handling please see last year's	
				description.	
				Highlight main developments since last	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: Guidelines on Complaints-Handling by Insurance Undertakings", see: https://eiopa.europa.eu/fileadmin/tx_dam/ files/publications/guidelines/complaints_ handling/EIOPA_Complaints_Handling_ GL_EN.pdf	



#### XII. Source of recommendations:

St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)

Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)

Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)

Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)

Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)

Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)

London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)

Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)

FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)

FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)

FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)

FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)



#### **XIII.** List of Abbreviations used:

AIF: alternative investment fund

AIFMD: Directive on Alternative Investment Fund Managers

BaFin: Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht

BCBS. Basel Committee on Banking Supervision

BTA binding Technical Standards

**CESR Committee of European Securities Regulators** 

CRD: Capital Requirements Directive

CRR: Capital Requirements Regulation

DIS deposit insurance systems

EBA: Euro Banking Association

EIOPA: European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority

EMIR European Market Infrastructure Regulation

ESMA: European Securities and Markets Authority

FMSA Federal Agency for Financial Market Stabilisation

FMStFG Finanzmarktstabilisierungsfondsgesetz

IADI International Association of Deposit Insurers

IMD Insurance Mediation Directive

IOSCO: International Organization of Securities Commissions

KABG: Kapitalanlagegesetzbuch

MaRisk: Mindestanforderungen an das Risikomanagement (for the banking sector)

MiFID: Markets in Financial Instruments Directive

MoU: Memorandum of Understanding

NCA national competent authority

OTC over the counter

PRIPS Packaged Retail Investment Products

RTS Regulatory Technical Standards

UCITS Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities

WpHG Wertpapierhandelsgesetz