

Jurisdiction: Switzerland

- I. <u>Hedge funds</u>
- II. <u>Securitisation</u>
- III. Enhancing supervision
- IV. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools
- V. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VI. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VII. <u>Enhancing risk management</u>
- VIII. <u>Strengthening deposit insurance</u>
- IX. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
- X. Enhancing financial consumer protection
- XI. <u>Reference to source of recommendations</u>
- XII. List of abbreviations



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I.	Hedge funds				
1	Registration,	We also firmly recommitted to work in	Implementation of this recommendation		
(1)	appropriate disclosures and oversight of hedge funds	 an internationally consistent and non- discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul) Hedge funds or their managers will be registered and will be required to disclose appropriate information on an ongoing basis to supervisors or regulators, including on their leverage, necessary for assessment of the systemic risks they pose individually or collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London) 	was reported to be completed by all FSB jurisdictions in the 2016 IMN survey. Given this, the reporting of progress with respect to this recommendation will take place every 2-3 years henceforth (i.e. in 2019 or 2020).		



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
2 E (2) iii iii	Description Establishment of international information sharing framework	G20/FSB Recommendations We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms for cooperation and information sharing between relevant authorities in order to ensure effective oversight is maintained when a fund is located in a different jurisdiction from the manager. We will, cooperating through the FSB, develop measures that implement these principles by the end of 2009. (London)	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing recommendation 6 in IOSCO's <u>Report</u> on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009) on sharing information to facilitate the oversight of globally active fund managers. In addition, jurisdictions should state whether they are: - Signatory to the IOSCO MMoU in relation to cooperation in enforcement - Signatory to bilateral agreements for supervisory cooperation that cover hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO <u>Principles</u> Regarding Cross-border Supervisory Cooperation. Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle 28 of the 2010 IOSCO <u>Objectives and</u> Principles of Securities Regulation, and take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those Principles.	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged " has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ⊠ Implementation completed as of: March 2013 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines ⊠ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Full signatory of IOSCO MMoU; conclusion of bilateral MoUs. Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Based on the revised collective investment schemes act (CISA), FINMA receives information on the activities of asset managers and their funds on a regular basis and is be able to request any information	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				revised CISA also requires that cooperation arrangements with all relevant foreign supervisory authorities are in place, in particular with supervisors in those jurisdictions where the fund is domiciled. Switzerland (FINMA) is a full signatory (A-signatory) to the IOSCO MMoU since 2010. At the end of July 2013, FINMA had signed MoUs with 28 EU and EEA member states. These regulate the supervision of risks and the collection of data from asset managers, as well as the transfer of data by the relevant supervisory authorities to FINMA. The MoUs also include cross- border supervisory reviews and mutual assistance in the enforcement of the respective laws. In 2014, FINMA signed further agreements on cooperation and the exchange of information with foreign supervisory authorities in relation to foreign CIS being distributed to non-qualified investors in or from Switzerland (art. 120 CISA) Highlight main developments since last year's survey: 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3 (3)	Enhancing counterparty risk management	Supervisors should require that institutions which have hedge funds as their counterparties have effective risk management, including mechanisms to monitor the funds' leverage and set limits for single counterparty exposures. (London)	Jurisdictions should indicate specific policy measures taken for enhancing counterparty risk management and strengthening their existing guidance on the management of exposure to leveraged counterparties. In particular, jurisdictions should indicate whether they have implemented recommendation 3 of the IOSCO <i>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight</i> <i>(Jun 2009)</i> . In their responses, jurisdictions should not provide information on the portion of this recommendation that pertains to Basel III, since it is <u>monitored</u> <u>separately</u> by the BCBS. Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle 28 of the 2010 IOSCO <i>Objectives and</i> <i>Principles of Securities</i> Regulation, and take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those Principles.	 Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Implementation completed as of: Basel III Jan13/CISA Mar13 Issue is being addressed through: ○ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Ongoing supervision. Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guidelines FINMA has reviewd the regulatory and supervisory regime for leveraged counterparties, including hedge funds, also taking into account the IOSCO principles published in June 09. With the recent revision of the Collective Investment Schemes Act (CISA), Switzerland aims to 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: - Consultation on national implement of the "Capital requirements for banks' equity investments in funds, Dec 2013" by January 2017 has started in June 2016 and will run until September 2016. The revised circular will enter into force in January 2017. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	achieve compliance with the IOSCO principles The exposure of banks to leveraged counterparties is subject to regulation within the capital adequacy framework. FINMA has fully implemented the Basel III standards on counterparty credit risk and the treatment of highly leveraged counterparties as of 1 Jan 2013. In its Basel III FAQ issued in May 2013 FINMA has also clarified that the Basel FAQs form part of the Basel III implementation in Switzerland The BCBS reviewed the Swiss implementation of Basel III during an RCAP and assessed the implementation as "compliant" Prime Brokerage is a focus in the supervision of the IB activities of the two large banks. FINMA has regular meetings with the risk management units of the two large banks to discuss ongoing Hedge Fund (HF) issues. FINMA reviews ad hoc businesses activities and all HF reports both large banks produce. It talks about disputes/haircut adjustments with the large banks and has a dialog with the external and internal audit function on their prime brokerage business Prime brokerage business is also an important part in FINMA's ongoing liquidity supervision of the two large banks. FINMA looks at liquidity in-/outflows from the prime brokerage business and at HF stress models. Strategy/growth plans and as well as onboarding strategies for new HF-clients are regularly discussed FINMA regularly reviews several leverage indicators, margin requirements, excess	Next steps
				collateral numbers and across several prime broker in a peer analysis. FINMA, respectively its predecessor organization, participated in the interagency working group to review the counterparty risk management practices related to hedge	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				funds under the lead of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York FINMA participated also in all Senior Supervisors Group (SSG) work streams that looked at counterparty credit risk management. A common report was issued that conveyed the SSG perspective on the state of CCR measurement and management practices based on discussions with major industry participants over the past two years	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				The BCBS's standards for banks' equity investments in funds have been implemented as planned and entered into force on 1st January 2017 (with a one year transition period).	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				- CISA: http://www.news.admin.ch/message/index. html?lang=en&msg-id=47754 - Total revision of the Capital Adequacy ordinance to implement Basel III: https://www.efd.admin.ch/efd/en/home/dok umentation/nsb-news_list.msg-id- 44781.html - Basel III Circulars: https://www.finma.ch/en/news/2012/07/m m-rs-umsetzung-b2-tbtf-20120718/ - FINMA Basel III FAQ: https://www.finma.ch/en/faq/#query=basel %20III&Order=1 - BCBS's standards: Ordinance: https://www.admin.ch/opc/de/classified- compilation/20121146/index.htm, cf. Article 63 Para. 3 letter fbis and Para. 6 / FINMA-Circular: https://www.finma.ch/de/~/media/finma/do kumente/dokumentencenter/myfinma/runds chreiben/finma-rs-2017-07.pdf?la=de , Section XIII.	





No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps				
	II. Securitisation								
4	Strengthening of	Insurance supervisors should strengthen	Implementation of this recommendation						
(4)	regulatory and capital framework for	the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to	was reported to be completed by all FSB jurisdictions in the 2016 IMN survey.						
	monolines	structured credit. (Rec II.8, FSF 2008)	Given this, the reporting of progress with respect to this recommendation will						
			take place every 2-3 years henceforth						
			(i.e. in 2019 or 2020).						



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 5 (5)	Description Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	G20/FSB Recommendations Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18, FSF 2008)	RemarksJurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance products.Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's report on <i>Good Practices in Relation to Investment Managers' Due</i> <i>Diligence When Investing in</i> <i>Structured Finance Instruments (Jul 2009).</i> Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint Forum report on <i>Credit Risk Transfer- Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul 2008).</i>	 Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: The extent and materiality of investments in structured finance instruments in Switzerland is low - when there are investments these are in instruments whose jurisdictional domiciles have implemented regulations- thus investors inherit in some part from the application of these rules or legislations (disclosure, specific retention, repositories etc); So far, Switzerland has no domestic market for Swiss based securitisation thus no specific action has been taken. □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: 	Next steps If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			Forum report on <u>Credit Risk Transfer</u> <u>Developments</u> from 2005-2007 (Jul	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	



	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
	Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
	Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6 I	Description Enhanced disclosure of securitised products	G20/FSB Recommendations Securities market regulators should work with market participants to expand information on securitised products and their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10- III.13, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures and other initiatives taken in relation to enhancing disclosure of securitised products, including working with industry and other authorities to continue to standardise disclosure templates and considering measures to improve the type of information that investors receive. See, for reference, IOSCO's <u>Report on Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012), Disclosure Principles for Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-Backed Securities (Apr 2010) and report on Global Developments in Securitisation Regulations (November 2012), in particular recommendations 4 and 5. </u>	Progress to date	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
III.	Enhancing supervision				
7 (7)	Consistent, consolidated supervision and	All firms whose failure could pose a risk to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and	Jurisdictions should indicate: (1) whether they have identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors (banks, insurers,	□Not applicable □Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Article 52 of the Banking Act requires a
	regulation of SIFIs	regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh)	other etc.); (2) whether the names of the identified SIFIs have been publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs.	If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	regular review of the TBTF-provisions with regards to comparability and the extent to which the corresponding international standards are implemented abroad. As announced by the federal council, the current review will in particular assess whether there is a need
			Jurisdictions should not provide details on policy measures that pertain to higher loss absorbency requirements for G/D-	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	to adjust the gone-concern requirements for the three D-SIBs that are not G-SIBs. The report is planed to be adopted by the federal council in summer 2017.
			SIBs, since these are <u>monitored</u>	Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			separately by the BCBS.	\Box Draft published as of:	https://www.admin.ch/gov/en/start/docu mentation/media-releases.msg-id-
			See, for reference, the following documents:	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	61681.html
			BCBS:	□ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	
			• Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013)	Implementation completed as of: January 2013	
			• <u>Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012)</u>	Issue is being addressed through:	
			IAIS:	\boxtimes Primary / Secondary legislation	
			Global Systemically Important	□ Regulation /Guidelines	
			<u>Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul</u> 2013) and revised assessment	□ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			methodology (updated in June	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
			<u>2016)</u> • <u>IAIS SRMP guidance - FINAL (Dec</u> <u>2013)</u>	-The revised banking act and, based thereon, the revised capital adequacy ordinance, introduced additional requirements for systemically important banks regarding capital, liquidity, risk diversification, and emergency planning.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			 <u>Guidance on Liquidity management</u> and planning (Oct 2014) <u>FSB:</u> <u>Framework for addressing SIFIs</u> (Nov 2011) 	Switzerland currently has two designated G-SIBs (that are at the same time also D- SIBs) and three additional D-SIBs Basel III has been fully and timely implemented for all Swiss banks as of January 2013, when the revised ordinance on Capital Adequacy entered into force. (Naturally, the implementation of Basel III does not yet include issues that remain to be finalized in the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, e.g. the revision of the credit risk standard approach.) - Implementation of resolution and recovery planning and crisis management colleges is ongoing in accordance with the FSB timetable Switzerland currently has no designated G-SII TLAC has been fully implemented for the two G-SIBs as of July 2016.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey: - Switzerland has reviewed its TBTF- regulation in light of recent international developments. The review included in particular: i) Recalibration of capital requirements. ii) Modification of capital quality. iii) Modifications of the alleviated provisions for financial groups and individual entities pursuant to Article 125 of the capital adequacy ordinance. iv) Determination of a due date for the implementation of the Swiss emergency planning and the improved global resolvability. v) Supplementing the TBTF regime with mandatory TLAC requirements. The revised ordinance (including the TLAC implementation) has entered into force in July 2016.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents: https://www.admin.ch/gov/en/start/docu mentation/media-releases.msg-id- 61681.html	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 8 (8)	Description Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk assessments	G20/FSB Recommendations To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London) We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory colleges. (Seoul)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of global systemically important insurers (G-SIIs). The BCBS is separately monitoring implementation progress in this area with respect to banks. Please indicate the progress made in establishing and strengthening the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIIs, including the development of any joint supervisory plans within core	 ☑ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: No designated G-SII □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: 	Next steps If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			 colleges and leveraging on supervisory activities conducted by host authorities. See, for reference, the following IAIS documents: ICPs 24 and 25, especially guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6, 25.6, 25.7 and 25.8 Application paper on supervisory colleges (Oct 2014) 	 Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation /Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last year's survey: 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
9 (9)	Supervisory exchange of information and coordination	To quicken supervisory responsiveness to developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions, supervisory exchange of information and coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved at both national and international levels. (Rec V.7, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should include any feedback received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the <u>September 2012</u> BCP 3 (Cooperation and collaboration) and BCP 14 (Home- host relationships). Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in this area, particularly in response to relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:- To the extent practicable and feasible, FINMA endeavours to complete the information exchange and coordination efforts by bilateral or multilateral cooperation agreements Finalize negotations and conduct signing process for the remaining coordination agreements of foreign insurance supervisory colleges where FINMA is a host supervisor.Web-links to relevant documents:
		supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	or planned regulatory, supervisory or legislative changes that contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via bilateral or multilateral MoUs).	 □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ∞ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: 1 January 2016 ∞ Implementation completed as of: Ongoing process Issue is being addressed through: ∞ Primary / Secondary legislation ∞ Regulation /Guidelines ∞ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: National and international cooperation. Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: A new provision was implemented in the Financial Market Supervisory Act and entered into force on 1 January 2016, stating explicitly that FINMA is allowed to share information with foreign authorities and courts for resolution 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				purposes (subject to specific conditions being fulfilled) On a national level, SNB and FINMA share tight links in monitoring the financial sector on the micro as well as macro level and coordinate regulatory initiatives of shared interest On an international level, FINMA has long standing relations with the supervisors of significant markets where Swiss institutions operate in and has broadened and extended supervisory cooperation, including cooperation on crisis management, following the FSB, BCBS and IAIS work on colleges FINMA is particularly participating in the relevant international working groups for ensuring quality and recognition of the IOSCO MMoU and the IAIS MMoU Cooperation agreements amongst the members of the Crisis Management Group for each of the two Swiss G-SIBs have been successfully established	
				 Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Coordination agreements for insurance supervisory colleges led by FINMA have all been signed and are in force. Coordination agreements of foreign insurance supervisory colleges where FINMA is a host supervisor have been negotiated and signed in almost all colleges. Web-links to relevant documents: https://www.finma.ch/en/finma/internati onal-activities/supervisory-colleges/ 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
10 (10)	Strengthening resources and effective supervision	We agreed that supervisors should have strong and unambiguous mandates, sufficient independence to act, appropriate resources, and a full suite of tools and powers to proactively identify and address risks, including regular stress testing and early intervention. (Seoul)	Jurisdictions should indicate any steps taken on recommendations 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 (i.e. supervisory strategy, engagement with banks, improvements in banks' IT and MIS, data requests, and talent management strategy respectively) in the FSB <u>thematic peer review report on</u> <u>supervisory frameworks and</u> approaches to SIBs (May 2015).	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Partially revised FINMA Circular 2008/21 "Operational Risks - Banks" and new Circular 17/01 "Corporate Governance Banks" will enter into force in July 2017. Issuance of Circular 17/XX "Outsourcing - banks and insurers" in 2017.
				Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		Supervisors should see that they have the requisite resources and expertise to oversee the risks associated with	Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken or envisaged in terms of	Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		financial innovation and to ensure that	resources/expertise, supervisory measures and/or regulation to strengthen	⊠ Draft published as of: Feb. 17 (FinTech)	
		firms they supervise have the capacity to understand and manage the risks. (FSF 2008)	the oversight of risks associated with financial innovation (FinTech).	☑ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: July 2017 (FINMA Circular)	
				□ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	
		Supervisory authorities should		\Box Implementation completed as of:	
		continually re-assess their resource		Issue is being addressed through:	
		needs; for example, interacting with and		□ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		assessing Boards require particular skills, experience and adequate level of		⊠Regulation /Guidelines	
		seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)		☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Regarding resources: FINMA has increased its FTE from 2009 to 2016 by 36%. In addition, resources have been shifted to increase effectiveness of risk based supervision. In 2016, FINMA has created a dedicated unit for operational, cyber and IT-risks of Banks. Regarding expertise/talent management: In	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				2014, FINMA has introduced a programme for identifying and fostering employee potential. Its aim is to enable long-term resource planning for internal management and specialist functions by preparing employees to take on higher-level functions and/or increased responsibilities. Regarding "Push Banks to improve IT/MIS": In addition to revised and new circulars, FINMA regularly conducts on-site supervisory reviews on the topics of risk aggregation and reporting to senior management of large banks. Regarding "Engage more on Board Level": The supervisory approach has been reformed and evolved since the financial crisis. The cornerstone is the supervisory dialogue. It entails meetings with the supervised institution's board of directors and executive board on fundamental issues, such as the company's strategic orientation, governance, capitalisation and profitability, risk situation and other topics. Regarding mandate and powers: no reforms are currently planned in this regard.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				FINMA Circular 2008/21 "Operational Risks - Banks" has been revised with regard to IT and cyber risks. The risk management principle on technological infrastructure has been expanded to include IT and cyber risks. In addition, the principle on business continuity has been expanded to include requirements for maintaining critical services when	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				resolving systemically important banks. Circular 17/01 "Corporate Governance Banks" consolidates the supervisory requirements relating to corporate governance, internal control systems and risk management for banks. Circular 17/XX "Outsourcing – banks and insurers expands existing requirements to internal outsourcings, consolidates existing requirements for banks and insurers and introduces special requirements for the outsourcing of critical services of systemically important banks. Fintech: In February 2017 the Federal Council initiated the consultation on amendments to the Banking Act and Banking Ordinance in the FinTech area. The proposed amendments aim to regulate FinTech and other firms which provide services outside normal banking business according to their risk potential. They include changes of regulations with regards to settlements and an innovation area for small (start-up) firms. The consultation finished in May 2017. The Federal Council plans to adopt the changes of the Banking Ordinance in July 2017 so that they cab enter into force on 1 August 2017. Changes to the Banking Act will enter into force the earliest in August 2018	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Revision of FINMA Circular 2008/21 "Operational Risks - Banks", Issuance of Circular 17/01 "Corporate Governance Banks", opening of consultation on Circular 17/XX "Outsourcing – banks and insurers".	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Circular 08/21 Operational Risk – Banks: https://www.finma.ch/de/~/media/finma/ dokumente/dokumentencenter/myfinma/r undschreiben/finma-rs-2008-21-01-07- 2017.pdf?la=de Circular 17/01 Corporate Governance Banks: https://www.finma.ch/en/news/2016/11/2 0161101-mm-rs-corporate-governance- bei-banken/ Circular 17/XX "Outsourcing – banks and insurers": https://www.finma.ch/en/news/2016/12/2 0161206mmrsoutsourcing/ FinTech: https://www.admin.ch/gov/en/start/docu mentation/media-releases.msg-id- 65476.html.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IV.	Building and implement	ing macroprudential frameworks and too			
11 (11)	Establishing regulatory framework for macro- prudential oversight	Amend our regulatory systems to ensure authorities are able to identify and take account of macro-prudential risks across the financial system including in the case of regulated banks, shadow banks and private pools of capital to limit the build up of systemic risk. (London)	Please describe major changes in the institutional arrangements for macroprudential policy (structures, mandates, powers, reporting etc.) that have taken place in your jurisdiction since the global financial crisis.	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		Ensure that national regulators possess the powers for gathering relevant information on all material financial institutions, markets and instruments in order to assess the potential for failure or severe stress to contribute to systemic risk. This will be done in close coordination at international level in order to achieve as much consistency as possible across jurisdictions. (London)	Please indicate whether an assessment has been conducted with respect to the adequacy of powers to collect and share relevant information among national authorities on financial institutions, markets and instruments to assess the potential for systemic risk. If so, please describe identified gaps in the powers to collect information, and whether any follow-up actions have been taken.	 ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ⊠ Implementation completed as of: February 2010: MoU FINMA/SNB. January 2011: MoU FDF/FINMA/SNB. January 2016: new provisions in NBA and FINMASA. Issue is being addressed through: ⊠ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation /Guidelines ⊠ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: MoUs among financial market authorities. 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: Both SNB and FINMA have mandates comprising elements of macroprudential oversight. According to the National Bank Act (NBA), one of the SNB's tasks is to contribute to financial stability. Further, the SNB publishes a Financial stability report on an annual basis. FINMA's mandate also comprises a supra-institutional component, which is provided by the FINMASA (Art.5), according to which financial market oversight includes the aim of protecting the functioning of the financial markets. The FDF is responsible for preparing any amendments of laws and ordinances and the Federal Council decides on adjustments of the countercyclical capital buffer, with involvement of SNB and FINMA (see answer to question 12). FINMA has broad legal powers to gather any kind of information from supervised financial market participants. The FINMA/SNB MoU (see web-link below), revised in February 2010, provides a clear division between the individual tasks of the two institutions and addresses information exchange between both institutions. In the MoU	
				signed on January 2011, FDF, FINMA and SNB (see web-link) agreed to meet regularly for an exchange of information	
				and views on financial stability and issues of current interest in financial	
				market regulation. In the event of a crisis that threatens financial stability, they agreed to work closely together and, to	
				this end, set up a joint crisis management	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				organisation. In March 2012, the Financial Stability Working Group (FDF, SNB, FINMA) published a report and proposals on the macroprudential framework (see web-link). The report includes an assessment of the power to collect and share information. In line with recommendations of that report, new provisions of the NBA and the FINMASA have entered into force on 1 January 2016. As a result, SNB can directly access information on financial market participants and SNB and FINMA can share information with the FDF (see web-links).	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				FINMA/SNB MoU: http://www.snb.ch/en/mmr/reference/mo fu/source FDF/FINMA/SNB MoU: http://www.snb.ch/en/mmr/reference/trip artite/source/tripartite.en.pdf Report of Financial Stability Working Group: http://www.news.admin.ch/NSBSubscrib er/message/attachments/35795.pdf NBA: https://www.admin.ch/opc/en/classified- compilation/20021117/index.html FINMASA: https://www.admin.ch/opc/en/classified- compilation/20052624/index.html	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12 (12)	Enhancing system- wide monitoring and the use of macro- prudential instruments	Authorities should use quantitative indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for supervisory purposes. Authorities should use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution-specific and at the macro- prudential (system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009) We are developing macro-prudential policy frameworks and tools to limit the build-up of risks in the financial sector, building on the ongoing work of the FSB-BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes) Authorities should monitor substantial changes in asset prices and their implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)	 Please describe at a high level (including by making reference to financial stability or other reports, where available) the types of methodologies, indicators and tools used to assess systemic risks. Please indicate the use of tools for macroprudential purposes over the past year, including: the objective for their use; the process to select, calibrate and apply them; and the approaches used to assess their effectiveness. See, for reference, the following documents: FSB-IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011) CGFS report on Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments (Dec 2012) IMF staff papers on Macroprudential policy, an organizing framework (Mar 2011), Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy (Jun 2013), and Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy (Dec 2014) IMF-FSB-BIS paper on Elements of Effective Macroprudential 	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged " has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ⊠ Implementation completed as of: □ Amendments to the Capital Adequacy Ordinance: introducing countercyclical buffer (in force since July 2012), higher requirements for risky mortgages (in force since July 2013) and international reciprocity for internationally active banks (in force since July 2013) and international reciprocity for internationally active banks (in force since July 2016). Monitoring: ongoing. Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: ongoing monitoring	 Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Ongoing monitoring. If appropriate, further decisions on the adjustment of the countercyclical capital buffer or on the regulatory framework, including the potential introduction of additional regulatory measures affecting the demand for mortgages. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			 Policies: Lessons from International Experience (Aug 2016) CGFS report on Experiences with the ex ante appraisal of macroprudential instruments (Jul 2016) CGFS report on Objective-setting and communication of macroprudential policies (Nov 2016) 	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Quantitative indicators regularly enter the monitoring and analysis of SNB, FINMA and the FDF. SNB is continuously monitoring a broad range of indicators – among them asset prices, credit volumes – and their implications for financial stability. The SNB also launched an additional quarterly survey on mortgage lending in early 2011. In the survey, the 25 largest banks in the domestic market, representing a total market share of over 80%, are asked about key risk indicators such as loan-to- value ratios and affordability criteria for new mortgages. Further, the SNB publishes a Financial stability report on an annual basis. FINMA has implemented a macroeconomic monitoring process, which concentrates on the Swiss domestic real estate market and selected foreign asset markets. In addition to capital and other regulatory requirements in general, more specific available policy tools include the countercyclical buffer, capital requirements for risky mortgage lending business and self-regulation on lending practices in the mortgage market. Moreover, FINMA can impose specific measures on individual banks where appropriate. In January 2014, the Federal Council, upon proposal by the SNB, decided to increase the sectoral countercyclical capital buffer (CCB) to 2% of risk weighted positions, still restricted to residential mortgage loans, and being effective as of June 30, 2014 (see web-link below). In February 2016, the Basel III CCB was announced to s at 0% (see web-link below). The sectoral	





No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Adequacy Ordinance, introducing international reciprocity for internationally active banks (as required by the Basel III countercyclical capital buffer), has come into effect	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Capital buffer: https://www.news.admin.ch/message/ind ex.html?lang=en&msg-id=51758 Factsheet Countercyclical: Swiss National Bank, 'Implementing the countercyclical capital buffer in Switzerland: concretising the Swiss National Bank's role', fact sheet, June 2012 and Press release of 23 January 2014, 'Swiss National Bank proposal to increase the countercyclical capital buffer', available at www.snb.ch, Financial Stability, Publications. Basel III CCB: http://www.snb.ch/n/mmr/reference/CCB _communication_2016/source/CCB_com munication_2016.n.pdf, 'Stance of the Basel III countercyclical capital buffer in Switzerland', available at www.snb.ch, Financial Stability, Publications. Capital Adequacy Ordinance (in French): https://www.admin.ch/opc/fr/classified- compilation/20121146/index.html FINMA's approval of the amended self- regulation: https://www.finma.ch/en/news/2014/07/ mm-selbstregulierung- hypothekarbereich-20140702	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V.	Improving oversight of o	credit rating agencies (CRAs)			
13 (13)	Enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	All CRAs whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes should be subject to a regulatory oversight regime that includes registration. The regulatory oversight regime should be established by end 2009 and should be consistent with the IOSCO Code of Conduct Fundamentals. (London)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs including registration, oversight and sharing of information between national authorities. They should also indicate their consistency with the following IOSCO document:	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: 	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		 National authorities will enforce compliance and require changes to a rating agency's practices and procedures for managing conflicts of interest and assuring the transparency and quality of the rating process. CRAs should differentiate ratings for structured products and provide full disclosure of their ratings track record and the information and assumptions that underpin the ratings process. The oversight framework should be consistent across jurisdictions with appropriate sharing of information between national authorities, including through IOSCO. (London) Regulators should work together towards 	 <u>Code of Conduct Fundamentals for</u> <u>Credit Rating Agencies (Mar 2015)</u> (including on governance, training and risk management) Jurisdictions may also refer to the following IOSCO documents: Principle 22 of <u>Principles and</u> <u>Objectives of Securities Regulation</u> (Jun 2010) which calls for registration and oversight programs for CRAs <u>Statement of Principles Regarding</u> <u>the Activities of Credit Rating</u> <u>Agencies (Sep 2003)</u> <u>Final Report on Supervisory</u> <u>Colleges for Credit Rating Agencies</u> (Jul 2013) Jurisdictions should take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC 	 □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Jan 2012 □ Implementation completed as of: Jan 2012 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulatory purposes (e.g. as 	Web-links to relevant documents:
		Regulators should work together towards appropriate, globally compatible solutions (to conflicting compliance	outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those principles.	Credit rating agencies whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes (e.g. as basis for capital adequacy) have to be recognized by FINMA. The recognition is currently governed by FINMA circular	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		obligations for CRAs) as early as possible in 2010. (FSB 2009) We encourage further steps to enhance transparency and competition among credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)		2012/1 "Rating Agencies" and includes requirements in regard to objectivity, independence, access to ratings, disclosure, resources and credibility. Process and requirements are in line with the standards of the BCBS and with the "IOSCO Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies" (2015). The scope of application covers all institutions supervised by FINMA which use credit ratings for regulatory purposes Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: https://www.finma.ch/de/~/media/finma/ dokumente/dokumentencenter/myfinma/r undschreiben/finma-rs-2012-01-2015- d.pdf?la=de	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14	Reducing the reliance	We also endorsed the FSB's principles	Jurisdictions should indicate the steps	□Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(14)	on ratings	on reducing reliance on external credit	they are taking to address the	□ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
		ratings. Standard setters, market	recommendations of the <u>May 2014 FSB</u>	at the moment	
		participants, supervisors and central	thematic peer review report on the	<i>If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but</i>	Web-links to relevant documents:
		banks should not rely mechanistically on	implementation of the FSB Principles	no action envisaged " has been	
		external credit ratings. (Seoul)	for Reducing Reliance on Credit	selected, please provide a brief justification:	
		Authorities should check that the roles	<u>Ratings</u> , including by implementing		
		that they have assigned to ratings in	their <u>agreed action plans</u> . Any revised		
		regulations and supervisory rules are	action plans should be sent to the FSB	□ Implementation ongoing:	
		consistent with the objectives of having	Secretariat so that it can be posted on the FSB website.	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	
		investors make independent judgment of	TSD website.		
		risks and perform their own due	Jurisdictions may refer to the following	Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		diligence, and that they do not induce	documents:	\Box Draft published as of:	
		uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a	• FSB Principles for Reducing	1	
		substitute for that independent	Reliance on CRA Ratings (Oct	□ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		evaluation. (Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008)	2010)	\Box Final rule (for part of the reform) in	
		We reaffirm our commitment to reduce		force since:	
		authorities' and financial institutions'	• FSB <u>Roadmap for Reducing</u>	⊠ Implementation completed as of:	
		reliance on external credit ratings, and	<u>Reliance on CRA Ratings (Nov</u>	January 2015: revised Collective	
		call on standard setters, market	<u>2012)</u>	Investment Schemes Ordinance.	
		participants, supervisors and central	 BCBS Consultative Document 	Issue is being addressed through:	
		banks to implement the agreed FSB	<u>Revisions to the Standardised</u>	□ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		principles and end practices that rely	<u>Approach for credit risk (Dec 2015)</u>	Regulation /Guidelines	
		mechanistically on these ratings.	• IAIS ICP guidance 16.9 and 17.8.25	\Box Other actions (such as supervisory	
		(Cannes)		actions), please specify:	
			• IOSCO <u>Good Practices on Reducing</u>	Short description of the content of the	
		We call for accelerated progress by	<u>Reliance on CRAs in Asset</u>	legislation/regulation/guideline:	
		national authorities and standard setting	<u>Management (Jun 2015)</u>	Switzerland participated in the May 2014	
		bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance	• IOSCO Sound Practices at Large	FSB thematic peer review on the implementation of the FSB Principles for	
		on credit ratings and encourage steps that	Intermediaries Relating to the	Reducing Reliance on Credit Ratings.	
		would enhance transparency of and	Assessment of Creditworthiness and	On May 13, 2014, Switzerland published	
			<u> </u>	an action plan (see web-link) for	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		competition among credit rating agencies. (Los Cabos) We call on national authorities and standard setting bodies to accelerate progress in reducing reliance on credit rating agencies, in accordance with the FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)	<u>the Use of External Credit Ratings</u> (Dec 2015).	implementing the FSB principles for reducing reliance on CRA ratings. This action plan noted that only a few references to ratings had been found in Swiss laws and regulations, most of which are the result of international standards such as the capital adequacy requirements of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision ("Basel III"). In order to reduce reliance on ratings, the Swiss action plan has notably foreseen an active involvement in the relevant international bodies on these issues and the organisation of a workshop (see web- link below). The objective of this workshop that took place on August 28 2014 was to facilitate the exchange of views and the sharing of best practices among market participants regarding additional information used- and alternatives to CRA ratings in credit risk assessment. This workshop has also raised awareness about potential risks associated with CRAs and their ratings. In addition, some specific regulatory steps have been taken. For instance, references to CRA ratings have been removed from the FINMA Collective Investment Schemes Ordinance (see web-link). The revised ordinance (see web-link). The revised ordinance (see web-link). The revised ordinance on tered into force on 1 January 2015. Moreover, disclosure requirements within banking regulations have been updated, facilitating credit assessment for market participants. The updated circular "Disclosure Banks" entered into force on 1 January 2015, before having been revised to reflect enhanced international standards. The revised circular (see web- link below) came into force on 1 January 2016.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Action plan: http://www.news.admin.ch/NSBSubscrib er/message/attachments/34816.pdf Press Press release concerning the action plan: https://www.news.admin.ch/message/ind ex.html?lang=en&msg-id=52926 Press Press release concerning the workshop: https://www.sif.admin.ch/sif/en/home/do kumentation/medienmitteilungen/medien mitteilungen.msg-id-54245.html CISO- FINMA: https://www.admin.ch/opc/en/classified- compilation/20140344/index.html Press release concerning disclosures: https://www.finma.ch/en/news/2015/11/2 0151120-mm-rs-offenlegung-banken/	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VI.	Enhancing and aligning	accounting standards			
15 (15)	Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards	Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington)	Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are of a high and internationally acceptable quality (e.g. equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB), and provide accurate and relevant information on financial position and performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around- the-world/Pages/Analysis-of-the- G20-IFRS-profiles.aspx. As part of their response on this recommendation, jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for appropriate application of fair value recognition, measurement and disclosure. In addition, jurisdictions should set out any steps they intend to take (if appropriate) to foster transparent and consistent implementation of the new accounting requirements for the measurement of expected credit losses on financial assets that are being introduced by the IASB and FASB.	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ∞ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Jan 2015 Implementation completed as of: Jan 2015 Issue is being addressed through: ∞ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: IFRS (as published by the IASB) and US GAAP are allowed for bank's consolidated financial statements (and required if listed in the International Reporting Standard of Swiss stock 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: In general: Changes in IFRS and US GAAP with particular focus on the treatment of financial instruments will be analysed and transposed into national regulation where needed. Expected Credit Losses: Basically, the adequate implementation of the expected credit loss model by banks will form part of the work of external auditors. However, FINMA is also following the implementation of the new accounting requirements. As there are only few institutions using IFRS or US GAAP in Switzerland and therefore comparing and fostering consistent implementation of the new accounting requirements for the measurement of expected credit losses is difficult on a national level FINMA actively participates in the respective work on international level (e.g. BCBS). Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			 See, for reference, the following BCBS documents: <u>Supervisory guidance for assessing banks' financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009)</u> <u>Guidance on credit risk and accounting for expected credit losses (Dec 2015)</u> 	exchange). Swiss Accounting Standards are continously being improved and amended in line with internationally accepted accounting standards. Enforcement of consistent application of accounting standards is performed by SIX exchange regulation and FINMA. The Fair Value Guidance is partly reflected in national legislation (e.g. FINMA circular 08/20 Marktrisiken Banken) Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents: https://www.finma.ch/en/~/media/finma/ dokumente/dokumentencenter/myfinma/r undschreiben/finma-rs-2015- 01.pdf?la=en	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VII.	Enhancing risk manager		-		
16 (16)	Enhancing guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, including on liquidity and foreign currency funding risks	Regulators should develop enhanced guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, in line with international best practices, and should encourage financial firms to re-examine their internal controls and implement strengthened policies for sound risk management. (Washington) National supervisors should closely check banks' implementation of the updated guidance on the management and supervision of liquidity as part of their regular supervision. If banks' implementation of the guidance is inadequate, supervisors will take more prescriptive action to improve practices. (Rec. II.10, FSF 2008) Regulators and supervisors in emerging markets ² will enhance their supervision of banks' operation in foreign currency funding markets. (FSB 2009)	 Jurisdictions should indicate the measures taken in the following areas: guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, including BCBS good practice documents (<i>Corporate governance principles for banks, External audit of banks, and the Internal audit of banks, and the Internal audit function in banks</i>); measures to monitor and ensure banks' implementation of the BCBS <i>Principles for Sound Liquidity Risk Management and Supervision (Sep 2008)</i>; measures to supervise banks' operations in foreign currency funding markets;¹ and extent to which they undertake stress tests and publish their results. Jurisdictions should not provide any updates on the implementation of Basel III liquidity requirements (and other recent standards such as capital 	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ⊠ Implementation completed as of: □ Stress Testing 2008, Liquidity Sound Principles 2013, LCR 2015 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines 	 Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Implementation of the Basel requirements (NSFR) has progressed to be integrated in circular 15/02 (public consultation has ended in April 2017. Expected publication summer 2017; in force Jan 2018) - Net stable funding ratio (NSFR)-reporting for all banks has started in June 2016 - circular 17/01 on Corporate Governance (published Oct 2016 in effect Jul 2017) is the revised former circular on Risk Control (08/24) - circular 08/21 on Operational Risk was revised, and published in Oct 2016; in force Jul 2017 Circular on Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book is currently being revised wrt to implementation of the Basel principles and standardized approach (public consultation scheduled for summer 2017, publication fall 2017, in force Jan 2018) Web-links to relevant documents:

¹ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB should respond to this specific recommendation.

 $^{^{2}}$ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		We commit to conduct robust, transparent stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)	requirements for CCPs), since these are monitored separately by the BCBS.	 ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Stress tests Test reportings Full reportings Short description of the content of the 	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				- Stress tests for large banks since 2008 as part of ongoing supervision; - FINMA implemented national LCR regulation in 2013 in coordination with FDF, SNB - Circular 08/21 on Operational Risk published in Oct 2013. - The Federal Council issued the final rules on the LCR (ordinance on Liquidity) in June 2014. In accordance with this ordinance FINMA introduced the update of the circular 13/6 which was extended towards the inclusion of the quantitative requirements on the LCR (enacted in July 2014, in force in January 2015)> circular 15/2 Circular 17/01 on Corporate Governance (published Oct 2016 in effect Jul 2017) is the revised former circular on Risk Control. It contains rules and principles to Risk Management (incl. data), Internal Control System, roles and responsibilities of executive board and board of directors, Internal Audit.)	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				- Circular on Corporate Governance was published Oct 2016 and will be in effect Jul 2017 - Circular on Operational Risk 08/21 was revised, published in Oct 2016 and will be in effect Jul 2017 Circular on Corporate Governance was published Oct 2016 and will be in effect Jul 2017 - Circular on Operational Risk 08/21 was revised, published in Oct 2016 and will be in effect Jul 2017 Net stable funding ratio (NSFR)- reporting for all	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				banks started in June 2016 - Draft rules for NSFR were in consultation until April 2017. The finals rules are expected to be published in summer 2017 and enter into force on 1.1.2018.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				 Liquidity circular in consultation: (NSFR: https://www.finma.ch/de/~/media/finma/ dokumente/dokumentencenter/anhoerung en/laufende-anhoerungen/rs- liquiditaetsrisiken- banken/e_rs_15_02_20170110_de.pdf?la =de) - Liquidity Ordinance in consultation: https://www.sif.admin.ch/sif/en/home/do kumentation/medienmitteilungen/medien mitteilungen.msg-id-65197.html test reporting: https://www.finma.ch/de/news/2014/11/a ktuell-liquiditaetsregulierung- beobachtungsperiode-nsfr-20141114/ - NSFR regular reporting (from June 2016): http://snb.ch/en/emi/nsfr https://www.finma.ch/de/news/2016/02/n ews-liquiditaetsregulierung-20160202/ Circular on Corporate Governance 17/01: https://www.finma.ch/en/~/media/finma/ dokumente/dokumentencenter/myfinma/r undschreiben/finma-rs-2017- 01.pdf?la=en Revised Circular on Operational Risk: https://www.finma.ch/de/~/media/finma/ dokumente/dokumentencenter/myfinma/r undschreiben/finma-rs-2008-21-01-07- 2017.pdf?la=de 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 17 (17)	Description Enhanced risk disclosures by financial institutions	G20/FSB Recommendations Financial institutions should provide enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington) We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance financial institutions' disclosures of the risks they face, including the ongoing work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force. (St. Petersburg)	RemarksJurisdictions should indicate the status ofimplementation of the disclosuresrequirements of IFRSs (in particularIFRS 7 and 13) or equivalent.Jurisdictions may also use as referencethe recommendations of the October2012 report by the Enhanced DisclosureTask Force on Enhancing the RiskDisclosures of Banks andImplementation Progress Report bythe EDTF (Dec 2015), and set outany steps they have taken to fosteradoption of the EDTF Principles andRecommendations.In addition, in light of the new IASB andFASB accounting requirements forexpected credit loss recognition,jurisdictions should set out any stepsthey intend to take (if appropriate) tofoster disclosures needed to fairly depicta bank's exposure to credit risk,including its expected credit lossestimates, and to provide relevantinformation on a bank's underwritingpractices. Jurisdictions may use asreference the recommendations in thereport by the Enhanced Disclosure TaskForce on the Impact of ExpectedCredit Loss Approaches on Bank RiskDisclosures (Nov 2015), as well as therecommendations in Principle 8 of the	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged " has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ⊠ Implementation completed as of: 2009 (adjusted in 2012, 2014 and 2015) Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ⊠ Regulation /Guidelines ⊠ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Ongoing supervision. Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: - Since January 1, 2009 banks have to issue a Pillar 3 reporting (Pillar 3 of the BCBS capital requirements); this regulation was adjusted in 2012. In	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: - Accounting standards will be continuously improved and amended in line with internationally accepted accounting Standards (for Swiss Accounting Standards for banks see also 15 above). Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			accounting for expected credit losses (Dec 2015)	FINMA Circular on Pillar 3 disclosure along the BCBS requirements has been published (update Leverage Ratio and Liquidity Coverage Ratio). The new BCBS disclosure Standards issued in January 2015 have been implemented nationally (final FINMA Standards released in November 2015) and entered into force on 31.12.2016 IFRS (as published by the IASB) and US GAAP are allowed for bank's consolidated financial statements (and required if listed in the International Reporting Standard of Swiss stock exchange) With respect to enhanced risk disclosures, upon recommendation by FINMA, both Swiss G-SIBs disclose information along the EDTF design	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Work underway to implement the Basel Committee's phase II revisions to its disclosure standards by year-end 2017. National consultation planned for Juli/August 2017.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				- CS: https://www.credit- suisse.com/ch/en/about-us/investor- relations/financial-and-regulatory- disclosures/annual-and-quarterly- reports/annual-reports.html UBS: https://www.ubs.com/global/en/about_ub s/investor_relations/annualreporting/201 6.html FINMA Circular 2016/1 Discosure Banks: https://www.finma.ch/de/~/media/finma/ dokumente/dokumentencenter/myfinma/r undschreiben/finma-rs-2016-01-01-01- 2017.pdf?la=de	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VIII.	Strengthening deposit in	surance			
18 (18)	Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements	National deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed international principles, and authorities	Jurisdictions that have not yet adopted an explicit national deposit insurance system	□Not applicable □Applicable but no action envisaged	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008)	should strengthen arrangements where	at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	The Federal Council has instructed the Federal Department of Finance to draw up a consultation draft with the required legislative amendments by the end of 2017 in line with the parameters decided. Web-links to relevant documents:
			the issuance of the revised IADI <u>Core</u> <u>Principles for Effective Deposit</u> <u>Insurance Systems</u> (November 2014).	Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	https://www.efd.admin.ch/efd/de/home/d okumentation/nsb-news_list.msg-id- 65655.html
			In addition, jurisdictions should indicate if they have carried out a self-assessment	⊠ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: December 2017	
			of compliance with the revised Core	\Box Draft published as of:	
		Principles: If so, jurisdictions should	□ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:		
			highlight the main gaps	□ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	
			identified and the steps proposed to address these gaps;	\Box Implementation completed as of:	
				Issue is being addressed through:	
			• If not, jurisdictions should indicate any plans to undertake a	\boxtimes Primary / Secondary legislation	
			self-assessment exercise.	□Regulation /Guidelines	
				□ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				i) The current payout delay will be shortened. The benchmark for the delay is the international standard of 7 working days with an implementation period of minimum 5 years. ii) The existing ex post system will be complemented by a 50% collateralisation. The banks have to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				collateralise half of their payment obligations with securities. iii) The current nominal target level of the deposit insurance of CHF 6 billion will be transformed into a new target coverage ratio of 1,6% of the total of the insured deposits.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				In February 2017, the administration presented its findings of the examination of recommendations regarding the deposit insurance system to the Federal Council. The Federal Council decided on the main parameters as described above for the planned improvement of the Swiss deposit insurance system. Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IX.	Safeguarding the integri	ty and efficiency of financial markets			
19 (19)	Enhancing market integrity and efficiency	We must ensure that markets serve efficient allocation of investments and savings in our economies and do not pose risks to financial stability. To this end, we commit to implement initial recommendations by IOSCO on market integrity and efficiency, including measures to address the risks posed by high frequency trading and dark liquidity, and call for further work by mid-2012. (Cannes)	 Jurisdictions should indicate whether high frequency trading and dark pools exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendations: in relation to dark liquidity, as set out in the IOSCO <u>Report on Principles for Dark Liquidity (May 2011).</u> on the impact of technological change in the IOSCO <u>Report on Regulatory Issues Raised by the Impact of Technological Changes on Market Integrity and Efficiency (Oct 2011).</u> on market structure made in the IOSCO Report on <u>Regulatory Issues raised by changes in market structure (Dec 2013).</u> 	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Transitional period until 1st January 2018 □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: ∞ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Dark Pools: FINMA conducted Alternative Trading Platform (ATP; including ATS, MTF, SI and Broker-dealer crossing network) Supervisory Reviews at the two major Swiss banks. The purpose of this review was mainly: - to assess 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Revised FINMA circular on duties to report securities transactions will enter into force in Januar 2018. Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				management oversight and organizational set-up; - to review the respective policies, procedures and guidelines and assess the overall governance; - to understand the key risks regarding the operation of ATPs; - to assess the design of the key controls to prevent and - to detect these risks, as well as their operating effectiveness and to examine independent reviews and self- assessments, dentified weaknesses and remediation actions taken. In our view, the key risks regarding the operation of ATPs include: (1) reputational and litigation risks, (2) system stability issues and (3) market risks due to operational failures as well as counterparty risks. In addition, the regulation of ATPs is increasing on a global scale. High Frequency Trading: FINMA started to gather information on the volume of HFT in the Swiss exchange landscape. FINMA proactively initiated an in- depth-analysis of the control procedures of specific HF-Traders. In addition, FINMA analyzed a Swiss Dark Pool's Service related to the maintenance of a fair and orderly trading system including appropriate systems of market supervision.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Financial Market Infrastructure Act (FMIA): To strengthen market transparency and financial stability, the Swiss Federal Council launched a reform package that fully implements the G-20	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				commitments on OTC derivatives and brings financial market infrastructure in line with international standards. This package also contains new elements on market integrity and came into force on January 1st 2016. For parts of the new provisions a transitional period will be granted (transaction reporting, etc.). High frequency trading is subject to the regulation on market manipulation stipulated in Article 143 FMIA	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				FMIA came into force on January 1st 2016. Transition periond for implementation apply. Based on new FMIA, FINMA has revised and published its Circular on the duty to report securities transactions.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				https://www.admin.ch/opc/en/classified- compilation/20141779/index.html https://www.finma.ch/en/news/2017/02/2 0170209-mm-rs-finfrag/	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
20 (20)	Regulation and supervision of commodity marketsWe need to ensure enhanced market transparency, both on cash and financial 	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on	□Not applicable □Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:	
			If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	Web-links to relevant documents:	
		markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should	Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011).	□ Implementation ongoing:	
	have, and use formal position management powers, including the power to set ex-ante position limits,	have, and use formal position	Jurisdictions, in responding to this recommendation, may also make use of the responses contained in the undate to	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	
				Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		appropriate, among other powers of	the survey published by IOSCO in	\Box Draft published as of:	
		intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012. (Cannes)	regulation and supervision of commodity	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				□ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	
		We also call on Finance ministers to		Implementation completed as of: 1 January 2016	
		monitor on a regular basis the proper		Issue is being addressed through:	
		implementation of IOSCO's principles		Primary / Secondary legislation	
		for the regulation and supervision on		□Regulation /Guidelines	
		commodity derivatives markets and encourage broader publishing and		□ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
		unrestricted access to aggregated open interest data. (St. Petersburg)		Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
			Financial Market Infrastructure Act (FMIA) and Financial Market Infrastructure Ordinance (FMIO): This act implements reforms of the OTC derivatives markets and gives the Federal Council amongst others the power to introduce position limits. With this new		



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				regulatory framework, Switzerland complies with the large majority of the IOSCO Principles. Some aspects of the Principles are not applicable to Switzerland because there is no relevant commodities exchange and no regulated market for physically settled contracts.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: https://www.admin.ch/gov/en/start/docu mentation/media-releases.msg-id- 59647.html	

FSB FINANCIAL STABILITY BOARD

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
21	Reform of financial	We support the establishment of the	Collection of information on this		
(01)	benchmarks	FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to	recommendation will continue to be		
(21)		coordinate work on the necessary	deferred given the forthcoming FSB		
		reforms of financial benchmarks. We	progress report on implementation of		
		endorse IOSCO's Principles for	FSB recommendations in this area, and		
		Financial Benchmarks and look forward	ongoing IOSCO work to review the		
		to reform as necessary of the benchmarks	implementation of the IOSCO Principles		
		used internationally in the banking	for Financial Benchmarks.		
		industry and financial markets, consistent			
		with the IOSCO Principles. (St.			
		Petersburg)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps			
Х.	X. Enhancing financial consumer protection							
	-		RemarksJurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD's G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection (Oct 2011).Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD's September 2013 and September 2014 reports on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High- level Principles. The effective approaches are of interest across all financial services sectors – banking and credit; securities; insurance and pensions – and consideration should be given to their cross-sectoral character when considering implementation.Jurisdictions should, where necessary, indicate any changes or additions that have been introduced as a way to support the implementation of the High-level Principles, to address particular national terminology, situations or determinations.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable" or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☑ Draft published as of: 4 november 2015 □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: △ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The Financial Services Act (FinSA)	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: The final vote in the second chamber is expected for June 2017. At the same time, work on secondary legislation will be taken up. Web-links to relevant documents: https://www.efd.admin.ch/efd/en/home/d okumentation/nsb-news_list.msg-id-59331.html			
			have been introduced as a way to support the implementation of the High-level Principles, to address particular national terminology, situations or determinations.	 Issue is being addressed through: Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisor actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	ry the s and			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the financial market on a commercial basis. The proposed regulation takes account of the various features of financial service providers and financial instruments, as well as the different needs of the various client segments. The improvement in client protection is achieved namely by means of comprehensive transparency provisions, while refraining from imposing bans. E.g. it includes a duty to inform about all remuneration (e.g. retrocessions, brokerage fees, etc.) received from third parties. Or, FinSA makes provisions for a basic training and continuing professional development duty for client advisers. Once adopted, we expect Switzerland to be compliant with international standards.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				The first chamber of the Swiss national parliament passed the bill in December 2016. Currently, the second chamber is discussing the bill.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	

XI. Source of recommendations

Hangzhou: G20 Leaders' Communique (4-5 September 2016)

Antalya: G20 Leaders' Communique (15-16 November 2015)

Brisbane: G20 Leaders' Communique (15-16 November 2014)

St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)

Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)

Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)

Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)

Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)

Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)

London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)

Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)

FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)

FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)

FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)

FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)

XII. List of abbreviations used

ATP: Alternative Trading Platforms BCBS: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision CCB: countercyclical capital buffer CISA: Collective Investment Schemes Act CISO: Collective Investment Schemes Ordinance CRA: credit rating agency D-SIB: domestic systemically important banks EDTF: Enhanced Disclosure Task Force FDF: Federal Department of Finance FMIA: Financial Market Infrastructure Act FMIO: Financial Market Infrastructure Ordinance FINMA: Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority FINMASA: Federal Act on the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority FinSA: Financial Services Act

FSB: Financial Stability Board G-SIB: global systemically important banks G-SII: global systemically important insurance company HF: hedge fund IASB: International Accounting Standards Board IFRS: International Financial Reporting Standards IOSCO: international organization of securities commissions MoU: memorandum of understanding NBA: National Bank Act LCR: liquidity coverage ratio NSFR: net stable funding ratio RCAP: Regulatory Consistency Assessment Programme SNB: Swiss National Bank SSG: Senior Supervisors Group TBTF: too-big too-fail TLAC: total loss-absorbing capacity US-GAAP: United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles