

Jurisdiction: France

2016 IMN Survey of National Progress in the Implementation of G20/FSB Recommendations

- I. <u>Hedge funds</u>
- II. <u>Securitisation</u>
- III. <u>Enhancing supervision</u>
- IV. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools

- V. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VI. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VII. <u>Enhancing risk management</u>
- VIII. <u>Strengthening deposit insurance</u>
- IX. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
- X. Enhancing financial consumer protection
- XI. <u>Reference to source of recommendations</u>
- XII. List of Abbreviations



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I.	Hedge funds				
1 (1)	Registration, appropriate disclosures and oversight of hedge	We also firmly recommitted to work in an internationally consistent and non- discriminatory manner to strengthen	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's <u>Report on Hedge</u>	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment 	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	and oversight of hedge funds	discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul) Hedge funds or their managers will be registered and will be required to disclose appropriate information on an ongoing basis to supervisors or regulators, including on their leverage, necessary for assessment of the systemic risks they pose individually or collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London)	 principles in IOSCO's <u>Report on Hedge</u> <u>Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</u>, in particular recommendations 1 and 2. In their response, jurisdictions should specify whether: Hedge Funds (HFs) and/or HF managers are subject to mandatory registration Registered HF managers are subject to appropriate ongoing requirements regarding: Organisational and operational standards; Conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; Disclosure to investors; and Prudential regulation. Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle 28 of the 2010 IOSCO <u>Objectives and</u> <u>Principles of Securities Regulation</u>, and take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those Principles. 	at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 07.2013 Issue is being addressed through : ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Directive 2011/61/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2011 on Alternative Investment Fund Managers and amending Directives 2003/41/EC and 2009/65/EC and Regulations (EC) No 1060/2009 and	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				(EU) No 1095/2010. Commission	
				Delegated Regulation (EU) No 231/2013	
				of 19 December 2012 supplementing	
				Directive 2011/61 of the European	
				Parliament and of the Council with	
				regard to exemptions, general operating	
				conditions, depositaries, leverage,	
				transparency and supervision. France	
				transposed into national law, Directive	
				2011/61/EU of 8 June 2011 on	
				Alternative Investment Fund Managers	
				(AIFM Directive), by Ordinance n°	
				2013-676 of 25 July 2013 published on	
				27 July 2013. The Regulation applies	
				since 22 July 2013. The AIFMD and its	
				implementing Regulation foresees rules	
				for the registration or authorisation of	
				AIFMs, the on-going operation of the	
				AIFM's business and rules on	
				transparency and supervision. Depending	
				on the assets under management they	
				administrate or the use of leverage	
				AIFMs have to either register or apply	
				for an authorization. Registered AIFM	
				have to comply with minimum	
				requirements regarding the reporting of	
				information to competent authorities	
				whereas authorised AIFMs which are	
				leveraged on a substantial basis have to	
				comply with a wider set of reporting	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				requirements. AIFMs have to comply	
				with organisational and operational	
				standards such as the risk and liquidity	
				management or the identification,	
				prevention, managing and monitoring of	
				conflict of interests. AIFMs have to make	
				available to investors for each AIF they	
				manage and/or market in the Union	
				information such as a description of the	
				investment strategy, changes to the	
				maximum level of leverage, the risk	
				profile of the AIF. Furthermore AIFMs	
				have to comply with rules on initial	
				capital and own funds, whereby the	
				AIFM have to provide an additional	
				amount of own funds where the value of	
				the portfolios of AIFs managed by an	
				AIFMs exceeds EUR 250 million.	
				AIFMs have to appoint a depositary	
				which has to safeguard the assets of the	
				AIF either by holding them in custody or	
				by verifying the ownership of the AIF	
				and maintaining a record these assets.	
				The AIFM has to ensure that there are	
				consistent and appropriate procedures in	
				place in order to valuate assets of the AIF	
				properly and independently.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				AIFM Directive: http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:320	
				11L0061&from=EN Ordonnance n°	
				2013-676 du 25 juillet 2013	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichText	
				e.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT00002775519	
				4 Décret n° 2013-687 du 25 juillet 2013	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichText	
				e.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT00002776956	
				4&dateTexte=&categorieLien=id	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 2 (2)	Description Establishment of international information sharing framework	G20/FSB Recommendations We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms for cooperation and information sharing between relevant authorities in order to ensure effective oversight is maintained when a fund is located in a different jurisdiction from the manager. We will, cooperating through the FSB, develop measures that implement these principles by the end of 2009. (London)	 Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's <i>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</i> on sharing information to facilitate the oversight of globally active fund managers. In addition, jurisdictions should state whether they are: Signatory to the IOSCO MMoU Signatory to bilateral agreements for 	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: 	Next stepsIf this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:Web-links to relevant documents:
			supervisory cooperation that cover hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO <u>Principles Regarding</u> <u>Cross-border Supervisory</u> <u>Cooperation</u> .	 □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 22.07.2013 Issue is being addressed through : ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: As part of the requirements of Directive 2011/61/EU (AIFM Directive) concerning third countries (Chapter VII), ESMA had, as of 18 July 2013, negotiated 38 cooperation arrangements, on behalf of EU Member States, with non-EU authorities. These cooperation 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				arrangements – taking the form of	
				bilateral Memoranda of Understanding	
				(MoUs) – provide for cooperation and	
				information sharing mechanisms between	
				EU and non-EU authorities in a wide	
				range of situations (e.g. delegation of	
				investment management to non-EU	
				entities, marketing of non-EU AIFs in	
				France, etc.) including in cases where a	
				passport for non-EU AIFs may apply (its	
				introduction is not expected before the	
				beginning of 2016 at the earliest). These	
				agreements entered into force on 22 July	
				2013. In addition, articles 50 and 53 of	
				the AIFM Directive set some general	
				principles of cooperation between	
				competent authorities of EU Member	
				States when carrying out their duties	
				under the AIFM Directive, and in the	
				context of monitoring the potential	
				systemic consequences of AIFM	
				activities. Subject to specific conditions a	
				disclosure of information to third	
				countries is possible.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.amf-france.org/Acteurs-et-	
				produits/Societes-de-gestion/Passage-	
				AIFM.html#title_paragraph_2	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3 (3)	Enhancing counterparty risk management	Supervisors should require that institutions which have hedge funds as	Jurisdictions should indicate specific policy measures taken for enhancing	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		their counterparties have effective risk management, including mechanisms to monitor the funds' leverage and set limits for single counterparty exposures. (London)	counterparty risk management and strengthening their existing guidance on the management of exposure to leveraged counterparties. In particular, jurisdictions should indicate	 at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: 	Web-links to relevant documents:
			 whether they have implemented recommendation 3 of the IOSCO <u>Report</u> <u>on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</u>. In their responses, jurisdictions should 	 Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in 	
			not provide information on the portion of this recommendation that pertains to Basel III, since it is <u>monitored separately</u> by the BCBS.	force since : ✓ Implementation completed as of: 01.01.2014 Issue is being addressed through :	
			Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle 28 of the 2010 IOSCO <u>Objectives and</u> <u>Principles of Securities Regulation</u> , and take into account the outcomes of any	 Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation /Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: 	
		those Principles.	see below Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:		
		Supervisors will strengthen their existing guidance on the management of exposures to leveraged counterparties. (Rec. II.17, FSF 2008)		As of the 1st of January 2014, the EU national supervisory authorities are subject to enhanced counterparty credit risk requirements as specified in the legislative texts transposing Basel III	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				requirements in the European banking	
				legislation (the so-called "CRD IV	
				package"). These texts impose risk	
				management that enable institutions to	
				assess the counterparty risks of exposures	
				at both individual and portfolio levels. It	
				also requires institutions to establish and	
				maintain a comprehensive and effective	
				counterparty credit risk management	
				framework and set internal credit and	
				trading limits. The French Prudential	
				Supervision and Resolution Authority	
				(ACPR) conducts a semi-annual review	
				of French banks' exposures to leverage	
				counterparties based on data provided by	
				banks.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				CRR: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:020 13R0575-20130628&from=EN CRD IV: http://eur- lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do ?uri=OJ:L:2013:176:0338:0436:EN:PDF	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
Ι	I. Securitisation				
4 (4)	Strengthening of regulatory and capital framework for monolines	Insurance supervisors should strengthen the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured credit. (Rec II.8, FSF 2008)	 Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for strengthening the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers (where these exist). See, for reference, the following principles issued by IAIS: ICP 13 – Reinsurance and Other Forms of Risk Transfer; ICP 15 – Investments; and ICP 17 – Capital Adequacy. Jurisdictions may also refer to: IAIS <u>Guidance paper on enterprise risk management for capital adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct 2008).</u> Joint Forum document on <u>Mortgage insurance: market structure, underwriting cycle and policy implications (Aug2013).</u> 	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 01.01.2016 Issue is being addressed through : ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: closer supervision Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: EU Legislation: The Solvency II framework directive introduces a riskbased supervisory regime for all (re)insurance undertakings, including 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Solvency II, the new European risk-based regulatory framework, will take into account the actual risks. The regulatory framework and the financial requirements will be strengthened for monoline insurers (most significantly they will not be able to gain from diversification benefits). The Solvency II directive was adopted in 2009 and its application date to (re)insurance undertakings is 1 January 2016. Directive (Omnibus II) which primarily aims to adapt Solvency II to the new European supervisory framework and in particular, to the powers of EIOPA has been published in the Official Journal of the European Union in May 2014. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
II.	. Securitisation				
				monoline insurers. Under this regime,	
				companies will be subject to Capital	
				Requirements calibrated as a 99.5 %	
				value at risk of own funds over a 1 year	
				time horizon, calculated on each	
				undertaking's true risk profile. The	
				Capital Requirements cover life, non-life	
				and health underwriting risk, market	
				risks, counterparty default risk, and	
				operational risk. For the purpose of	
				calculating underwriting risk capital	
				requirements, insurance obligations shall	
				be properly segmented. Credit and	
				suretyship insurance is one of the	
				segments in the standard formula, for	
				which specific risk factors are calibrated	
				as a 99.5 % value at risk of own funds	
				over a 1 year time horizon. (Re)insurance	
				undertakings, including monoline	
				insurers, shall also be subject to	
				governance requirements. In particular,	
				undertakings "shall have in place an	
				effective risk-management system	
				comprising strategies, processes and	
				reporting procedures necessary to	
				identify, measure, monitor, manage and	
				report, on a continuous basis the risks, at	
				an individual and at an aggregated level,	
				to which they are or could be exposed,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps			
II	II. Securitisation							
				and their interdependencies" (article 44 of directive 2009/138/EC).				
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:				
				Implementing rules for Solvency II were				
				finally agreed in January 2015, including				
				the detailed calculation of capital				
				requirements and risk management and				
				governance rules (Commission Delegated				
				Regulation 2015/35).				
				Web-links to relevant documents:				
				http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/insura nce/solvency/index_en.htm				



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
5 (5)	Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and 	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
			measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance products. Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's report on <u>Good Practices in Relation to</u> <u>Investment Managers' Due Diligence</u> <u>When Investing in Structured Finance</u> <u>Instruments (Jul 2009).</u> Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint Forum report on <u>Credit Risk Transfer- Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul 2008).</u>	 regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : Implementation completed as of: 01.2016 Issue is being addressed through : Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation /Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: EU legislation relating to the (re)insurance sector (Solvency II) introduces requirements on insurers' ability to invest in securitisation, which are consistent with those being introduced in the banking sector. Insurance and 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: The new cross-sectoral Securitisation Regulation will be followed by an amendment to the capital charges attached to investments in securitisations by insurers laid down in the Delegated Regulation of the Solvency II Directive. This amendment is expected to be adopted by the European Commission in the 2nd half od 2016. Web-links to relevant documents: http://ec.europa.eu/finance/consultations/2015/securitisation/index_en.htm



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				reinsurance undertakings investing in	
				securitisation will be subject to: (i)	
				Capital Requirements for all types of	
				investments calibrated as a 99.5% value	
				at risk over a 1 year time horizon – with	
				lower risk factors on simpler, more	
				transparent and standardised	
				securitisation products ; (ii) Higher	
				market risk capital requirements for re-	
				securitization exposures; (iii) A prudent	
				person principle that limits insurance and	
				reinsurance undertakings' investments to	
				assets that they can properly identify,	
				measure, monitor, manage, control and	
				report. Insurance and reinsurance	
				undertakings are only allowed to invest	
				in securitisation after conducting	
				comprehensive due diligence; (iv)	
				insurance and reinsurance undertakings	
				should have specific governance	
				arrangements when investing in	
				securitisation (written monitoring	
				procedures, specific reporting to	
				management body); (v) In order to	
				ensure transparency, requirements to	
				publicly disclose information any	
				investments in securitisation In the	
				banking sector: The CRD III reinforced	
				the capital requirements for the risks	
				associated with securitisation	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				transactions, particularly when these	
				structures involve several levels of	
				securitisation, and increased the support	
				given to securitisation vehicles. These	
				provisions were implemented in 2011.	
				They are maintained in the CRR, which is	
				now the applicable legal framework.	
				Please note that here Structured Finance	
				Instruments is understood more broadly	
				than securitisation. Parts of the reform	
				are already completed: 15 October 2010	
				(AMF position on products that are too	
				complex for retail clients). The date	
				mentioned (22 July 2013) refers to the	
				transposition deadline of the AIFM	
				Directive. In its AMF Position n° 2010-	
				05 published in October 2010, the AMF	
				determined that some products were too	
				complex to be comprehensible for retail	
				clients and therefore should not be	
				marketed to such investors without	
				specific safeguards. In the Asset	
				management sector Article 17 of	
				2011/61/EU (AIFM Directive) and	
				articles 50 to 53 of the AIFM	
				implementing regulation set requirements	
				for AIF managers investing in securitised	
				products, including the requirement for	
				retained interest by the originator, and	
				qualitative requirements applicable to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				managers assuming exposure to such	
				products (monitoring of the credit risk of	
				a securitisation position, stress tests).	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				The European Commission adopted on	
				30 September 2015 a package of two	
				legislative proposals: 1) A Securitisation	
				Regulation that will apply to all	
				securitisations and include due diligence,	
				risk retention and transparency rules	
				together with the criteria for Simple,	
				Transparent and Standardised ("STS")	
				Securitisations. STS criteria are in line	
				with the BCBS-IOSCO principles	
				adopted in July 2015; 2) A proposal to	
				amend the Capital Requirements	
				Regulation to make the capital treatment	
				of securitisations for banks and	
				investment firms more risk-sensitive and	
				able to reflect properly the specific	
				features of STS securitisations. As the	
				prudential treatment of securitisations for	
				insurers is laid down in level 2 texts,	
				future adjustments will come at a later	
				moment. The same applies to banks and	
				investment firms as regards the prudential	
				treatment for liquidity purposes which is	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				included in a Delegated Act that will be	
				amended at a later stage. For insurance	
				sector: Solvency II entered into	
				application on 1 January 2016. In	
				addition, the European Commission	
				adopted on 30 September 2015 a	
				Securitisation Regulation that will apply	
				to all securitisations and include due	
				diligence, risk retention and transparency	
				rules together with the criteria for Simple,	
				Transparent and Standardised ("STS")	
				Securitisations. STS criteria are in line	
				with the BCBS-IOSCO principles	
				adopted in July 2015. This Regulation	
				will be followed later this year by an	
				amendment to the prudential treatment of	
				securitisations for insurers in the	
				Delegated Regulation of the Solvency II	
				Directive.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				For insurance sector: http://ec.europa.eu/finance/insurance/solv ency/solvency2/index_en.htm AIFM Directive implementing regulation: http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/invest ment/docs/20121219-directive/delegated- act_en.pdf IOSCO Final report on the Regulation of Retail Structured Products: http://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf /IOSCOPD434.pdf ESMA's Opinion "Structured Retail Products - Good practices for product governance	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				arrangements" (27/03/14): http://www.esma.europa.eu/content/Struc tured-Retail-Products-Good-practices- product-governance-arrangements CRR: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/?qid=1401901268658& uri=CELEX:32013R0575 AMF Position n° 2010-05 on the marketing of complex financial instruments: http://www.amf- france.org/Reglementation/Doctrine/Doct rine-list/Doctrine.html?category=IV+- +Commercialisation+- +Relation+client&docId=workspace%3A %2F%2FSpacesStore%2F8f1c7f9a-90bc- 4afa-94cf-4b5db749a747	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6 (6)	Enhanced disclosure of securitised products	Securities market regulators should work with market participants to expand information on securitised products and	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures and other initiatives taken in relation to enhancing disclosure of	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment 	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
(6)	securitised products	with market participants to expand information on securitised products and their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10- III.13, FSF 2008)	 measures and other initiatives taken in relation to enhancing disclosure of securitised products, including working with industry and other authorities to continue to standardise disclosure templates and considering measures to improve the type of information that investors receive. See, for reference, IOSCO's <u>Report on Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012), Disclosure Principles for Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-Backed Securities (Apr 2010) and report on Global Developments in Securitisation Regulations (November 2012), in particular recommendations 4 and 5.</u> 	 at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 20.06.2013 Issue is being addressed through : ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: EU Regulation 462/2013 (CRA 3 Regulation) under its article 8b provides that "the issuer, the originator and the 	 been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: ESMA shall set up a website for the publication of the information on structured finance instruments (referred to in art. 8b of the CRA 3 Regulation) by 1st January 2017. As mentioned, Commission adopted a Proposal for a EU Regulation on securitisation which amongst others things, aims at streamlining and improving the consistency of due diligence and disclosure requirements of different legislative frameworks (Prospectus, CRR/CRD IV, AIFMD, CRA3 and Solvency II) which are applicable to structured finance instruments. Web-links to relevant documents:
				sponsor of a structured finance instrument established in the Union shall jointly disclose to the public information on the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				credit quality and performance of the	
				underlying assets of the structured	
				finance instrument, the structure of the	
				securitisation transaction, the cash flows	
				and any collateral supporting a	
				securitisation exposure as well as any	
				information that is necessary to conduct	
				comprehensive and well informed stress	
				tests on the cash flows and collateral	
				values supporting the underlying	
				exposures". Art. 8b of the CRA3	
				Regulation was complemented by a	
				Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/3	
				adopted by the Commission on 30	
				September 2014 which specifies: (a) the	
				information that must be published in	
				order to comply with art. 8b of the CRA	
				III Regulation;(b) the frequency with	
				which the information referred to in point	
				(a) is to be updated; (c) the presentation of	
				the information referred to in point (a) by	
				means of standardised disclosure	
				templates. This also complies with	
				"IOSCO Report on Global Developments	
				in Securitisation Regulation's	
				recommendations" to provide	
				standardisation and transparency of	
				securitisation products to assist investors	
				in making informed decisions (a) by	
				working domestically with other	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				authorities (such as central banks) and (b)	
				by making sure that investors are	
				provided with the necessary information	
				to make an informed investment decision	
				at the point of sale and on an on-going	
				basis.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				As highlighted in the reply to question 5,	
				the Commission adopted on 30	
				September 2015 a legislative proposal on	
				Securitisation Regulation that will apply	
				to all securitisations and include due	
				diligence, risk retention and transparency	
				rules for all financial sectors.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/3 Securitisation Regulation proposal	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
III.	Enhancing supervision	n			
7 (7)	Consistent, consolidated supervision and	All firms whose failure could pose a risk to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and	Jurisdictions should indicate: (1) whether they have identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors; (2) whether the	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: France participates to the ongoing
	regulation of SIFIs	regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh)	names of the identified SIFIs have been publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs. In their response to (3) above, jurisdictions should note any significant changes in their approach, strategy or practices to enhance SIFI supervision. Jurisdictions should mention, but not provide details on, policy measures that pertain to higher loss absorbency requirements for G/D-SIBs, since these are monitored separately by the BCBS. See, for reference, the following documents:	 □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 01.01.2016 Issue is being addressed through : ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: 	discussions at IAIS level. At the EU level, the implementation of the G-SIBs and G-SIIs frameworks will further reinforce the current supervision of SIFIs. The G-SIIs framework is still under discussion at FSB level. France has been recognised compliant by the IMF on IAIS ICP 23 on "group supervision", but it does not include explicit reference to the G-SII regime yet, as it is still under discussion. Web-links to relevant documents:
			 BCBS: Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012) IAIS: Global Systemically Important Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013) 	see below Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: All the international requirements applicable to G-SIBs and D-SIBs are part of the European regulatory framework and have come into force 1st of January	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			and initial assessment methodology • <u>IAIS SRMP guidance - FINAL (Dec</u> <u>2013)</u> • <u>Guidance on Liquidity management</u> and planning (Oct 2014) FSB: • <u>Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov</u> <u>2011)</u>	2016. All the G-SIFIs and D-SIFIs are supervised on a consistent and consolidated basis. Several Crisis Management Group meetings have been organised in 2015 for the fifth consecutive year. Banks recovery and resolution plans have been examined thoroughly. ACPR participates actively in the Data Gaps Initiative, developed by FSB. French G-SIBs have started to report data to the International Data Hub managed by the BIS. Solvency II will improve the consolidated supervision for several aspects, and since 2013 the preparation of this new framework is a priority for the supervisory authority. Highlight main developments since last year's survey: G-SIBs have been formally identified by the ACPR. The list follows the FSB list and the applicable buffers are the same. They entered into force on 01/01/16. D- SIBs have been identified in France and a D-SIB buffer is applicable to them since 01/01/16. Web-links to relevant documents: List of the French G-SIBs with their applicable buffer List of the French D- SIBs with their applicable buffer	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
8 (8)	Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk	To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of G-SIBs and G-SIIs.	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment 	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	assessments	We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory	Please indicate the progress made in establishing and strengthening the functioning of supervisory colleges for G- SIBs and G-SIIs, including the development of any joint supervisory plans within core colleges and leveraging	 □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation enproved 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		colleges. (Seoul)	on supervisory activities conducted by host authorities. See, for reference, the following documents:	 Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : Implementation completed as of: 	Web-links to relevant documents:
			 BCBS: Principles for effective supervisory colleges (Jun 2014) Progress report on the implementation of principles for effective supervisory colleges (Jul 2015) 	2005 Issue is being addressed through : ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			 IAIS: <u>ICPs 24 and 25, especially guidance</u> <u>25.1.1 – 25.1.6, 25.6, 25.7 and 25.8</u> <u>Application paper on supervisory</u> <u>colleges (Oct 2014)</u> 	Regular meetings of colleges for several years and specific tools (dedicated website platform for sharing online information) have been launched for exchange of information, documents and risk assessments. Since the creation of the SSM (4th November 2014) all the responsibility for organising and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				implementing regular work of Colleges	
				for French Significant institutions (SIs)	
				lies within the ECB's relevant Joint	
				supervisory teams (JSTs), according to	
				the SSM Supervisory Manual. The SSM	
				has already developped IT platforms	
				dedicated to exchange of information	
				between supervisors within the Colleges'	
				framework.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Actions at national level: The ACPR has	
				established colleges for the 3 most	
				significant cross-border banks in France	
				since 2005 and for the major insurance	
				company since 2001 (European	
				countries) and 2009 (extended to other	
				countries). The AMF participates in the	
				college of regulators for Euronext and in	
				the committees of regulators for	
				Euroclear and LCH.Clearnet. As a home	
				supervisor, ACPR has set up European	
				colleges concerning 14 different French	
				banking groups and 18 insurance groups.	
				Since its creation, the SSM has taken	
				over from the ACPR the responsibility	
				for all College work on French	
				Significant Institutions (SIs) in the	
				banking sector. These Colleges met for	
				the first time in this new format in	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				May/June/July 2015. • Banking sector:	
				The Capital Requirement Directive	
				(2006/48/EC) provides for the mandatory	
				establishment of colleges of supervisors	
				for cross-border banks. The Regulation	
				establishing the European Banking	
				Authority (Regulation 1093/2010) gives	
				EBA a central role in promoting and	
				monitoring colleges of supervisors. The	
				EBA has produced numerous guidelines	
				and standards defining the functioning of	
				Colleges, exchange of information,	
				compiling risk assessments on the	
				supervised institutions and, when deemed	
				adequate, defining additional minimal	
				levels of pillar 2 capital. In addition, the	
				EBA has reinforced in 2014 its	
				monitoring of the functioning of the	
				Colleges for the most significant systemic	
				institutions. Creation of the Single	
				Supervisory Mechanism (SSM) in the EU	
				in november 2014: it should be noted that	
				the creation of the SSM has had a major	
				impact of the efficiency and organisation	
				of the banking supervision of most of the	
				European banking system from 4th	
				november 2014 on. Purely SSM countries	
				colleges of supervisors for banks within	
				the SSM has deasappeared as supervisory	
				coordination within colleges has been	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				replaced by the usual functioning of the	
				Joint Supervisory Teams (JST) of the	
				ECB, including staff both at a centralised	
				ECB level and at a local NCA level.	
				Colleges of supervisors including non	
				SSM countries remain operative. •	
				Insurance sector: The Solvency II	
				Directive envisages that Colleges are set	
				out in relation to all cross-border	
				insurance groups. The Regulation	
				establishing the European Insurance and	
				Occupational Pensions Authority	
				(EIOPA) (Regulation 1094/2010 gives	
				EIOPA a central role in promoting and	
				monitoring colleges of supervisors. To	
				date more than 90 colleges of supervisors	
				have been established. • Market	
				infrastructures (CCP): The EMIR	
				Regulation (Regulation 648/2012)	
				requests CCPs to establish colleges. In	
				2014, an EMIR College was thus	
				established for LCH Clearnet (which has	
				been authorised to offer services and	
				activities in the Union by ESMA in May	
				2014) by The Banque de France and the	
				AMF in order to facilitate the exercise of	
				their legal obligations. To this end, a	
				standard written agreement with other	
				national competent authorities was signed	
				in December 2013 according to the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				guidelines and recommendations	
				regarding written agreements between	
				members of CCP colleges published by	
				ESMA in June 2013. The Regulation	
				establishing the European Securities and	
				Market Authority (ESMA) (Regulation	
				1095/2010 gives ESMA a central role in	
				promoting and monitoring colleges of	
				supervisors. The European Supervisory	
				Authorities (ESAs, i.e. EBA, EIOPA,	
				ESMA) ensure a consistent and coherent	
				functioning of colleges across the	
				European Union promote effective and	
				efficient supervisory activities and have,	
				under certain conditions, the power to	
				bindingly settle disagreements between	
				authorities. Furthermore, the ESAs	
				initiate and coordinate EU-wide stress	
				tests on the resilience of financial	
				institutions. Guidelines on colleges of	
				supervisors have been and still continue	
				to be produced. In the course of 2013,	
				several colleges were established	
				according to the EU Commission	
				delegated act (No 876/2013) regarding	
				the establishment and the organisational	
				features of colleges.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2013:244:0019:0022:EN:PDF	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
9 (9)	Supervisory exchange of information and coordination	To quicken supervisory responsiveness to developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions, supervisory exchange of information and coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved at both national and international levels. (Rec V.7, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should include any feedback received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the <u>September 2012</u> BCP 3 (Cooperation and collaboration) and BCP 14 (Home-host relationships). Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in this area, particularly in response to relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		Enhance the effectiveness of core supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	Jurisdictions should describe any recent or planned regulatory, supervisory or legislative changes that contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via bilateral or multilateral MoUs).	force since : ✓ Implementation completed as of: 01.01.2014 (Banking) and 01.01.2016 (insurance) Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines ✓ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: see below Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Between EEA countries, the European directives have established a legal framework for the exchange of information which is mandatory	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				(including colleges of supervisors). For	
				cooperation with other countries, the	
				ACPR has also power to conclude	
				bilateral agreements with the authorities	
				of these states subject to the condition	
				that these authorities are entrusted with	
				duties similar to those entrusted in France	
				to the ACPR and provided that such	
				authorities are themselves bound by an	
				obligation of professional secrecy. The	
				ACPR has concluded a number of	
				bilateral agreements for banking	
				supervision with non EEA countries,	
				among which Canada, the US,	
				Switzerland, Korea, Qatar, Dubaï,	
				Monténégro, Mexico, Taïwan, Morocco,	
				China, Guinea, West African Monetary	
				Union, West African Banking	
				Commission, India and Vietnam. For the	
				largest international insurance group, the	
				ACPR has established a global	
				Coordination Arrangement between all	
				European and main non EEA supervisors	
				involved in the supervision of the main	
				entities across EEA and non EEA	
				countries. More globally the ACPR has	
				also signed the IAIS MMoU. The ACPR	
				is fully involved in national and	
				international initiatives aimed at	
				enhancing supervisory coordination. In	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the framework of the AIFM Directive,	
				cooperation between EU and non-EU	
				authorities in the supervision of	
				alternative investment fund managers was	
				fostered through ESMA's negotiation of	
				cooperation arrangements with non-EU	
				authorities (bilateral MoUs) (see answer	
				to question 3 above).	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Insurance Delegated acts on Colleges,	
				specifically on the systematic exchange	
				of information between supervisors in the	
				College, were adopted in January 2015.	
				Guidelines on the functioning of College	
				are being developed by EIOPA. SSM The	
				SSM regulation is fully in place from 4	
				November 2014. Four pieces of	
				secondary legislation were adopted.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.acp.banque- france.fr/international/la-cooperation-au- niveau-international/les-accords-de- cooperation.html	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 10 (10)	Description Strengthening resources and effective supervision	We agreed that supervisors should have strong and unambiguous mandates, sufficient independence to act, appropriate resources, and a full suite of tools and powers to proactively identify and address risks, including regular stress testing and early intervention. (Seoul) Supervisors should see that they have the requisite resources and expertise to oversee the risks associated with financial innovation and to ensure that firms they supervise have the capacity to understand and manage the risks. (FSF 2008)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate any steps taken on recommendations 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 (i.e. supervisory strategy, engagement with banks, improvements in banks' IT and MIS, data requests, and talent management strategy respectively) in the FSB <u>thematic peer review report on</u> <u>supervisory frameworks and approaches</u> to SIBs (May 2015).	Progress to date Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		Supervisory authorities should continually re-assess their resource needs; for example, interacting with and assessing Boards require particular skills, experience and adequate level of seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)		force since: X Implementation completed as of: 01.2014 Issue is being addressed through : X Primary / Secondary legislation C Regulation /Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: The EU has put in place a comprehensive set of rules concerning effective supervision. Directive 2013/36/EU provides for the general powers and measures that	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				supervisors shall have (art. 102-104), the power to impose penalties (art. 18 and 64) and the procedure to follow to carry out banks' supervision (art. 97-98). Among the powers entrusted to supervisors, there is the obligation to carry out stress testing at least annually (Art. 100). Primary legislation has been complemented principally by the EBA guidelines on supervisory review and evaluation process, applicable since January 2016. (cont.) Highlight main developments since last year's survey: For SSM participating Member States, in 2015 the SREP was for the first time carried out according to a common methodology for the 120 largest banking groups in the euro area. Previous national processes were rather diverse. Capital and liquidity levels of banks directly supervised by the ECB have been set according to their risk profiles. Additional supervisory measures have been applied where deemed necessary.	
				Web-links to relevant documents: https://www.eba.europa.eu/documents/10 180/935249/EBA-GL-2014- 13+(Guidelines+on+SREP+methodologie s+and+processes).pdf).	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IV.	Building and implemen	nting macroprudential frameworks and	d tools		
11	Establishing regulatory	Amend our regulatory systems to ensure	Please describe major changes in the	□ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(11)	framework for macro- prudential oversight	authorities are able to identify and take account of macro-prudential risks across	institutional arrangements for macroprudential policy (structures,	□ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	commencement date:
		the financial system including in the case	mandates, powers, reporting etc.) that	□ Implementation ongoing:	
		of regulated banks, shadow banks ¹ and private pools of capital to limit the build	have taken place since the global financial crisis, particularly over the past	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		up of systemic risk. (London)		Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				□ Draft published as of:	
		Ensure that national regulators possess the powers for gathering relevant	Please indicate whether an assessment has been conducted with respect to the	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		information on all material financial institutions, markets and instruments in	adequacy of powers to collect and share relevant information among different	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	
		order to assess the potential for failure or severe stress to contribute to systemic	authorities on financial institutions, markets and instruments to assess the	☑ Implementation completed as of: 07.2013	
		risk. This will be done in close	potential for systemic risk. If so, please	Issue is being addressed through :	
		coordination at international level in	describe identified gaps in the powers to	Primary / Secondary legislation	
	order to achieve as much consistency as possible across jurisdictions. (London)	order to achieve as much consistency as	collect information, and whether any	□ Regulation /Guidelines	
		follow-up actions have been taken.	Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:		
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				see below	

¹ The recommendation as applicable to shadow banks will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				The Haut Conseil de stabilité financière	
				(HCSF - High Council for Financial	
				Stability), the French macroprudential	
				authority, was installed in June 2014 and	
				has met every 3 months since then. The	
				HCSF published its macroprudential	
				strategy in December 2014 and its first	
				annual report in June 2015.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				- Final text of Act n° 2013-672 of 26 July 2013 (in French): http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte .do;jsessionid=4C9A7B832E4ABA67227 F7FC340C82CFF.tpdj006v_3?cidTexte= JORFTEXT000027754539&categorieLie n=id) - Macroprudential strategy of the HCSF: http://www.economie.gouv.fr/files/strateg y_hcsf.pdf - First annual report of the HCSF: http://www.economie.gouv.fr/files/hcsf_r apport_annuel_062015.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12 (12)	Enhancing system-wide monitoring and the use of macro-prudential instruments	Authorities should use quantitative indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for supervisory purposes. Authorities should use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution- specific and at the macro-prudential (system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009) We are developing macro-prudential policy frameworks and tools to limit the build-up of risks in the financial sector, building on the ongoing work of the FSB- BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes) Authorities should monitor substantial changes in asset prices and their implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)	 Please describe at a high level (including by making reference to financial stability or other reports, where available) the types of methodologies, indicators and tools used to assess systemic risks. Please indicate the use of macroprudential tools in the past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and apply them. See, for reference, the following documents: CGFS report on <i>Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments (Dec 2012)</i> FSB-IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on <i>Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011)</i> IMF staff papers on <i>Macroprudential policy tools of Macroprudential policy (Jun 2013)</i>, and <i>Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy (Dec 2014)</i> 	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Implementation completed as of: 07.2013 Issue is being addressed through : Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation /Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Following CRDIV/CRR, Act n° 2013-672 of 26 July 2013 on separation and regulation of banking activities replaces the National Council of Systemic Risk and Financial Regulation (Corefris) with the Haut Conseil de Stabilité Financière (HCSF). The new legislation formally confers on the HCSF the mandate to 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Extension of the power to fix credit standards to all financial entities which are authorized to provide credit (currently limited to banks and insurers) by year-end Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				preserve financial stability, and conduct	
				the macroprudential policy. More	
				precisely, the HCSF has been entrusted	
				with binding powers of intervention:	
				upon a proposal from the BdF Governor,	
				it can impose stricter capital requirements	
				on investment firms and credit	
				institutions to prevent excessive credit	
				growth or reduce risks of financial system	
				destabilization (using the countercyclical	
				buffer and the systemic buffer defined in	
				CRDIV/CRR) and set credit institutions'	
				credit standards (for example, caps on	
				loan-to-value ratios for housing	
				financing) to prevent, in particular, undue	
				increases in asset prices and excessive	
				private debt levels.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Since last year's survey, the HCSF	
				designed and implemented its working	
				process to operationalize the	
				countercyclical capital buffer (CCyB). In	
				December 2015, the High Council took	
				its first macroprudential decisions by	
				setting the CCyB for France as well as	
				reciprocating the Swedish and Norwegian	
				CCyBs. In March 2016, it set for the	
				second time the CCyB as expected at	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				every quarter and also reciprocated a	
				Belgian macroprudential measure.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				- First decision on the CCyB to be	
				applied in France and on the	
				reciprocation of Swedish/Norwegian	
				CCyBs (in French):	
				http://www.economie.gouv.fr/files/decisi	
				on_du_hcsf_du_30_decembre_2015.pdf -	
				Decision to reciprocate the Belgian	
				surcharge on the risk weights of	
				residential real estate exposures (in	
				French) :	
				http://www.economie.gouv.fr/files/files/d	
				irections_services/hcsf/Decision_D-	
				HCSF-2016-1_du_15_mars_2016.pdf	
				11051 2010 1_00_15_11015_2010.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V.	Improving oversight	of credit rating agencies (CRAs)			
13	Enhancing regulation	All CRAs whose ratings are used for	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	🗆 Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(13)	and supervision of	regulatory purposes should be subject to a	measures undertaken for enhancing	□ Applicable but no action envisaged	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	CRAs	regulatory oversight regime that includes	regulation and supervision of CRAs	at the moment	reasons for delayed implementation.
		registration. The regulatory oversight	including registration, oversight and	□ Implementation ongoing:	
		regime should be established by end 2009	sharing of information between national	Status of progress [for legislation and	
		and should be consistent with the IOSCO	authorities. They should also indicate	regulation/guidelines only]:	Planned actions (if any) and expected
		Code of Conduct Fundamentals.	their consistency with the following	□ Draft in preparation, expected	commencement date:
		(London)	IOSCO document:	publication by:	
		National authorities will enforce	• <u>Code of Conduct Fundamentals for</u>	□ Draft published as of:	
		compliance and require changes to a rating agency's practices and procedures	<u>Credit Rating Agencies (Mar 2015)</u> (including governance, training and	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		for managing conflicts of interest and	risk management)	□ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
		assuring the transparency and quality of the rating process.	Jurisdictions may also refer to the following IOSCO documents:	☑ Implementation completed as of: 20.06.2013	
		CRAs should differentiate ratings for	• Principle 22 of <i>Principles and</i>	Issue is being addressed through :	
		structured products and provide full	Objectives of Securities Regulation	Primary / Secondary legislation	
		disclosure of their ratings track record	(Jun 2010) which calls for registration	□ Regulation /Guidelines	
		and the information and assumptions that underpin the ratings process.	and oversight programs for CRAs	\Box Other actions (such as supervisory	
			• Statement of Principles Regarding the	actions), please specify:	
		The oversight framework should be consistent across jurisdictions with	<u>Activities of Credit Rating Agencies</u> (Sep 2003)	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		appropriate sharing of information		The AMF is no longer competent as	
		between national authorities, including	• <u>Final Report on Supervisory Colleges</u>	ESMA has exclusive powers for	
		through IOSCO. (London)	for Credit Rating Agencies (Jul 2013)	supervision (registration and oversight) of	
		Regulators should work together towards	Jurisdictions should take into account the $s_{AB}/BOSC$	CRAs since entry into force of	
		appropriate, globally compatible	outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those principles.	Regulation 513/2011 (CRA 2). Reform	
		solutions (to conflicting compliance	ussessment ugunist mose principies.	effective with implementation of EU	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		obligations for CRAs) as early as possible		CRA Regulation 1060/2009 (CRA 1),	
		in 2010. (FSB 2009)		513/2011 (CRA 2) and lastly of 462/2013	
		We encourage further steps to enhance		(CRA3) entered into force on 21 May	
		transparency and competition among		2013. Starting with CRA 1, reforms in the	
		credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)		EU implements regulatory requirements	
		creat rating agencies. (St receisourg)		to ensure IOSCO Code of Conduct's	
				main objectives regarding quality and	
				integrity of the rating process,	
				independence and conflicts of interest	
				management/prevention, transparency	
				and timeliness of ratings disclosure,	
				management of confidential information.	
				CRA 2 reinforces enforcement and	
				sanctioning powers (conferred to ESMA).	
				CRA 3 is adding new rules for CRAs, but	
				goes beyond by also introducing	
				measures for actors other than CRAs	
				(notably regarding reduction of reliance	
				on credit ratings and securitisation	
				disclosure).	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				The AMF still participates in policy	
				developments at ESMA level as a	
				member of the Technical Committee and	
				at IOSCO level as a member of CRA	
				Policy Committee. Hence AMF took	
				notably part to the development of	
				implementing rules (regulatory technical	
				standards) for CRA3 (publication on 6	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				January 2015 of two RTS relating to (1)	
				reporting requirements for credit rating	
				agencies (CRAs) on fees charged by	
				CRAs to their clients and to (2) reporting	
				requirements to CRAs for the European	
				Rating Platform) and to the revision of	
				the Code of conduct of CRAs by IOSCO	
				(published on 24 March 2015). In 2015,	
				the AMF also took part in (1) the drafting	
				of a Technical Advice on Reducing	
				Reliance and a Technical Advice Ratings	
				and on Competition Choice & Conflicts	
				of Interest in the CRA industry according	
				to Article 39(b) of the CRA Regulation	
				both published on 30 September 2015,	
				the revision of the ESMA's Q&A on the	
				implementation of the CRA Regulation	
				concerning the definition of unsolicitated	
				credit rating. Moreover, the two draft	
				ITS's on the mapping of ECAI credit	
				assessments under Article 136(1) and (3)	
				of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (Capital	
				Requirements Regulation - CRR) and	
				Article 109a of Directive 2009/138/EC	
				(Solvency II) were submitted to the	
				Commission for adoption on 5 November	
				2015. ESMA also published on 16	
				November 2015, a Discussion Paper on	



No Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			the validation and review of credit rating	
			agencies methodologies, responses were	
			published on 16 March 2016 Then, the	
			technical committee is working on the	
			practical supervision of Articles 8c and	
			8d of the CRA Regulation, this last article	
			aims to increase competition in the	
			markets for credit ratings by encouraging	
			issuers to use smaller credit rating	
			agencies (CRAs) when they use multiple	
			CRAs.	
			Web-links to relevant documents:	
			Article L631-1 CMF: http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichCode Article.do?idArticle=LEGIARTI0000229 62499&cidTexte=LEGITEXT000006072 026&dateTexte=20130430&oldAction=r echCodeArticle (English translation available at: http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/Traduction s/en-English/Legifrance-translations) New EU legislation on credit rating agencies (CRA 3): Text of the Directive : http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201 3L0014&from=EN Text of the IOSCO Final code of conduct fundamentals for credit rating agencies: https://www.iosco.org/news/pdf/IOSCON EWS375.pdf http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201 3R0462&from=EN http://eur- lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do ?uri=OJ:L:2012:282:0023:0026:en:PDF	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://eur- lex.europa.eu/JOIndex.do?year=2012&se rie=L&textfield2=140&Submit=Search& submit=Search&ihmlang=en http://eur- lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do ?uri=OJ:L:2012:282:0023:0026:en:PDF http://eur- lex.europa.eu/JOHtml.do?uri=OJ:L:2011: 145:SOM:EN:HTML http://eur- lex.europa.eu/JOHtml.do?uri=OJ:L:2009: 302:SOM:EN:HTML http://ec.europa.eu/finance/rating- agencies/index_en.htm ESMA : https://www.esma.europa.eu/sites/default/ files/library/2015/11/esma-2015- 1471_technical_advice_on_reducing_sole and_mechanistic_reliance_on_external_ credit_ratings.pdf https://www.esma.europa.eu/sites/default/ files/library/esma-2015- 1472_technical_advice_on_competition_ choice_and_conflicts_of_int.pdf https://www.esma.europa.eu/press- news/consultations/validation-and- review-cras%E2%80%99-methodologies https://www.esma.europa.eu/sites/default/ files/library/2015/11/2014- 578_qas_on_cra3.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14	Reducing the reliance	We also endorsed the FSB's principles on	Jurisdictions should indicate the steps	🗆 Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(14)	on ratings	reducing reliance on external credit	they are taking to address the	□ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
		ratings. Standard setters, market	recommendations of the May 2014 FSB	at the moment	
		participants, supervisors and central	thematic peer review report on the	□ Implementation ongoing:	
		banks should not rely mechanistically on	implementation of the FSB Principles for	Status of progress [for legislation and	Web-links to relevant documents:
		external credit ratings. (Seoul)	Reducing Reliance on Credit Ratings,	regulation/guidelines only]:	web-miks to relevant documents.
		Authorities should check that the roles	including by implementing their agreed	□ Draft in preparation, expected	
		that they have assigned to ratings in	action plans. Any revised action plans	publication by:	
		regulations and supervisory rules are	should be sent to the FSB Secretariat so	□ Draft published as of:	
		consistent with the objectives of having	that it can be posted on the FSB website.	□ Final rule or legislation approved	
		investors make independent judgment of	Jurisdictions may refer to the following	and will come into force on:	
		risks and perform their own due	documents:	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
		diligence, and that they do not induce	• FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance	☑ Implementation completed as of:	
		uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a	on CRA Ratings (Oct 2010)	06.2013	
		substitute for that independent evaluation.	• FSB Roadmap for Reducing Reliance	Issue is being addressed through :	
		(Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008)	on CRA Ratings (Nov 2012)	e e	
		We reaffirm our commitment to reduce	<u>on CRA Raings (Nov 2012)</u>	Primary / Secondary legislation	
		authorities' and financial institutions'	 BCBS Consultative Document 	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
		reliance on external credit ratings, and	<u>Revisions to the Standardised Approach</u>	\Box Other actions (such as supervisory	
		call on standard setters, market	<u>for credit risk (Dec 2015)</u>	actions), please specify:	
		participants, supervisors and central	• IAIS <u>ICP guidance</u> 16.9 and 17.8.25	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		banks to implement the agreed FSB	• IOSCO Good Practices on Reducing	At the European level, the "CRA III 3	
		principles and end practices that rely	Reliance on CRAs in Asset	package" comprised of notably the	
		mechanistically on these ratings.	Management (June 2015)	Directive 2013/14/UE and Regulation	
		(Cannes)		N°462/2013 came into force in June	
			IOSCO <u>Sound Practices at Large</u>	2013. This Regulation provided that	
		We call for accelerated progress by	Intermediaries Relating to the	financial entities should assess the	
		national authorities and standard setting	Assessment of Creditworthiness and the		



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance	Use of External Credit Ratings (Dec	creditworthiness of the assets or issuers	
		on credit ratings and encourage steps that	2015).	they invest in and should not overly or	
		would enhance transparency of and		mechanistically rely on external credit	
		competition among credit rating agencies.		ratings. At the national level, these	
		(Los Cabos)		regulatory developments led to the	
				following modifications: - the French	
		We call on national authorities and		Monetary and Financial Code – Comofi	
		standard setting bodies to accelerate		(article L. 533-10-1) – primary legislation	
		progress in reducing reliance on credit		which now mentions that asset managers	
		rating agencies, in accordance with the		should not rely exclusively on external	
		FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)		ratings provided by CRAs to assess the	
				credit quality of their assets; - the AMF	
				General Regulation (Book III, article 1	
				and 1bis) section on risk management –	
				to reflect the provisions included in the	
				Comofi; - and the instructions 2011-19,	
				2011-20, 2011-21, 2011-22, 2011-23	
				which apply to UCITS, AIFs, employee	
				savings funds, venture capital, real estate	
				funds, private equity funds respectively,	
				where the references to external credit	
				ratings have been removed and replaced	
				by the need for the manager to assess the	
				credit risk of the assets included in the	
				fund portfolio. Finally, the AMF	
				supervision teams have the responsibility	
				to ensure that these changes were duly	
				reflected in the prospectus and legal	
				documentation of the funds. To raise	
				managers' awareness, they have	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				organized a series of calls and meetings	
				sent a letter to trade bodies and developed	
				guidance to accompany asset managers in	
				their efforts in that regard.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				At the international level, the AMF has	
				been closely associated with the work	
				conducted by IOSCO aiming at reducing	
				reliance on external ratings in the asset	
				management industry. In June 2015,	
				IOSCO published a set of Good Practices	
				which stresses the importance of asset	
				managers having the appropriate	
				expertise and processes in place to assess	
				and manage the credit risk associated	
				with their investment decisions. In the	
				report, IOSCO notes that the use of	
				external ratings by asset managers is	
				mainly demand driven, as various forms	
				of reliance on external credit ratings	
				remain on the investor side. References to	
				external credit ratings may derive from	
				regulatory requirements or an investor's	
				own internal rules. This may result in	
				mechanistic reliance, which could trigger	
				forced asset sales in the event of	
				downgrades. In December 2015 IOSCO	
				published the final report "Sound	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Practices at Large Intermediaries Relating	
				to the Assessment of Creditworthiness	
				and the Use of External Credit Ratings".	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				EU Regulation No 462/2013: http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201 3R0462&from=FR EU Directive 2013/14/UE: http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201 3L0014&from=FR Art L533-10-1 of the French Monetary and Financial Code: http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichCode Article.do?cidTexte=LEGITEXT000006 072026&idArticle=LEGIARTI00002779 4628 AMF General Regulation: http://www.amf- france.org/Reglementation/Reglement- general-et-instructions/Reglement- general-et-instructions/Reglement- general-et-instructions/Reglement- general.html?category=Livre+III+- +Prestataires¤tLivreRG=3 AMF Instruction No 2011-19: http://www.amf- france.org/Reglementation/Doctrine/Doct rine-list/Doctrine.html?category=II+- +Produits+de+placement&docId=worksp ace%3A%2F%2FSpacesStore%2F39201 cb5-fd7b-4826-9b6c-0023dbac0540 AMF Instruction No 2011-20: http://www.amf- france.org/Reglementation/Doctrine/Doct rine-list/Doctrine.html?category=II+- +Produits+de+placement&docId=worksp ace%3A%2F%2FSpacesStore%2F39201 cb5-fd7b-4826-9b6c-0023dbac0540 AMF Instruction No 2011-20: http://www.amf- france.org/Reglementation/Doctrine/Doct rine-list/Doctrine.html?category=II+- +Produits+de+placement&docId=worksp ace%3A%2F%2FSpacesStore%2F39201	
				a73-d096-4172-9847-289099a61eb6 AMF Instruction No 2011-21:	
				http://www.amf-	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				france.org/Reglementation/Doctrine/Doct	
				rine-list/Doctrine.html?category=II+-	
				+Produits+de+placement&docId=worksp	
				ace%3A%2F%2FSpacesStore%2F3ba57 388-e504-49a1-978e-189e3d6af277 AMF	
				Instruction No 2011-22: http://www.amf-	
				france.org/Reglementation/Doctrine/Doct	
				rine-list/Doctrine.html?category=II+-	
				+Produits+de+placement&docId=worksp	
				ace%3A%2F%2FSpacesStore%2F65c46c	
				cb-9cfb-4543-8e17-d70ca47cfc13 AMF	
				Instruction No 2011-23:	
				http://www.amf-	
				france.org/Reglementation/Doctrine/Doct	
				rine-list/Doctrine.html?category=II+-	
				+Produits+de+placement&docId=worksp	
				ace%3A%2F%2FSpacesStore%2Fa031d	
				387-ef15-4ada-a10e-769ccaaa0243	
				IOSCO Report Good Practices on Reducing Paliance on CRAs in the Asset	
				Reducing Reliance on CRAs in the Asset Management:	
				http://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf	
				/IOSCOPD488.pdf IOSCO Report on	
				Sound Practices at Large Intermediaries	
				Relating to the Assessment of	
				Creditworthiness and the Use of External	
				Credit Ratings:	
				http://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf	
				/IOSCOPD524.pdf	



Enhancing and alignin		•		
	g accounting standards			
Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards	g accounting standards Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington)	Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are of a high and internationally acceptable quality (eg equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB), and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the- world/Pages/Analysis-of-the-G20-IFRS- profiles.aspx. As part of their response on this recommendation, jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for appropriate application of fair value accounting. In addition, jurisdictions should set out any steps they intend to take (if	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: 01.01.2005 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Accounting regulation in France In France, statutory annual financial statements are prepared in accordance 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Continue close technical dialogues between the ANC and the international accounting standard setters (IASB, IFRS IC), the European bodies (EFRAG, ARC) and the European regulators (ESMA, EBA, EIOPA) on ongoing projects and enhancement of international accounting standards, especially regarding the implementation of IFRS 9 (Financial instruments) and the revision of IFRS 4 (insurance contracts). Web-links to relevant documents:
		accounting standards work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards.	accounting standardswork with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington)whether (and on what basis) they are of a high and internationally acceptable quality (eg equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB), and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards.Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the- world/Pages/Analysis-of-the-G20-IFRS- profiles.aspx .As part of their response on this recommendation, jurisdictions should set out	 work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington) whether (and on what basis) they are of a high and internationally acceptable quality (eg equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB), and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. Jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Analysis-of-the-G20-IFRS-profiles.aspx. As part of their response on this recommendation, jurisdictions should andicate the policy measures taken for approfile application of fair value accounting. In addition, jurisdictions should set out any steps they intend to take (if



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			accounting requirements for expected	compliant with the European accounting	
			loan loss provisioning for impaired loans	directive (2013/34/UE). Consolidated	
			that are being introduced by the IASB	financial statements are prepared	
			and are scheduled to be introduced by the	according to French accounting standards	
			FASB.	or IFRS, depending on whether the	
			See, for reference, the following BCBS	company is listed on a regulated market	
			document:	or not: IFRS are applicable to	
				consolidated financial statements of	
			• <u>Supervisory guidance for assessing</u>	publicly traded companies on a regulated	
			banks' financial instrument fair value	market. This results from the European	
			practices (Apr 2009)	IAS regulation 1606/2002. In addition,	
				France also authorises (on the basis of the	
				option provided by the European	
				Regulation) other companies to establish	
				their consolidated financial statements	
				under IFRS. The Autorité des Normes	
				Comptables (ANC), the French	
				accounting standard setter, was created	
				by Ordinance in January 2009 and its	
				missions relate both to French accounting	
				standards and IFRS. With regards to	
				French accounting standards, ANC is	
				responsible for establishing the	
				authoritative accounting regulations	
				applicable to: • French entities issuing	
				statutory accounts: businesses of all size,	
				banks, insurance, asset management	
				entities, associations (not-for-profit),	
				trade unions, cooperatives •	
				Consolidated accounts of French groups	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				that are not subject to the European IFRS	
				Regulation (not listed on a regulated	
				market). There are three tiers of	
				authoritative sources in French	
				accounting standards: 1) the legal level	
				(limited and general), 2) the regulatory	
				level (detailed, decided at ANC's Board	
				level, enforceable following official	
				publication by Minister's decision), 3)	
				The commentary level (more detailed,	
				decided at ANC's Board level, guidance	
				nature, currently under review). With	
				regards to international standards, ANC is	
				participating in international and	
				European debates/negotiations relating to	
				IFRS. Pursuant to the Maystadt reform	
				(2014), ANC is a member of the	
				European Financial Reporting Advisory	
				Group (EFRAG), providing support and	
				expertise to the EU Commission in the	
				assessment of the endorsement of IFRS.	
				ANC is represented at each of the 3 tiers	
				of the EFRAG's governance (General	
				Assembly, Board, Technical Expert	
				Group). ANC is also the supporting	
				advisor of the French government to the	
				ARC (Accounting Regulatory	
				Committee). ANC is shaped as a platform	
				to gather all different views in relation to	
				the IFRS. ANC's positions supported by	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				all interested French stakeholders reflect	
				the general interest and answer to the	
				various consultations of the IASB, IFRIC	
				or IFRS Foundation (Discussion Papers,	
				Exposure Drafts). ANC has been a	
				member of the Accounting Standards	
				Advisory Forum (ASAF) of IASB since	
				July 2015. ASAF is the advisory forum	
				gathering the 12 main accounting	
				standards setting players (national and	
				regional) organised by the IASB and	
				meeting every three months. ANC also	
				regularly liaises with other accounting	
				national standards-setters (NSS) through	
				international accounting bodies related to	
				the IFRS Foundation (International	
				Forum of Accounting Standards Setters,	
				World Standards Setters). ANC is also	
				building up bilateral relationships with	
				other NSSs in and outside of Europe (US,	
				Japan, China, Canada, Australia). The	
				goal of these bilateral relationships is to	
				establish a regular flow of information, to	
				exchange views on major topics	
				(accounting standards, governance of	
				standard setting,) and to stimulate	
				cooperation when appropriate.	
				As member of the EFRAG, ANC actively	
				participated in 2015 to the preparation of	
				the Endorsement Advice on IFRS 9, the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				new standard for financial instruments	
				replacing IAS 39. EFRAG's assessment	
				has been based on a detailed analysis of	
				EU endorsement criteria including	
				additional matters for consideration	
				added by the EU Commission. Among	
				these additional matters: interrelationship	
				between IFRS 9 and the new expected	
				Insurance standard, use of fair value, lack	
				of convergence with US-GAAP and	
				prudence. Moreover, additional criteria	
				(as suggested by the Maystadt report)	
				have been considered in assessing	
				whether the new standard is conducive to	
				the European public good: the new	
				standard should not endanger financial	
				stability and must not hinder the	
				economic development of the Union.	
				ANC is also developing and financing	
				research in accounting matters in order to	
				underpin its works and positions. Since	
				2010, ANC has been financing more than	
				20 accounting research projects	
				conducted by academics. ANC organises	
				an Annual Symposium on Accounting	
				Research. The 5th Symposium was held	
				on 11 December 2015 in Paris and its	
				theme was: « General principles in	
				accounting: European criteria and IASB's	
				Conceptual Framework ». The AMF is a	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				member of the Board and commissions of	
				the French National Standard Setter. The	
				AMF also actively participates in ESMA	
				and IOSCO working groups, the roles of	
				which are to analyse and comment the	
				IASB's proposals. The AMF serves as	
				observer representing IOSCO on the	
				IFRS Interpretation Committee. As chair	
				of the group European Enforcers	
				Coordination Sessions (EECS) at ESMA,	
				the AMF also participates in bilateral	
				meetings on accounting issues between	
				ESMA, and the IASB, the large audit	
				firms or the ECB. As a stakeholder in the	
				development of high-quality standards,	
				the ACPR and Banque de France -namely	
				through the Basel Committee (BCBS)	
				and the International Association of	
				Insurance Supervisors (IAIS)- closely	
				monitored the IASB works relating to its	
				project on financial instruments review	
				as well as on insurance contracts in order	
				to achieve the G20 recommendations of	
				April 2009. In particular, the ACPR	
				answered to consultation papers relating	
				to IFRS 9 (financial instruments) and	
				IFRS 4 (insurance contract). Moreover at	
				each year-end, ANC, ACPR and AMF	
				participate in the annual meetings	
				organised by audit firms with a view to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				encourage auditors to pay special	
				attention to some important accounting	
				issues for the year end consolidated	
				accounts, prepared under IFRS by the	
				major banking and insurance groups, and	
				to ensure consistent application of	
				accounting standards. Enforcement The	
				preparation, publication and audit of	
				statutory and consolidated financial	
				statements is generally required by law	
				for private entities exceeding a certain	
				threshold. Those legal requirements	
				concur to a consistent application of	
				accounting standards as well as the	
				enhancement of the business security.	
				Compliance with French GAAP is	
				enforceable before the Commercial	
				Court. Enforcement of accounting	
				standards against listed companies is	
				done by National Market Authorities	
				(AMF in France) and coordinated by the	
				European Securities and Markets	
				Authority (ESMA). The AMF also chairs	
				the European Enforcers Coordination	
				Sessions (EECS) within the Corporate	
				Reporting Standing Committee of ESMA,	
				which is the group mandated to follow	
				regulatory developments in the EU in the	
				field of accounting and auditing. Via	
				EECS, ESMA fosters technical	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				discussions on situations dealing with the	
				application of IFRS which NCAs	
				encounter in their review work on issuers	
				financial statements. The aim is to build a	
				common understanding of how the	
				accounting standards should be applied to	
				specific situation. A sub-group of the	
				EECS (also chaired by the AMF), "EECS	
				financial instruments" has been especially	
				tasked with the accounting treatment of	
				financial instruments by banks.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				(cont. of previous box) In addition, the	
				AMF publishes annually	
				recommendations for financial	
				statements. These recommendations are	
				aligned with the "Common Enforcement	
				Priorities" set annually by ESMA to	
				promote consistent application of IFRS	
				throughout the EU. ESMA has set in	
				place a reporting from NCAs on topics	
				selected as common enforcement	
				priorities in order to assess whether listed	
				entities comply, at European level, with	
				the standards. It also allows to monitor	
				the enforcement actions taken by NCAs	
				on these topics.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/accou nting/ias/index_en.htm	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VII.	Enhancing risk manag	ement			
16	Enhancing guidance to	Regulators should develop enhanced	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	🗆 Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(17)	strengthen banks' risk	guidance to strengthen banks' risk	measures taken to enhance guidance to	□ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
	management practices,	management practices, in line with	strengthen banks' risk management	at the moment	The ACPR will continue to contribute
	including on liquidity	international best practices, and should	practices.	□ Implementation ongoing:	largely to the WGT work on the
	and foreign currency	encourage financial firms to re-examine	Jurisdictions may also refer to the	Status of progress [for legislation and	implementation in Europe of the revised
	funding risks	their internal controls and implement strengthened policies for sound risk	following documents:	regulation/guidelines only]:	Pillar 3 BCBS standard as well as to
		management. (Washington)	• FSB's <i>thematic peer review report on</i>	Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	finalisation of the BCBS work on the revised Pillar 3 framework.
		National supervisors should closely check	<u>risk governance (Feb 2013);</u>	□ Draft published as of:	
		banks' implementation of the updated guidance on the management and	• Joint Forum's <u>Developments in credit</u>	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		supervision of liquidity as part of their regular supervision. If banks' implementation of the guidance is inadequate, supervisors will take more	 <u>risk management across sectors:</u> <u>current practices and</u> <u>recommendations (June 2015);</u> and BCBS <u>Peer review of supervisory</u> 	 Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : Implementation completed as of: 01.01.2014 	
		prescriptive action to improve practices.	authorities' implementation of stress testing principles (Apr 2012) and	Issue is being addressed through :	
		(Rec. II.10, FSF 2008)	Principles for sound stress testing	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		Regulators and supervisors in emerging	practices and supervision (May	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
		markets ¹ will enhance their supervision	<u>2009).</u>	□ Other actions (such as supervisory	
		of banks' operation in foreign currency		actions), please specify:	
		funding markets. (FSB 2009)		Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		We commit to conduct robust, transparent		The CRD IV package entered into force	
		stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)		on 28 June 2013 (CRR) and 17 July 2013	

¹ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				(CRD IV). The CRR became applicable	
				as of 1 January 2014, while the	
				Ordinance n°2014-152 of 20 February	
				2014, published on 21 February,	
				transposes, at the legislative level by	
				modifying the French Monetary and	
				Financial Code, the CRD IV. These	
				provisions enhance subject institutions'	
				requirements relating to internal controls,	
				risk management and governance.	
				Secondary legislation have been finalized	
				to amend the main French prudential	
				Regulation 97-02 of February 1997	
				dealing with internal controls and risk	
				management practices, so as to ensure a	
				full transposition of CRD IV. The	
				aforementioned Regulation covers all	
				risks (including liquidity risk) and	
				control, as well as risk management	
				processes, including for AML/CTF. It	
				requires a comprehensive risk	
				management process including Board and	
				senior management oversight, the control	
				system for operations and internal	
				procedures, the organization of	
				accounting and information processing	
				systems, the risk and result measuring	
				systems, the risk monitoring and risk	
				control systems and the remunerations	
				framework. Credit institutions and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				investment firms are to apply this	
				regulation on a solo and consolidated	
				basis. LCR standard: Since 1988, French	
				regulated credit institutions were subject	
				to a monthly quantitative liquidity	
				requirement, which was amended in 2009	
				. Since 01/10/15, a binding EU-wide LCR	
				has entered into force after the	
				publication by the EU Commission of a	
				delegated act.A phase-in is planned from	
				60% in 2015 to 100% in 2018. LCR	
				standard: the EU requirement in	
				accordance with CRDIV/ CRR and the	
				delegated act published in January 2015	
				has entered into force (01.10.15). Several	
				BTS (ITS and RTS) and guidelines	
				specifying some part of the liquidity	
				Regulation have been adopted by the	
				EBA. The reporting for LCR requirement	
				has been adopted by the Commission in	
				March 2016 and will entered into force	
				on 10/09/16. The Commission has also	
				adopted in March 2016 reporting	
				templates related to additional monitoring	
				metrics for liquidity risk.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				The LCR Delegated Act published by the EU Commission The LCR reporting templates The ALMM reporting	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				templates	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 17 (18)	Description Enhanced risk disclosures by financial institutions	Financial institutions should provide enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington) We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the status of implementation of the disclosures requirements of IFRSs (in particular IFRS 7 and 13) or equivalent. Jurisdictions may also use as reference the recommendations of the October 2012 report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force on <u>Enhancing the Risk Disclosures</u> of Banks and Implementation Progress Report by the EDTF (Dec 2015), and set out any steps they have taken to foster adoption of the EDTF Principles and Recommendations.	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : Implementation completed as of: 	Next stepsPlanned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:Web-links to relevant documents:
			of Banks and Implementation Progress Report by the EDTF (Dec 2015), and set out any steps they have taken to foster adoption of the EDTF Principles and	 Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : 	
				Working Group on Transparency (WGT) until March 2016 has taken an active part in the regular assessment of financial institutions' disclosures, especially "Pillar	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				3" disclosures. In 2014 the EBA	
				elaborated and published guidelines	
				related to the information that institutions	
				in the EU banking sector should disclose	
				under Pillar 3. These guidelines,	
				condensed into a single document, cover	
				how institutions should apply the	
				concepts of materiality, proprietary nature	
				and confidentiality in relation to the	
				disclosure requirements, as well as how	
				they should assess the frequency of	
				disclosures. These Guidelines aim at	
				enhancing consistency in disclosure	
				practices across the EU. At an	
				international level, the ACPR has actively	
				contributed (through its participation of	
				the "Working Group on Disclosure" -	
				WGD) to the phase 1 of the work	
				undertaken by the BCBS in order to	
				enhance its "Pillar 3" framework, while	
				maintaining the "Pillar 3" as a single and	
				coherent package. While recognising that	
				the scope and objective of the EDTF	
				differed from that of "Pillar 3", the BCBS	
				carefully considered the EDTF	
				recommendations when developing its	
				proposals and incorporated, where	
				relevant, the recommendations made by	
				the EDTF. The final revised Pillar 3 was	
				released in January 2015. Finally, the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				ACPR has reviewed the major French	
				banks' financial disclosures (notably	
				annual report and Pillar 3). The French	
				banks Pillar 3 disclosures published in	
				2015 were on the whole satisfactory even	
				if improvements are still needed in some	
				areas. Regarding the financial statements,	
				the entry into force of IFRS 9 in 2018	
				will be an opportunity for regulators to	
				review the levels of information provided	
				by banks under the credit risk. In that	
				regard, the implementation of the new	
				standards of the revised Pillar 3 will	
				provide the opportunity to implement a	
				number of these improvements	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Solvency 2 has entered into force	
				January, 1st 2016. Pillar 3 of Solvency 2	
				requires from insurers to publicly disclose	
				the risks they face, included in narrative	
				report (SFCR). Moreover, the reporting	
				to the supervisor includes, in addition to	
				risk reporting in the narrative report	
				(RSR), the ORSA (Own Risk Solvency	
				Assessment) which is sent to the ACPR	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Solvency 2 Directive : http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02009L01	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				38-20150331 delegated act : http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32015R00 35 EIOPA Guidelines : https://eiopa.europa.eu/publications/eiopa -guidelines	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VIII.	Strengthening deposit	insurance			
18 (19)	Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements	National deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed international principles, and authorities should strengthen arrangements where	Jurisdictions should describe any revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to address the following recommendations	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: At the EU level, a revision of the Directive on Deposit Guarantee Schemes
		should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008)	 address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 <u>thematic</u> <u>peer review report on deposit insurance</u> <u>systems:</u> Adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system (for those jurisdictions that do not have one) Addressing the weaknesses and gaps to full implementation of the <u>Core</u> <u>Principles for Effective Deposit</u> <u>Insurance Systems</u> issued by IADI in November 2014. 	 Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : Implementation completed as of: 03.07.2015 Issue is being addressed through : Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation /Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The French Deposit Guarantee Scheme is already largely in line with the IADI 	Directive on Deposit Guarantee Schemes is ongoing. Web-links to relevant documents:
				Principles (latest change to regulations in September 2010). France has an explicit scheme managed by an autonomous	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				structure (Fonds de Garantie des Dépôts -	
				FGD). This scheme is compulsory for all	
				banks licensed in France (Art. L. 312-14,	
				Monetary and Financial Code). In	
				addition to payout, the FGD can take	
				preventative action, including the	
				granting of liquidity lines or guarantees	
				and the purchase of shares in a credit	
				institution. The FGD is governed by a	
				supervisory board made of elected	
				representatives of the banking sector. The	
				Chairperson of the Executive board has a	
				specific agreement by the Ministry of	
				Finance. Laws and regulations clearly	
				define eligible deposits: up to a limit of	
				EUR 100 000 per person and per	
				institution, the FGD guarantees both on	
				demand and time deposits in the	
				currencies of the European Economic	
				Area for both residents and non-residents.	
				Non-financial companies are covered, but	
				not banks, other non-bank financial	
				companies, government and central	
				administrative authorities. It is funded by	
				ex-ante risk-based premiums levied from	
				banks and taking into account the level of	
				eligible deposits. The FGD can also	
				borrow and raise additional premiums.	
				Payout is triggered by the supervisor	
				(ACP) and should occur within 20 to 30	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				days. The FGD has access to deposit data	
				upon a request to intervene.	
				Communication to the public includes an	
				FGD website and information provided	
				by banks. The FGD is subrogated in	
				the rights of the beneficiaries of its	
				intervention (Article L312-6) and thus	
				shares in the proceeds of recoveries from	
				the estate of the failed bank. The FGD	
				may bring any action for damages against	
				the de facto and de jure executives of the	
				institutions it intervenes in to secure	
				repayment of some or all of the sums it	
				has paid (Article L.312-6). Finally, its	
				mission had been reinforced since the	
				FGD has become the French Resolution	
				Fund (FGDR, Fonds de garantie des	
				dépôts et de résolution) on 26 July 2013.	
				Act n° 2013-672 of 26 July 2013 of	
				banking separation and regulation gave it	
				the capacity to intervene in resolution	
				with new tools. Highlight main	
				developments since last year's survey:	
				The new DGS Directive which was	
				adopted in April 2014 and entered into	
				force on 2 July 2014 should be transposed	
				by the Member States by 3 July 2015.	
				The transposition should be finalized in	
				France by September or October 2015.	
				The main modifications which may be	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				carried out to French scheme in accordance to this directive relate to : - the funding of the FGDR; - the shortening to 7 days of legal proceedings for payout; - the extension of covered deposit to funds left in account in any currency; -	
				the ability to conclude written cooperation agreements with other scheme given to FGDR.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: Relevant provisions of the Monetary and Financial Code (in French) : http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichCode .do;jsessionid=E7C20F10DC9F933ADD CD0870D1D66A42.tpdjo12v_1?idSectio nTA=LEGISCTA000006170368&cidTex te=LEGITEXT000006072026&dateTexte =20130428 DGS Directive published in the OJ on 12/6/2014 : http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201 4L0049&from=EN DGS Directive published in the OJ on 12/6/2014 : http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201 4L0049&from=EN	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IX.	Safeguarding the integ	rity and efficiency of financial markets	;		
19 (20)	Enhancing market integrity and efficiency	We must ensure that markets serve efficient allocation of investments and savings in our economies and do not pose risks to financial stability. To this end, we commit to implement initial recommendations by IOSCO on market integrity and efficiency, including measures to address the risks posed by high frequency trading and dark liquidity, and call for further work by mid-2012. (Cannes)	 Jurisdictions should indicate whether high frequency trading and dark pools exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendations: in relation to dark liquidity, as set out in the IOSCO <u>Report on</u> <u>Principles for Dark Liquidity (May 2011).</u> on the impact of technological change in the IOSCO <u>Report on</u> <u>Regulatory Issues Raised by the</u> <u>Impact of Technological Changes</u> <u>on Market Integrity and Efficiency</u> <u>(Oct 2011).</u> on market structure made in the IOSCO Report on <u>Regulatory issues</u> <u>raised by changes in market</u> <u>structure (Dec 2013).</u> 	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: ☑ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: MiFID 2 (Revised Markets in Financial Instruments Directive) was approved on 15 of April 2014 and will come into force on January 2017 - 1 year delay still under discussion - and 3 July 2016 for Market Abuse Regulation). □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through : ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: MiFID 2 aims at limiting dark trading and 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Work on the secondary legislation for the implementation of MiFID is underway; a first delegated directive has been published on the 7th April 2016. ESMA has published its technical advices un December 2014 and its technical standards in September 2015, which now needs to be endorsed by the European Institutions. The European Commission proposed to postpone the entry into application of MiFID and MiFIR by one year (i.e. 3td of January 2018). However, this proposal still needs to be agreed by the Council and the European Parliament. Work on the secondary legislation necessary for the implementation of Market Abuse Regulation (MAR) and MIFID2 is well underway; technical advice received from the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) and draft technical standards to be delivered by September 2015. Following scrutiny of the rules by co- legislators, the EU market abuse regime should be finalised by early 2016. Market Abuse Regulation and Criminal



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				other broker crossing networks by setting	Sanctions for Market Abuse Directive
				a trading obligation for equities and by	will enter into application on 3 July 2016.
				framing more striclty the waivers to pre-	
				trade transapency for equity instruments.	Web-links to relevant documents:
				MiFID 2 also includes measures to	
				address the risks posed by high frequency	
				trading: firstly organisational	
				requirements for trading venues and	
				investment firms requring effective	
				systems and controls (for example	
				"circuit breakers") and specific record	
				keeping for high frequency trading;	
				secondly a dynamic tick size regime	
				enabling regulators to control high	
				frequency trading activity through	
				constraints on tick size. The Market	
				Abuse Regulation (MAR) will also	
				increase the transparency and integrity of	
				the derivatives and the commodity	
				derivatives markets including OTC	
				transactions.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
l					
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				MiFID 2 (level 1) http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/FR/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L2014 .173.01.0349.01.FRA http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/FR/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L2014	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				.173.01.0084.01.FRA Delegated directive (level 2) http://ec.europa.eu/finance/securities/isd/ mifid2/index_en.htm MAR : http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32014R05 96 Criminal Sanctions for Market Abuse Directive http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32014L00 57	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 20 (21)	Description Regulation and supervision of commodity markets	We need to ensure enhanced market transparency, both on cash and financial commodity markets, including OTC, and achieve appropriate regulation and supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management powers, including the power to set ex-	Jurisdictions should indicate whether commodity markets of any type exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on <u>Principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives</u> <u>Markets (Sep 2011)</u> . Jurisdictions, in responding to this recommendation, may also make use of	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: ☑ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: 03.01.2017 (MIFID2 - 1 year delay still under discussion) et 03.07.2016	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		 ante position limits, particularly in the delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012. (Cannes) We also call on Finance ministers to monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO's principles for the regulation and supervision on commodity derivatives markets and encourage broader publishing and unrestricted access to aggregated open interest data. (St. Petersburg) 	the responses contained in the <u>update to</u> <u>the survey</u> published by IOSCO in September 2014 on the principles for the regulation and supervision of commodity derivatives markets.	 still under discussion) et 03.07.2016 (MAR) □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through : ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: In line with the G20 objectives, the revised MiFID, alongside with the revised Market Abuse Directive (MAD) aims at ensuring enhanced market transparency and integrity for commodity 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				derivatives markets. In Europe, MiFID 2	
				introduces position reporting and position	
				limits both on listed and OTC derivatives,	
				in order to prevent market abuse and	
				support orderly pricing and settlement	
				conditions. MAD extends and adjusts the	
				market abuse regime for commodity	
				markets, in particular, towards market	
				abuses across spot and financial markets.	
				In France, the 2013 Banking law has	
				already transposed by anticipation these	
				tools for agricultural commodity	
				derivatives.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				In March 2016, the European	
				Commission suggested several	
				amendments to ESMA's commodity	
				regulatory technical standards before they	
				can be adopted. They should be redrafted	
				by the end of first semester 2016.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				French banking law (July 2013): http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte .do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT000027754539 https://www.esma.europa.eu/sites/default/ files/library/2015/11/2015-esma- 1464_annex_i - _draft_rts_and_its_on_mifid_ii_and_mifi r.pdf (RTS 20 and 21) http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/FR/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L2014	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				.173.01.0349.01.FRA http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/FR/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L2014 .173.01.0084.01.FRA	



Progress to date Description **G20/FSB Recommendations** Remarks Next steps No Reform of financial We support the establishment of the Collection of information on this 21 benchmarks FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to recommendation will continue to be (22) coordinate work on the necessary reforms deferred given the forthcoming FSB of financial benchmarks. We endorse progress report on implementation of IOSCO's Principles for Financial FSB recommendations in this area, and Benchmarks and look forward to reform ongoing IOSCO work to review the as necessary of the benchmarks used implementation of the IOSCO Principles internationally in the banking industry for Financial Benchmarks. and financial markets, consistent with the IOSCO Principles. (St. Petersburg)



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
X.	Enhancing financial co	onsumer protection			
X. 22 (23)	Enhancing financial consumer protection	We agree that integration of financial consumer protection policies into regulatory and supervisory frameworks contributes to strengthening financial stability, endorse the FSB report on consumer finance protection and the high level principles on financial consumer protection prepared by the OECD together with the FSB. We will pursue the full application of these principles in our jurisdictions. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD's <u>G-20 high-level principles on financial</u> <u>consumer protection (Oct 2011)</u> . Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD's <u>September 2013 and September 2014</u> <u>reports</u> on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High- level Principles. The effective approaches are of interest across all financial services sectors – banking and credit; securities; insurance and pensions – and consideration should be given to their cross-sectoral character when considering implementation. Jurisdictions should, where necessary, indicate any changes or additions that have been introduced as a way to support the implementation of the High-level Principles, to address particular national terminology, situations or determinations.	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : Implementation completed as of: 2012 Issue is being addressed through : Primary / Secondary legislation ⊠ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Most of the High-level Principles on Financial Consumer Protection are already largely implemented in France. Legal, Regulatory and Supervisory 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Advertisement on Forex and binary options should be soon banned thanks to modifications of French law. This would limit the audience of the intermediaries targeting the public with high speculative and abstruse products. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				(Principles 1 and 2) The ACPR,	
				established on 9.03.2010 (the ACPR	
				since 2013) as a result of the merger of	
				the banking and insurance supervisors,	
				has an explicit consumer protection	
				mandate, as was the case previously for	
				the insurance supervisor but not the	
				banking supervisor. The AMF has a	
				mandate of consumer protection	
				concerning the securities sector and has	
				created in 2010 a Retail Investor	
				Relations Department (DREP) covering	
				all activities aimed at retail investors. To	
				ensure better coordination in the field of	
				consumer protection whatever the	
				product at stake (securities, banking or	
				insurance product) between the AMF and	
				the ACPR, a Joint Unit ("pôle commun")	
				has been put in place. Furthermore, the	
				views of retail investors are channelled	
				into the AMF's decision making process	
				through a consultative committee and the	
				participation of retail investors'	
				representatives at the AMF Board.	
				Consultative bodies (CCSF, CCLRF) also	
				involve industry and consumer	
				representatives. In March 17, 2014 the	
				Consumer Affairs Act (LOI n° 2014-344)	
				has introduced the class actions in the	
				French law, open to consumers	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				associations (art L423-1 s French	
				consumer code) and has enhanced the	
				modernization of supervision /	
				enforcement means of the administrative	
				authority in charge of looking after	
				consumers' interests and has strengthened	
				respective applicable penalties (articles	
				L141-1 s French consumer code).	
				Equitable and Fair Treatment of	
				Consumer (Principle 3) Banks are subject	
				to compliance requirements (order of 3	
				November 2014): their compliance	
				framework has to take into account	
				stringent consumer protection laws and	
				regulations as well as codes of conducts.	
				Banking, insurance and financial	
				intermediaries in France are subject to a	
				comprehensive set of conduct rules aimed	
				at ensuring fair and equitable treatment of	
				consumers (see L.500 sq of the insurance	
				code, L519-1 sq and L541-8 1 of the	
				Monetary and Financial Code, AMF	
				General Regulation Book III and Book V,	
				Title III of the Monetary and Financial	
				Code). In July 26, 2013 the separation	
				and regulation of banking activities Act	
				(LOI n° 2013-672) has introduced	
				specific limits of banks charges as well as	
				the obligation to propose a specific offer	
				for "fragile clientele" and set up a	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				financial inclusion observatory managed	
				by the French central bank. In March 17,	
				2014 the Consumer Affairs Act (LOI n°	
				2014-344) has transposed Directive	
				2011/83/EU of the European Parliament	
				and of the Council on consumer rights of	
				October 25, 2011 for contracts concluded	
				on the business premises of the trader or	
				through any means of distance	
				communication (L121-26 s French	
				consumer code). Disclosure and	
				Transparency (Principle 4) In the	
				Insurance sector, European Directives	
				have set strong requirements for	
				disclosure and transparency, especially in	
				life insurance. In banking, the EU	
				Consumer Credit Directive 2008/48/EC,	
				transposed on 1 July 2010 in Articles	
				L.311-2 et seq. of the Consumer Code,	
				introduces new specific pre-contractual	
				disclosure requirements, transparency	
				rules. More recently, the EU Credit	
				agreements for consumers relating to	
				residential immovable property Directive	
				2014/17/EU, transposed in the French	
				consumer code by an Ordonnance	
				published in march 2016, has also	
				strengthened the pre-contractual	
				disclosure requirements and transparency	
				rules. Intermediaries are more closely	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				regulated since Law n° 2010-1249	
				(Articles L519 -1 et seq of the Monetary	
				and Financial Code) based upon the	
				existing regulation for insurance	
				intermediaries (in force since 2005).	
				This regulation also introduces disclosure	
				and transparency requirements.	
				Moreover, the Consultative Committee	
				for Financial sector (CCSF) has taken	
				commitments on 15.11.2012 to enhance	
				consumer protection in consumer credit;	
				it allows a better information between	
				revolving and redeemable credit, or	
				advantages given by a credit card dealt by	
				retailers. Regarding securities and	
				investment funds, France has	
				implemented the relevant European	
				Union Directives (Prospectus, UCITS and	
				MiFID) ensuring that appropriate	
				information is provided to the investor. In	
				addition, the AMF issues public warnings	
				in case a product or market practice may	
				be of risk to retail investors. Warnings are	
				also often issued in coordination with the	
				ACPR (particularly concerning the	
				activities of several websites and entities	
				proposing Forex investments without	
				being authorised to do so). The AMF has	
				published: - the guidance note on sales	
				and marketing documents	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				(http://www.amf-	
				france.org/documents/general/10271_1.p	
				df); - its position No 2013-02 – 8 January	
				2013 - applicable to investment services	
				providers and financial investment	
				advisers, on the collection of know your	
				customer (KYC) information	
				(http://www.amf-	
				france.org/documents/general/10689_1.p	
				df) In July 26, 2013 the separation and	
				regulation of banking activities Act (LOI	
				n° 2013-672) framed the	
				commercialization of foreign currency	
				loans granted by banks to consumers, and	
				introduced new compulsory indications	
				about insurance cost in creditor insurance	
				Financial Education and Awareness	
				(Principle 5). Numerous actions are	
				taken: - Educational activities by the	
				BdF and AMF, together with the financial	
				literacy institute "Institut pour	
				l'Education Financière du Public	
				(IEFP)"(http://www.lafinancepourtous.co	
				m/IMG/pdf/IEFP_anglais.pdf); - The BdF	
				interactive museum on money and the	
				economy (is not opened yet); - A	
				telephone hotline and a website	
				(www.abe-infoservice.fr) for consumers,	
				by BdF together with ACPR and AMF; -	
				Brochures and videos to inform the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				public, published respectively by the	
				AMF (www.amf-france.org/Epargne-	
				Info-Service), the CCSF (Comité	
				Consultatif du Secteur Financier	
				(www.banque-france.fr/ccsf/fr)); - TV	
				campaigns since December 2012, by the	
				AMF, the Institut national de la	
				consommation and the ACPR, to inform	
				consumers/retail investors about	
				questions to ask oneself before saving,	
				the traps to avoid when investing,	
				financial investment fees, the AMF	
				Ombudsman, or how to make a claim	
				(The AMF and the National Consumers	
				Institute (INC) sign partnership	
				agreement to provide consumers with	
				information about financial products and	
				services) In January 2015, the CCSF	
				has issued a report on the definition and	
				implementation of a financial education	
				national strategy, in response to a demand	
				of the Ministry of Finance. Responsible	
				Business Conduct of Financial Services	
				Providers and Authorised Agents	
				(Principle 6) Both law n°2010-737 on	
				Consumer Credit (codified in Articles	
				L.311-2 et seq. in the Consumer Code)	
				and Law n° 2010-1249 on banking	
				intermediaries (codified in Articles L519-	
				1 et seq. in the Monetary and Financial	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Code) introduce requirements on advice	
				and training of sales staff in direct	
				relation with customers. In January 2012,	
				France published two regulations (n°	
				2012-100 and 2012-101) in order to	
				reinforce the conduct of business	
				obligations of intermediaries:	
				Intermediaries in bank, finance and	
				insurance sectors must be registered on a	
				common public registry, managed by an	
				Agency placed under the State control.	
				This Agency verifies the conditions for	
				access to the intermediation activity:	
				good repute, professional competence,	
				professional insurance and where	
				appropriate, financial guarantee. Certain	
				information are available for the public,	
				particularly, information on the financial	
				institutions for which they are acting.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				(cont. of previous box) The second	
				regulation enforces a status of	
				intermediaries in banking and payment	
				services which sets minimum knowledge	
				and competence requirements, establishes	
				rules for remuneration and conduct of	
				business obligations for intermediaries	
				intervening in the provision of credit to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				consumers. Regarding securities and	
				investment funds, the EU directive	
				MiFID has been transposed into French	
				Law and the AMF General Regulation,	
				including responsible conduct of business	
				for financial intermediaries, such as the	
				obligation to undertake appropriateness	
				and suitability tests with potential	
				investors. The AMF has published	
				Position No 2010-05 - 15 October 2010	
				on the marketing of complex financial	
				instruments (http://www.amf-	
				france.org/documents/general/9662_1.pdf	
) and Position No 2013-02 – 8 January	
				2013- applicable to investment services	
				providers and financial investment	
				advisers, on the collection of know your	
				customer (KYC) information	
				(http://www.amf-	
				france.org/documents/general/10689_1.p	
				df). In 2011, the AMF set up a system to	
				ascertain that market participants have a	
				specified minimum level of regulatory	
				knowledge. In 2014, the AMF, in	
				cooperation with the ACPR, worked with	
				the French Department of Education to	
				reform a two-year technical degrees	
				dedicated to bank jobs ("BTS Banque").	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				It will help spreading a culture of	
				compliance through young new bankers.	
				Since October 2014, a new frame came	
				into force for the crowdfunding	
				investments to allow its developments	
				while protecting investors. Protection of	
				Consumer Assets against Fraud and	
				Misuse (Principe 7). In banking, deposit	
				taking is limited to licensed banks subject	
				to regulation and a deposit guarantee	
				scheme. Insurance is also limited to	
				closely regulated entities. The winding up	
				rules ensure that policyholders benefit	
				from a privileged treatment compared to	
				other creditors. In addition, the two main	
				insurance guarantee schemes are	
				the:FGAO (Fonds de Garantie des	
				Assurances Obligatoires	
				(www.fondsdegarantie.fr)) and the FGAP	
				(Fonds de Garantie des Assurances de	
				Personnes) concerning respectively non	
				life and life insurance (i.e. L. 421-1 sq	
				and L.423-1 sq Insurance code) protect	
				policyholders in case of winding up of an	
				insurance company. Insurance and	
				banking intermediaries who handle assets	
				have to be insured by a bank or insurance	
				company (Art. L. 519-4 CMF and L. 512-	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				6 and L. 512-7 of the Insurance Code).	
				Regarding securities and investment	
				funds, the protection of clients' assets in	
				France results from various provisions	
				such as Art. L533-10,6 of the Monetary	
				and Financial Code and Art. 313-13 to	
				313-17 and Art. 314-39 of the AMF	
				General Regulation. Concerning	
				investment funds, the depository is in	
				charge of settling trades, checking the	
				manager's investment decisions and more	
				notably safekeeping assets. It is subject to	
				an obligation to return securities in	
				respect of asset safekeeping. It must act	
				solely in the unit holder's interest. Further	
				key gatekeepers are in the French system	
				the auditors who approve the financial	
				information disclosed to the public, such	
				as financial statements. In May 2011, the	
				AMF has published a warning about non	
				financial products which are proposed to	
				the public and which are not specifically	
				regulated, and as such risky for retail	
				investors (http://www.amf-	
				france.org/documents/general/9941_1.pdf	
). In March 2014, the law on consumption	
				established a new category of	
				intermediaries in miscellaneous property	



No Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			in order to regulate offers that were not	
			covered by the previous law of 1983. In	
			July 26, 2013 the separation and	
			regulation of banking activities Act (LOI	
			n° 2013-672) has Increased the obligation	
			for the insurance companies to identify	
			deceased policyholders.	
			Web-links to relevant documents:	
			(cont. of previous box) (Principle 8) The gathering and use of personal data is regulated in France by Statute (act n°78- 17 of 6 january 1978 on information technology, data files and civil liberties), especially Art. 6 (http://www.cnil.fr/fileadmin/documents/ en/Act78-17VA.pdf). Complaints Handling and Redress (Principle 9) The French banking and insurance supervisory authority, ACPR, has adopted on 15 December 2011 a recommendation on complaints handling (2011-R-05- http://www.acp.banque- france.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/acp/Fichi ers_EN/Recommandations_et_fichiers_D CPC/Recommendation-2011-R-05-of- the-ACP.pdf) which applies to both the insurance and the banking sectors. Ombudsmen exist since 1993 in the insurance sector, and are a compulsory feature of the French banking sector since the law of 11 December 2001. For the securities sector, there is one Ombudsman who is attached to the AMF, and handles queries and requests for out- of-court dispute settlement from investors. Furthermore, the ACP has issued early 2012 a recommendation for	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the treatment of complaints. AMF	
				Instruction n°2012-07 regulates the	
				handling of customer complaints by	
				investment services providers and financial advisers (http://www.amf-	
				france.org/documents/general/10494 1.p	
				df), including consumer information and	
				access to the complaints handling system,	
				follow-up and control. Furthermore	
				France has implemented the EU Directive	
				on alternative dispute resolution for	
				consumer disputes (ADR). The AMF	
				Ombudsman, has been authorised by the	
				"Commission nationale d'évaluation et de	
				contrôle de la médiation de la	
				consommation" (National Commission	
				on the Assessment and Supervision of	
				Consumer Mediation – CÉCMC), as a result of this transposition, effective since	
				1 January 2016. Competition (Principle	
				10) An industry code of conduct of 6 July	
				2009 (« norme professionnelle »)	
				facilitates the possibility for consumers to	
				move to another bank (change of bank	
				accounts). The ACP has checked	
				compliance in 2011 in 350 banks. 9	
				commitments out of 16 had compliance	
				levels above 89% (in terms of market	
				share of compliant respondents) although	
				progress is required in other areas. Since	
				then, in In March 17, 2014 the Consumer Affairs Act has facilitated bank mobility,	
				introducing specific provisions on this	
				issue. These provisions have been further	
				strenthened in the ACT n° 2015-990 of 6	
				august 2015 about economic growth,	
				activity and equality of opportunity.	
				These provisions transpose into French	
				law part of EU Directive 2014/92/EU of	
				the European Parliament and of the	
				Council of 23 July 2014 on the	
				comparability of fees related to payment	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				accounts, payment account switching and access to payment accounts with basic features Text with EEA relevance. For the securities sector, the European Directives, through the different passports in place for intermediaries and products, contribute to a competitive market in Europe. Two major work streams are underway, which should lead to a further strengthening of investor protection: - the on-going review of the European Union MiFID, which should reinforce the rules applicable to conflicts of interest (including the issue of staff remuneration), product governance and sale of complex products; and - the Act n° 2013-672 of 26 July 2013 recently passed by the French Parliament includes for instance a cap for banking fees related to payment incidents. France has transposed into French law legal provision of Directive 2014/17/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 February 2014 on credit agreements for consumers relating to residential immovable property and amending Directives 2008/48/EC and 2013/36/EU and Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 (https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/jo_pdf.do ?id=JORFTEXT000032294277). Implemented provisions will be soon published. The European commission will be informed.	



XI. Source of recommendations:

Brisbane: G20 Leaders' Communique (15-16 November 2014)St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)

XII. List of Abbreviations used: