

Jurisdiction: Germany

2016 IMN Survey of National Progress in the Implementation of G20/FSB Recommendations

- I. [Hedge funds](#)
- II. [Securitisation](#)
- III. [Enhancing supervision](#)
- IV. [Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools](#)
- V. [Improving oversight of credit rating agencies \(CRAs\)](#)
- VI. [Enhancing and aligning accounting standards](#)
- VII. [Enhancing risk management](#)
- VIII. [Strengthening deposit insurance](#)
- IX. [Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets](#)
- X. [Enhancing financial consumer protection](#)
- XI. [Reference to source of recommendations](#)
- XII. [List of Abbreviations](#)

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I. Hedge funds					
1 (1)	Registration, appropriate disclosures and oversight of hedge funds	<p>We also firmly recommitted to work in an internationally consistent and non-discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul)</p> <p>Hedge funds or their managers will be registered and will be required to disclose appropriate information on an ongoing basis to supervisors or regulators, including on their leverage, necessary for assessment of the systemic risks they pose individually or collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London)</p>	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO’s Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009), in particular <i>recommendations 1 and 2</i>.</p> <p>In their response, jurisdictions should specify whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Hedge Funds (HFs) and/or HF managers are subject to mandatory registration - Registered HF managers are subject to appropriate ongoing requirements regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organisational and operational standards; • Conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; • Disclosure to investors; and • Prudential regulation. <p>Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle 28 of the 2010 IOSCO Objectives and Principles of Securities Regulation, and take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those Principles.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing: <i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by: <input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of: <input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: <input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: 22.07.2013</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation <input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: <p>Germany has in force a regulatory framework for hedge funds. This framework sets out regulation for managers of hedge funds as well as for hedge funds themselves. According to this regulation, for example, both managers and funds are subject to an approval process. BaFin</p>	<p>If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:</p> <p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>AIFMD is implemented and in force in the German KAGB since July 2013</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeffentlichungen/EN/Meldung/2013/meldung_130722_kapitalanlagegesetzbuch_en.html</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>takes part in IOSCO Survey on Hedge Funds (via IOSCO C 5), covering systemically relevant information about hedge funds. For further information in respect to the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive (AIFMD), please refer to the EU-COM answer.</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeffentlichungen/EN/Meldung/2013/meldung_130722_kapitalanlagegesetzbuch_en.html</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
2 (2)	Establishment of international information sharing framework	We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms for cooperation and information sharing between relevant authorities in order to ensure effective oversight is maintained when a fund is located in a different jurisdiction from the manager. We will, cooperating through the FSB, develop measures that implement these principles by the end of 2009. (London)	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO’s Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009) on sharing information to facilitate the oversight of globally active fund managers.</p> <p>In addition, jurisdictions should state whether they are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Signatory to the IOSCO MMoU - Signatory to bilateral agreements for supervisory cooperation that cover hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO Principles Regarding Cross-border Supervisory Cooperation. 	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by: <input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of: <input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: <input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: 22.07.2013</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation <input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: <p>BaFin cooperates and shares information with authorities on the basis of relevant IOSCO and ESMA MoU. Besides that, the AIFM Directive demands the closure of cooperation agreements (MoU) in case of existing AIF business with third country NCAs. The content of the MoU is regulated by an ESMA Guideline. The purpose of these agreements is to enable</p>	<p>If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:</p> <p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>the signatories to exchange information on a regulated basis. It covers all AIFs including hedge funds. So far, the BaFin has entered 23 AIFMD MoU agreements. The full list of all signatories is available on:</p> <p>http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeffentlichungen/EN/Merkblatt/WA/mb_130722_internat_koopvereinbarungen_kagb_en.html</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>Guidelines on the model MoU concerning consultation, cooperation and the exchange of information related to the supervision of AIFMD entities</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>The content of the ESMA AIFMD Model MoU is available at: www.esma.europa.eu/system/files/2013-998_guidelines_on_the_model_mous_concerning_aifmd.pdf</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3 (3)	Enhancing counterparty risk management	<p>Supervisors should require that institutions which have hedge funds as their counterparties have effective risk management, including mechanisms to monitor the funds' leverage and set limits for single counterparty exposures. (London)</p> <p>Supervisors will strengthen their existing guidance on the management of exposures to leveraged counterparties. (Rec. II.17, FSF 2008)</p>	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate specific policy measures taken for enhancing counterparty risk management and strengthening their existing guidance on the management of exposure to leveraged counterparties.</p> <p>In particular, jurisdictions should indicate whether they have implemented recommendation 3 of the IOSCO Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009).</p> <p>In their responses, jurisdictions should not provide information on the portion of this recommendation that pertains to Basel III, since it is monitored separately by the BCBS.</p> <p>Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle 28 of the 2010 IOSCO Objectives and Principles of Securities Regulation, and take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those Principles.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: With regard to the banking sector: 14.12.2012 https://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/EN/Rundschreiben/rs_1210_marisk_ba_en.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=1 For insurance supervision: Starting from 01.01.2016, Solvency II will apply, which has been implemented in German law in 2015.</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>German regulations require financial institutions to have an effective risk</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>management in place, which covers all counterparties. This includes counterparty limits and monitoring mechanisms for hedge funds. In addition to these general requirements, the revised Minimum Requirements for Risk Management (Banks) require explicitly that institutions have to implement an internal policy regarding credit deals with hedge funds or private equity firms, where applicable. Amongst other things, this comprises a policy regarding gathering financial and non-financial information about their counterparties and an analysis of the structure and the purpose of the transactions financed.</p> <p>German regulations require financial institutions to consider every relevant risk which they are exposed to. This includes also the specific risks of exposures to leveraged counterparties.</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Implementation of Solvency II into national law has been approved and will</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				come into effect as of 01.01.2016. Web-links to relevant documents:	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
II. Securitisation					
4 (4)	Strengthening of regulatory and capital framework for monolines	Insurance supervisors should strengthen the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured credit. (Rec II.8, FSF 2008)	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for strengthening the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers (where these exist).</p> <p>See, for reference, the following principles issued by IAIS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICP 13 – Reinsurance and Other Forms of Risk Transfer; • ICP 15 – Investments; and • ICP 17 - Capital Adequacy. <p>Jurisdictions may also refer to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IAIS Guidance paper on enterprise risk management for capital adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct 2008). • Joint Forum document on Mortgage insurance: market structure, underwriting cycle and policy implications (Aug2013). 	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: There are no monoline insurers in Germany, but the European rules for Solvency II were agreed in January 2015, including the detailed calculation of capital requirements and risk management and governance rules (Commission Delegated Regulation 2015/35) and came into effect on 1 January 2016. The Solvency II framework directive introduces a risk-based supervisory regime for all (re)insurance undertakings, including monoline insurers.</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
II. Securitisation					
				<input type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents:	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
5 (5)	Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18, FSF 2008)	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance products.</p> <p>Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's report on Good Practices in Relation to Investment Managers' Due Diligence When Investing in Structured Finance Instruments (Jul 2009).</p> <p>Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint Forum report on Credit Risk Transfer-Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul 2008).</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: 31.12.2010</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>For financial institutions (esp. banks) the requirements in Germany for risk management, including the new product process, have been enhanced. Financial institutions must have a clear understanding of the products and the risk</p>	<p>If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:</p> <p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>profile of all investments. Investment products have to be examined adequately in the internal risk management processes (risk measurement, limit system, stress testing, etc.) and the internal capital adequacy assessment process.</p> <p>Furthermore, the investment in structured products has to be in line with the strategy of the institution. The respective enhancements of EU legislation (CRD) had been transposed into German law, e.g. the strengthened management requirements for structured investment products and further due diligence requirements, especially for re-securitisations. These due diligence requirements have now been replaced by the almost identical rules of Article 406 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (CRR).</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/kredwg/index.html http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2013:321:0006:0342:EN:PDF</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6 (6)	Enhanced disclosure of securitised products	Securities market regulators should work with market participants to expand information on securitised products and their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10-III.13, FSF 2008)	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures and other initiatives taken in relation to enhancing disclosure of securitised products, including working with industry and other authorities to continue to standardise disclosure templates and considering measures to improve the type of information that investors receive.</p> <p>See, for reference, IOSCO’s Report on Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012), Disclosure Principles for Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-Backed Securities (Apr 2010) and report on Global Developments in Securitisation Regulations (November 2012), in particular recommendations 4 and 5.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: National implementation of relevant CRD II amendments for credit institutions has been applicable from 31.12.2010 and has been replaced by corresponding CRR requirements for institutions from 01.01.2014. Amendments to CRA Regulation entered directly into force within EU Member States on 20.06.2013. Rules for alternative investment funds (AIFs) and Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS) in accordance with the Kapitalanlagegesetzbuch (KAGB) are applicable since 22.07.2013. Rules for insurance and reinsurance undertakings are applicable since 01.01.2016.</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p>	<p>If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:</p> <p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>On 28 August 2013 Germany has adopted a law to implement the CRD IV (which is the European implementation of Basel III into European law. See: http://www.bgb1.de/xaver/bgb1/start.xav?s tartbk=Bundesanzeiger_BGB1&jumpTo=bgb113s3395.pdf With the CRD IV entering into force, German Banks are obliged to also implement the requirements of Pillar 3 of Basel III. While not all Pillar 3 relevant details were fully developed at this stage, the BCBS in January 2015 has adopted Revised Pillar 3 disclosure requirements. See: http://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d309.pdf In Part 6 of the Revised Pillar 3 disclosure requirements, qualitative (subsection I) and quantitative securitisation disclosures (subsection II) are contained. According to this document it is envisaged that “...(ie banks will be required to publish</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation <input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Banks also have to fulfill comprehensive disclosure requirements if they are acting as originator or sponsor of a securitisation which were introduced by the CRD II (Directive 2009/111/EC) and transposed into German law by 31.12.2010 - and have now been replaced by the almost identical rules of Article 409 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (CRR). Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 625/2014 and Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 602/2014 provide additional clarifications regarding the application of Article 409 CRR and regarding the application of additional risk weights in case of material non-compliance with the requirements of that Article by reason of negligence or omission of an institution, which is acting as an originator or sponsor of a securitisation. Banks, insurance companies, and asset management companies are queried on a case-by-case basis where necessary. Interviews are also conducted with senior management at banks and insurance companies with </p>	<p> their first Pillar 3 report under the revised framework concurrently with their year-end 2016 financial report). The Committee encourages early adoption by individual jurisdictions.” </p> <p> Web-links to relevant documents: Re: CRD IV implementation (= Basel III implementation): CRD IV Implementation Act: http://www.bgb1.de/xaver/bgb1/start.xav?s tartbk=Bundesanzeiger_BGBI&jumpTo=bgb1113s3395.pdf Re: Implementation of Basel III Guidelines by Deutsche Bundesbank: http://www.bundesbank.de/Redaktion/DE/Downloads/Veroeffentlichungen/Bericht_Studie/bankenaufsicht_basel3_leitfaden.pdf?__blob=publicationFile Re: Requirements of Pillar 3 of Basel III: http://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d309.pdf </p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>significant risks.</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>A) Regarding credit institutions: As a response to the financial crisis the European Union introduced the Capital Requirements Directive II (CRD II) (Directive 2009/111/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 amending Directives 2006/48/EC, 2006/49/EC and 2007/64/EC as regards banks affiliated to central institutions, certain own funds items, large exposures, supervisory arrangements, and crisis management), which included among others, enhanced disclosure rules regarding ABS. Under Article 122a (7) of CRD II each credit institution acting as sponsor or originator of a securitisation was subject to comprehensive disclosure obligations towards prospective investors. In particular such credit institutions needed to ensure that prospective investors have readily available access to: • all materially relevant data on the credit quality and performance of the individual underlying exposures, cash flows and collateral supporting a securitisation exposure; and • all information that is</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>necessary to conduct comprehensive and well informed stress tests on the cash flows and collateral values supporting the underlying exposures. The respective provisions of EU CRD II legislation had been transposed into German law but have now been replaced by the regulations mentioned above. For further information on issuers originators and sponsors of ABS and their enhanced disclosure obligations under CRR and CRA III, please refer to the EU-COM answer. B) Regarding insurance and reinsurance undertakings: Solvency II entered into force in January 2016 and applies to all insurance and reinsurance undertakings concerned by Directive 2009/138/EG of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 on the taking-up and pursuit of the business of Insurance and Reinsurance (Solvency II Directive). The Commission has published Delegated Acts in accordance with Article 135 (2) of the Solvency II Directive (Commission Delegated Acts Regulation (EU) 2015/35 of 10 October 2014 supplementing Directive 2009/138/EG). These Delegated Acts specify requirements to be met by the originator in order for</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>undertakings to be allowed to invest in such securities or instruments issued after 1 January 2011, including requirements that ensure that the originator retains a net economic interest of no less than 5%. Moreover, these Delegated Acts stipulate qualitative requirements that must be met by insurance or reinsurance undertakings that invest in such securities or instruments. Articles 254 to 257 of the Delegated Acts contain these rules regarding the investments in securitisation positions, for example risk retention requirements relating to the originators, sponsors or original lenders, exemptions to risk retention requirements, qualitative requirements relating to insurance and reinsurance undertakings and requirements for investments in securitisation that no longer comply with the risk-retention and qualitative requirements. Furthermore the EIOPA Guideline 30 and the Explanatory Notes on EIOPA Guideline 30 on the system of governance contain measures that the undertaking could implement to ensure that interests are aligned. The measures listed there are not to be considered exhaustive. C) Regarding alternative</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>investment funds (AIFs) and Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS).</p> <p>The AIFMD (Directive 2011/61/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2011 on Alternative Investment Fund Managers and amending Directives 2003/41/EC and 2009/65/EC and Regulations (EC) No 1060/2009 and (EU) No 1095/2010) is applicable to alternative investment funds managers (AIFMs). Article 17 AIFMD contains similar risk retention requirements as Solvency II for insurance undertakings (i.e. requirements that need to be met by the originator, the sponsor or the original lender, in order for an AIFM to be allowed to invest in securities or other financial instruments of this type issued after 1 January 2011 on behalf of AIFs, including requirements that ensure that the originator, the sponsor or the original lender retains a net economic interest of not less than 5 %) The AIFMD was transposed into German law by enacting the Kapitalanlagegesetzbuch (KAGB). KAGB entered into force on 22 July 2013. Article 17 AIFMD has been transposed by Section 29 paragraph 5 Number 6 and 7 KAGB. Section 29 para.</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>5 also refers to Article 50 to 56 Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No. 231/2013. By this, the requirements set out in Article 17 AIFMD and Article 50 to 56 Delegated Regulation No. 231/2013 have been fully implemented into German law. According to German national law, the requirements set out in Article 50 to 56 of the AIFM Delegated Regulation apply correspondingly for UCITS management companies. This is laid down in a German national regulation (Section 5(1) no. 6 and 7 of the Capital Investment Rules of Conduct and Organisation Regulation). Hence the same risk retention requirements and the same elements of disclosure requirements applying to AIFMs also apply to UCITS management companies.</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents: A) Regarding credit institutions: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2009:302:0097:0119:EN:PDF http://register.consilium.europa.eu/pdf/en/12/st16/st16680.en12.pdf http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2013:146:0001:0033:EN:PDF http://eur-</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2013:321:0006:0342:EN:PDF http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32014R0602&from=EN http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32014R0625&from=EN B) Regarding insurance and reinsurance undertakings: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32009L0138 http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/En/TXT/PDF/?uri=OJ:JOL_2015_012_R_0001 https://europa.europa.eu/Publications/Guidelines/Final_EN_SoG_Clean.pdf http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeffentlichungen/DE/Berichte/vorbereitung_solvenzcy_II_04_grundsatz_der_unternehmerischen-vorsicht_va.html C) Regarding alternative investment funds (AIFs) and Undertakings for collective Investment in transferable securities (UCITs) http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32011L0061&from=EN http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2013:083:0001:0095:en:PDF http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/kagb/BJNR198110013.html http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/kaverov/</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
III. Enhancing supervision					
7 (7)	Consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of SIFIs	All firms whose failure could pose a risk to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh)	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate: (1) whether they have identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors; (2) whether the names of the identified SIFIs have been publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs.</p> <p>In their response to (3) above, jurisdictions should note any significant changes in their approach, strategy or practices to enhance SIFI supervision.</p> <p>Jurisdictions should mention, but not provide details on, policy measures that pertain to higher loss absorbency requirements for G/D-SIBs, since these are monitored separately by the BCBS.</p> <p>See, for reference, the following documents:</p> <p>BCBS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) • Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012) <p>IAIS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Global Systemically Important Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013) 	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: 1 Jan 2011 (entry into force of Bank Restructuring Act)</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>Banking sector: With the start of the Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM) on 4th November 2014 ECB has assumed direct supervisory authority regarding significant institutions. Supervisory strategy and core themes regarding SIFIs therefore will presumably largely be</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Discussions within crisis management groups have been taking place since early 2010. Additional provisions for cross-border crisis resolution are subject to ongoing work at the EU level. Insurance: BaFin is examining whether the currently available instruments for recovery and resolution are sufficient for the national insurance sector or if additional ones are needed. According to primary estimations, preventive recovery plans appear to be a sensible instrument for which § 26 of the Insurance Supervision Act (Versicherungsaufsichtsgesetz) provides a sufficient legal basis. BaFin is considering the inclusion of a broader range of insurers than the international discussion currently involves. Furthermore, there is a consensus that the international and European directions are the minimum of what would be implemented nationally. Banking sector: BaFin together with bundesbank is examining the submitted recovery plans, which are written by the potential systemically important institutions. The</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			<p><i>and initial assessment methodology</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>IAIS SRMP guidance - FINAL (Dec 2013)</i> • <i>Guidance on Liquidity management and planning (Oct 2014)</i> <p>FSB:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov 2011)</i> 	<p>determined by the ECB. Especially, ECB is responsible for supervisory decisions. Yet, within the framework of the Joint Supervisory Teams (JST), composed of ECB but also NCA staff to a large extent, BaFin and Bundesbank strive to proactively participate in the joint supervisory effort including formal decisions. Moreover, as members of the Supervisory Board which plans and carries out the SSM’s supervisory tasks and proposes draft decisions for adoption by the ECB’s Governing Council, NCAs are involved into the SSM’s decision-making process to a certain extent. The following statements should be regarded against this background. For further information on identification / higher loss absorbency, please refer to the EU-COM answer. In order to come into effect, the CRD IV provisions which were finalized in July 2013 required a further transposition into national law. The amendments to the German ‘Kreditwesengesetz’ passed the Federal Council by late June 2013 and enter into force by 1 January 2014. More intensive supervision: Accordingly, pursuant to the Ongoing Monitoring Guideline (“Aufsichtsrichtlinie”, Article 6) of May</p>	<p>FMSA, after consulting the supervisory authority, will draw up a resolution plan for each institution that is not part of a group subject to consolidated supervision. Therefore, the resolution authority will have to agree upon these actions with the supervisory authority. The same will apply for the assessment of resolvability carried out by the resolution authority, after consulting the supervisory authority and the resolution authorities of the jurisdictions in which significant branches are located.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>2013, the supervision of banking institutions of systemic importance is more rigorous, with a particular emphasis on detailed analyses of the risks and their possible repercussions on the institutions' risk-bearing capacity. Prudential supervision is carried out with respect to banking and financial holding groups with regard to the group's solvency, its compliance with large exposure limits and its investments outside the financial sector (Section 10, German Banking Act, Article 89-91, 387-403 CRR). The scope of consolidation encompasses all institutions, investment firms, financial institutions, ancillary services undertakings, e-money- institutions and payment services institutions belonging to the group as well as where applicable the superordinated financial holding company (Article 11 ff. CRR, Section 10a , German Banking Act). In addition, all these groups have to report on risk concentrations and intra-group transactions (Section 13c, German Banking Act, Article 394 CRR). Furthermore, the provisions in the Minimum Requirements for Risk Management are also addressing consolidated risk management for all</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>material risks and their coverage at the group level for banking and financial holding groups as well as financial conglomerates (MaRisk, Section AT 4.5.) With regard to the global systemically important institutions (G-SII) and other systemically important institution (O-SII) the legal basis for the identification of those institutions and the authorisation for the competent authority to impose a capital buffer is laid down in sections 10f and 10g of the German Banking Act (KWG). The methodology for the identification of G-SIIs is laid down in the delegated regulation (EU) No 1222/2014 of the European Commission. In December 2014 the European Banking Authority (EBA) published guidelines on the identification of O-SIIs to ensure a consistent identification of O-SIIs in EU (EBA GL/2014/10). Based on EBA GL/2014/10 BaFin together with Bundesbank designated 16 institutions as O-SIIs and imposed capital buffers (CET 1) in a range of 0.5% to 2.0% which will be phased in from 2017 to 2019. Under the CRD, in the case that an O-SII might be also designated as G-SII only the higher capital buffer of the O-SII and the G-SII buffer applies.</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>Insurance: Mirroring the banking regulations insurance groups as well have to regularly submit to BaFin the calculation of the group solvency margin (Article 218 sec. of the Sovencay II Directive), the system of governance (Article 246 of the Sovencay II Directive) and a report about risk concentration (Article 244 of the Sovencay II Directive), important intragroup transactions and a report about risk concentration (Article 244 of the Sovencay II Directive). Within the relevant scope, financial firms have been asked to provide BaFin with a draft contingency and de-risking plan in early 2010. The results were already discussed and further work has been initiated to refine the planning. Banking sector: On January 1, 2011 the “Bank Restructuring Act” came into effect. The EU Directive 2014/59/EU (BRRD) and its implementation in Germany forms an important part of supervision and regulation of SIFIs. The BRRD contains requirements for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms. Thereby the directive</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>ensures a harmonisation of the procedures for resolving institutions at Union Level. In Germany the BRRD Implementation Act (Sanierungs- und Abwicklungsgesetz, SAG) has entered into force on 1th January 2015. According to the SAG the resolution authority is the Financial Market Stabilisation Agency (Bundesanstalt für Finanzmarktstabilisierung – FMSA) which will be incorporated into BaFin from 2018. In a nutshell the shared responsibilities in practice can be described as follows: The supervisory authority is responsible for the assessment of recovery plans. The resolution authority, after consulting the supervisory authority, draws up a resolution plan and assesses the resolvability. Moreover, the supervisory authority after hearing the resolution authority and the resolution authority after hearing the supervisory authority are able to decide, whether an institution is failing or likely to fail. Finally, the resolution authority is able to apply the resolution tools to institutions. At the European level, the Single Resolution Mechanism Regulation has entered into force on January 1, 2016. Consequently,</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>the Single Resolution Board (SRB) is the European resolution authority and responsible for the resolution of significant and cross-border institutions in the Eurozone, while the FMSA remains the national resolution authority (until it is incorporated into BaFin from 2018 on). A supplementary supervision applies to financial conglomerates. Financial Conglomerates Directive (2002/87/EC) has been amended by Directive 2011/89/EC which has been transposed into the Financial Conglomerates Supervision Act of 27 June 2013 (FKAG). The FKAG comprises the supervision of capital adequacy, risk concentration, intra-group transactions, internal control mechanisms and risk management processes at the level of financial conglomerates. Financial conglomerates are broadly defined as groups with significant cross-sectoral activities.</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>BRRD Umsetzungsgesetz: http://www.bundesfinanzministerium.de/Content/DE/Downloads/Gesetze/2014-12-18-BRRD-Umsetzungsgesetz.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=5</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
8 (8)	Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk assessments	<p>To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London)</p> <p>We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory colleges. (Seoul)</p>	<p>Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of G-SIBs and G-SIIs.</p> <p>Please indicate the progress made in establishing and strengthening the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs and G-SIIs, including the development of any joint supervisory plans within core colleges and leveraging on supervisory activities conducted by host authorities.</p> <p>See, for reference, the following documents:</p> <p>BCBS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principles for effective supervisory colleges (Jun 2014) • Progress report on the implementation of principles for effective supervisory colleges (Jul 2015) <p>IAIS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICPs 24 and 25, especially guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6, 25.6, 25.7 and 25.8 • Application paper on supervisory colleges (Oct 2014) 	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: 01.12.2010/01.03.2011/24.7.2012</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>The process of setting up supervisory colleges for those German large and complex cross-border banks and insurance undertakings identified by the FSB has been completed and college meetings are taking place. In addition, the</p>	<p>If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:</p> <p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>banking groups for which EU law (CRD II) requires the establishment of supervisory colleges have also been identified and the process for setting up these colleges has been completed, too. Germany has been home supervisor to about 20 colleges and host supervisor in more than 50 colleges. With the SSM coming into effect on November 2014, the majority of these colleges ceased to exist or the ECB took over the role as home supervisor. Germany is now home supervisor for only 5 colleges. In June 2014 the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 710/2014 was published containing the Implementing Technical Standards (ITS) on the joint decision process on institution-specific prudential requirements (joint decision on capital and liquidity) and a series of annexes/templates. In May 2015 the EBA published final instructions to these annexes/templates to facilitate the preparations and communication of individual contributions to the group risk assessment report and the group liquidity risk assessment report based on the methodology of the EBA SREP-Guidelines (EBA/GL2014/13). Germany is using the new templates in preparing</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>the joint decisions on capital and liquidity for 2015 for those colleges for which Germany is the home supervisor. According to the Capital Requirements Directive the consolidating supervisor is responsible for the establishment of the supervisory college. According to (EU) Regulation 575/2013 “consolidating supervisor’ means a competent authority responsible for the exercise of supervision on a consolidated basis of EU parent institutions and of institutions controlled by EU parent financial holding companies or EU parent mixed financial holding companies” As supervisory responsibility regarding significant institutions in the Eurozone has shifted from National Competent Authorities (NCAs) to the ECB the ECB is “consolidating supervisor” for significant banking groups in the sense of the CRD IV. Accordingly the SSM Supervisory Manual states: “Regarding colleges the ECB may have the following roles regarding supervisory colleges for significant banking groups: act as a home supervisor for colleges where the ECB is the consolidating supervisor and where there are supervisors from non-participating Member States (European</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>college) or from countries outside EU (International college); act as a host supervisor for colleges where the consolidating supervisor is from a non-participating Member State (or a country outside the EU).” Relevant NCAs may take part in the abovementioned colleges as observers. Concerning colleges established for Less Significant Institutions NCAs shall participate as members whereas the ECB may participate as an observer. Also in the colleges in which the German insurance supervisors are home supervisors, templates to achieve a “shared view on the risks of the group and its major solo entities” have been elaborated. This risk assessment is being performed once a year. The results of the risk assessment are regularly discussed in the college. The information derived is being supplemented by the exchange of data on balance sheet and profit-and-losses for the material insurance entities and by the college discussions of significant intragroup-transactions and risk concentrations.</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year’s survey:</p> <p>From a former home supervisor’s</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>perspective the main development was the going live of the SSM in November 2014 which changed the major responsibilities, especially in the area of international cooperation within banking supervision. With regards to Deutsche Bank the ECB (the Deutsche Bank JST and its competent SRG IC/RRP) is now the relevant supervisory authority steering the processes and fora of the various colleges which were established and steered by BaFin and Deutsche Bundesbank before November 2014. Supervisory colleges are still appearing in different compositions: The Euro-College consists nowadays of the ECB and the relevant countries of the EEA and follows the legal framework of CRD IV, the BRRD and the relevant BTSs. The college usually meets physically once a year. Besides the EBA-College, additional colleges based on multilateral agreements (MoU) exist. The Trilateral consists of the ECB, UK (PRA and FCA) and US (FRBNY, BoGFRS and NYDFS). The Trilateral meets at least twice a year. The Asia-Pacific-College (APAC) is the third college. It is held on an annual basis</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>and supervisors from the rest of the world are invited (non-EEA supervisors). According to the FSB Principles and as Deutsche Bank is still classified as a G-SIB a CMG was established on the fundament of the former Core College (inner-circle of the EBA college)/Trilateral in October 2009. The group came effectively into force in 2010. Nowadays the CMG which regularly meets twice a year is steered by the ECB and the FMSA. The European Market Infrastructures Regulation (EMIR) requires the establishment of supervisory colleagues for CCPs; there are colleges for the two German CCPs. For Details pls refer to EU-KOM response.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
9 (9)	Supervisory exchange of information and coordination	<p>To quicken supervisory responsiveness to developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions, supervisory exchange of information and coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved at both national and international levels. (Rec V.7 , FSF 2008)</p> <p>Enhance the effectiveness of core supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)</p>	<p>Jurisdictions should include any feedback received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the September 2012 BCP 3 (Cooperation and collaboration) and BCP 14 (Home-host relationships). Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in this area, particularly in response to relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.</p> <p>Jurisdictions should describe any recent or planned regulatory, supervisory or legislative changes that contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via bilateral or multilateral MoUs).</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: 2011</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>BaFin has established a cross-sectoral risk committee that analyses and monitors cross-sectoral and major sector-specific risks that might pose a threat to financial stability. As well, it constitutes the internal interface between micro- and macroprudential financial supervision. Furthermore, the BaFin risk committee</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>coordinates BaFin-positions on recommendations of the Financial Stability Committee particularly regarding to the usage of macro-prudential instruments. The BaFin risk committee consists of participants of each of BaFin’s directorates and high-level representatives from Deutsche Bundesbank. It meets on a quarterly basis. Within each of BaFin’s directorates exist structures that monitor sector-specific risks and transfer relevant information into the cross-sectoral risk committee: In BaFin’s Banking Supervision Directorate there have been implemented structures that are responsible for collecting and analysing information and undertaking best practice studies. As well, it facilitates co-ordination with the banking section of Deutsche Bundesbank (e.g. GLA, working group on risk-oriented supervision). In BaFin’s Insurance Supervision Directorate risk identification, risk-analysis and risk-monitoring are carried out by a special section dealing with the risk orientation of insurance supervision. BaFin’s Securities Supervision Directorate has set up a working group to identify, monitor</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>and address systemic risks resulting from the securities markets. The Commission Implementing Regulation 620/2014 and the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No. 524/2014 – both coming into effect in the first half of 2014 - contributed to enhanced and more detailed information, communication and cooperation of competent and relevant NCAs with regard to the banking groups foreign activities. In addition to regular bilateral contacts, supervisory colleges, especially core colleges, are also a major tool for the exchange of information and coordination among competent NSAs regarding individual institutions. Overarching issues in contrast are addressed through many multilateral initiatives, for example the new European Supervisory Authorities (i.e. EBA, EIOPA and ESMA) the BCBS, FSB working groups, IAIS, etc. Since the implementation of the SSM in November 2014, there is also a steady flow of information between BaFin/Bundesbank and the ECB via the Joint Supervisory Teams and several working groups. At the end of 2014, Germany has signed bilateral MoUs with more than 60 NSAs in the banking sector and some 30 NSAs</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>in the insurance sector and more than 40 in the securities sector.</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
10 (10)	Strengthening resources and effective supervision	<p>We agreed that supervisors should have strong and unambiguous mandates, sufficient independence to act, appropriate resources, and a full suite of tools and powers to proactively identify and address risks, including regular stress testing and early intervention. (Seoul)</p> <p>Supervisors should see that they have the requisite resources and expertise to oversee the risks associated with financial innovation and to ensure that firms they supervise have the capacity to understand and manage the risks. (FSF 2008)</p> <p>Supervisory authorities should continually re-assess their resource needs; for example, interacting with and assessing Boards require particular skills, experience and adequate level of seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)</p>	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate any steps taken on recommendations 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 (i.e. supervisory strategy, engagement with banks, improvements in banks' IT and MIS, data requests, and talent management strategy respectively) in the FSB thematic peer review report on supervisory frameworks and approaches to SIBs (May 2015).</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><i>If “ Not applicable “ or “Applicable but no action envisaged ...” has been selected, please provide a brief justification:</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of:</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: BaFin is about to publish strategic qualification profiles regularly within the wider framework of its annual control circuit. These profiles are derived from the existing skill set and adapted to projected requirements, objectives and</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>emerging supervisory practices over the short- and medium-term. In order to fill the gap between existing and necessary skills and competencies, BaFin regularly offers relevant trainings and other relevant activities to its employees. These trainings and activities are planned in a regular HR-Process. This HR-Process includes the analysis of competency requirements. In order to secure and further promote skills, BaFin and Bundesbank meet the training needs of its banking supervision staff with various in-house, ESCB-wide and external training opportunities (including ECS and FSI in Basel).</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: (cont.)</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IV. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools					
11 (11)	Establishing regulatory framework for macro-prudential oversight	<p>Amend our regulatory systems to ensure authorities are able to identify and take account of macro-prudential risks across the financial system including in the case of regulated banks, shadow banks¹ and private pools of capital to limit the build up of systemic risk. (London)</p> <p>Ensure that national regulators possess the powers for gathering relevant information on all material financial institutions, markets and instruments in order to assess the potential for failure or severe stress to contribute to systemic risk. This will be done in close coordination at international level in order to achieve as much consistency as possible across jurisdictions. (London)</p>	<p>Please describe major changes in the institutional arrangements for macroprudential policy (structures, mandates, powers, reporting etc.) that have taken place since the global financial crisis, particularly over the past year.</p> <p>Please indicate whether an assessment has been conducted with respect to the adequacy of powers to collect and share relevant information among different authorities on financial institutions, markets and instruments to assess the potential for systemic risk. If so, please describe identified gaps in the powers to collect information, and whether any follow-up actions have been taken.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: 01.01.2013</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>Since 1 January 2013, the Act on the Strengthening of German Financial Supervision is in force. It contains the</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Macro-prudential analyses, risk identification processes and macroprudential instruments will be further enhanced – also taking account of discussions in international fora. The joint cross-sectoral risk committee continues its structured dialogue in its quarterly meetings. The regulatory reporting was under review to take into account the lessons learnt from the financial crisis. The new reporting regime is to be adopted in the coming month and will strengthen data coverage in several fields (e.g. earnings, large exposures). The creation of legal regulations with respect to the FSC Recommendation on new instruments for regulating loans for the construction or purchase of residential real estate, AFS/2015/1, is in progress. The implementation of new law is envisaged at the end of 2016.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

¹ The recommendation as applicable to shadow banks will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>Financial Stability Act (FinStabG): • •</p> <p>The Financial Stability Committee has been installed encompassing three members of the Ministry of Finance (MOF, Chair), Deutsche Bundesbank, BaFin, the and the Federal Agency for Financial Market Stabilisation (non-voting). The committee meets quarterly (first meeting in March 2013). The committee’s tasks resemble those of the ESRB, but with a national focus. • The FinStabG confers a financial stability mandate upon the FSC, with a prominent role for Deutsche Bundesbank (incl. right of veto in decisions on warnings and recommendations). • The FinStabG establishes reporting requirements and fosters information sharing within and between relevant institutions. • The FinStabG responds to experiences gathered in the course of the most recent financial crisis and in particular to Recommendation ESRB/2011/3 Cf. also #12</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year’s survey:</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>Web-links to relevant documents: Financial Stability Act http://www.bundesbank.de/Redaktion/EN/Downloads/Bundesbank/Tasks_and_or_ganisation/bundesbank_act_monitoring_financial_stability.pdf?__blob=publication_file ESRB Recommendation ESRB/2011/3 : http://www.esrb.europa.eu/pub/pdf/recommendations/2011/ESRB_2011_3.en.pdf?38c057b902aaa3e860b27c96df848eb3</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12 (12)	Enhancing system-wide monitoring and the use of macro-prudential instruments	<p>Authorities should use quantitative indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for supervisory purposes. Authorities should use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution-specific and at the macro-prudential (system-wide) level...(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009)</p> <p>We are developing macro-prudential policy frameworks and tools to limit the build-up of risks in the financial sector, building on the ongoing work of the FSB-BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes)</p> <p>Authorities should monitor substantial changes in asset prices and their implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)</p>	<p>Please describe at a high level (including by making reference to financial stability or other reports, where available) the types of methodologies, indicators and tools used to assess systemic risks.</p> <p>Please indicate the use of macroprudential tools in the past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and apply them.</p> <p>See, for reference, the following documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CGFS report on Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments (Dec 2012) FSB-IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011) IMF staff papers on Macroprudential policy, an organizing framework (Mar 2011), Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy (Jun 2013), and Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy (Dec 2014) 	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: 2013</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>On the newly established – high-level - Financial Stability Committee, please refer to answer #11 above. Monitoring capital market and asset prices and assessing their implications for the financial system and the macro-economy at large is part of financial macro-prudential analyses in relevant German authorities, in particular Deutsche Bundesbank and BaFin in line with their</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>For actions planned, please refer to the EU-COM answer! The national transposition of the CRD IV entered into force in January 2014. The FSC reviews its macro-prudential toolbox on an on-going basis.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>Transposition of EMIR into German law (German only): http://www.bgb1.de/Xaver/text.xav?bk=Bundesanzeiger_BGB1&start=%2F%2F%5B%40attr_id%3D%27bgb113006.pdf%5D&wc=1&skin=WC#_Bundesanzeiger_BGB1_%2F%2F%5B%40attr_id%3D%27bgb113006.pdf%5D__1377103917492</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>respective mandates. A leverage ratio reporting requirement was introduced into German supervisory law as an indicator under Pillar 2. In its meeting in June 2015, the Financial Stability Committee (FSC) recommended that the federal government shall initiate the creation of a legal basis which would allow the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin) to introduce minimum requirements for the credit-based financing of residential property purchases (including the minimum amount of equity that must be provided and minimum debt repayment rates), should such rules be regarded as necessary in the future. In this way, risks to financial stability arising from excessive debt and price bubbles on the real estate market can be limited (FSC Recommendation on new instruments for regulating loans for the construction or purchase of residential real estate, AFS/2015/1) Moreover, for the first time BaFin has set a countercyclical capital buffer of 0% for Germany as of 1 January 2016. The idea behind the countercyclical capital buffer is that in times of excessive credit growth, banks are required to build</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>up an additional capital buffer. This buffer generally increases the loss-absorbing capacity of banks. The buffer can be used in times of crisis to mitigate losses. As a result, it is intended to avoid the creation of a credit crunch. The decision about setting the buffer is based on an analysis of a variety of indicators. In particular the development of the credit-to-GDP gap, i.e., the deviation in the ratio of lending to gross domestic product from its long-term trend, plays a decisive role. Deutsche Bundesbank provides a detailed description of the methodology for the German CCB and the indicator set in a published analytical paper. In addition, Germany is about to introduce a buffer for other systemically important institutions (OSII buffer). The buffer will be effective from 01 January 2017 onwards and is going to affect 16 institutions. The decision about setting the buffer is based on an analysis of a variety of factors, which can be separated in the categories size, complexity, importance for economy/substitutability, and interconnectedness with the financial system. Thereby, the specific buffer for the single institution is calibrated in relation to all institutions in Germany.</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>http://www.bundesfinanzministerium.de/Content/EN/Downloads/2015-06-30-FSC-Recommendation.html Decision concerning the countercyclical capital buffer:</p> <p>http://www.bafin.de/EN/Aufsicht/BankenFinanzdienstleister/Eigenmittelanforderungen/Kapitalpuffer/ccb_artikel.html</p> <p>Analytical framework of the countercyclical capital buffer:</p> <p>http://www.bundesbank.de/Redaktion/EN/Topics/2015/2015_11_25_more_capital_to_counter_crises.html</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)					
13 (13)	Enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	<p>All CRAs whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes should be subject to a regulatory oversight regime that includes registration. The regulatory oversight regime should be established by end 2009 and should be consistent with the IOSCO Code of Conduct Fundamentals. (London)</p> <p>National authorities will enforce compliance and require changes to a rating agency’s practices and procedures for managing conflicts of interest and assuring the transparency and quality of the rating process.</p> <p>CRAs should differentiate ratings for structured products and provide full disclosure of their ratings track record and the information and assumptions that underpin the ratings process.</p> <p>The oversight framework should be consistent across jurisdictions with appropriate sharing of information between national authorities, including through IOSCO. (London)</p> <p>Regulators should work together towards appropriate, globally compatible solutions (to conflicting compliance</p>	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs including registration, oversight and sharing of information between national authorities. They should also indicate their consistency with the following IOSCO document:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies (Mar 2015) (including governance, training and risk management) <p>Jurisdictions may also refer to the following IOSCO documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principle 22 of Principles and Objectives of Securities Regulation (Jun 2010) which calls for registration and oversight programs for CRAs • Statement of Principles Regarding the Activities of Credit Rating Agencies (Sep 2003) • Final Report on Supervisory Colleges for Credit Rating Agencies (Jul 2013) <p>Jurisdictions should take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those principles.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: December 2009</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>For information on CRA regulation and supervision, please refer to the EU-COM answer.</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year’s survey:</p> <p>Three Delegated Regulations adopted by</p>	<p>If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:</p> <p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>For actions planned, please refer to the EU-COM answer!</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		<p>obligations for CRAs) as early as possible in 2010. (FSB 2009)</p> <p>We encourage further steps to enhance transparency and competition among credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)</p>		<p>the European Commission last 30.09.2014 have been published on 6 January 2015 in the Official Journal, setting out Regulatory Technical Standards (RTS) needed to implement key provisions of the Regulation on Credit Rating Agencies. These technical standards set out: 1.The disclosure requirements for issuers, originators and sponsors on structured finance instruments; 2.Reporting requirements for credit rating agencies (CRAs) on fees charged by CRAs to their clients; 3.Reporting requirements to CRAs for the European Rating Platform.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>CRA III: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32013R0462:EN:NOT</p> <p>Consultation Paper on CRA3 implementation (Feb 2014): http://www.esma.europa.eu/content/Consultation-Paper-CRA3-Implementation</p> <p>ESMA Draft RTS on CRA transparency requirements: http://www.esma.europa.eu/system/files/2014-014-689_esma_publishes_draft_rts_on_cra_transparency_requirements.pdf</p> <p>ESMA Guidelines on Periodic Reporting: http://www.esma.europa.eu/system/files/2015-015-609_cra_guidelines_on_periodic_reporting.pdf</p> <p>COM Delegated Regulation on</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Periodic Reporting: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1433338325381&uri=CELEX:32015R0002 COM Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/1 - RTS on fees: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=OJ:L:2015:002:TOC COM Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/2 - RTS on ERP: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=OJ:L:2015:002:TOC COM Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/3 - RTS on SFIs: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=OJ:L:2015:002:TOC	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14 (14)	Reducing the reliance on ratings	<p>We also endorsed the FSB’s principles on reducing reliance on external credit ratings. Standard setters, market participants, supervisors and central banks should not rely mechanistically on external credit ratings. (Seoul)</p> <p>Authorities should check that the roles that they have assigned to ratings in regulations and supervisory rules are consistent with the objectives of having investors make independent judgment of risks and perform their own due diligence, and that they do not induce uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a substitute for that independent evaluation. (Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008)</p> <p>We reaffirm our commitment to reduce authorities’ and financial institutions’ reliance on external credit ratings, and call on standard setters, market participants, supervisors and central banks to implement the agreed FSB principles and end practices that rely mechanistically on these ratings. (Cannes)</p> <p>We call for accelerated progress by national authorities and standard setting</p>	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate the steps they are taking to address the recommendations of the May 2014 FSB thematic peer review report on the implementation of the FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance on Credit Ratings, including by implementing their agreed action plans. Any revised action plans should be sent to the FSB Secretariat so that it can be posted on the FSB website.</p> <p>Jurisdictions may refer to the following documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance on CRA Ratings (Oct 2010) • FSB Roadmap for Reducing Reliance on CRA Ratings (Nov 2012) • BCBS Consultative Document Revisions to the Standardised Approach for credit risk (Dec 2015) • IAIS ICP guidance 16.9 and 17.8.25 • IOSCO Good Practices on Reducing Reliance on CRAs in Asset Management (June 2015) • IOSCO Sound Practices at Large Intermediaries Relating to the Assessment of Creditworthiness and the 	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: 21.12.2014 (supplementary national implementation)</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>For information on Art. 5a of CRA Regulation (Over-reliance on credit ratings by financial institutions), please refer to the EU-COM answer. German Federal Act to Reduce Overreliance on Ratings: (cont.) In Germany the Federal</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		<p>bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance on credit ratings and encourage steps that would enhance transparency of and competition among credit rating agencies. (Los Cabos)</p> <p>We call on national authorities and standard setting bodies to accelerate progress in reducing reliance on credit rating agencies, in accordance with the FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)</p>	<p>Use of External Credit Ratings (Dec 2015).</p>	<p>Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht – BaFin) is the Sectoral Competent Authority (SCA) within the meaning of Article 25a of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 (CRA Regulation). It is set out in Section 29(1) of the Banking Act (Kreditwesengesetz – KWG) and as well in Section 57(1) of the Insurance Supervision Act (Versicherungsaufsichtsgesetz – VAG) and Section 36(1) of the Securities Trading Act (Wertpapierhandelsgesetz – WpHG), that external auditors shall determine whether the credit institutions, the insurance undertakings and the investments firms have fulfilled the requirements of Art. 5a of the CRA Regulation. The audit reports have to be submitted to the BaFin.</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year’s survey:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>IOSCO - Good Practices on Reducing Reliance on CRAs in Asset Management: http://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf/IOSCOPD488.pdf Joint Committee - Final Report on mechanistic references to credit ratings in the ESAs’ guidelines and recommendations : http://www.esma.europa.eu/system/files/j</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>c_2014_004_final_report_mechanistic_references_to_credit_ratings_rect.pdf Joint Committee - Discussion Paper on the Use of Credit Ratings by Financial Intermediaries - Article 5(a) of the CRA Regulation: http://www.esma.europa.eu/system/files/jc_dp_2014_01_-_discussion_paper_on_use_of_credit_ratings_by_financial_intermediaries.pdf German Federal Act to Reduce Overreliance on Ratings, adopted in December 2014: http://www.bgbl.de/xaver/bgbl/start.xav?startbk=Bundesanzeiger_BGBI&jumpTo=bgbl114s2085.pdf Technical Advice on Reducing Sole and Mechanistic Reliance on Credit Ratings</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VI. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards					
15 (15)	Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards	Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington)	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are of a high and internationally acceptable quality (eg equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB), and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards.</p> <p>Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Analysis-of-the-G20-IFRS-profiles.aspx.</p> <p>As part of their response on this recommendation, jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for appropriate application of fair value accounting.</p> <p>In addition, jurisdictions should set out any steps they intend to take (if appropriate) to foster transparent and consistent implementation of the new</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing: <i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: 15.12.2004 (“Accounting Enforcement Act”) – Enforcement System in place since 01.07.2005)</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>For more information, please refer to the EU-COM answer!</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>For actions planned, please refer to the EU-COM answer!</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			<p>accounting requirements for expected loan loss provisioning for impaired loans that are being introduced by the IASB and are scheduled to be introduced by the FASB.</p> <p>See, for reference, the following BCBS document:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Supervisory guidance for assessing banks' financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009)</i> 	<p>year's survey:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.gesmat.bundesgerichtshof.de/gesetzesmaterialien/15_wp/Bilanzkontrollgesetz/bgbl104s3408.pdf (in German only).</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VII. Enhancing risk management					
16 (17)	Enhancing guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, including on liquidity and foreign currency funding risks	<p>Regulators should develop enhanced guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, in line with international best practices, and should encourage financial firms to re-examine their internal controls and implement strengthened policies for sound risk management. (Washington)</p> <p>National supervisors should closely check banks' implementation of the updated guidance on the management and supervision of liquidity as part of their regular supervision. If banks' implementation of the guidance is inadequate, supervisors will take more prescriptive action to improve practices. (Rec. II.10, FSF 2008)</p> <p>Regulators and supervisors in emerging markets¹ will enhance their supervision of banks' operation in foreign currency funding markets. (FSB 2009)</p> <p>We commit to conduct robust, transparent stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)</p>	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to enhance guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices.</p> <p>Jurisdictions may also refer to the following documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FSB's thematic peer review report on risk governance (Feb 2013); • Joint Forum's Developments in credit risk management across sectors: current practices and recommendations (June 2015); and • BCBS Peer review of supervisory authorities' implementation of stress testing principles (Apr 2012) and Principles for sound stress testing practices and supervision (May 2009). 	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : Dec 2012 (for all topics other than the Principles for effective risk data aggregation). By October 1st, 2015 the LCR requirement will be introduced as a binding minimum standard with a 60% phase-in requirement.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of:</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Preparation of enhanced guidance on effective risk data aggregation and risk reporting (amendment of MaRisk in 2016). A draft Implementing Technical Standard on Additional Liquidity Monitoring Tools is currently awaiting its endorsement by the EU-Commission. With its entry into force – by March 2016 – it will allow supervisors to monitor more closely the liquidity risk of banks. Core element is a maturity ladder, supplemented by information on concentration risks and development of market prices.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

¹ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>Germany has transposed the FSB and BCBS recommendations in the Minimum Requirements for Risk Management (“Mindestanforderungen an das Risikomanagement”, MaRisk; revised version for the banking sector published on 14.12.2012, circular 10/2012 (BA)) for financial institutions. Inter alia, the MaRisk require financial institutions to have sound stress testing practices in place. Stress test results must be taken into account as part of the institutions’ internal capital adequacy assessment process and internal liquidity adequacy assessment process. Accordingly, bank’s stress testing practices form part of BaFin’s, Deutsche Bundesbank’s and ECB’s Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process (SREP). The SREP assessment is also based on information received through the newly introduced reporting requirements on LCR and NSFR components (as per the Capital Requirements Regulation, Regulation (EU) No. 575/2013) and the ITS (Implementing Technical Standard) on Supervisory Reporting. Additionally, for the systemically important banks, the</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>ECB is receiving supplementary reporting regarding the newly calibrated EU-LCR, the Basel NSFR-ratio, on banks funding plans and additional monitoring metrics. This allows a thorough scrutiny of bank’s risk management approaches in the SREP. LCR and NSFR components are also part of the guidance for the regular compilation of the risk profile of an institution and taken into account when judging an institution’s liquidity management. In case of inadequate implementation banks are required to take remedial action. The implementation is then closely supervised. Principles for effective risk data aggregation and risk reporting (BCBS 239): BaFin and Bundesbank are currently working on the transposition of the requirements into national requirements and supervisory practice. Transposition takes place via amendment of the Minimum Requirements for Risk Management (other main issues addressed in the amendment are outsourcing, risk culture, credit- and operational risk management,). The final draft of this guideline is currently in the consultation process, and the final MaRisk will be published later this year. With regard to</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>the reporting on Asset Encumbrance, a monitoring framework of the ITS data on Asset Encumbrance covering all German institutions pursuant to Article 100 in conjunction with Article 4 para. 1 no. 1 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 and para. 1 of Article 1a of the German Banking Act has been implemented in Q1 2015 to assess the level, evolution and types of asset encumbrance and related sources of encumbrance. In this context, in-depth analyses based on the ITS data have been conducted and will be updated on a regular basis. A data collection based on the EBA guidelines on harmonised definitions and templates for funding plans of credit institutions under Recommendation A4 of ESRB/2012/2 (EBA/GL/2014/04) has been conducted (first reporting date: 30th June 2015; following reporting date: 30th March 2016) and will be repeated on an annual basis in the future (year-end data). Banking sector Robust stress testing for institutions is required by the Minimum requirements for risk management. Supervisory stress tests are conducted on a regular basis. Germany participates in the EU stress tests conducted by EBA and ECB. On 14.12.2012 BaFin has published</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>an amended version of the MaRisk (circular 10/2012 (BA)). This new version contains additional requirements on the liquidity risk management, in particular with respect to foreign currency funding risk and liquidity cost benefit allocation mechanisms. Furthermore requirements on governance aspects (risk control function, compliance function) are incorporated. By this means existing guidelines of the EBA (Internal Governance) and recommendations of the ESRB (foreign currency funding risk) are implemented in German supervisory practise.</p> <p>Insurance sector The insurance undertakings conduct regularly and when circumstances require company specific stress tests. The company specific stress tests have to be appropriate to their individual risk profil. In 2016 125 pension funds and 31 death benefit funds submitted the annual BaFin-stress tests. BaFin predefines the stress test model and the scenarios in the annual stress tests. Since 2013 Germany participates in the pan-European stress test of EIOPA. A new minimum market coverage and new selection requirements concerning the EIOPA stress test has been agreed for in</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>2016. According to these criteria, the national market coverage, based on the technical gross provisions for life insurance business, had to be at least 75%. Inclusion of unit- and index-linked business was not permitted. EIOPA also determined that in addition to large insurance undertakings, small and medium-sized insurers should also participate in the test. However, insurers with a national market coverage of under 1% or technical gross provisions of less than 50 million euros were excluded. Based on these criteria, 20 life insurance undertakings participated in the stress test in Germany. Since the test was carried out at solo level, support measures within groups were not taken into consideration.</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>On January 17th, 2015 the EU Commission published the delegated regulation (EU) 2015/61 specifying the liquidity coverage requirement for credit institutions in the EU. This regulation came into force on the 20th day following the publication and applies since October 1st, 2015. It is binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all EU Member</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>states. Thus, starting October 1st, 2015, the LCR is a binding minimum requirement in Germany. Germany follows the phase-in provided for in the LCR and has introduced the LCR with a 60% rate in 2015. From 1 January 2016 a 70% rate has to be maintained.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeffentlichungen/EN/Rundschreiben/rs_1104_anlage_geb_vermoege_n_va.html http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/EN/Rundschreiben/dl_rs_1210_ba_m arisk.pdf? blob=publicationFile</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
17 (18)	Enhanced risk disclosures by financial institutions	<p>Financial institutions should provide enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington)</p> <p>We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance financial institutions' disclosures of the risks they face, including the ongoing work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force. (St. Petersburg)</p>	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate the status of implementation of the disclosures requirements of IFRSs (in particular IFRS 7 and 13) or equivalent. Jurisdictions may also use as reference the recommendations of the October 2012 report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force on Enhancing the Risk Disclosures of Banks and Implementation Progress Report by the EDTF (Dec 2015), and set out any steps they have taken to foster adoption of the EDTF Principles and Recommendations.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: January 2007 (Effective date of IFRS 7). 1 January 2013 (Effective date of IFRS 13)</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>German supervisory authorities have strongly advised the relevant international banks and insurance companies to adhere to this recommendation and informed industry about upcoming requirements at an early stage. Information from the main financial institutions shows that important</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>On 28 August 2013 Germany has adopted a law to implement the CRD IV (which is the European equivalent to the introduction of Basel III into European law. See: http://www.bgb1.de/xaver/bgb1/start.xav?s tartbk=Bundesanzeiger_BGBI&jumpTo=bgb1113s3395.pdf With the CRD IV entering into force, German Banks are obliged to also implement the requirements of Pillar 3 of Basel III. While not all Pillar 3 relevant details were fully developed at this stage, the BCBS in January 2015 has adopted Revised Pillar 3 disclosure requirements. See: http://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d309.pdf The Revised Pillar 3 disclosure requirements is the BCBS's response to enhanced disclosure requirements. The Working Group to develop these requirements (WGD) assessed in Phase I the existing recommendations of the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force of 2012. According to The Revised Pillar 3 disclosure requirements it is envisaged that "... (ie banks will be required to</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>banks have significantly improved their respective disclosure practices.</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Phase I of the “Revised pillar 3 disclosure requirements” /d309.pdf) was discussed with banking representatives in March 2016. While EDTF work represents non-binding recommendations the standard-setter BCBS recently published for consultation Phase II of the “Enhanced and Revised Pillar 3 disclosure requirements” (until June 10th, 2016). For Phase I and II to become effective the CRR needs to be amended by the EU. The envisaged date for this is 2017. In preparation for the meantime (and before 2017) EBA may publish recommendations to allow international active banks to comply with (“enhanced”) codified disclosure requirements.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/Protokoll/dl_050901_Anwendungsbsp.html http://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d356.pdf (consultation period ends: June 10, 2016)</p>	<p>publish their first Pillar 3 report under the revised framework concurrently with their year-end 2016 financial report). The Committee encourages early adoption by individual jurisdictions.”</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>Re: CRD IV implementation (= Basel III implementation): CRD IV Implementation Act: http://www.bgbl.de/xaver/bgbl/start.xav?sartbk=Bundesanzeiger_BGBI&jumpTo=bgbl113s3395.pdf Re: Implementation of Basel III Guidelines by Deutsche Bundesbank: http://www.bundesbank.de/Redaktion/DE/Downloads/Veroeffentlichungen/Bericht_Studie/bankenaufsicht_basel3_leitfaden.pdf?__blob=publicationFile Re: Requirements of Pillar 3 of Basel III. http://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d309.pdf</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VIII. Strengthening deposit insurance					
18 (19)	Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements	National deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed international principles, and authorities should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008)	<p>Jurisdictions should describe any revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to address the following recommendations of the FSB’s February 2012 thematic peer review report on deposit insurance systems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system (for those jurisdictions that do not have one) • Addressing the weaknesses and gaps to full implementation of the Core Principles for Effective Deposit Insurance Systems issued by IADI in November 2014. 	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: On 3 July 2015 the new German Law on Deposit Guarantee Schemes which transposes European Directive 2014/49/EU on Deposit Guarantee Schemes entered into force. The Act further strengthens depositors rights by implementing a faster payout (reduction from 20 working days to 7 working days) and by implementing clear rules on ex-ante financing of deposit guarantee schemes. Current national deposit insurance arrangements are compliant with the agreed set of international 18 Core Principles by IADI/BCBS.</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>In 2014 the new Deposit Guarantee Scheme Directive (DGSD – Directive 2014/49/EU) entered into force. It is transposed into German law by 3 July 2015.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>http://ec.europa.eu/finance/bank/guarantee/index_en.htm</p> <p>http://www.eba.europa.eu/regulation-and-policy/recovery-and-resolution/guidelines-on-payment-commitments</p> <p>http://www.eba.europa.eu/regulation-and-policy/recovery-and-resolution/guidelines-on-methods-for-calculating-contributions-to-deposit-guarantee-schemes-dgss-</p> <p>http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeffentlichungen/EN/Fachartikel/2014/fa_bj_1409_einlagensicherung_en.html</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: <p>According to the new Act on Deposit Guarantee supervision of deposit guarantee schemes by BaFin is mandatory. BaFin is empowered to counteract irregularities which may impair the proper handling of the compensation or jeopardise the assets accumulated for paying compensation. BaFin also monitors whether national regulation complies with international principles. To this end, BaFin and Deutsche Bundesbank regularly receive broad information on the national deposit guarantee schemes (such as: on risk oriented contribution systems, monitoring procedures within the guarantee schemes, financial statements, stresses and strains of the funds). The risk orientated contribution system has been improved in 2011 and will be revamped according to the new EBA guidelines.</p> Highlight main developments since last year's survey: <p>Implementation of the new Deposit Guarantee Scheme Directive (DGSD –</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>Directive 2014/49/EU); two institutional protection schemes are recognized as a DGS for the members that are associated with the BVR and the DSGV, the associations for German cooperative banks and German savings banks. A new Regulation on contributions to the legal deposit guarantee schemes (Entschädigungseinrichtungs-Finanzierungsverordnung) is currently in progress.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/einsig/ http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Aufsicht/recht/EN/Verordnung/EdBBeitvV_en.html http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Aufsicht/recht/EN/Verordnung/edvoebbeitrv_en.html</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IX. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets					
19 (20)	Enhancing market integrity and efficiency	We must ensure that markets serve efficient allocation of investments and savings in our economies and do not pose risks to financial stability. To this end, we commit to implement initial recommendations by IOSCO on market integrity and efficiency, including measures to address the risks posed by high frequency trading and dark liquidity, and call for further work by mid-2012. (Cannes)	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate whether high frequency trading and dark pools exist in their national markets.</p> <p>Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> in relation to dark liquidity, as set out in the IOSCO Report on Principles for Dark Liquidity (May 2011). on the impact of technological change in the IOSCO Report on Regulatory Issues Raised by the Impact of Technological Changes on Market Integrity and Efficiency (Oct 2011). on market structure made in the IOSCO Report on Regulatory issues raised by changes in market structure (Dec 2013). 	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: End 2016 (MiFID II level 2)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : May 2013 (German HFT Act)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of:</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>Germany adheres to the international recommendations, e.g. suitable trading control mechanisms to deal with volatile market conditions are well known in the German trading landscape, e.g. so called volatility interruptions and appropriate control mechanisms for order flow are in</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>place, e.g. so called “naked sponsored access” would generally not be permitted. The operation of dark pools (trading venues that provide for exceptions of pre-trade transparency requirements) needs a specific permission. This permission, so called “waiver” would only in specific and limited circumstances be granted and would be discussed on EU/ESMA level. There are no exceptions as regards post trade transparency requirements. In addition BaFin supports in principle all respective initiatives on EU level. For more information on these initiatives, please refer to the EU-COM answer.</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>German High Frequency Trading Act, published 7 May 2013. The Act introduces an authorisation requirement and special organisational requirements intended to mitigate the potential risks arising from the speed and complexity of algorithmic high-frequency trading methods. High-frequency traders are now subject to an authorisation requirement. In addition, the Act introduces special organisational requirements for investment services enterprises, asset management companies</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>(Kapitalanlagegesellschaften) and self-managed investment stock corporations (Investmentaktiengesellschaften) engaged in algorithmic trading. BaFin has published answers to FAQs (http://www.bafin.de/EN/DataDocuments/FAQ/HFT-Gesetz/hft-gesetz_node.html) relating to the new provisions.</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Since last year's survey there were no significant changes, especially not on a purely national level. However, work has been going on to develop level 2 measures (e.g. technical standards) in the context of MiFID II. This work is almost finalised at ESMA level and will subsequently be discussed in European institutions such as the Commission, the Council and the Parliament with the aim of achieving consensus by early 2016.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/securities/isd/mifid_en.htm http://www.esma.europa.eu/system/files/2012-128.pdf http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeffentlichungen/EN/Meldung/2013/meldung_130322_hft-gesetz_en.html http://www.bafin.de/SharedDocs/Veroeffentlichungen/EN/Meldung/2013/meldung</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				_130322_hft-gesetz_en.html	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
20 (21)	Regulation and supervision of commodity markets	<p>We need to ensure enhanced market transparency, both on cash and financial commodity markets, including OTC, and achieve appropriate regulation and supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management powers, including the power to set ex-ante position limits, particularly in the delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012. (Cannes)</p> <p>We also call on Finance ministers to monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO’s principles for the regulation and supervision on commodity derivatives markets and encourage broader publishing and unrestricted access to aggregated open interest data. (St. Petersburg)</p>	<p>Jurisdictions should indicate whether commodity markets of any type exist in their national markets.</p> <p>Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO’s report on Principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011).</p> <p>Jurisdictions, in responding to this recommendation, may also make use of the responses contained in the update to the survey published by IOSCO in September 2014 on the principles for the regulation and supervision of commodity derivatives markets.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: March 27th 2012</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>“Markttransparenzstelle Gesetz” adjusts German law to REMIT.</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>According to current German legislation, market manipulation rules apply both to commodities and commodity derivatives admitted to trading on a regulated market</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>(sec.20a securities trading act-WpHG). Further strengthening of the regulatory framework regarding commodities and commodity derivative and European harmonisation will be achieved by the new EU Market Abuse regulation (Market Abuse Directive, Market Abuse Regulation) and the new Markets in Financial Instruments Directive and Regulation (MiFID II, MiFIR). Germany supports the aforementioned regulatory framework and will adjust national rules in alignment with the MAD/MAR and MiFID II requirements. For more information on MiFID, please refer to the EU-COM answer.</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year's survey:</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
21 (22)	Reform of financial benchmarks	We support the establishment of the FSB’s Official Sector Steering Group to coordinate work on the necessary reforms of financial benchmarks. We endorse IOSCO’s Principles for Financial Benchmarks and look forward to reform as necessary of the benchmarks used internationally in the banking industry and financial markets, consistent with the IOSCO Principles. (St. Petersburg)	Collection of information on this recommendation will continue to be deferred given the forthcoming FSB progress report on implementation of FSB recommendations in this area, and ongoing IOSCO work to review the implementation of the <i>IOSCO Principles for Financial Benchmarks</i> .		

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
X. Enhancing financial consumer protection					
22 (23)	Enhancing financial consumer protection	We agree that integration of financial consumer protection policies into regulatory and supervisory frameworks contributes to strengthening financial stability, endorse the FSB report on consumer finance protection and the high level principles on financial consumer protection prepared by the OECD together with the FSB. We will pursue the full application of these principles in our jurisdictions. (Cannes)	<p>Jurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD’s G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection (Oct 2011).</p> <p>Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD’s September 2013 and September 2014 reports on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High-level Principles. The effective approaches are of interest across all financial services sectors – banking and credit; securities; insurance and pensions – and consideration should be given to their cross-sectoral character when considering implementation.</p> <p>Jurisdictions should, where necessary, indicate any changes or additions that have been introduced as a way to support the implementation of the High-level Principles, to address particular national terminology, situations or determinations.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation ongoing:</p> <p><i>Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Draft published as of:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation completed as of: April 2016</p> <p>Issue is being addressed through :</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primary / Secondary legislation</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulation /Guidelines</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</p> <p>Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:</p> <p>In July 2015 a new act to better protect retail investors (Kleinanlegerschutzgesetz) came into force. BaFin now is in charge of protecting the collective interests of</p>	<p>Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:</p> <p>The Implementing of Directive 2016/97/EU on insurance distribution (IDD) is currently in progress. For more information on MiFID, IDD and PRIIPS-Regulation, please refer to the EU-COM answer. The implementation of Directive 2014/92/EU (on rights to a basic bank account, the comparability of fees related to payment accounts, payment account switching) into German law is completed. Important parts (basic bank account) of the new Act (Zahlungskontengesetz) come into force in June 2016. BaFin is competent authority within the meaning of the Directive. The Transformation of the Joint Committee guidelines on complaints handling for the securities (ESMA) and banking (EBA) sectors by BaFin circular envisaged in 2016. The Implementing of EU legislation on Alternative Dispute Resolution (Directive 2013/11/EU on alternative dispute resolution (ADR) is completed and will support the enforcement of the Regulation on online dispute resolution (ODR): The new</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>consumers and will have the power to ensure compliance of the supervised entities. BaFin will be able to ban or restrict certain financial products as well as certain financial practices in case of significant threats to consumers or to the proper functioning of financial markets or to the stability of the financial system. Implementation of the Act on fee based investment advice on financial instruments (Honoraranlageberatungsgesetz), 1.08.2014 On 31 July 2015 the decree for key information documents on products for pension provision was issued. It requires the provision of a KID for such products (as defined by the law on certification of products for pension provision) which makes such products more transparent vis-à-vis the consumer. At the same time, the product-information centre for pension provision was established which fulfils important consumer protection duties regarding pension provision. The law implementing Solvency II came into force on 11 April 2015 (part 1) and 1 January 2016 (part 2). The law improves policy-holders claims for contractual services. For more information please see also the respective</p>	<p>“Verbraucherstreitbeilegungsgesetz” (consumer dispute resolution law) shall entry into force on 01 April 2016. The revised Payment Services Directive (2007/64/EU) was published in the official gazette of the EU on 23 December 2015 and has to be implemented until 13 January 2018. The directive strengthens the financial consumer protection by inter alia increasing security requirements in the payment system to provide for a better abuse and fraud protection. For more information please see also the respective answer of the EU-COM.</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents: http://dipbt.bundestag.de/extrakt/ba/WP18/672/67297.htm</p>

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>answer of the EU-COM. On 3 July 2015 the implementing law on the Directive on deposit guarantee schemes (2014/49/EU) came into force. For further information on national deposit insurance arrangements please refer to No. 18. The law implementing the amended Transparency Directive (2004/109/EU) came into force on 26 November 2015. The law harmonizes the transparency requirements regarding information about issuers of securities traded on a regulated market and other continuous disclosure obligations. The law has indirect effects on financial consumer protection by keeping a consistent high level of capital markets transparency and by strengthening financial consumer protection in specific additional situations, e.g. a delisting or downlisting. On 9 December 2015 the Regulation on European long-term investment funds (REGULATION (EU) 2015/760) came into force. The regulation aims at increasing the pool of capital available for long-term investment in the EU economy by creating a new form of fund vehicle. The law contains financial consumer protection rules like information provision requirements, e.g.</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>for costs of the investment. The revision of the UCITS Directive (UCITS V - 2009/65/EU) was published in the official gazette of the EU on 28 August 2014 and implementation was foreseen until March 2016. Consequently, on 18 March 2016 the German implementation law came into force. The Directive and the implementation law covers various financial consumer protection areas regarding the funds regime. For more information please see also the respective answer of the EU-COM. The Directive 2014/17/EU on credit agreements for consumers relating to residential immovable property was implemented in Germany on 21 March 2016.</p> <p>Complaints handling (see Principle 9) is also already done by BaFin: Pursuant to section 4 b Act Establishing the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Finanzdienstleistungsaufsichtsgesetz, FinDAG) consumers have the right to complain against any financial institution supervised by BaFin. Section 33, paragraph 1, no.4 Securities Trading Act: Investment services enterprises must have in place effective and transparent procedures for the reasonable and prompt handling of complaints received from</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>retail clients and keep a record of each complaint and the measures taken for its resolution.</p> <p>A special regulation for complaints against investment services was introduced in Nov. 2012: Pursuant to section 34 d WpHG (Securities Trading Act) , implementing Directive 2006/73/EC of 10 August 2006 implementing Directive 2004/39/EC, the investment services enterprises must report to the Supervisory Authority 1. any complaints; 2. the name of the employee on whose activity the complaint is based; and 3. where the investment services enterprise has several branches or other organisational units, the branch or organisational unit to which the employee is assigned or for which he predominantly or usually performs his activity to be reported pursuant to sentence 1. Further, according to section 342 para. 1 and 2 German Capital Investment Act, which implements Art. 100 Directive 2009/65/EC, investors and clients may file complaints concerning alleged contraventions of the German Capital Investment Act by managers of collective investment schemes with the Supervisory Authority at any time. Complaints may be</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>filed in writing or orally for the record with the Supervisory Authority and should state the facts and the reason for the complaint. Where alleged complaints involve cross-border matters, the Supervisory Authority cooperates with the competent authorities of the other member states of the European Union or other signatories to the Agreement on the European Economic Area. Furthermore, managers of collective investment schemes are obliged to implement appropriate complaints-handling procedures and provide sufficient information thereof to investors. The German Capital Investment Act also provides for a comprehensive supervisory regime regarding collective investment schemes (cis) and their management companies. These rules are focussed on the protection of all investors in cis setting considerably higher standards for the protection of retail clients including consumers as compared to institutional investors. BaFin has elaborated this regime by several ordinances, guidelines and circulars taking into account the advice of industry and consumer organisations. Cis Managers have to treat all investors equitably, honestly and fairly</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>and provide consumers with key information that informs the consumer of the fundamental benefits, risks and terms of the product. Cis Managers have to work in the best interest of their customers and be responsible for upholding financial consumer protection. The remuneration structure for staff of Cis Managers has to be designed to encourage responsible business conduct, fair treatment of consumers and to avoid conflicts of interest. According to section 28 Payment Services Supervision Act (Gesetz über die Beaufsichtigung von Zahlungsdiensten, ZAG) payment service users, too, are entitled to submit to BaFin complaints about payment service providers. Section 28a Payment Services Supervision Act justifies the same entitlement to complain to holders of electronic money against the electronic money issuer. Regarding internal complaints handling procedures, in the securities sector already a high degree level of harmonisation through Directive 2004/39/EC, Directive 2009/65/EC and their Level 2 measures is reached. In 2012 the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) published “Guidelines on</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>Complaints-Handling by Insurance Undertakings”,- The member states of the EU now should comply with these guidelines or explain why they will not apply which will be implemented by BaFin. BaFin implemented the EIOPA guidelines via a circular (Rundschreiben) and a general decree (Allgemeinverfügung), which came into force on 01.01.2014. On Alternative and Online Dispute Resolution (ADR/ODR): (see next box: Highlight main developments)...</p> <p>Highlight main developments since last year’s survey:</p> <p>Many credit institutions and the majority of insurers in Germany have voluntarily agreed to settle possible disputes with the help of private mediators, so-called ombuds persons. These arbitration boards have been established within the industry associations of the respective companies. The ombuds persons themselves, however, are independent. The ombuds persons are appointed for a specific period and may not, for instance, be dismissed prematurely simply because they have adjudged a case to the company's disadvantage. In most cases,</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>the ombuds persons are highly qualified legal professionals, e.g. former federal judges. The procedural rules to be applied may differ between the respective ombuds persons. The procedure is generally free of charge for the applicants. Some ombuds persons are authorized to adjudge cases up to a specific amount in controversy (usually EUR 5,000); the rulings are binding on the companies concerned. In contrast, some ombuds persons are merely authorized to issue non-binding recommendations. BaFin has also established an arbitration board for consumer disputes in relation to provisions pursuant to the Capital Investment Act (Art. 342 (Kapitalanlagegesetzbuch (KAGB))). A consumer advisory council has been set up by BaFin and the complaints procedure for consumers and other customers of supervised enterprises as well as consumer protection organisations has been incorporated into the FinDAG (sections 8a and 4b) to ensure that consumer issues will play a larger role in BaFin’s supervisory approach. The</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>Consumer Advisory Council is responsible for advising BaFin on issues related to its supervisory duties from a consumer’s perspective. The Consumer Advisory Council collects, analyses and reports to BaFin data relating to current developments in the banking and insurance businesses and in the areas of financial services and financial instruments (“consumer trends”).The Consumer Advisory Council comprises 12 representatives: three from academia, four from consumer and investor protection organisations, three from extrajudicial dispute resolution schemes, one from the Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection (Bundesministerium der Justiz und für Verbraucherschutz – BMJV) and one from trade unions. Its 1st meeting took place in Bonn on 20 June 2013. The Finanzmarktwächter (financial watchdog) has been founded. It observes the German financial market using instruments like mystery shopping. The Federation of German Consumer Organisations (vzbv) is in charge. The vzbv is a non-governmental umbrella organisation for 41</p>	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				<p>consumer associations and represents the interests of consumers in public, the private sector and civil society. The Finanzmarktwächter can address irregularities to BaFin. (Remark: Please be aware that this list of consumer protection activities is not final.)</p> <p>Web-links to relevant documents:</p> <p>Guidelines on Complaints-Handling by Insurance Undertakings”, see: https://eiopa.europa.eu/fileadmin/tx_dam/files/publications/guidelines/complaints_handling/EIOPA_Complaints_Handling_GL_EN.pdf</p>	

XI. Source of recommendations:

- [Brisbane: G20 Leaders' Communique \(15-16 November 2014\)](#)
- [St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration \(5-6 September 2013\)](#)
- [Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration \(18-19 June 2012\)](#)
- [Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration \(3-4 November 2011\)](#)
- [Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document \(11-12 November 2010\)](#)
- [Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration \(26-27 June 2010\)](#)
- [Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit \(25 September 2009\)](#)
- [London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System \(2 April 2009\)](#)
- [Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform \(15 November 2008\)](#)
- [FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience \(7 April 2008\)](#)
- [FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System \(2 April 2009\)](#)
- [FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation \(25 September 2009\)](#)
- [FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision \(1 November 2012\)](#)

XII. List of Abbreviations used: